ENTERPRISE JAVA PROGRAMMING / PROGRAMMING II

MODULES CT545 / CT875, SEMESTER 2, ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY

Lecture 2a

Lecturer: Dr Matthias Nickles

TOPICS

► More about generics (generic programming) in Java

- Generic programming (aka "generics") allows for types parameters, a sort of placeholders for types
- ► The main benefits of generics are an increased level of type safety and the elimination of certain type casts
- ► Heavily used in Java and albeit not in identical form in many other object-oriented programming languages (such as C#), and missing from some others (e.g., C and Go), with very negative consequences for the programmer.

- Similar from the users' point of view, but not technically similar approaches are, e.g.,
 - ► Templates (C++)
 - Parametric polymorphism (Haskell, Scala)
- Generic programming can be seen as a form of polymorphism.
 However, generics are very different from polymorphism by method overriding

- Motivating example: Assume you want to implement an algorithm for sorting a list of items.
- ► The algorithm should work <u>independently</u> from the types of the list elements it should work with, e.g., numbers as well as with strings without any need to create two different versions of the same algorithm implementation (one for numbers, one for strings)
- We want to use the same piece of code for sorting all kinds of items (string, numbers, ...)
- ► Furthermore, we want the algorithm to be *type safe*: it should <u>not</u> be allowed to, e.g., compare a string with a number, and violations should be discovered <u>before</u> runtime. Java generics achieves this.

▶ But before we look at generics, we try to achieve one of the previously described goals (namely, the avoidance of code duplication) using known means (namely, class inheritance), just to provide some motivation for generics...

- ▶ Nothing new is involved in this "pseudo-generic" approach:
 - ► Challenge: a number of classes $g_{l..n}$ are identical except for one place in their code where a different type c_i is used within each g_i
 - ▶ In order to reduce the size of our program, we want to replace all these classes gi...n with a single class (type)
 - Solution: instead of the $g_{l..n}$, implement only <u>one</u> class g, and replace the c_i with a common <u>superclass</u> c of the c_i (class Object in the extreme case)
 - ► Sounds complicated, but it is just a precise formulation of a plain and simple use case of <u>inheritance</u>: a superclass can be used in place of its subclasses if none of the specific features of the subclasses are required

- ► Class g is then sometimes called pre-Java 5 generic class,
- ▶ But it's better to use the term "generic" only in connection with real generics (Java 5 or later)
- ► We will see that this works to some degree, but that it introduces problems, in particular wrt. type safety

Example: an implementation using a pre-Java 5 class MemoryCell:

```
1 // MemoryCell class
2 // Object read() --> Returns the stored value
3 // void write(Object x) --> x is stored
  public class MemoryCell
          // Public methods
      public Object read() { return storedValue; }
      public void write( Object x ) { storedValue = x; }
10
          // Private internal data representation
11
    private Object storedValue;
12
13 }
```

Example code in this lecture from Mark A. Weiss: Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis in Java

► The following slide just shows how we could apply this "pseudo-generic" class...

```
public class TestMemoryCell

public static void main( String [ ] args )

MemoryCell m = new MemoryCell();

m.write("37");

String val = (String) m.read();

System.out.println("Contents are: " + val );

System.out.println("Contents are: " + val );
```

- ► The methods in class MemoryCell allow us to store/retrieve values of multiple different types (i.e., classes) in/from simulated memory cells
- ▶ Because we wanted to create only a single class MemoryCell, we use superclass Object within MemoryCell as a placeholder for any type of the values which should be stored/retrieved in the cell.
- ► E.g., method void write (Object x) works with all kinds of types of x, because Object is the superclass of all classes in Java and can be used (here) in place of more specific subclasses

▶ In other words:

We simply utilized plain inheritance (here: from class Object)...

If we didn't have inheritance (super-/subclasses), we would need to create multiple variants of class MemoryCell (one for storing strings in memory cells, another class for storing integers in memory cells, yet another one for doubles...)

- ► The previous approach is very simple, but requires a superclass (like Object in the example before).
- ▶ Alternatively, be could use an interface instead of a superclass...

- ► The example on the next slide shows an example for this, again using pre-Java 5 / "pseudo-generic" code
- ► All objects within the array which is passed as argument to method findMax need to have a class which implements interface Comparable, in order to make these objects comparable
- findMax then simply returns the "largest" element in an array of objects
- ► For now, we can ignore the details of interface Comparable, we just look at its use
- ▶ In the example on the next slide, we use it in order to compare various geometric shapes...We assume that the shape-classes (e.g., Circle) all implement interface Comparable

```
class FindMaxDemo
 2
       /**
 3
        * Return max item in a.
        * Precondition: a.length > 0
 5
 6
       public static Comparable findMax( Comparable [ ] a )
 8
           int maxIndex = 0;
 9
10
           for( int i = 1; i < a.length; i++ )
11
               if( a[ i ].compareTo( a[ maxIndex ] ) > 0 )
12
                    maxIndex = i:
13
14
           return a[ maxIndex ];
15
       }
16
17
       /**
18
        * Test findMax on Shape and String objects.
19
20
       public static void main( String [ ] args )
21
22
           Shape [] sh1 = \{ new Circle( 2.0 ).
23
                             new Square(3.0),
24
                             new Rectangle(3.0, 4.0) };
25
26
           String [ ] st1 = { "Joe", "Bob", "Bill", "Zeke" };
27
28
29
           System.out.println( findMax( sh1 ) );
           System.out.println( findMax( st1 ) );
30
       }
31
32 }
```

- ▶ Again, if we couldn't use a common interface or a superclass for the items in the array in method findMax, we would need to create *multiple* versions of method findMax instead (one for finding the largest string in an array of strings, one for finding the largest number, ...!)
- ▶ A method like findMax is sometimes called a pre-Java 5 generic method. But again, it is better to avoid the terms "generic" for pre-Java 5 code.

- The approach seen on the previous slides using inheritance is extremely simple, but it comes at a high price:
- The use of a common superclass (or interface) impairs type safety, because it only sees the superclass (or interface), but not the specific types for which, e.g., findMax or MemoryCell are actually called
 - => type checking becomes unspecific
 - => less type safety
 - => a lot more prone to programming errors
- If, e.g., you call findMax for an array of strings, the type checker couldn't warn you in case the array passed to the method accidentally contains a number!
- Furthermore, in case you want to specifically use the result of this method as,
 e.g., a string, you would need to type-cast it to type String

▶ The much better approach:

Use real (Java >=5) generics...

- ▶ Java supports a special syntax for (real) generics
- ▶ "Generic" in Java >=5 means "parameterized by type parameters"

► Syntax:

```
class MyGenericClass<AnyType> {
    ...
}
    a type parameter
```

- ▶ AnyType is called the type parameter.
- ► Each time the class MyGenericClass is used (e.g., in order to create an object of this class), we can pass a concrete type for the type parameter.

Example:

```
MyGenericClass<String> myObject =
    new MyGenericClass<String>()
```

- ► The concrete type which is passed for the type parameter is called type argument (in the example above, the type argument is String)
- ► You can use any (unused) name for the type parameter, but it should start with an uppercase letter

- ► AnyType can be used inside of the body of class MyGenericClass as a "placeholder" for concrete types (that is, reference types)
- ▶ Inside of the body of the class, AnyType is called a type variable
- In the class body, the type variable can be used almost anywhere where a concrete type (class or interface name) could be used. This way, the same class works with multiple different concrete types represented by the type variable.
- ► Class MyGenericClass<AnyType> is called a generic class
- ► The class name should always be noted together with the <type parameter>, e.g., write
 - MyGenericClass<AnyType> instead of MyGenericClass (although the latter is also allowed by Java)
- ▶ Interfaces can also be generic (entirely analogously to classes)

Class MemoryCell again, but now as a generic class, where AnyType serves as a placeholder for concrete classes or interfaces:

type parameter

- ► As a well-known other example, consider the Java Collection class ArrayList (a class for resizable arrays)
- ► This class can be used as an ordinary class, but also in form of a generic class, which should <u>always</u> be preferred over the non-generic form!
- ► Old (non-generic) way of use, e.g.:

 ArrayList myListOfAnything = new ArrayList();
- New, generic way, where ArrayList<...> is a generic class:
 ArrayList<String> myListOfStrings =
 new ArrayList<String>();
- ▶ You can add and retrieve elements to/from this array-list like this:

- ▶ As you can see, the generic form contains more information for the type checker:
 - ArrayList<String> can contain only strings (and objects of subclasses of strings), not, e.g., numbers
- ► So the Java compiler (which does the type checking before runtime of the program) can show you an error in case you write by mistake, e.g., myListOfStrings.add(123);
- ► The type parameter of ArrayList can be instantiated with other types too, e.g., ArrayList<Integer> or ArrayList<Rectangle>

The generic version of interface Comparable:

```
now with a type
parameter

public interface Comparable<AnyType>

public int compareTo( AnyType other );

public int compareTo( AnyType other );
```

You would implement this interface using, e.g.,:

- ▶ Using (real, >=Java 5) generics provides the following benefits:
 - I) increase the *type safety* of the code (that's the main feature of Java generics), and
 - 2) provide higher comfort for the programmer by avoidance of type casts and code duplication
- ► With generics, many run-time type errors become compile-time errors, which are much easier to debug.
- ► Furthermore, error-prone type casting can be avoided because the types being used in the code are already more specific (so they don't need to be casted to subclasses)

► E.g., without generics, passing objects with an inappropriate (non-comparable) class to method compareTo(...) in the previous lecture's variant of interface Comparable would lead to a ClassCastException at runtime:

```
public interface Comparable {
    public int compareTo(Object o);
}
```

► Generics allow the compiler to detect such problems already before runtime ("statically")

- ► A generic class can basically be used like a normal class or interface, e.g.,
 - ▶ to create instances (objects) of this class, or
 - as type of a variable, or
 - ▶ as type of a method parameter or return value, or
 - to derive a subclass

▶ Remark: a generic class or interface can have multiple type parameters, e.g., MyGenericClass<TI,T2,T3> { ... }

▶ But, as said before, anywhere a generic class (or a generic interface) is used, the type parameter needs to be replaced with a concrete reference type (i.e., the name of a class or an interface) - the type argument.

Three further examples:

- MyGenericClass<String> obj = new MyGenericClass<String>();
- class AnotherClass extends MyGenericClass<Double> { ... }

void myMethod(int a1, MyGenericClass<String> a1, String a3) {...

► An example for wrong use:

```
MyGenericClass<String> obj = new MyGenericClass<Integer>();
```

► Type bounds allow to restrict the range of type parameters (i.e., it puts constraints on the concrete types that type parameter represents):

```
class MyGenC <TypeParameter extends Sup> ...

creates a generic class where any concrete type for
which TypeParameter stands must be either a subclass
of class Sup,
or the class for which TypeParameter stands must
implement interface Sup
```

- ► More complex type bounds exist (in the lecture we cover only the basic cases)
- Later, you will see examples for bounds. For the moment, you just need to know what "bounds" means

▶ One (wrong!) way to intuitively conceive Java generics would be to imagine that each time a generic class is used (e.g., if an object of this class is created), every occurrence of the type variable in the body of the generic class would be physically *replaced* with the respective concrete type...

```
E.g., AnyType being actually replaced by String in the body of
MyGenericClass if there is
MyGenericClass<String> obj = new MyGenericClass<String>();
elsewhere
```

► However, this is <u>not</u> what Java actually does... (but more or less to how C++ templates are implemented)

- As we have seen, the foremost purpose of generics over pseudo-generics is to avoid type errors at compile time
- In fact, after type checking at compile time, they are not needed anymore and therefore generics are then translated by the compiler into "ordinary" (non-generic/or pre-Java5-"generic") Java code, using an approach called type erasure...

- ▶ Slightly simplified description of type erasure:
 - I. The Java compiler (javac.exe) performs type checking Afterwards, it performs the actual type erasure:
 - 2. The compiler removes all type parameters
 - 3. It then replaces all type variables within the generic classes' body with their bounds, or with <code>Object</code> in case there is no bound (e.g., if the type parameter specification is <code><AnyType</code> extends <code>SuperClass></code>, <code>AnyType</code> is replaced with <code>SuperClass</code>)

- So what generic classes become after translation into simple Java looks not very different compared to the Pre-Java-5-"pseudo-generics" we have seen at the beginning of today's lecture (i.e., using superclasses or interfaces as types, instead of type variables)!
- ► However, the big difference is that the translation happens only after type checking. So type checking can still take advantage of the <typeParameter> information before the translation of the generics into simple Java code without generics
- In contrast to Java generics, C++ templates (which are similar to Java generics) are translated by creating a copy of the class for each instantiation of a type parameter)

As said before, a generic class can basically be used like a non-generic class, for example as the type of a method parameter.

► Example:

```
void myMethod(MyGenericClass<String> argument) {
    System.out.println("Argument = " + argument);
}
```

- ▶ In the headers of method declarations which <u>use</u> generic classes (or generic interfaces), we can optionally use wildcards ("?") for concrete types. Optionally, they can even have bounds. E.g.,
 - ▶ void myMethod(MyGenericClass<?> arg1, ...) {...
 - ? stands for an unknown type
 - ▶ void myMethod(MyGenericClass<? extends Sup> arg1, ...) {...
 - ? stands for a subclass/implementation of interface Sup, or for Sup itself.
 - void myMethod(MyGenericClass<? super Sub> arg1, ...) {...
 - ? stands for a superclass of Sub, or for Sub itself. Again, Sub could also be an interface.

► An concrete example for a type wildcard in a parameter declaration:

```
void myMethod(int a1, GenClass<? super Employee> a2, boolean a3) {
    ...
}
```

A valid call of this method would be myMethod(5, p, true);

If, e.g., p is an object of class GenClass<Person> and Person is a superclass of class Employee.

▶ Wildcards can also be used for return values, e.g., :

```
GenClass<? extends Employee> myMethod(int a1, boolean a2) {
    ...
    return objectSubEmployee;
}
```

► The meaning of the above is: method myMethod returns an object objectSubEmployee which has generic class GenClass<x> as type, where x is any subclass of Employee

► Wildcards for types can furthermore be used with variable declarations, like in

```
Collection <?> someCollection = new ArrayList <String>();
```

- Meaning: variable someCollection has a generic class as type.

 The generic class can be any Collection<x> where x stands for any class, e.g., String
- ► Use <?> with care (why...?)

- ► To see why such wildcards can be useful, we need to understand the important concept of covariance...
- ► The term "covariance" is typically introduced in the context of ordinary arrays (e.g., Integer a[50])...
- ► Covariant arrays means: arrays of subclasses are (in Java) type-compatible with arrays of superclasses: in a sense, it can be said that an array sub[] is a kind of "subclass" of an array sup[] if sub is a sub-class of sup.
- ▶ For example, (only!) if Employee is a subclass of Person,

```
Person[] array = new Employee[5]; compiles fine.
```

We could also pass <code>Employee[]</code> as an argument to a method which accepts <code>Person[]</code> as a parameter.

- ► But unfortunately a problem with covariance is that it can easily lead to type confusion at runtime:
- ▶ E.g., let Employee and Student be subclasses of Person. Then

```
Person[] array = new Employee[5];
array[0] = new Student(); compiles fine,
but leads to an ArrayStoreException error at runtime,
because array stores objects of type Employee, not
Student...
```

- ► To avoid such problems, <u>Java generics are not covariant</u>...
- ► Remark: That Java's arrays are covariant is widely considered a design mistake.

- It would for example <u>not</u> be possible to pass an ArrayList<Square> to the method shown on the next slide, even if Square is a subclass of Shape.
- ► In other words: Although Square is a sub-class of Shape,
 ArrayList<Square> is not a subclass of ArrayList<Shape>!
- So, although ordinary Java arrays are covariant, Java generics are <u>not</u> covariant but they are so-called invariant.
- This is true even for array-like collections such as ArrayList<...>

```
problematic
   public static double totalArea( ArrayList<Shape> arr )
       double total = 0;
       for( Shape s : arr )
           if( s != null )
6
                total += s.area();
8
       return total;
10
```

However, we can use the aforementioned wildcards in the method signature to carefully workaround this restriction:

```
public static double totalArea( ArrayList<? extends Shape> arr )

double total = 0;

for( Shape s : arr )
    if( s != null )
    total += s.area( );

return total;
}
```

- Another, albeit less important, form of generics besides generic classes and interfaces are generic static methods
- Like generic classes, generic static methods also allow for type parameters...
- ► Syntax:

```
static <TypeParameter> ReturnType methodName(...) {
   ...
}
```

(Don't confuse generic methods with the methods shown before which have wildcards like <?...> in their signatures - they previous methods weren't generic, they just made use of generic classes)

- ► Generic static methods can be used regardless of whether the class in which this method is declared is a generic class or an ordinary class.
- In contract to generic classes, if a generic static method is used (i.e., called), it is **not** required to provide a concrete type for the type parameter.
- Instead, the compiler infers the type argument automatically from the types of the actual arguments

 \blacktriangleright In the following example, the type parameter is T:

We could call this method with, e.g.,

```
fromArrayToCollection(new String[100], new ArrayList<String>());
```

► Using this call, T is assumed to stand for concrete type (class)

String. In particular, the green T in the method's body above now stands for String.

- ► The same effect would not be possible using wildcards
- ► E.g., the following would not work because the wildcard? is too unspecific. It does not allow the compiler to infer that c's add() method can add object o:

```
static void fromArrayToCollection(Object[] a, Collection<?> c) {
    for (Object o : a) {
        c.add(o); // Compile time error, because element type
        // of Collection<?> elements is ?, unknown
```

- At runtime, the concrete replacement for ? would be known (e.g., String if the method was called with a second argument of type Collection(String)), but since Java is a statically typed language, this would come too late for the (static) type checking Java performs...
- ▶ In contrast, generics (whether generic classes or generic methods) are resolved statically already.