

# Programming Languages and Paradigms

## COMP 302

Instructor: Jacob Errington  
School of Computer Science  
McGill University

Lesson 4: compound types, constructors, and pattern  
matching

## Last time...

- ▶ Tracing recursive functions.
- ▶ The call stack.
- ▶ Tail-recursive functions.
- ▶ Tail call optimization.
- ▶ Type synonyms
- ▶ Tuples, `fst`, `snd`
- ▶ Unpacking tuples with `let-in`.

## Recap: type synonyms and tuples

- ▶ e.g. `type name = string` defines a **type synonym** called `name`
  - ▶ The types `name` and `string` are *interchangeable*.

## Recap: type synonyms and tuples

- ▶ e.g. `type name = string` defines a **type synonym** called `name`
  - ▶ The types `name` and `string` are *interchangeable*.
- ▶ e.g. `name * height` is the type of tuples with a `name` on the left and a `height` on the right.
  - ▶ `let jake : name * height = ("jake", 182)`
  - ▶ The *type* uses the star `*` whereas the *expression* uses the comma `,`

## Recap: type synonyms and tuples

- ▶ e.g. `type name = string` defines a **type synonym** called `name`
  - ▶ The types `name` and `string` are *interchangeable*.
- ▶ e.g. `name * height` is the type of tuples with a `name` on the left and a `height` on the right.
  - ▶ `let jake : name * height = ("jake", 182)`
  - ▶ The *type* uses the star `*` whereas the *expression* uses the comma `,`
- ▶ Access tuple components with functions `fst` and `snd`

## Recap: type synonyms and tuples

- ▶ e.g. `type name = string` defines a **type synonym** called `name`
  - ▶ The types `name` and `string` are *interchangeable*.
- ▶ e.g. `name * height` is the type of tuples with a `name` on the left and a `height` on the right.
  - ▶ `let jake : name * height = ("jake", 182)`
  - ▶ The *type* uses the star `*` whereas the *expression* uses the comma `,`
- ▶ Access tuple components with functions `fst` and `snd`
- ▶ Or with *pattern matching*, using `let-in`:
  - ▶ `let is_tall (person : name * height) = snd person > 178`
  - ▶ `let is_tall p = failwith "exercise"`

# This time...

- ▶ Disjoint unions aka “sum types” aka enumerations
- ▶ Constructors with fields
- ▶ Pattern matching
- ▶ Recursive types

# Enumerated types and pattern matching on them

demo



## Recap: enumerated types

- ▶ e.g. `type hand = Rock | Paper | Scissors` defines a **new type** by listing its values, namely `Rock`, `Paper`, and `Scissors`.
- ▶ These values are called **constructors**. They will be used to *construct* values of the type you're defining.
- ▶ Use **pattern matching** to direct control flow according to the value.

```
1 match e with
2 | Rock -> ...
3 | Paper -> ...
4 | Scissors -> ...
```

This is similar to a *switch statement* (in Java) or a *chain of if-else* (in python) to handle different cases.

# Constructors with fields

demo

## Recap: constructors with fields

- ▶ Constructors can have **fields**. A field holds some data together with the constructor, e.g.

```
1 type shape =  
2   | Circle of float  
3   | Square of float  
4   | Rect of float * float  
5   (* ^ separate fields with * as if a tuple *)
```

## Recap: constructors with fields

- ▶ Constructors can have **fields**. A field holds some data together with the constructor, e.g.

```
1 type shape =  
2   | Circle of float  
3   | Square of float  
4   | Rect of float * float  
5   (* ^ separate fields with * as if a tuple *)
```

- ▶ Pattern matching syntax can **extract** the values of fields from the constructor.

```
1 let area (s : shape) : float = match s with  
2   | Circle r -> 3.14 *. r *. r  
3   | Square c -> c *. c  
4   | Rect (w, h) -> w *. h
```

# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

- ▶  $e$  is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each  $p_i \rightarrow e_i$  is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.

# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

- ▶  $e$  is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each  $p_i \rightarrow e_i$  is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.
- ▶ Each  $p_i$  is a *pattern*, i.e.

# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

- ▶  $e$  is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each  $p_i \rightarrow e_i$  is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.
- ▶ Each  $p_i$  is a *pattern*, i.e.
  - ▶ A variable such as  $x$  or a wildcard  $_$ .  
These variables are *bound* in the corresponding expression  $e_i$ .

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.
- ▶ Each  $p_i$  is a *pattern*, i.e.
  - ▶ A variable such as `x` or a wildcard `_`.  
These variables are *bound* in the corresponding expression  $e_i$ .
  - ▶ A tuple of other patterns:  $(p_1, \dots, p_N)$



# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

- ▶  $e$  is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each  $p_i \rightarrow e_i$  is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.
- ▶ Each  $p_i$  is a *pattern*, i.e.
  - ▶ A variable such as  $x$  or a wildcard  $_$ .  
These variables are *bound* in the corresponding expression  $e_i$ .
  - ▶ A tuple of other patterns:  $(p_1, \dots, p_N)$
  - ▶ A *constructor* applied to patterns:  $Cnstr (p_1, \dots, p_N)$

# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

- ▶  $e$  is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each  $p_i \rightarrow e_i$  is called a **branch**

## Syntax

- ▶ The scrutinee is an *expression*, usually a variable.
- ▶ Each  $p_i$  is a *pattern*, i.e.
  - ▶ A variable such as  $x$  or a wildcard  $_$ .  
These variables are *bound* in the corresponding expression  $e_i$ .
  - ▶ A tuple of other patterns:  $(p_1, \dots, p_N)$
  - ▶ A *constructor* applied to patterns:  $Cnstr (p_1, \dots, p_N)$
- ▶ Each  $e_i$  is an expression to evaluate, contingent on  $p_i$  matching, together with variable bindings coming from  $p_i$ .

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Operational Semantics

1. Evaluate the scrutinee to a value  $v$ .

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Operational Semantics

1. Evaluate the scrutinee to a value  $v$ .
2. Line up  $v$  against each pattern  $p_i$  until we find one that matches.

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Operational Semantics

1. Evaluate the scrutinee to a value  $v$ .
2. Line up  $v$  against each pattern  $p_i$  until we find one that matches.
3. Patterns can contain variables; these become bound to the corresponding parts of  $v$ .

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Operational Semantics

1. Evaluate the scrutinee to a value  $v$ .
2. Line up  $v$  against each pattern  $p_i$  until we find one that matches.
3. Patterns can contain variables; these become bound to the corresponding parts of  $v$ .
4. The associated expression of the **first** matching branch is evaluated with any new bindings

# Theory: pattern matching

`match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN`

- ▶ `e` is called the **scrutinee**
- ▶ Each `pi -> ei` is called a **branch**

## Operational Semantics

1. Evaluate the scrutinee to a value  $v$ .
2. Line up  $v$  against each pattern  $p_i$  until we find one that matches.
3. Patterns can contain variables; these become bound to the corresponding parts of  $v$ .
4. The associated expression of the **first** matching branch is evaluated with any new bindings
5. That gives the result of the whole **match**-expression.

# Theory: pattern matching

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pN -> eN
```

## Static Semantics

- ▶ The type of each  $p_i$  must agree with the type of  $e$
- ▶ The types of all the branch's bodies must agree with each other, i.e. all  $e_i$  have the same type.
- ▶ The type of the whole **match**-expression is the type of the  $e_i$ .



# Recursive types

demo