Notes

Dr. Frankenstein

January 25, 2016

1 MASTER algorithm

Let $s_a \mathbf{I}^a(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ be a spin- s_a field, where s_a can be 0 (i.e. 1 single component - e.g. T) or 2 (i.e. 2 components - e.g. (Q, U)). The observed map is:

$$_{s_a}\tilde{\mathbf{I}}^a(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = w^a(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \left[_{s_a} \mathbf{I}^a(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) + N^a(\hat{\mathbf{n}})\right],\tag{1}$$

where $w(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is the weights map. The harmonic coefficients of the observed map can be written as:

$$s_a \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\ell_1 m_1}^a = \sum_{\ell_2, m_2} s_a \mathsf{W}_{\ell_1 \ell_2, m_1 m_2}^a \cdot s_a \mathbf{I}_{\ell_2 m_2}^a, \tag{2}$$

where the mixing matrix is

$$s_a \mathsf{W}^a_{\ell_1 \ell_2, m_1 m_2} \equiv (-1)^m \sum_{\ell_3, m_3} w^a_{\ell_3 m_3} \left[\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)(2\ell_3 + 1)}{4\pi} \right]^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ -m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} s_a \mathsf{J}_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}$$
(3)

with

$${}_{0}\mathsf{J}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_{1} & \ell_{2} & \ell_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),\tag{4}$$

$${}_{2}\mathsf{J}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{1} & \ell_{2} & \ell_{3} \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (-1)^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}} & i \left[(-1)^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}} - 1 \right] \\ -i \left[(-1)^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}} - 1 \right] & 1 + (-1)^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

Let us define the pseudo-power-spectrum $\tilde{\mathsf{C}}_{\ell}^{ab}$

$$\tilde{\mathsf{C}}_{\ell}^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2\ell+1} \sum_{m} {}_{s_a} \mathbf{I}_{\ell m}^a \cdot \left({}_{s_b} \mathbf{I}_{\ell m}^b \right)^{\dagger} \tag{6}$$

The relation between the pseudo-power-spectrum and the true power spectrum C_ℓ^{ab} can be derived to be of the form

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\ell}^{ab} \rangle = \sum_{\ell'} \mathsf{M}_{\ell\ell'}^{s_a s_b} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\ell'}^{ab}, \tag{7}$$

where the mode-coupling matrix $\mathsf{M}^{s_a s_b}_{\ell \ell'}$ takes the form:

• Case $s_a = s_b = 0$:

$$\langle \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{T_a T_b} \rangle = \sum_{\ell'} M_{\ell \ell'}^{00} C_{\ell'}^{T_a T_b} \tag{8}$$

with

$$M_{\ell\ell'}^{00} = \frac{2\ell' + 1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell''} W_{\ell''}^{ab} \begin{pmatrix} \ell & \ell' & \ell'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2$$
 (9)

• Case $s_a = 0, s_b = 2$:

$$\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{T_a E_b} \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{T_a B_b} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle = \sum_{\ell'} \left(\begin{array}{c} M_{\ell\ell'}^{0+} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{\ell\ell'}^{0+} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} C_{\ell'}^{T_a E_b} \\ C_{\ell'}^{T_a B_b} \end{array} \right)$$
(10)

with

$$M_{\ell\ell'}^{0+} = \frac{2\ell'+1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell''} W_{\ell''}^{ab} \begin{pmatrix} \ell & \ell' & \ell'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell & \ell' & \ell'' \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (11)

• Case $s_a = 2$, $s_b = 2$:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{E_{a}E_{b}} \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{E_{a}B_{b}} \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{B_{a}B_{b}} \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{B_{a}B_{b}} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \sum_{\ell'} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\ell\ell'}^{++} & 0 & 0 & M_{\ell\ell'}^{--} \\ 0 & M_{\ell\ell'}^{++} & -M_{\ell\ell'}^{--} & 0 \\ 0 & -M_{\ell\ell'}^{--} & M_{\ell\ell'}^{++} & 0 \\ M_{\ell\ell'}^{--} & 0 & 0 & M_{\ell\ell'}^{++} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_{\ell'}^{E_{a}E_{b}} \\ C_{\ell'}^{E_{a}B_{b}} \\ C_{\ell'}^{B_{a}B_{b}} \\ C_{\ell'}^{B_{a}B_{b}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

with

$$M_{\ell\ell'}^{++} = \frac{2\ell' + 1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell''} W_{\ell''}^{ab} \begin{pmatrix} \ell & \ell' & \ell'' \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \frac{1 + (-1)^{\ell + \ell' + \ell''}}{2}$$
(13)

$$M_{\ell\ell'}^{--} = \frac{2\ell' + 1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell''} W_{\ell''}^{ab} \begin{pmatrix} \ell & \ell' & \ell'' \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \frac{1 - (-1)^{\ell + \ell' + \ell''}}{2}, \tag{14}$$

where in all these equations $W_{\ell''}^{ab}$ is the cross-spectrum of the weights map (without the $(2\ell+1)$ normalization):

$$W_{\ell}^{ab} \equiv \sum_{m} w_{\ell m}^{a} (w_{\ell m}^{b})^{*}. \tag{15}$$

Note that, in Eq. 7 one should add, on the right-hand side, the noise cross-power-spectrum:

$$\langle \tilde{\mathsf{N}}_{\ell}^{ab} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m} \langle \mathbf{N}_{\ell m}^{a} \cdot (\mathbf{N}_{\ell m}^{b})^{\dagger} \rangle \tag{16}$$

1.1 Beam

Adding the effect of a beam amounts to redefining:

$$\mathsf{M}_{\ell_1\ell_2}^{s_as_b} \to \mathsf{M}_{\ell_1\ell_2}^{s_as_b} b_{\ell_2}^{ab},$$
 (17)

where b_{ℓ}^{ab} is the product of the harmonic transform of the beams for maps a and b.

1.2 Bandpowers

Consider the case where you want to compute the power spectrum in band-powers given by

$$\mathbf{B}_{k}^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{\ell=\ell_{k}}^{\ell_{k}+N_{k}-1} f(\ell) \mathbf{C}_{\ell}^{ab}, \tag{18}$$

then Eq. 7 above becomes

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{k}^{ab} \rangle = \sum_{k'} \mathsf{M}_{kk'}^{B,s_{a}s_{b}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{k'}^{ab} + \langle \tilde{\mathsf{N}}_{k}^{B,ab} \rangle \tag{19}$$

where the binned coupling matrix M^{B,s_as_b} is

$$\mathsf{M}_{k_1,k_2}^{B,s_as_b} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{k_1}} \sum_{\ell_1=\ell_{k_1}}^{\ell_{k_1}+N_{k_1}-1} \sum_{\ell_2=\ell_{k_2}}^{\ell_{k_2}+N_{k_2}-1} \frac{f(\ell_1)}{f(\ell_2)} \mathsf{M}_{\ell_1\ell_2}^{s_as_b} \tag{20}$$

2 Minimum variance quadratic estimator

Let \mathbf{d} be the data, given as a pixelized full-sky map, with covariance matrix

$$\langle \mathbf{d} \, \mathbf{d}^T \rangle \equiv C(\hat{\mu}) \equiv S(\hat{\mu}) + N(\hat{\mu}) = \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell} \, P_{\ell}(\hat{\mu}) + N(\hat{\mu}), \tag{21}$$

where $\hat{\mu} = \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}^T$, \mathbf{n} is the vector of unit vectors containing the angular coordinates to each pixel and $P_{\ell}(x) \equiv (2\ell+1)L_{\ell}(x)/(4\pi)$, where L_{ℓ} are the Legendre polynomials. Note that we can expand P_{ℓ} as

$$P_{\ell}(\hat{\mu}) \equiv \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) \cdot Y_{\ell m}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{n}). \tag{22}$$

We will parametrize the power spectrum with a set of step functions, such that

$$C_{\ell} = \sum_{b} c_{b} \Theta_{b}(\ell), \quad \leftarrow \quad \Theta_{b}(\ell) = 1 \text{ if } \ell \in [\ell_{\min}^{b}, \ell_{\max}^{b}), \text{ 0 otherwise,}$$
 (23)

thus we can write

$$C(\hat{\mu}) = \sum_{b} c_b Q_b(\hat{\mu}) + N(\hat{\mu}), \text{ with } Q_b(\mu) = \sum_{\ell} \Theta_b(\ell) P_{\ell}(\mu).$$
 (24)

Let us write the most general quadratic estimator for the coefficients c_b :

$$\tilde{c}_b \equiv \mathbf{d}^T \hat{E}_b \mathbf{d} - B_b. \tag{25}$$

The mean value of \tilde{c}_b is:

$$\langle \tilde{c}_b \rangle = \sum_a c_a \text{Tr}(\hat{E}_b \, \hat{Q}_a) + \text{Tr}(\hat{E}_b \hat{N}) - B_b,$$
 (26)

and therefore we can remove the noise bias by defining

$$B_b \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{E}_b \hat{N}). \tag{27}$$

The covariance matrix of this estimator is:

$$\langle (\tilde{c}_a - \langle \tilde{c}_a \rangle) (\tilde{c}_b - \langle \tilde{c}_b \rangle) \rangle = 2 \text{Tr}(\hat{C} \hat{E}_a \hat{C} \hat{E}_b). \tag{28}$$

Minimizing the variance of \tilde{c}_a under the constrain that the coupling matrix $W_{ab} \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{E}_b \, \hat{Q}_a)$ have unit diagonal, we find the following minimization problem:

$$L = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{E}_b \hat{C} \hat{E}_b \hat{C} - 2(\lambda \hat{E}_b \hat{Q}_b - 1) \right], \tag{29}$$

where λ is the lagrange multiplier related to the constraint. The solution to this problem is:

$$\hat{E}_b = \frac{\hat{C}^{-1}\hat{Q}_b\hat{C}^{-1}}{\text{Tr}(\hat{C}^{-1}\hat{Q}_b\hat{C}^{-1}\hat{Q}_b)}.$$
(30)

Thus, a decoupled, unbiased and minimum-variance estimator, \hat{c}_b , for c_b can be found as:

$$\frac{\mathbf{d}^T \hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b \hat{C}^{-1} \mathbf{d} - \text{Tr}(\hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b^{-1} \hat{C}^{-1} \hat{N})}{\text{Tr}(\hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b \hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b)} = \sum_a \hat{c}_a \frac{\text{Tr}(\hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b \hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_a)}{\text{Tr}(\hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b \hat{C}^{-1} \hat{Q}_b)}.$$
(31)

Note that this estimator requires a guess for the covariance matrix of the data $C(\hat{\mu})$, and exact minimum variance will only be attained for the true covariance (which itself depends on the power spectrum coefficients c_b). The choice of prior covariance will define the type of estimator. Note that this formalism immediately encompasses cut-skies by setting to zero all elements of the full covariance matrix involving unobserved pixels (this would correspond to the limit of infinite uncorrelated noise for those pixels).