Machine Learning for Data Science (CS4786) Lecture 13

Probabilistic Modelling, MLE Vs MAP Vs Bayesian, Latent Variables

Course Webpage:

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/Courses/cs4786/2016sp/

ANNOUNCEMENT

- Assignment P1 posted, due on thursday midnight.
- Office hours with TA are not for discussing P1
 (any question about P1: email me or as private question in Piazza)
- My office hours for tomorrow cancelled due to visit day, instead will have office hours on thursday, 3-4pm

T

CLUSTERING

- For arbitrary set of points, we can have either
 - Scale invariance
 - Consistency

OR

- Universality/Richness
- Assume structure or prior information on the set of points
- Assume we have set Θ of possible models and data is generated from one of these $\theta \in \Theta$:

$$(x_t, c_t) \sim P_{\theta}(|(x_1, c_1), \ldots, (x_{t-1}, c_{t-1}))$$

EXAMPLES

- Apple doesn't fall far from its tree model:
 - Each θ consists of position of initial trees $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and mixture distribution $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_K)$ where π_i is the probability with which we get tree of fruit i
 - At time *t* we generate a new tree as follows:
 - $c_t \sim \pi$
 - Parent_t ~ pick a parent tree uniformly from one of the c_t trees
 - $x_t \sim N(x_{\text{Parent}_t}, \Sigma)$
- Gaussian Mixture Model
 - Each θ consists of mixture distribution $\pi = (\pi_1, ..., \pi_K)$, means $\mu_1, ..., \mu_K \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance matrices $\Sigma_1, ..., \Sigma_K$
 - At time *t* we generate a new tree as follows:

$$c_t \sim \pi$$
, $x_t \sim N(\mu_{c_t}, \Sigma_{c_t})$

PROBABILISTIC MODELS

More generally:

- ⊖ consists of set of possible parameters
- We have a distribution P_{θ} over the data induced by each $\theta \in \Theta$
- Data is generated by one of the $\theta \in \Theta$
- Learning: Estimate value or distribution for $\theta^* \in \Theta$ given data

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD PRINCIPAL

Pick $\theta \in \Theta$ that maximizes probability of observation

Reasoning:

- One of the models in ⊖ is the correct one
- Given data we pick the one that best explains the observed data
- Equivalently pick the maximum likelihood estimator,

$$\theta_{MLE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \log P_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Often referred to as frequentist view

MAXIMUM A POSTERIORI

Pick $\theta \in \Theta$ that is most likely given data

Reasoning:

- Models are abstractions that capture our belief
- We update our belief based on observed data
- Given data we pick the model that we believe the most
- Pick θ that maximizes $\log P(\theta|x_1,\ldots,x_n)$

I want to say: Often referred to as Bayesian view

There are Bayesian and there Bayesians

MAXIMUM A POSTERIORI

Pick $\theta \in \Theta$ that is most likely given data

Maximize a posteriori probability of model given data

$$\theta_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} P(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}{\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \underbrace{P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) P(\theta)}_{\text{likelihood}} \underbrace{P(\theta)}_{\text{prior}}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \underbrace{\log P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) + \log P(\theta)}_{\text{log}}$$

EXAMPLE: GAUSSIAN MIXTURE MODEL

MLE:
$$\theta = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K), \pi$$

$$P_{\theta}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2*3.1415)^2 |\Sigma_i|}} \exp\left(-(x_t - \mu_i)^{\top} \Sigma_i (x_t - \mu_i)\right) \right)$$

MAP: with prior $\mu_i \sim N(0, \sigma I)$ and uniform prior on π

$$P(\theta|x_{1,...,n}) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2*3.1415)^{2}|\Sigma_{i}|}} \exp\left(-(x_{t} - \mu_{i})^{T} \Sigma_{i} (x_{t} - \mu_{i})\right) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4*3.1415)^{2}}} \exp\left(-\|\mu_{i}\|^{2}\right)$$

What after we pick $\theta^* \in \Theta$?

- \bullet $\,\theta^{*}$ provides us a model/distribution from which data is generated
- In clustering for example, we can compute $P_{\theta^*}(c_t|x_t)$
- Hence we could assign to x_t cluster id c_t that has the largest probability. Inference step.
- These are rough arguments

THE BAYESIAN CHOICE

Don't pick any $\theta^* \in \Theta$

- Model is simply an abstraction
- We have a prosteriori distribution over models, why pick one if in the end of the day we only want cluster assignments
- For each point find probability of cluster assignment we get by integrating over a posteriori probability of parameters θ
- We will come back to this later ...

LATENT VARIABLES

- We only observe locations of trees, we don't know which tree they are, ie. c_1, \ldots, c_n are not observable
- Unobserved variables are referred to as latent variables
- We only pick θ_{MLE} or θ_{MAP} that maximizes likelihood or a posteriori probability given observation

So why bother with the latent variables?

MLE FOR GMM

Let us consider the one dimensional case,

$$\log P_{\theta}(x_{1,...,n}) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 * 3.1415\sigma_{i}^{2}}} \exp\left(-(x_{t} - \mu_{i})^{2} / \sigma_{i}^{2}\right) \right)$$

Now consider the partial derivative w.r.t. μ_1 , we have:

$$\frac{\partial \log P_{\theta}(x_{1,...,n})}{\partial \mu_{1}} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{\frac{\pi_{1}}{\sigma_{1}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{t} - \mu_{1})^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{\pi_{i}}{\sigma_{i}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{t} - \mu_{i})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}\right)}$$

Even given all other parameters, optimizing w.r.t. just μ_1 is hard!

MLE FOR GMM

Say by some magic you knew cluster assignments, then

$$\log P_{\theta}((x_{t}, c_{t})_{1,...,n}) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{\pi_{c_{t}}}{\sqrt{2 * 3.1415\sigma_{c_{t}}^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x_{t} - \mu_{c_{t}})^{2}}{2\sigma_{c_{t}}^{2}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\log(\pi_{c_{t}}) - \log(2 * 3.1415 * \sigma_{c_{t}}^{2}) - \frac{(x_{t} - \mu_{c_{t}})^{2}}{2\sigma_{c_{t}}^{2}} \right)$$

Now consider the partial derivative w.r.t. μ_i , we have:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \log P_{\theta}((x_{t}, c_{t})_{1, \dots, n})}{\partial \mu_{i}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{i}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_{c_{t}}^{2}} (x_{t} - \mu_{c_{t}})^{2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{i}} \sum_{t: c_{t} = i} (x_{t} - \mu_{i})^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \sum_{t: c_{t} = i} (x_{t} - \mu_{i}) \end{split}$$

MLE FOR GMM

• Optimize for σ_i and π , what do you get?

TOWARDS EM ALGORITHM

- Say we are interested in either MLE or MAP estimators
- Latent variables can help, but we have a chicken and egg problem

Given all variables maximizing likelihood/a posteriori is easy

Given model parameter, optimizing distribution over the latent variables is easy