ELEMENTS BOOK 6


Similar Figures

"Οροι.

- α΄. "Όμοια σχήματα εὐθύγραμμά ἐστιν, ὅσα τάς τε γωνίας ἴσας ἔχει κατὰ μίαν καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον.
- β΄. Ἄχρον καὶ μέσον λόγον εὐθεῖα τετμῆσθαι λέγεται, ὅταν ἢ ὡς ἡ ὅλη πρὸς τὸ μεῖζον τμῆμα, οὕτως τὸ μεῖζον πρὸς τὸ ἔλαττὸν.
- γ΄. Ύψος ἐστὶ πάντος σχήματος ἡ ἀπὸ τῆς κορυφῆς ἐπὶ τὴν βάσιν κάθετος ἀγομένη.

α΄.

Τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ τὰ παραλληλόγραμμα τὰ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντα πρὸς ἄλληλά ἐστιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις.



Έστω τρίγωνα μὲν τὰ $AB\Gamma$, $A\Gamma\Delta$, παραλληλόγραμμα δὲ τὰ $E\Gamma$, ΓZ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος τὸ $A\Gamma$ · λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $B\Gamma$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$ βάσις, οὕτως τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $A\Gamma\Delta$ τρίγωνον, καὶ τὸ $E\Gamma$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓZ παραλληλόγραμμον.

Έκβεβλήσθω γὰρ ἡ $B\Delta$ ἐφ' ἐκάτερα τὰ μέρη ἐπὶ τὰ Θ , Λ σημεῖα, καὶ κείσθωσαν τῆ μὲν $B\Gamma$ βάσει ἴσαι [ὁσαιδηποτοῦν] αἱ BH, $H\Theta$, τῆ δὲ $\Gamma\Delta$ βάσει ἴσαι ὁσαιδηποτοῦν αἱ ΔK , $K\Lambda$, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ AH, $A\Theta$, AK, $A\Lambda$.


Καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσαι εἰσὶν αἱ ΓΒ, ΒΗ, ΗΘ ἀλλήλαις, ἴσα ἐστὶ καὶ τὰ ΑΘΗ, ΑΗΒ, ΑΒΓ τρίγωνα ἀλλήλοις. ὁσαπλασίων ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΘΓ βάσις τῆς ΒΓ βάσεως, τοσαυταπλάσιόν ἐστι καὶ τὸ ΑΘΓ τρίγωνον τοῦ ΑΒΓ τριγώνου. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ ὁσαπλασίων ἐστὶν ἡ ΛΓ βάσις τῆς ΓΔ βάσεως, τοσαυταπλάσιόν ἐστι καὶ τὸ ΑΛΓ τρίγωνον τοῦ ΑΓΔ τριγώνου καὶ εἰ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ΘΓ βάσις τῆ ΓΛ βάσει, ἴσον ἐστὶ καὶ τὸ ΑΘΓ τρίγωνον τοῦ ΑΓΛ τριγώνω, καὶ εἰ ὑπερέχει ἡ ΘΓ βάσις τῆς ΓΛ βάσεως, ὑπερέχει καὶ τὸ ΑΘΓ τρίγωνον τοῦ ΑΓΛ τριγώνου, καὶ εἰ ἐλάσσων, ἔλασσον. τεσσάρων δὴ ὄντων μεγεθῶν δύο μὲν βάσεων τῶν ΒΓ, ΓΔ, δύο δὲ τριγώνων τῶν ΑΒΓ, ΑΓΔ εἴληπται ἰσάκις πολλαπλάσια τῆς μὲν ΒΓ βάσεως καὶ τοῦ ΑΒΓ τριγώνου ἤ τε ΘΓ βάσις καὶ τὸ ΑΘΓ τρίγωνον, τῆς δὲ ΓΔ βάσεως καὶ τοῦ ΑΔΓ τριγώνου ἄλλα,

Definitions

- 1. Similar rectilinear figures are those (which) have (their) angles separately equal and the (corresponding) sides about the equal angles proportional.
- 2. A straight-line is said to have been cut in extreme and mean ratio when as the whole is to the greater segment so the greater (segment is) to the lesser.
- 3. The height of any figure is the (straight-line) drawn from the vertex perpendicular to the base.

Proposition 1[†]

Triangles and parallelograms which are of the same height are to one another as their bases.



Let ABC and ACD be triangles, and EC and CF parallelograms, of the same height AC. I say that as base BC is to base CD, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle ACD, and parallelogram EC to parallelogram CF.

For let the (straight-line) BD have been produced in each direction to points H and L, and let [any number] (of straight-lines) BG and GH be made equal to base BC, and any number (of straight-lines) DK and KL equal to base CD. And let AG, AH, AK, and AL have been joined.

And since CB, BG, and GH are equal to one another, triangles AHG, AGB, and ABC are also equal to one another [Prop. 1.38]. Thus, as many times as base HC is (divisible by) base BC, so many times is triangle AHC also (divisible) by triangle ABC. So, for the same (reasons), as many times as base LC is (divisible) by base CD, so many times is triangle ALC also (divisible) by triangle ACD. And if base HC is equal to base CL then triangle AHC is also equal to triangle ACL [Prop. 1.38]. And if base HC exceeds base CL then triangle AHC also exceeds triangle ACL. ‡ And if (HC is) less (than CL then AHC is also) less (than ACL). So, their being four magnitudes, two bases, BC and CD, and two trian-

ὰ ἔτυχεν, ἰσάχις πολλαπλάσια ἥ τε $\Lambda\Gamma$ βάσις καὶ τὸ $A\Lambda\Gamma$ τρίγωνον· καὶ δέδεικται, ὅτι, εἰ ὑπερέχει ἡ $\Theta\Gamma$ βάσις τῆς $\Gamma\Lambda$ βάσεως, ὑπερέχει καὶ τὸ $A\Theta\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τοῦ $A\Lambda\Gamma$ τριγώνου, καί εἰ ἴση, ἴσον, καὶ εἰ ἔλασσων, ἔλασσον· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $B\Gamma$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $A\Gamma\Delta$ τρίγωνον.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ τοῦ μὲν ΑΒΓ τριγώνου διπλάσιόν ἐστι τὸ ΕΓ παραλληλόγραμμον, τοῦ δὲ ΑΓΔ τριγώνου διπλάσιόν ἐστι τὸ ΖΓ παραλληλόγραμμον, τὰ δὲ μέρη τοῖς ὡσαύτως πολλαπλασίοις τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΓΔ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΕΓ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΖΓ παραλληλόγραμμον. ἐπεὶ οὕν ἐδείχθη, ὡς μὲν ἡ ΒΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΓΔ τρίγωνον, ὡς δὲ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΓΖ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓΖ παραλληλόγραμμον, καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΕΓ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΖΓ παραλληλόγραμμον.


Τὰ ἄρα τρίγωνα καὶ τὰ παραλληλόγραμμα τὰ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντα πρὸς ἄλληλά ἐστιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

gles, ABC and ACD, equal multiples have been taken of base BC and triangle ABC—(namely), base HC and triangle AHC—and other random equal multiples of base CD and triangle ADC—(namely), base LC and triangle ALC. And it has been shown that if base HC exceeds base CL then triangle AHC also exceeds triangle ALC, and if (HC is) equal (to CL then AHC is also) equal (to ALC), and if (HC is) less (than CL then AHC is also) less (than ALC). Thus, as base BC is to base CD, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle ACD [Def. 5.5]. And since parallelogram EC is double triangle ABC, and parallelogram FC is double triangle ACD [Prop. 1.34], and parts have the same ratio as similar multiples [Prop. 5.15], thus as triangle ABC is to triangle ACD, so parallelogram EC(is) to parallelogram FC. In fact, since it was shown that as base BC (is) to CD, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle ACD, and as triangle ABC (is) to triangle ACD, so parallelogram EC (is) to parallelogram CF, thus, also, as base BC (is) to base CD, so parallelogram EC (is) to parallelogram FC [Prop. 5.11].

Thus, triangles and parallelograms which are of the same height are to one another as their bases. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

β΄.


Έὰν τριγώνου παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν ἀχθῆ τις εὐθεῖα, ἀνάλογον τεμεῖ τὰς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευράς καὶ ἐὰν αἱ τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραὶ ἀνάλογον τμηθῶσιν, ἡ ἐπὶ τὰς τομὰς ἐπι-ζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα παρὰ τὴν λοιπὴν ἔσται τοῦ τριγώνου πλευράν.



Τριγώνου γὰρ τοῦ $AB\Gamma$ παράλληλος μιᾳ τῶν πλευρῶν τῆ $B\Gamma$ ήχθω ἡ ΔE^{\cdot} λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔA , οὕτως ἡ ΓE πρὸς τὴν EA.

Proposition 2

If some straight-line is drawn parallel to one of the sides of a triangle then it will cut the (other) sides of the triangle proportionally. And if (two of) the sides of a triangle are cut proportionally then the straight-line joining the cutting (points) will be parallel to the remaining side of the triangle.



For let DE have been drawn parallel to one of the sides BC of triangle ABC. I say that as BD is to DA, so CE (is) to EA.

[†] As is easily demonstrated, this proposition holds even when the triangles, or parallelograms, do not share a common side, and/or are not right-angled.

[‡] This is a straight-forward generalization of Prop. 1.38.

Έπεζεύχθωσαν γὰρ αἱ ΒΕ, ΓΔ.

Ἰσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον τῷ ΓΔΕ τριγώνῳ· ἐπὶ γὰρ τῆς αὐτῆς βάσεως ἐστι τῆς ΔΕ καὶ ἐν ταῖς αὐταῖς παραλλήλοις ταῖς ΔΕ, ΒΓ· ἄλλο δέ τι τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον. τὰ δὲ ἴσα πρὸς τὸ αὐτὸ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ [τρίγωνον], οὕτως τὸ ΓΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον. αλλ' ὡς μὲν τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΑ· ὑπὸ γὰρ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντα τὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ Ε ἐπὶ τὴν ΑΒ κάθετον ἀγομένην πρὸς ἄλληλά εἰσιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ ὡς τὸ ΓΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ.

Άλλὰ δὴ αἱ τοῦ $AB\Gamma$ τριγώνου πλευραὶ αἱ AB, $A\Gamma$ ἀνάλογον τετμήσθωσαν, ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔA , οὕτως ἡ ΓE πρὸς τὴν EA, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ ΔE · λέγω, ὅτι παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ ΔE τῆ $B\Gamma$.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ, ἀλλ' ὡς μὲν ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΑ, οὕτως τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΓΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ, οὕτως τὸ ΓΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον, καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΓΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΓΔΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΔΕ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον. ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΔΕ τρίγωνον τῷ ΓΔΕ τριγώνω· καί εἰσιν ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῆς βάσεως τῆς ΔΕ. τὰ δὲ ἴσα τρίγωνα καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῆς βάσεως ὅντα καὶ ἐν ταῖς αὐταῖς παραλλήλοις ἐστίν. παράλληλος ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΔΕ τῆ ΒΓ.

Έὰν ἄρα τριγώνου παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν ἀχθῆ τις εὐθεῖα, ἀνάλογον τεμεῖ τὰς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευράς καὶ ἐὰν αἱ τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραὶ ἀνάλογον τμηθῶσιν, ἡ ἐπὶ τὰς τομὰς ἐπιζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα παρὰ τὴν λοιπὴν ἔσται τοῦ τριγώνου πλευράν ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

γ'.

Έὰν τριγώνου ἡ γωνία δίχα τμηθῆ, ἡ δὲ τέμνουσα τὴν γωνίαν εὐθεῖα τέμνη καὶ τὴν βάσιν, τὰ τῆς βάσεως τμήματα τὸν αὐτὸν ἔξει λόγον ταῖς λοιπαῖς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραῖς· καὶ ἐὰν τὰ τῆς βάσεως τμήματα τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχη λόγον ταῖς λοιπαῖς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραῖς, ἡ ἀπὸ τῆς κορυφῆς ἐπὶ τὴν τομὴν ἐπιζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα δίχα τεμεῖ τὴν τοῦ τριγώνου γωνίαν.

Έστω τρίγωνον τὸ $AB\Gamma$, καὶ τετμήσθω ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ γωνία δίχα ὑπὸ τῆς $A\Delta$ εὐθείας· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$.

Ήχθω γὰρ διὰ τοῦ Γ τῆ ΔA παράλληλος ή ΓE , καὶ διαχθεῖσα ή BA συμπιπτέτω αὐτῆ κατὰ τὸ E.

For let BE and CD have been joined.

Thus, triangle BDE is equal to triangle CDE. For they are on the same base DE and between the same parallels DE and BC [Prop. 1.38]. And ADE is some other triangle. And equal (magnitudes) have the same ratio to the same (magnitude) [Prop. 5.7]. Thus, as triangle BDE is to [triangle] ADE, so triangle CDE (is) to triangle ADE. But, as triangle BDE (is) to triangle ADE, so (is) BD to DA. For, having the same height—(namely), the (straight-line) drawn from E perpendicular to E0 they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 6.1]. So, for the same (reasons), as triangle E1 (is) to E3 as E4 (is) to E5 and, thus, as E6 (is) to E6 (is) to E7 [Prop. 5.11].

And so, let the sides AB and AC of triangle ABC have been cut proportionally (such that) as BD (is) to DA, so CE (is) to EA. And let DE have been joined. I say that DE is parallel to BC.

For, by the same construction, since as BD is to DA, so CE (is) to EA, but as BD (is) to DA, so triangle BDE (is) to triangle ADE, and as CE (is) to EA, so triangle CDE (is) to triangle ADE [Prop. 6.1], thus, also, as triangle BDE (is) to triangle ADE, so triangle CDE (is) to triangle ADE [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, triangles BDE and CDE each have the same ratio to ADE. Thus, triangle BDE is equal to triangle CDE [Prop. 5.9]. And they are on the same base DE. And equal triangles, which are also on the same base, are also between the same parallels [Prop. 1.39]. Thus, DE is parallel to BC.


Thus, if some straight-line is drawn parallel to one of the sides of a triangle, then it will cut the (other) sides of the triangle proportionally. And if (two of) the sides of a triangle are cut proportionally, then the straight-line joining the cutting (points) will be parallel to the remaining side of the triangle. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 3

If an angle of a triangle is cut in half, and the straightline cutting the angle also cuts the base, then the segments of the base will have the same ratio as the remaining sides of the triangle. And if the segments of the base have the same ratio as the remaining sides of the triangle, then the straight-line joining the vertex to the cutting (point) will cut the angle of the triangle in half.

Let ABC be a triangle. And let the angle BAC have been cut in half by the straight-line AD. I say that as BD is to CD, so BA (is) to AC.

For let CE have been drawn through (point) C parallel to DA. And, BA being drawn through, let it meet




Καὶ ἐπεὶ εἰς παραλλήλους τὰς ΑΔ, ΕΓ εὐθεῖα ἐνέπεσεν ἡ ΑΓ, ἡ ἄρα ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ γωνία ἴση ἐστὶ τῆ ὑπὸ ΓΑΔ. ἀλλὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΓΑΔ τῆ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ ὑπόχειται ἴση· καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ ἄρα τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ ἐστιν ἴση. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ εἰς παραλλήλους τὰς ΑΔ, ΕΓ εὐθεῖα ἐνέπεσεν ἡ ΒΑΕ, ἡ ἐχτὸς γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ ἴση ἐστὶ τῆ ἐντὸς τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΕΓ. ἐδείχθη δὲ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ τῆ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ ἴση· καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ ἄρα γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΕΓ ἐστιν ἴση· ὤστε καὶ πλευρὰ ἡ ΑΕ πλευρᾶ τῆ ΑΓ ἐστιν ἴση. καὶ ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ ΒΓΕ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν ΕΓ ῆχται ἡ ΑΔ, ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΕ. ἴση δὲ ἡ ΑΕ τῆ ΑΓ· ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΓ.

Άλλὰ δὴ ἔστω ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Delta\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ $A\Delta$ · λέγω, ὅτι δίχα τέτμηται ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ γωνία ὑπὸ τῆς $A\Delta$ εὐθείας.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Delta\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Delta\Gamma$, οὕτως ἐστὶν ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν AE· τριγώνου γὰρ τοῦ $B\Gamma E$ παρὰ μίαν τὴν $E\Gamma$ ῆκται ἡ $A\Delta$ · καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν AE. ἴση ἄρα ἡ $A\Gamma$ τῆ AE· ἄστε καὶ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ $AE\Gamma$ τῆ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma E$ ἐστιν ἴση. ἀλλὶ ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ $AE\Gamma$ τῆ ἐκτὸς τῆ ὑπὸ $BA\Delta$ [ἐστιν] ἴση, ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma E$ τῆ ἐναλλὰξ τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma A\Delta$ ἐστιν ἴση· καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Delta$ ἄρα τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma A\Delta$ ἐστιν ἴση. ἡ ἄρα ὑπὸ $\Gamma A\Lambda$ ἐστιν ίση. ἡ ἄρα ὑπὸ $\Gamma A\Lambda$ εὐθείας.

Έὰν ἄρα τριγώνου ἡ γωνία δίχα τμηθῆ, ἡ δὲ τέμνουσα τὴν γωνίαν εὐθεῖα τέμνη καὶ τὴν βάσιν, τὰ τῆς βάσεως τμήματα τὸν αὐτὸν ἔξει λόγον ταῖς λοιπαῖς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραῖς· καὶ ἐὰν τὰ τῆς βάσεως τμήματα τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχη λόγον ταῖς λοιπαῖς τοῦ τριγώνου πλευραῖς, ἡ ἀπὸ τῆς κορυφῆς ἐπὶ τὴν τομὴν ἐπιζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα δίχα τέμνει τὴν τοῦ τριγώνου γωνίαν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

(CE) at (point) E.



And since the straight-line AC falls across the parallel (straight-lines) AD and EC, angle ACE is thus equal to CAD [Prop. 1.29]. But, (angle) CAD is assumed (to be) equal to BAD. Thus, (angle) BAD is also equal to ACE. Again, since the straight-line BAE falls across the parallel (straight-lines) AD and EC, the external angle BAD is equal to the internal (angle) AEC [Prop. 1.29]. And (angle) ACE was also shown (to be) equal to BAD. Thus, angle ACE is also equal to AEC. And, hence, side AE is equal to side AC [Prop. 1.6]. And since AD has been drawn parallel to one of the sides EC of triangle BCE, thus, proportionally, as BD is to DC, so BA (is) to AE [Prop. 6.2]. And AE (is) equal to AC. Thus, as BD (is) to DC, so BA (is) to AC.

And so, let BD be to DC, as BA (is) to AC. And let AD have been joined. I say that angle BAC has been cut in half by the straight-line AD.

For, by the same construction, since as BD is to DC, so BA (is) to AC, then also as BD (is) to DC, so BA is to AE. For AD has been drawn parallel to one (of the sides) EC of triangle BCE [Prop. 6.2]. Thus, also, as BA (is) to AC, so BA (is) to AE [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, AC (is) equal to AE [Prop. 5.9]. And, hence, angle AEC is equal to ACE [Prop. 1.5]. But, AEC [is] equal to the external (angle) BAD, and ACE is equal to the alternate (angle) CAD [Prop. 1.29]. Thus, (angle) CAD is also equal to CAD. Thus, angle CAD has been cut in half by the straight-line CAD.


Thus, if an angle of a triangle is cut in half, and the straight-line cutting the angle also cuts the base, then the segments of the base will have the same ratio as the remaining sides of the triangle. And if the segments of the base have the same ratio as the remaining sides of the triangle, then the straight-line joining the vertex to the cutting (point) will cut the angle of the triangle in half. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ The fact that the two straight-lines meet follows because the sum of ACE and CAE is less than two right-angles, as can easily be demonstrated.

See Post. 5.

 δ' .

Τῶν ἰσογωνίων τριγώνων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας καὶ ὁμόλογοι αἱ ὑπὸ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας ὑποτείνουσαι.



Έστω ἰσογώνια τρίγωνα τὰ $AB\Gamma$, $\Delta\Gamma Ε$ ἴσην ἔχοντα τὴν μὲν ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνίαν τῆ ὑπὸ $\Delta\Gamma Ε$, τὴν δὲ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma \Delta Ε$ καὶ ἔτι τὴν ὑπὸ $A\Gamma Β$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma Ε \Delta \cdot$ λέγω, ὅτι τῶν $AB\Gamma$, $\Delta\Gamma Ε$ τριγώνων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αὶ πλευραὶ αὶ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας καὶ ὁμόλογοι αἱ ὑπὸ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας ὑποτείνουσαι.


Κείσθω γὰρ ἐπ' εὐθείας ἡ $B\Gamma$ τῆ ΓE . καὶ ἐπεὶ αἱ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$, $A\Gamma B$ γωνίαι δύο ὀρθῶν ἐλάττονές εἰσιν, ἴση δὲ ἡ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma B$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\Delta E\Gamma$, αἱ ἄρα ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$, $\Delta E\Gamma$ δύο ὀρθῶν ἐλάττονές εἰσιν· αἱ BA, $E\Delta$ ἄρα ἐκβαλλόμεναι συμπεσοῦνται. ἐκβεβλήσθωσαν καὶ συμπιπτέτωσαν κατὰ τὸ Z.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΔΓΕ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ, παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ BZ τῆ $\mathrm{\Gamma}\Delta$. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΒ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔΕΓ, παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ ΑΓ τῆ ΖΕ. παραλληλόγραμμον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΖΑΓΔ: ἴση ἄρα ἡ μὲν ΖΑ τῆ ΔΓ, ή δὲ ΑΓ τῆ ΖΔ. καὶ ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ ΖΒΕ παρὰ μίαν τὴν ΖΕ ἥκται ἡ ΑΓ, ἐστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΖ, οὕτως ἡ $B\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓE . ἴση δὲ ἡ AZ τῆ $\Gamma \Delta$ · ὡς ἄρα ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ, οὕτως ή ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ὡς ή ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ παράλληλός έστιν ή ΓΔ τῆ ΒΖ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ή ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ, οὕτως ή $Z\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔE . ἴση δὲ ἡ $Z\Delta$ τῆ $A\Gamma$ · ὡς ἄρα ἡ $B\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΔΕ, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν Γ ${
m A}$, οὕτως ἡ Γ ${
m E}$ πρὸς τὴν ${
m E}\Delta$. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἐδείχ ${
m \vartheta}$ η ώς μὲν ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΔ, δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ $\Gamma\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔE .

Τῶν ἄρα ἰσογωνίων τριγώνων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας καὶ ὁμόλογοι αἱ ὑπὸ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας ὑποτείνουσαι· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

Proposition 4

In equiangular triangles the sides about the equal angles are proportional, and those (sides) subtending equal angles correspond.



Let ABC and DCE be equiangular triangles, having angle ABC equal to DCE, and (angle) BAC to CDE, and, further, (angle) ACB to CED. I say that in triangles ABC and DCE the sides about the equal angles are proportional, and those (sides) subtending equal angles correspond.

Let BC be placed straight-on to CE. And since angles ABC and ACB are less than two right-angles [Prop 1.17], and ACB (is) equal to DEC, thus ABC and DEC are less than two right-angles. Thus, BA and ED, being produced, will meet [C.N. 5]. Let them have been produced, and let them meet at (point) F.


And since angle DCE is equal to ABC, BF is parallel to CD [Prop. 1.28]. Again, since (angle) ACB is equal to DEC, AC is parallel to FE [Prop. 1.28]. Thus, FACDis a parallelogram. Thus, FA is equal to DC, and AC to FD [Prop. 1.34]. And since AC has been drawn parallel to one (of the sides) FE of triangle FBE, thus as BAis to AF, so BC (is) to CE [Prop. 6.2]. And AF (is) equal to CD. Thus, as BA (is) to CD, so BC (is) to CE, and, alternately, as AB (is) to BC, so DC (is) to CE[Prop. 5.16]. Again, since CD is parallel to BF, thus as BC (is) to CE, so FD (is) to DE [Prop. 6.2]. And FD(is) equal to AC. Thus, as BC is to CE, so AC (is) to DE, and, alternately, as BC (is) to CA, so CE (is) to ED [Prop. 6.2]. Therefore, since it was shown that as AB (is) to BC, so DC (is) to CE, and as BC (is) to CA, so CE (is) to ED, thus, via equality, as BA (is) to AC, so CD (is) to DE [Prop. 5.22].

Thus, in equiangular triangles the sides about the equal angles are proportional, and those (sides) subtend-

ing equal angles correspond. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ε΄.

Έὰν δύο τρίγωνα τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχῃ, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, ὑφ᾽ ᾶς αἱ ὁμόλογοι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν.




Έστω δύο τρίγωνα τὰ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχοντα, ὡς μὲν τὴν AB πρὸς τὴν $B\Gamma$, οὕτως τὴν ΔE πρὸς τὴν EZ, ὡς δὲ τὴν $B\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓA , οὕτως τὴν ΓA πρὸς τὴν ΓA , οὕτως τὴν ΓA πρὸς τὴν ΓA , οὕτως τὴν ΓA πρὸς τὴν ΓA τοῦς ωνίας τὴν ΓA τρίγωνον τῷ ΓA τριγώνῳ καὶ ἴσας ἔξουσι τὰς γωνίας, ὑφ᾽ ᾶς αἱ ὁμόλογοι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν, τὴν μὲν ὑπὸ ΓA τῆ ὑπὸ ΓA

Συνεστάτω γὰρ πρὸς τῆ EZ εὐθεία καὶ τοῖς πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείοις τοῖς E, Z τῆ μὲν ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνία ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ZEH, τῆ δὲ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma B$ ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ EZH· λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ A λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ H ἐστιν ἴση.

"Ισογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΕΗΖ [τριγώνω]. τῶν ἄρα ΑΒΓ, ΕΗΖ τριγώνων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραὶ αί περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας καὶ ὁμόλογοι αἱ ὑπὸ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας ύποτείνουσαι έστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΓ, [οὕτως] ή ΗΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΓ, οὕτως ύπόχειται ή ΔΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ· ὡς ἄρα ή ΔΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, οὕτως ή ΗΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ. ἑκατέρα ἄρα τῶν ΔΕ, ΗΕ πρὸς τὴν EZ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον· ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ τῆ HE . διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ΔZ τῆ HZ ἐστιν ἴση. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἴση ἐστὶν $\dot{\eta}$ ΔΕ τ $\ddot{\eta}$ ΕΗ, κοιν $\dot{\eta}$ δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\eta}$ ΕΖ, δύο δ $\dot{\eta}$ αί ΔΕ, ΕΖ δυσὶ ταῖς ΗΕ, ${
m EZ}$ ἴσαι εἰσίν· χαὶ βάσις ἡ $\Delta {
m Z}$ βάσει τῆ ${
m ZH}$ [ἐστιν] ἴση· γωνία ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΔΕΖ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΗΕΖ ἐστιν ἴση, καὶ τὸ ΔΕΖ τρίγωνον τῷ ΗΕΖ τριγώνῳ ἴσον, καὶ αἱ λοιπαὶ γωνίαι ταῖς λοιπαῖς γωνίαις ἴσαι, ὑφ' ἃς αἱ ἴσαι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΔΖΕ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ HZE, ἡ δὲ ύπὸ $\rm E\Delta Z$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\rm EHZ$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ $\rm ZE\Delta$ τῆ ὑπὸ ΗΕΖ ἐστιν ἴση, ἀλλ' ἡ ὑπὸ ΗΕΖ τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ, καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ

Proposition 5

If two triangles have proportional sides then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles which corresponding sides subtend equal.



Let ABC and DEF be two triangles having proportional sides, (so that) as AB (is) to BC, so DE (is) to EF, and as BC (is) to CA, so EF (is) to FD, and, further, as BA (is) to AC, so ED (is) to DF. I say that triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF, and (that the triangles) will have the angles which corresponding sides subtend equal. (That is), (angle) ABC (equal) to DEF, BCA to EFD, and, further, BAC to EDF.

For let (angle) FEG, equal to angle ABC, and (angle) EFG, equal to ACB, have been constructed on the straight-line EF at the points E and F on it (respectively) [Prop. 1.23]. Thus, the remaining (angle) at A is equal to the remaining (angle) at G [Prop. 1.32].


Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to [triangle] EGF. Thus, for triangles ABC and EGF, the sides about the equal angles are proportional, and (those) sides subtending equal angles correspond [Prop. 6.4]. Thus, as ABis to BC, [so] GE (is) to EF. But, as AB (is) to BC, so, it was assumed, (is) DE to EF. Thus, as DE (is) to EF, so GE (is) to EF [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, DE and GEeach have the same ratio to EF. Thus, DE is equal to GE [Prop. 5.9]. So, for the same (reasons), DF is also equal to GF. Therefore, since DE is equal to EG, and EF (is) common, the two (sides) DE, EF are equal to the two (sides) GE, EF (respectively). And base DF[is] equal to base FG. Thus, angle DEF is equal to angle GEF [Prop. 1.8], and triangle DEF (is) equal to triangle GEF, and the remaining angles (are) equal to the remaining angles which the equal sides subtend [Prop. 1.4]. Thus, angle DFE is also equal to GFE, and

 $AB\Gamma$ ἄρα γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ ἐστιν ἴση. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma B$ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔZE ἐστιν ἴση, καὶ ἔτι ἡ πρὸς τῷ A τῆ πρὸς τῷ $\Delta \cdot$ ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ τριγώνῳ.

Έὰν ἄρα δύο τρίγωνα τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχη, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, ὑφ³ ἀς αἱ ὁμόλογοι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

٣'.

Έὰν δύο τρίγωνα μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνία ἴσην ἔχη, περὶ δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, ὑφ᾽ ᾶς αἱ ὁμόλογοι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν.



Έστω δύο τρίγωνα τὰ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ μίαν γωνίαν τὴν ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ μιᾶ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$ ἴσην ἔχοντα, περὶ δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ὡς τὴν BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως τὴν $E\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔZ · λέγω, ὅτι ἰσογώνιόν ἐστι τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ τριγώνῳ καὶ ἴσην ἔξει τὴν ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνίαν τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ , τὴν δὲ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma B$ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔZE .

Συνεστάτω γὰρ πρὸς τῆ ΔZ εὐθεία καὶ τοῖς πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείοις τοῖς Δ , Z ὁποτέρα μὲν τῶν ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$, $E\Delta Z$ ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ $Z\Delta H$, τῆ δὲ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma B$ ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ΔZH · λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ B γωνία λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ H ἴση ἑστίν.


Ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΗΖ τριγώνω. ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ $H\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔZ . ὑπόκειται δὲ καὶ ὡς ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ $E\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔZ . ὑπόκειται δὲ καὶ ὡς ἡ $E\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔZ , οὕτως ἡ $E\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔZ . ἴση ἄρα ἡ $E\Delta$ τῆ ΔH · καὶ κοινὴ ἡ ΔZ . δύο δὴ αἱ $E\Delta$, ΔZ δυσὶ ταῖς $H\Delta$, ΔZ ἴσας εἰσίν· καὶ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ $H\Delta Z$ [ἐστιν] ἴση· βάσις ἄρα ἡ EZ βάσει τῆ HZ ἐστιν ἴση, καὶ τὸ ΔEZ τρίγωνον τῷ $H\Delta Z$ τριγώνω ἴσον ἐστίν, καὶ αἱ λοιπαὶ γωνίαι ταῖς λοιπαῖς γωνίαις ἴσας ἔσονται, ὑφ᾽ ἃς ἴσας πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΔZH τῆ ὑπο ΔZE , ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ ΔHZ

(angle) EDF to EGF. And since (angle) FED is equal to GEF, and (angle) GEF to ABC, angle ABC is thus also equal to DEF. So, for the same (reasons), (angle) ACB is also equal to DFE, and, further, the (angle) at A to the (angle) at D. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF.

Thus, if two triangles have proportional sides then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles which corresponding sides subtend equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 6

If two triangles have one angle equal to one angle, and the sides about the equal angles proportional, then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles which corresponding sides subtend equal.



Let ABC and DEF be two triangles having one angle, BAC, equal to one angle, EDF (respectively), and the sides about the equal angles proportional, (so that) as BA (is) to AC, so ED (is) to DF. I say that triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF, and will have angle ABC equal to DEF, and (angle) ACB to DFE.

For let (angle) FDG, equal to each of BAC and EDF, and (angle) DFG, equal to ACB, have been constructed on the straight-line AF at the points D and F on it (respectively) [Prop. 1.23]. Thus, the remaining angle at B is equal to the remaining angle at G [Prop. 1.32].


Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DGF. Thus, proportionally, as BA (is) to AC, so GD (is) to DF [Prop. 6.4]. And it was also assumed that as BA is) to AC, so ED (is) to DF. And, thus, as ED (is) to DF, so GD (is) to DF [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, ED (is) equal to DG [Prop. 5.9]. And DF (is) common. So, the two (sides) ED, DF are equal to the two (sides) GD, DF (respectively). And angle EDF [is] equal to angle GDF. Thus, base EF is equal to base GF, and triangle DEF is equal to triangle GDF, and the remaining angles

τῆ ὑπὸ Δ EZ. ἀλλ' ἡ ὑπὸ Δ ZH τῆ ὑπὸ AΓΒ ἐστιν ἴση· καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ AΓΒ ἄρα τῆ ὑπὸ Δ ZE ἐστιν ἴση. ὑπόκειται δὲ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ BAΓ τῆ ὑπὸ EΔZ ἴση· καὶ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ B λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ E ἴση ἐστίν· ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABΓ τρίγωνον τῷ Δ EZ τριγώνῳ.

Έὰν ἄρα δύο τρίγωνα μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνία ἴσην ἔχη, περὶ δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, ὑφ᾽ ὰς αἱ ὁμόλογοι πλευραὶ ὑποτείνουσιν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖζαι.

ζ΄.

Έὰν δύο τρίγωνα μίαν γωνίαν μιᾳ γωνία ἴσην ἔχη, περὶ δὲ ἄλλας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν ἑκατέραν ἄμα ἤτοι ἐλάσσονα ἢ μὴ ἐλάσσονα ὀρθῆς, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, περὶ ᾶς ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραί.



Έστω δύο τρίγωνα τὰ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνία ἴσην ἔχοντα τὴν ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ τῆ ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$, περὶ δὲ ἄλλας γωνίας τὰς ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ὡς τὴν AB πρὸς τὴν $B\Gamma$, οὕτως τὴν ΔE πρὸς τὴν EZ, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν τῶν πρὸς τοῖς Γ , Z πρότερον ἑκατέραν ἄμα ἐλάσσονα ὀρθῆς· λέγω, ὅτι ἰσογώνιόν ἐστι τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ τριγώνῳ, καὶ ἴση ἔσται ἡ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ , καὶ λοιπὴ δηλονότι ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Z ἴση.

Εἰ γὰρ ἄνισός ἐστιν ἡ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ , μία αὐτῶν μείζων ἐστίν. ἔστω μείζων ἡ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$. καὶ συνεστάτω πρὸς τῆ AB εὐθεία καὶ τῷ πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείω τῷ B τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ γωνία ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ABH.


Καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν A γωνία τῆ Δ , ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ ABH τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ , λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ AHB λοιπῆ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔZE ἐστιν ἴση. ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABH τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ

will be equal to the remaining angles which the equal sides subtend [Prop. 1.4]. Thus, (angle) DFG is equal to DFE, and (angle) DGF to DEF. But, (angle) DFG is equal to ACB. Thus, (angle) ACB is also equal to DFE. And (angle) BAC was also assumed (to be) equal to EDF. Thus, the remaining (angle) at B is equal to the remaining (angle) at E [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF.

Thus, if two triangles have one angle equal to one angle, and the sides about the equal angles proportional, then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles which corresponding sides subtend equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 7

If two triangles have one angle equal to one angle, and the sides about other angles proportional, and the remaining angles either both less than, or both not less than, right-angles, then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles about which the sides are proportional equal.



Let ABC and DEF be two triangles having one angle, BAC, equal to one angle, EDF (respectively), and the sides about (some) other angles, ABC and DEF (respectively), proportional, (so that) as AB (is) to BC, so DE (is) to EF, and the remaining (angles) at C and F, first of all, both less than right-angles. I say that triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF, and (that) angle ABC will be equal to DEF, and (that) the remaining (angle) at C (will be) manifestly equal to the remaining (angle) at F.

For if angle ABC is not equal to (angle) DEF then one of them is greater. Let ABC be greater. And let (angle) ABG, equal to (angle) DEF, have been constructed on the straight-line AB at the point B on it [Prop. 1.23].

And since angle A is equal to (angle) D, and (angle) ABG to DEF, the remaining (angle) AGB is thus equal

τριγώνω. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν BH, οὕτως ἡ ΔE πρὸς τὴν EZ. ὡς δὲ ἡ ΔE πρὸς τὴν EZ, [οὕτως] ὑπόχειται ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $B\Gamma$ ἡ AB ἄρα πρὸς ἑχατέραν τῶν $B\Gamma$, BH τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον ἴση ἄρα ἡ $B\Gamma$ τῆ BH. ἄστε καὶ γωνία ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$ ἐστιν ἴση. ἐλάττων δὲ ὀρθῆς ὑπόχειται ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ · ἐλάττων ἄρα ἐστὶν ὀρθῆς καὶ ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$ ἄστε ἡ ἐφεξῆς αὐτῆ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ AHB μείζων ἐστὶν ὀρθῆς. καὶ ἐδείχθη ἴση οὕσα τῆ πρὸς τῷ Z · καὶ ἡ πρὸς τῷ Z ἄρα μείζων ἐστὶν ὀρθῆς. ὑπόχειται δὲ ἐλάσσων ὀρθῆς · ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἄτοπον. Οὐχ ἄρα ἄνισός ἐστιν ἡ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ · ἴση ἄρα. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ πρὸς τῷ Z ἴση τῆ πρὸς τῷ Δ · καὶ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Z ἴση ἐστίν. ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ τριγώνω.

Άλλὰ δὴ πάλιν ὑποχείσθω ἐχατέρα τῶν πρὸς τοῖς Γ, Z μὴ ἐλάσσων ὀρθῆς· λέγω πάλιν, ὅτι χαὶ οὕτως ἐστὶν ἰσογώνιον τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔEZ τριγώνω.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων ὁμοίως δείξομεν, ὅτι ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ $B\Gamma$ τῆ BH· ὤστε καὶ γωνία ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ τῆ ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$ ἴση ἐστίν. οὐκ ἐλάττων δὲ ὀρθῆς ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ · οὐκ ἐλάττων ἄρα ὀρθῆς οὐδὲ ἡ ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$. τριγώνου δὴ τοῦ $BH\Gamma$ αἱ δύο γωνίαι δύο ὀρθῶν οὔκ εἰσιν ἐλάττονες· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα πάλιν ἄνισός ἐστιν ἡ ὑπὸ $AB\Gamma$ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔEZ · ἴση ἄρα. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ πρὸς τῷ Λ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Λ ἴση· λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ Γ λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Γ ἴση ἐστίν. ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ Γ τρίγωνον τῷ Γ Γ τριγώνο.

Έὰν ἄρα δύο τρίγωνα μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνία ἴσην ἔχη, περὶ δὲ ἄλλας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν ἑκατέραν ἄμα ἐλάττονα ἢ μὴ ἐλάττονα ὀρθῆς, ἰσογώνια ἔσται τὰ τρίγωνα καὶ ἴσας ἔξει τὰς γωνίας, περὶ ἃς ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραί· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

η'.

Έὰν ἐν ὀρθογωνίω τριγώνω ἀπό τῆς ὀρθῆς γωνίας ἐπὶ τὴν βάσιν κάθετος ἀχθῆ, τὰ πρὸς τῆ καθέτω τρίγωνα ὅμοιά ἐστι τῷ τε ὅλω καὶ ἀλλήλοις.

Έστω τρίγωνον ὀρθογώνιον τὸ $AB\Gamma$ ὀρθὴν ἔχον τὴν ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ γωνίαν, καὶ ἤχθω ἀπὸ τοῦ A ἐπὶ τὴν $B\Gamma$ κάθετος ἡ $A\Delta$ · λέγω, ὅτι ὅμοιόν ἐστιν ἑκάτερον τῶν $AB\Delta$, $A\Delta\Gamma$

to the remaining (angle) DFE [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABG is equiangular to triangle DEF. Thus, as AB is to BG, so DE (is) to EF [Prop. 6.4]. And as DE (is) to EF, [so] it was assumed (is) AB to BC. Thus, AB has the same ratio to each of BC and BG [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, BC (is) equal to BG [Prop. 5.9]. And, hence, the angle at C is equal to angle BGC [Prop. 1.5]. And the angle at C was assumed (to be) less than a right-angle. Thus, (angle) BGC is also less than a right-angle. Hence, the adjacent angle to it, AGB, is greater than a right-angle [Prop. 1.13]. And (AGB) was shown to be equal to the (angle) at F. Thus, the (angle) at F is also greater than a right-angle. But it was assumed (to be) less than a rightangle. The very thing is absurd. Thus, angle ABC is not unequal to (angle) DEF. Thus, (it is) equal. And the (angle) at A is also equal to the (angle) at D. And thus the remaining (angle) at C is equal to the remaining (angle) at F [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF.

But, again, let each of the (angles) at C and F be assumed (to be) not less than a right-angle. I say, again, that triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF in this case also.

For, with the same construction, we can similarly show that BC is equal to BG. Hence, also, the angle at C is equal to (angle) BGC. And the (angle) at C (is) not less than a right-angle. Thus, BGC (is) not less than a right-angle either. So, in triangle BGC the (sum of) two angles is not less than two right-angles. The very thing is impossible [Prop. 1.17]. Thus, again, angle ABC is not unequal to DEF. Thus, (it is) equal. And the (angle) at A is also equal to the (angle) at D. Thus, the remaining (angle) at C is equal to the remaining (angle) at F [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle DEF.


Thus, if two triangles have one angle equal to one angle, and the sides about other angles proportional, and the remaining angles both less than, or both not less than, right-angles, then the triangles will be equiangular, and will have the angles about which the sides (are) proportional equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 8

If, in a right-angled triangle, a (straight-line) is drawn from the right-angle perpendicular to the base then the triangles around the perpendicular are similar to the whole (triangle), and to one another.

Let ABC be a right-angled triangle having the angle BAC a right-angle, and let AD have been drawn from

τριγώνων ὅλω τῷ ΑΒΓ καὶ ἔτι ἀλλήλοις.




Έπεὶ γὰρ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΔΒ. ὀρθὴ γὰρ ἑκατέρα καὶ κοινὴ τῶν δύο τριγώνων τοῦ τε ΑΒΓ καὶ τοῦ ΑΒΔ ἡ πρὸς τῷ Β, λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΒ λοιπῆ τῆ ύπο ${
m BA}\Delta$ ἐστιν ἴση· ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ${
m AB}\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ $AB\Delta$ τριγώνῳ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $B\Gamma$ ὑποτείνουσα τὴν όρθην τοῦ ΑΒΓ τριγώνου πρὸς την ΒΑ ὑποτείνουσαν την όρθην τοῦ ΑΒΔ τριγώνου, οὕτως αὐτη ή ΑΒ ὑποτείνουσα τὴν πρὸς τῷ Γ γωνίαν τοῦ ΑΒΓ τριγώνου πρὸς τὴν ΒΔ ύποτείνουσαν τὴν ἴσην τὴν ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ τοῦ ΑΒΔ τριγώνου, καὶ ἔτι ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ ὑποτείνουσαν τὴν πρὸς τῷ Β γωνίαν κοινὴν τῶν δύο τριγώνων. τὸ ΑΒΓ ἄρα τρίγωνον τῷ $AB\Delta$ τριγώνῳ ἰσογώνιόν τέ ἐστι καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας πλευράς ἀνάλογον ἔχει. ὅμοιον ἄμα [ἐστὶ] τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ $AB\Delta$ τριγώνῳ. ὁμοίως δὴ δείξομεν, ὅτι καὶ τῷ ΑΔΓ τριγώνῳ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον ἑκάτερον ἄρα τῶν ΑΒΔ, ΑΔΓ [τριγώνων] ὅμοιόν ἐστιν ὅλῳ τῷ ΑΒΓ.

Λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἀλλήλοις ἐστὶν ὅμοια τὰ $AB\Delta, \, A\Delta\Gamma$ τρίγωνα.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὀρθὴ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΔΑ ὀρθῆ τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΔΓ ἐστιν ἴση, ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Γ ἐδείχθη ἴση, καὶ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πρὸς τῷ Β λοιπῆ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔΑΓ ἐστιν ἴση ἱσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΔ τρίγωνον τῷ ΑΔΓ τριγώνω. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΔ τοῦ ΑΒΔ τριγώνου ὑποτείνουσαν τὴν πρὸς τῷ Γ ἴσην τῆ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ, οὕτως αὐτὴ ἡ ΑΔ τοῦ ΑΒΔ τριγώνου ὑποτείνουσαν τὴν πρὸς τῷ Γ ἴσην τῆ ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ, οὕτως αὐτὴ ἡ ΑΔ τοῦ ΑΒΔ τριγώνου ὑποτείνουσαν τὴν πρὸς τῷ Β γωνίαν πρὸς τὴν ΔΓ ὑποτείνουσαν τὴν ὑπὸ ΔΑΓ τοῦ ΑΔΓ τριγώνου ἴσην τῆ πρὸς τῷ Β, καὶ ἔτι ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΓ ὑποτείνουσαι τὰς ὀρθάς· ὄμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΔ τρίγωνον τῷ ΑΔΓ τριγώνω.

Ἐὰν ἄρα ἐν ὀρθογωνίω τριγώνω ἀπὸ τῆς ὀρθῆς γωνίας ἐπὶ τὴν βάσιν κάθετος ἀχθῆ, τὰ πρὸς τῆ καθέτω τρίγωνα ὅμοιά ἐστι τῷ τε ὅλω καὶ ἀλλήλοις [ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι].

A, perpendicular to BC [Prop. 1.12]. I say that triangles ABD and ADC are each similar to the whole (triangle) ABC and, further, to one another.



For since (angle) BAC is equal to ADB—for each (are) right-angles—and the (angle) at B (is) common to the two triangles ABC and ABD, the remaining (angle) ACB is thus equal to the remaining (angle) BAD[Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle ABD. Thus, as BC, subtending the right-angle in triangle ABC, is to BA, subtending the right-angle in triangle ABD, so the same AB, subtending the angle at Cin triangle ABC, (is) to BD, subtending the equal (angle) BAD in triangle ABD, and, further, (so is) AC to AD, (both) subtending the angle at B common to the two triangles [Prop. 6.4]. Thus, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle ABD, and has the sides about the equal angles proportional. Thus, triangle ABC [is] similar to triangle ABD [Def. 6.1]. So, similarly, we can show that triangle ABC is also similar to triangle ADC. Thus, [triangles] ABD and ADC are each similar to the whole (triangle) ABC.

So I say that triangles ABD and ADC are also similar to one another.

For since the right-angle BDA is equal to the right-angle ADC, and, indeed, (angle) BAD was also shown (to be) equal to the (angle) at C, thus the remaining (angle) at B is also equal to the remaining (angle) DAC [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABD is equiangular to triangle ADC. Thus, as BD, subtending (angle) BAD in triangle ABD, is to DA, subtending the (angle) at C in triangle ADC, (which is) equal to (angle) BAD, so (is) the same AD, subtending the angle at B in triangle ABD, to DC, subtending (angle) DAC in triangle ADC, (which is) equal to the (angle) at B, and, further, (so is) BA to AC, (each) subtending right-angles [Prop. 6.4]. Thus, triangle ABD is similar to triangle ADC [Def. 6.1].

Thus, if, in a right-angled triangle, a (straight-line) is drawn from the right-angle perpendicular to the base


Πόρισμα.

Έχ δὴ τούτου φανερόν, ὅτι ἐὰν ἐν ὀρθογωνίω τριγώνω ἀπὸ τῆς ὀρθῆς γωνάις ἐπὶ τὴν βάσις κάθετος ἀχθῆ, ἡ ἀχθεῖσα τῶν τῆς βάσεως τμημάτων μέση ἀνάλογόν ἐστιν. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

† In other words, the perpendicular is the geometric mean of the pieces.

 ϑ' .

Τῆς δοθείσης εὐθείας τὸ προσταχθὲν μέρος ἀφελεῖν.



Έστω ή δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ή AB· δεῖ δὴ τῆς AB τὸ προσταχθὲν μέρος ἀφελεῖν.

Έπιτετάχθω δὴ τὸ τρίτον. [καὶ] διήθχω τις ἀπὸ τοῦ A εὐθεῖα ἡ $A\Gamma$ γωνίαν περιέχουσα μετὰ τῆς AB τυχοῦσαν· καὶ εἰλήφθω τυχὸν σημεῖον ἐπὶ τῆς $A\Gamma$ τὸ Δ , καὶ κείσθωσαν τῆ $A\Delta$ ἴσαι αἱ ΔE , $E\Gamma$. καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ $B\Gamma$, καὶ διὰ τοῦ Δ παράλληλος αὐτῆ ἤχθω ἡ ΔZ .

Έπεὶ οὖν τριγώνου τοῦ $AB\Gamma$ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν $B\Gamma$ ῆκται ἡ $Z\Delta$, ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $\Gamma\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ΔA , οὕτως ἡ BZ πρὸς τὴν ZA. διπλῆ δὲ ἡ $\Gamma\Delta$ τῆς ΔA · διπλῆ ἄρα καὶ ἡ BZ τῆς ZA· τριπλῆ ἄρα ἡ BA τῆς AZ.

Tῆς ἄρα δοθείσης εὐθείας τῆς AB τὸ ἐπιταχθὲν τρίτον μέρος ἀφήρηται τὸ $AZ^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

ι'.

Τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν ἄτμητον τῆ δοθείση τετμημένη ὁμοίως τεμεῖν.


then the triangles around the perpendicular are similar to the whole (triangle), and to one another. [(Which is) the very thing it was required to show.]

Corollary

So (it is) clear, from this, that if, in a right-angled triangle, a (straight-line) is drawn from the right-angle perpendicular to the base then the (straight-line so) drawn is in mean proportion to the pieces of the base.[†] (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 9

To cut off a prescribed part from a given straight-line.



Let AB be the given straight-line. So it is required to cut off a prescribed part from AB.


So let a third (part) have been prescribed. [And] let some straight-line AC have been drawn from (point) A, encompassing a random angle with AB. And let a random point D have been taken on AC. And let DE and EC be made equal to AD [Prop. 1.3]. And let BC have been joined. And let DF have been drawn through D parallel to it [Prop. 1.31].

Therefore, since FD has been drawn parallel to one of the sides, BC, of triangle ABC, then, proportionally, as CD is to DA, so BF (is) to FA [Prop. 6.2]. And CD (is) double DA. Thus, BF (is) also double FA. Thus, BA (is) triple AF.

Thus, the prescribed third part, AF, has been cut off from the given straight-line, AB. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

Proposition 10

To cut a given uncut straight-line similarly to a given cut (straight-line).



Έστω ή μὲν δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ἄτμητος ή AB, ή δὲ τετμημένη ή $A\Gamma$ κατὰ τὰ Δ , E σημεῖα, καὶ κείσθωσαν ὥστε γωνίαν τυχοῦσαν περιέχειν, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ή ΓB , καὶ διὰ τῶν Δ , E τῆ $B\Gamma$ παράλληλοι ήχθωσαν αί ΔZ , EH, διὰ δὲ τοῦ Δ τῆ AB παράλληλος ήχθω ή $\Delta \Theta K$.

Παραλληλόγραμμον ἄρα ἐστὶν ἑκάτερον τῶν $Z\Theta$, ΘB · ἴση ἄρα ἡ μὲν $\Delta\Theta$ τῆ ZH, ἡ δὲ ΘK τῆ HB. καὶ ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ $\Delta K\Gamma$ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν $K\Gamma$ εὐθεῖα ἤκται ἡ ΘE , ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΓE πρὸς τὴν $E\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ $K\Theta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Theta\Delta$. ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν $K\Theta$ τῆ BH, ἡ δὲ $\Theta\Delta$ τῆ HZ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΓE πρὸς τὴν $E\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ BH πρὸς τὴν AB παλιν, ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ AB παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν AB μέν AB πρὸς τὴν AB σῦτως ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν AB πρὸς τὴν AB πρὸς τὴν AB σῦτως ἡ AB σῦτως ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν AB σῦτως ἡ AB σῦτὸς τὴν AB σῦτως ἡ AB σῦτὸς τὴν AB σῦτως ἡ AB σῦτὸς τὴν AB σῦτὸς AB σῦτὸς τὴν AB σῦτὸς AB σῦτὸς τὴν AB σῦτὸς AB σῦτὸς


Ή ἄρα δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ἄτμητος ἡ AB τῆ δοθείση εὐθεία τετμημένη τῆ $A\Gamma$ ὁμοίως τέτμηται· ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι·

ια'.

Δύο δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν τρίτην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν.

Έστωσαν αἱ δοθεῖσαι [δύο εὐθεῖαι] αἱ BA, $A\Gamma$ καὶ κείσθωσαν γωνίαν περιέχουσαι τυχοῦσαν. δεῖ δὴ τῶν BA, $A\Gamma$ τρίτην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν. ἐκβεβλήσθωσαν γὰρ ἐπὶ τὰ Δ , E σημεῖα, καὶ κείσθω τῆ $A\Gamma$ ἴση ἡ $B\Delta$, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ $B\Gamma$, καὶ διὰ τοῦ Δ παράλληλος αὐτῆ ἤχθω ἡ ΔE .

Έπεὶ οὖν τριγώνου τοῦ ΑΔΕ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν ΔΕ ἤκται ἡ ΒΓ, ἀνάλογόν ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΔ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ. ἴση δὲ ἡ ΒΔ τῆ ΑΓ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΑΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ.



Let AB be the given uncut straight-line, and AC a (straight-line) cut at points D and E, and let (AC) be laid down so as to encompass a random angle (with AB). And let CB have been joined. And let DF and EG have been drawn through (points) D and E (respectively), parallel to BC, and let DHK have been drawn through (point) D, parallel to AB [Prop. 1.31].

Thus, FH and HB are each parallelograms. Thus, DH (is) equal to FG, and HK to GB [Prop. 1.34]. And since the straight-line HE has been drawn parallel to one of the sides, KC, of triangle DKC, thus, proportionally, as CE is to ED, so KH (is) to HD [Prop. 6.2]. And KH (is) equal to BG, and HD to GF. Thus, as CE is to ED, so BG (is) to GF. Again, since FD has been drawn parallel to one of the sides, GE, of triangle AGE, thus, proportionally, as ED is to DA, so GF (is) to FA [Prop. 6.2]. And it was also shown that as CE (is) to ED, so BG (is) to GF. Thus, as CE is to ED, so BG (is) to GF, and as ED (is) to DA, so GF (is) to FA.


Thus, the given uncut straight-line, AB, has been cut similarly to the given cut straight-line, AC. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

Proposition 11

To find a third (straight-line) proportional to two given straight-lines.

Let BA and AC be the [two] given [straight-lines], and let them be laid down encompassing a random angle. So it is required to find a third (straight-line) proportional to BA and AC. For let (BA and AC) have been produced to points D and E (respectively), and let BD be made equal to AC [Prop. 1.3]. And let BC have been joined. And let DE have been drawn through (point) D parallel to it [Prop. 1.31].


Therefore, since BC has been drawn parallel to one of the sides DE of triangle ADE, proportionally, as AB is to BD, so AC (is) to CE [Prop. 6.2]. And BD (is) equal



 Δ ύο ἄρα δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν τῶν $AB, A\Gamma$ τρίτη ἀνάλογον αὐταῖς προσεύρηται ἡ ΓE^{\cdot} ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

ιβ΄

Τριῶν δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν τετάρτην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν.




Έστωσαν αἱ δοθεῖσαι τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι αἱ $A,\,B,\,\Gamma^\cdot$ δεῖ δὴ τῶν $A,\,B,\,\Gamma$ τετράτην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν.

Έχχείσθωσαν δύο εὐθεῖαι αἱ ΔE , ΔZ γωνίαν περιέχουςαι [τυχοῦσαν] τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$ · καὶ κείσθω τῆ μὲν A ἴση ἡ ΔH , τῆ δὲ B ἴση ἡ HE, καὶ ἔτι τῆ Γ ἴση ἡ $\Delta \Theta$ · καὶ ἐπιζευχθείσης τῆς $H\Theta$ παράλληλος αὐτῆ ἤχθω διὰ τοῦ E ἡ EZ.

Έπεὶ οὖν τριγώνου τοῦ Δ EZ παρὰ μίαν τὴν EZ ἤχται ἡ $H\Theta$, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΔH πρὸς τὴν HE, οὕτως ἡ $\Delta \Theta$ πρὸς τὴν Θ Z. ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν ΔH τῆ A, ἡ δὲ HE τῆ B, ἡ δὲ $\Delta \Theta$ τῆ Γ · ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ Γ πρὸς τὴν Θ Z.

Τριῶν ἄρα δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν τῶν $A,\ B,\ \Gamma$ τετάρτη ἀνάλογον προσεύρηται ἡ ΘZ^{\cdot} ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.


to AC. Thus, as AB is to AC, so AC (is) to CE.



Thus, a third (straight-line), CE, has been found (which is) proportional to the two given straight-lines, AB and AC. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

Proposition 12

To find a fourth (straight-line) proportional to three given straight-lines.




Let A, B, and C be the three given straight-lines. So it is required to find a fourth (straight-line) proportional to A, B, and C.

Let the two straight-lines DE and DF be set out encompassing the [random] angle EDF. And let DG be made equal to A, and GE to B, and, further, DH to C [Prop. 1.3]. And GH being joined, let EF have been drawn through (point) E parallel to it [Prop. 1.31].

Therefore, since GH has been drawn parallel to one of the sides EF of triangle DEF, thus as DG is to GE, so DH (is) to HF [Prop. 6.2]. And DG (is) equal to A, and GE to B, and DH to C. Thus, as A is to B, so C (is)

ιγ΄.

Δύο δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν μέσην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν.



Έστωσαν αί δοθεῖσαι δύο εὐθεῖαι αί $AB, B\Gamma$ δεῖ δὴ τῶν $AB, B\Gamma$ μέσην ἀνάλογον προσευρεῖν.

Κείσθωσαν ἐπ' εὐθείας, καὶ γεγράφθω ἐπὶ τῆς $A\Gamma$ ἡμικύκλιον τὸ $A\Delta\Gamma$, καὶ ἤχθω ἀπὸ τοῦ B σημείου τῆ $A\Gamma$ εὐθεία πρὸς ὀρθὰς ἡ BA, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ $A\Delta$, $\Delta\Gamma$.

Έπεὶ ἐν ἡμικυκλίῳ γωνία ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ $A\Delta\Gamma$, ὀρθή ἐστιν. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἐν ὀρθογωνίῳ τριγώνῳ τῷ $A\Delta\Gamma$ ἀπὸ τῆς ὀρθῆς γωνίας ἐπὶ τὴν βάσιν κάθετος ἤκται ἡ ΔB , ἡ ΔB ἄρα τῶν τῆς βάσεως τμημάτων τῶν AB, $B\Gamma$ μέση ἀνάλογόν ἐστιν.


 Δ ύο ἄρα δοθεισῶν εὐθειῶν τῶν AB, $B\Gamma$ μέση ἀνάλογον προσεύρηται ἡ ΔB · ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

to HF.

Thus, a fourth (straight-line), HF, has been found (which is) proportional to the three given straight-lines, A, B, and C. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

Proposition 13

To find the (straight-line) in mean proportion to two given straight-lines. †



Let AB and BC be the two given straight-lines. So it is required to find the (straight-line) in mean proportion to AB and BC.

Let (AB and BC) be laid down straight-on (with respect to one another), and let the semi-circle ADC have been drawn on AC [Prop. 1.10]. And let BD have been drawn from (point) B, at right-angles to AC [Prop. 1.11]. And let AD and DC have been joined.

And since ADC is an angle in a semi-circle, it is a right-angle [Prop. 3.31]. And since, in the right-angled triangle ADC, the (straight-line) DB has been drawn from the right-angle perpendicular to the base, DB is thus the mean proportional to the pieces of the base, AB and BC [Prop. 6.8 corr.].

Thus, DB has been found (which is) in mean proportion to the two given straight-lines, AB and BC. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

ιδ'.

Τῶν ἴσων τε καὶ ἴσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας· καὶ ὧν ἰσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα.


Έστω ἴσα τε καὶ ἰσογώνια παραλληλόγραμμα τὰ AB, $B\Gamma$ ἴσας ἔχοντα τὰς πρὸς τῷ B γωνίας, καὶ κείσθωσαν ἐπ' εὐθείας αἱ ΔB , BE ἐπ' εὐθείας ἄρα εἰσὶ καὶ αἱ ZB, BH. λέγω, ὅτι τῶν AB, $B\Gamma$ ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, τουτέστιν, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΔB πρὸς τὴν BE, οὕτως ἡ HB πρὸς τὴν BZ.

Proposition 14

In equal and equiangular parallelograms the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional. And those equiangular parallelograms in which the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional are equal

Let AB and BC be equal and equiangular parallelograms having the angles at B equal. And let DB and BE be laid down straight-on (with respect to one another). Thus, FB and BG are also straight-on (with respect to one another) [Prop. 1.14]. I say that the sides of AB and

[†] In other words, to find the geometric mean of two given straight-lines.



Συμπεπληρώσθω γὰρ τὸ ΖΕ παραλληλόγραμμον. ἐπεὶ οὕν ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ΒΓ παραλληλογράμμω, ἄλλο δέ τι τὸ ΖΕ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ, οὕτως τὸ ΒΓ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ. ἀλλ᾽ ὡς μὲν τὸ ΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΕ, ὡς δὲ τὸ ΒΓ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΖ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΔΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΖ. τῶν ἄρα ΑΒ, ΒΓ παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας.

Άλλὰ δὴ ἔστω ὡς ἡ ΔB πρὸς τὴν BE, οὕτως ἡ HB πρὸς τὴν BZ· λέγω, ὅτι ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ AB παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ $B\Gamma$ παραλληλογράμμω.

Έπεὶ γάρ ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΔΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΖ, ἀλλ᾽ ὡς μὲν ἡ ΔΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΕ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ παραλληλόγραμμον, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΗΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΖ, οὕτως τὸ ΒΓ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ παραλληλόγραμμον, καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ, οὕτως τὸ ΒΓ πρὸς τὸ ΖΕ ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ΒΓ παραλληλογράμμω.


Τῶν ἄρα ἴσων τε καὶ ἰσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας· καὶ ὧν ἰσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

ιε΄.

Τῶν ἴσων καὶ μίαν μιᾳ ἴσην ἐχόντων γωνίαν τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας· καὶ ὧν μίαν μιᾳ ἴσην ἐχόντων γωνίαν τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα.

Έστω ἴσα τρίγωνα τὰ ΑΒΓ, ΑΔΕ μίαν μιᾶ ἴσην ἔχοντα γωνίαν τὴν ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ τῆ ὑπὸ ΔΑΕ· λέγω, ὅτι τῶν ΑΒΓ, ΑΔΕ τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, τουτέστιν, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΓΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, οὕτως

BC about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional, that is to say, that as DB is to BE, so GB (is) to BF.



For let the parallelogram FE have been completed. Therefore, since parallelogram AB is equal to parallelogram BC, and FE (is) some other (parallelogram), thus as (parallelogram) AB is to FE, so (parallelogram) BC (is) to FE [Prop. 5.7]. But, as (parallelogram) AB (is) to FE, so DB (is) to BE, and as (parallelogram) BC (is) to FE, so GB (is) to BF [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, also, as DB (is) to BE, so GB (is) to BF. Thus, in parallelograms AB and BC the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional.

And so, let DB be to BE, as GB (is) to BF. I say that parallelogram AB is equal to parallelogram BC.

For since as DB is to BE, so GB (is) to BF, but as DB (is) to BE, so parallelogram AB (is) to parallelogram FE, and as GB (is) to BF, so parallelogram BC (is) to parallelogram FE [Prop. 6.1], thus, also, as (parallelogram) AB (is) to FE, so (parallelogram) BC (is) to FE [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, parallelogram AB is equal to parallelogram BC [Prop. 5.9].


Thus, in equal and equiangular parallelograms the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional. And those equiangular parallelograms in which the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional are equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 15

In equal triangles also having one angle equal to one (angle) the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional. And those triangles having one angle equal to one angle for which the sides about the equal angles (are) reciprocally proportional are equal.

Let ABC and ADE be equal triangles having one angle equal to one (angle), (namely) BAC (equal) to DAE. I say that, in triangles ABC and ADE, the sides about the

ή ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ.



Κείσθω γὰρ ὤστε ἐπ² εὐθείας εῖναι τὴν ΓA τῆ $A\Delta$ · ἐπ² εὐθείας ἄρα ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ EA τῆ AB. καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ $B\Delta$.

Έπεὶ οὖν ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΑΔΕ τριγώνῳ, ἄλλο δέ τι τὸ ΒΑΔ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ΓΑΒ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον, οὔτως τὸ ΕΑΔ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον. ἀλλὶ ὡς μὲν τὸ ΓΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ, οὔτως ἡ ΓΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, ὡς δὲ τὸ ΕΑΔ πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ, οὔτως ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΓΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, οὔτως ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ. τῶν ΑΒΓ, ΑΔΕ ἄρα τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας.

Άλλὰ δὴ ἀντιπεπονθέτωσαν αἱ πλευραὶ τῶν $AB\Gamma$, $A\Delta E$ τριγώνων, καὶ ἔστω ὡς ἡ ΓA πρὸς τὴν $A\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ EA πρὸς τὴν AB· λέγω, ὅτι ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον τῷ $A\Delta E$ τριγώνω.


Ἐπίζευχθείσης γὰρ πάλιν τῆς ΒΔ, ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΓΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ, ἀλλ᾽ ὡς μὲν ἡ ΓΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ, οὕτως τὸ ΕΑΔ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον, ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΕΑΔ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τρίγωνον. ἐκάτερον ἄρα τῶν ΑΒΓ, ΕΑΔ πρὸς τὸ ΒΑΔ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον. ἴσων ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ [τρίγωνον] τῷ ΕΑΔ τριγώνῳ.

Τῶν ἄρα ἴσων καὶ μίαν μιᾳ ἴσην ἐχόντων γωνίαν τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας· καὶ ὧς μίαν μιᾳ ἴσην ἐχόντων γωνίαν τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας, ἐκεῖνα ἴσα ἐστὶν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

۱۶'.

Έὰν τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὧσιν, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ· χἂν τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων

equal angles are reciprocally proportional, that is to say, that as CA is to AD, so EA (is) to AB.



For let CA be laid down so as to be straight-on (with respect) to AD. Thus, EA is also straight-on (with respect) to AB [Prop. 1.14]. And let BD have been joined.

Therefore, since triangle ABC is equal to triangle ADE, and BAD (is) some other (triangle), thus as triangle CAB is to triangle BAD, so triangle EAD (is) to triangle BAD [Prop. 5.7]. But, as (triangle) CAB (is) to BAD, so CA (is) to AD, and as (triangle) EAD (is) to BAD, so EA (is) to AB [Prop. 6.1]. And thus, as CA (is) to AD, so EA (is) to AB. Thus, in triangles ABC and ADE the sides about the equal angles (are) reciprocally proportional.

And so, let the sides of triangles ABC and ADE be reciprocally proportional, and (thus) let CA be to AD, as EA (is) to AB. I say that triangle ABC is equal to triangle ADE.


For, BD again being joined, since as CA is to AD, so EA (is) to AB, but as CA (is) to AD, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle BAD, and as EA (is) to AB, so triangle EAD (is) to triangle BAD [Prop. 6.1], thus as triangle ABC (is) to triangle BAD, so triangle EAD (is) to triangle BAD. Thus, (triangles) ABC and EAD each have the same ratio to BAD. Thus, [triangle] ABC is equal to triangle EAD [Prop. 5.9].

Thus, in equal triangles also having one angle equal to one (angle) the sides about the equal angles (are) reciprocally proportional. And those triangles having one angle equal to one angle for which the sides about the equal angles (are) reciprocally proportional are equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 16

If four straight-lines are proportional then the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the rectangle contained by the middle (two). And if the rect-

περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἢ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ, αἱ τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον αἱ AB, $\Gamma\Delta$, E, Z, ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$, οὔτως ἡ E πρὸς τὴν Z· λέγω, ὅτι τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Gamma\Delta$, E περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ.

η Ήχθωσαν [γὰρ] ἀπὸ τῶν A, Γ σημείων ταῖς AB, $\Gamma\Delta$ εὐθείαις πρὸς ὀρθὰς αἱ AH, $\Gamma\Theta$, καὶ κείσθω τῆ μὲν Z ἴση ἡ AH, τῆ δὲ E ἴση ἡ $\Gamma\Theta$. καὶ συμπεπληρώσθω τὰ BH, $\Delta\Theta$ παραλληλόγραμμα.


Καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ, οὕτως ἡ E πρὸς τὴν Z, ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν E τῆ ΓΘ, ἡ δὲ Z τῆ AH, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΘ πρὸς τὴν AH. τῶν BH, $\Delta \Theta$ ἄρα παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας. ὧν δὲ ἰσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραί αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνάις, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα· ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ BH παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ $\Delta \Theta$ παραλληλογράμμῳ. καί ἐστι τὸ μὲν BH τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z· ἴση γὰρ ἡ AH τῆ Z· τὸ δὲ $\Delta \Theta$ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν Γ Δ , E· ἴση γὰρ ἡ E τῆ Γ Θ · τὸ ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν Γ Δ , E περιεχομένω ὀρθογώνιω.

ἀλλὰ δὴ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἔστω τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Gamma\Delta$, E περιεχομένω ὀρθογωνίω. λέγω, ὅτι αἰ τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται, ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ E πρὸς τὴν E.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεὶ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Gamma\Delta$, E, καί ἐστι τὸ μὲν ὑπὸ τῶν AB, Z τὸ BH· ἴση γάρ ἐστιν ἡ AH τῆ Z· τὸ δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Gamma\Delta$, E τὸ $\Delta\Theta$ · ἴση γὰρ ἡ $\Gamma\Theta$ τῆ E· τὸ ἄρα BH ἴσον ὲστὶ τῷ $\Delta\Theta$ · καί ἐστιν ἰσογώνια. τῶν δὲ ἴσων καὶ ἰσογωνίων παραλληλογράμμων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma\Delta$, οὕτως ἡ $\Gamma\Theta$ πρὸς τὴν AH. ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν $\Gamma\Theta$ τῆ E, ἡ δὲ AH τῆ Z· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν Z.

Έὰν ἄρα τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἄσιν, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄκρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ· κᾶν τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄκρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἢ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ, αἱ τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

angle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the rectangle contained by the middle (two) then the four straight-lines will be proportional.



Let AB, CD, E, and F be four proportional straightlines, (such that) as AB (is) to CD, so E (is) to F. I say that the rectangle contained by AB and F is equal to the rectangle contained by CD and E.

[For] let AG and CH have been drawn from points A and C at right-angles to the straight-lines AB and CD (respectively) [Prop. 1.11]. And let AG be made equal to F, and CH to E [Prop. 1.3]. And let the parallelograms BG and DH have been completed.

And since as AB is to CD, so E (is) to F, and E (is) equal CH, and F to AG, thus as AB is to CD, so CH (is) to AG. Thus, in the parallelograms BG and DH the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional. And those equiangular parallelograms in which the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional are equal [Prop. 6.14]. Thus, parallelogram BG is equal to parallelogram DH. And BG is the (rectangle contained) by AB and F. For AG (is) equal to F. And DH (is) the (rectangle contained) by CD and E. For E (is) equal to CH. Thus, the rectangle contained by CD and E.


And so, let the rectangle contained by AB and F be equal to the rectangle contained by CD and E. I say that the four straight-lines will be proportional, (so that) as AB (is) to CD, so E (is) to F.

For, with the same construction, since the (rectangle contained) by AB and F is equal to the (rectangle contained) by CD and E. And BG is the (rectangle contained) by AB and F. For AG is equal to F. And DH (is) the (rectangle contained) by CD and E. For CH (is) equal to E. BG is thus equal to DH. And they are equiangular. And in equal and equiangular parallelograms the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional [Prop. 6.14]. Thus, as AB is to CD, so CH (is) to AG. And CH (is) equal to E, and AG to F. Thus, as AB is to CD, so E (is) to F.

Thus, if four straight-lines are proportional then the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the rectangle contained by the middle (two). And if the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to

ιζ΄.

Έὰν τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ιστι, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄκρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς μέσης τετραγώνω, κὰν τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄκρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἢ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς μέσης τετραγώνω, αἱ τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον αἱ $A, B, \Gamma,$ ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ B πρὸς τὴν Γ^{\cdot} λέγω, ὅτι τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν A, Γ περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς B τετραγώνω.

Κείσθω τῆ Β ἴση ἡ Δ.

Καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ B πρὸς τὴν Γ , ἴση δὲ ἡ B τῆ Δ , ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, ἡ Δ πρὸς τὴν Γ . ἐὰν δὲ τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὧσιν, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων περιεχόμενον [ὀρθογώνιον] ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων περιεχομένῳ ὀρθογωνίῳ. τὸ ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν A, Γ ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν B, Δ . ἀλλὰ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν B, Δ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς B ἐστιν ἴση γὰρ ἡ B τῆ Δ · τὸ ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν A, Γ περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς B τετραγώνῳ.

Άλλὰ δὴ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν $A,~\Gamma$ ἴσον ἔστω τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς B^{\cdot} λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ B πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma.$


Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεὶ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν A, Γ ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς B, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς B τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν B, Δ ἐστιν· ἴση γὰρ ἡ B τῆ Δ · τὸ ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν A, Γ ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν B, Δ . ἐὰν δὲ τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄκρων ἴσον ἢ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων, αἱ τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ Δ πρὸς τὴν Γ . ἴση δὲ ἡ B τῆ Δ · ὡς ἄρα ἡ A πρὸς τὴν B, οὕτως ἡ B πρὸς τὴν Γ .

Έὰν ἄρα τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὧσιν, τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς μέσης τετραγώνῳ· χἂν τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων περιεχόμενον ὀρθογώνιον ἴσον ἢ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς μέσης τετραγώνῳ, αἱ τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

the rectangle contained by the middle (two) then the four straight-lines will be proportional. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 17

If three straight-lines are proportional then the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the square on the middle (one). And if the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the square on the middle (one) then the three straight-lines will be proportional.



Let A, B and C be three proportional straight-lines, (such that) as A (is) to B, so B (is) to C. I say that the rectangle contained by A and C is equal to the square on B.

Let D be made equal to B [Prop. 1.3].

And since as A is to B, so B (is) to C, and B (is) equal to D, thus as A is to B, (so) D (is) to C. And if four straight-lines are proportional then the [rectangle] contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the rectangle contained by the middle (two) [Prop. 6.16]. Thus, the (rectangle contained) by A and C is equal to the (rectangle contained) by A and A and A is equal to A and A is equal to A and A is equal to the square on A and A is equal to the square on A.

And so, let the (rectangle contained) by A and C be equal to the (square) on B. I say that as A is to B, so B (is) to C.


For, with the same construction, since the (rectangle contained) by A and C is equal to the (square) on B. But, the (square) on B is the (rectangle contained) by B and D. For B (is) equal to D. The (rectangle contained) by B and D. And if the (rectangle contained) by B and D. And if the (rectangle contained) by the (two) outermost is equal to the (rectangle contained) by the middle (two) then the four straight-lines are proportional [Prop. 6.16]. Thus, as A is to B, so D (is) to C. And B (is) equal to D. Thus, as A (is) to B, so B (is) to C.

Thus, if three straight-lines are proportional then the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the square on the middle (one). And if the rectangle contained by the (two) outermost is equal to the square on the middle (one) then the three straight-lines will be proportional. (Which is) the very thing it was required to

show.

ιη'.

Άπὸ τῆς δοθείσης εὐθείας τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ ὅμοιόν τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον εὐθύγραμμον ἀναγράψαι.




Έστω ή μὲν δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ή AB, τὸ δὲ δοθὲν εὐθύγραμμον τὸ ΓΕ· δεῖ δὴ ἀπὸ τῆς AB εὐθείας τῷ ΓΕ εὐθυγράμμῳ ὅμοιόν τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον εὐθύγραμμον ἀναγράψαι.

Έπεζεύχθω ή ΔΖ, καὶ συνεστάτω πρὸς τῆ ΑΒ εὐθεία καὶ τοῖς πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείοις τοῖς Α, Β τῆ μὲν πρὸς τῷ Γ γωνία ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ΗΑΒ, τῆ δὲ ὑπὸ ΓΔΖ ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΗ. λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ $\Gamma \mathrm{Z}\Delta$ τῆ ὑπὸ AHB ἐστιν ἴση \cdot ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΖΓΔ τρίγωνον τῷ ΗΑΒ τριγώνῳ. ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ώς ή ΖΔ πρὸς τὴν ΗΒ, οὕτως ή ΖΓ πρὸς τὴν ΗΑ, καὶ ἡ ΓΔ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ. πάλιν συνεστάτω πρὸς τῆ ΒΗ εὐθεία καὶ τοῖς πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείοις τοῖς Β, Η τῆ μὲν ὑπὸ ΔΖΕ γωνία ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΘ, τῆ δὲ ὑπὸ ΖΔΕ ἴση ἡ ὑπὸ ΗΒΘ. λοιπὴ ἄρα ή πρὸς τῷ Ε λοιπῆ τῆ πρὸς τῷ Θ ἐστιν ἴση: ἰσογώνιον ἄρα έστὶ τὸ ΖΔΕ τρίγωνον τῷ ΗΘΒ τριγώνῳ· ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $Z\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν HB, οὕτως ἡ ZE πρὸς τὴν $H\Theta$ καὶ ή ΕΔ πρὸς τὴν ΘΒ. ἐδείχθη δὲ καὶ ὡς ἡ ΖΔ πρὸς τὴν ΗΒ, οὕτως ή ΖΓ πρὸς τὴν ΗΑ καὶ ή ΓΔ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ${
m Z}\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ${
m AH}$, οὕτως ἥ τε ${
m \Gamma}\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν ${
m AB}$ καὶ ἡ ΖΕ πρὸς τὴν ΗΘ καὶ ἔτι ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΘΒ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΓΖΔ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΗΒ, ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ ΔΖΕ τῆ ύπὸ ΒΗΘ, ὄλη ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΓΖΕ ὄλη τῆ ὑπὸ ΑΗΘ ἐστιν ἴση. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ Γ $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\mathrm{AB}\Theta$ ἐστιν ἴση. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ μὲν πρὸς τῷ Γ τῇ πρὸς τῷ A ἴση, ἡ δὲ πρὸς τῷ Eτῆ πρὸς τῷ Θ. ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΘ τῷ ΓΕ΄ καὶ τὰς περί τὰς ἴσας γωνίας αὐτῶν πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχει. ὅμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΘ εὐθύγραμμον τῷ ΓΕ εὐθυγράμμω.

Απὸ τῆς δοθείσης ἄρα εὐθείας τῆς AB τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ τῷ ΓE ὅμοιόν τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον εὐθύγραμμον ἀναγέγραπται τὸ $A\Theta$. ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

Proposition 18

To describe a rectilinear figure similar, and similarly laid down, to a given rectilinear figure on a given straight-line.



Let AB be the given straight-line, and CE the given rectilinear figure. So it is required to describe a rectilinear figure similar, and similarly laid down, to the rectilinear figure CE on the straight-line AB.

Let DF have been joined, and let GAB, equal to the angle at C, and ABG, equal to (angle) CDF, have been constructed on the straight-line AB at the points A and B on it (respectively) [Prop. 1.23]. Thus, the remaining (angle) CFD is equal to AGB [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle FCD is equiangular to triangle GAB. Thus, proportionally, as FD is to GB, so FC (is) to GA, and CD to AB [Prop. 6.4]. Again, let BGH, equal to angle DFE, and GBH equal to (angle) FDE, have been constructed on the straight-line BG at the points G and B on it (respectively) [Prop. 1.23]. Thus, the remaining (angle) at E is equal to the remaining (angle) at H[Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle FDE is equiangular to triangle GHB. Thus, proportionally, as FD is to GB, so FE (is) to GH, and ED to HB [Prop. 6.4]. And it was also shown (that) as FD (is) to GB, so FC (is) to GA, and CD to AB. Thus, also, as FC (is) to AG, so CD (is) to AB, and FE to GH, and, further, ED to HB. And since angle CFD is equal to AGB, and DFE to BGH, thus the whole (angle) CFE is equal to the whole (angle) AGH. So, for the same (reasons), (angle) CDE is also equal to ABH. And the (angle) at C is also equal to the (angle) at A, and the (angle) at E to the (angle) at H. Thus, (figure) AH is equiangular to CE. And (the two figures) have the sides about their equal angles proportional. Thus, the rectilinear figure AH is similar to the rectilinear figure CE [Def. 6.1].


Thus, the rectilinear figure AH, similar, and similarly laid down, to the given rectilinear figure CE has been constructed on the given straight-line AB. (Which is) the

 Σ TΟΙΧΕΙΩΝ ς' . **ELEMENTS BOOK 6**

very thing it was required to do.

 ϑ' .

Τὰ ὅμοια τρίγωνα πρὸς ἄλληλα ἐν διπλασίονι λόγῳ ἐστὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν.



Έστω ὄμοια τρίγωνα τὰ ΑΒΓ, ΔΕΖ ἴσην ἔχοντα τὴν πρὸς τῷ Β γωνίαν τῆ πρὸς τῷ Ε, ὡς δὲ τὴν ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΓ, οὕτως τὴν ΔΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, ὥστε ὁμόλογον εἶναι τὴν ΒΓ τ $ilde{\eta} ext{ EZ}$ · λέγω, ὅτι τὸ $ext{AB}\Gamma$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ext{\Delta} ext{EZ}$ τρίγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον έχει ήπερ ή ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ.

Εἰλήφθω γὰρ τῶν ΒΓ, ΕΖ τρίτη ἀνάλογον ἡ ΒΗ, ὥστε είναι ώς την ΒΓ πρός την ΕΖ, ούτως την ΕΖ πρός την ΒΗ· καὶ ἐπεζεύχθω ἡ ΑΗ.

Έπεὶ οὖν ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ${
m AB}$ πρὸς τὴν ${
m B\Gamma}$, οὕτως ἡ ${
m \Delta E}$ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς ΕΖ, οὕτως ἐστιν ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς ΒΗ. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς ΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς ΒΗ· τῶν ΑΒΗ, ΔΕΖ ἄρα τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περί τὰς ἴσας γωνάις. ὧν δὲ μίαν μιᾶ ἴσην ἐχόντων γωνίαν τριγώνων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνάις, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα. ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABH τρίγωνον τῷ $\operatorname{\Delta EZ}$ τριγώνω. καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς τὴν ΒΗ, ἐὰν δὲ τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὤσιν, ἡ πρώτη πρὸς τὴν τρίτην διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ πρὸς την δευτέραν, ή ΒΓ ἄρα πρὸς την ΒΗ διπλασίονα λόγον έχει ήπερ ή ΓΒ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ. ὡς δὲ ή ΓΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΗ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΒΗ τρίγωνον καὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ ἄρα τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΑΒΗ διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ήπερ ή BΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ. ἴσον δὲ τὸ ABH τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΕΖ τριγώνω. καὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ ἄρα τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΔΕΖ τρίγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ.


Τὰ ἄρα ὄμοια τρίγωνα πρὸς ἄλληλα ἐν διπλασίονι λόγω έστὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. [ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.]

Πόρισμα.

Έκ δή τούτου φανερόν, ὅτι, ἐὰν τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον

Proposition 19

Similar triangles are to one another in the squared[†] ratio of (their) corresponding sides.



Let ABC and DEF be similar triangles having the angle at B equal to the (angle) at E, and AB to BC, as DE (is) to EF, such that BC corresponds to EF. I say that triangle ABC has a squared ratio to triangle DEFwith respect to (that side) BC (has) to EF.

For let a third (straight-line), BG, have been taken (which is) proportional to BC and EF, so that as BC(is) to EF, so EF (is) to BG [Prop. 6.11]. And let AGhave been joined.

Therefore, since as AB is to BC, so DE (is) to EF, thus, alternately, as AB is to DE, so BC (is) to EF[Prop. 5.16]. But, as BC (is) to EF, so EF is to BG. And, thus, as AB (is) to DE, so EF (is) to BG. Thus, for triangles ABG and DEF, the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional. And those triangles having one (angle) equal to one (angle) for which the sides about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional are equal [Prop. 6.15]. Thus, triangle ABG is equal to triangle DEF. And since as BC (is) to EF, so EF (is) to BG, and if three straight-lines are proportional then the first has a squared ratio to the third with respect to the second [Def. 5.9], BC thus has a squared ratio to BGwith respect to (that) CB (has) to EF. And as CB (is) to BG, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle ABG [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, triangle ABC also has a squared ratio to (triangle) ABG with respect to (that side) BC (has) to EF. And triangle ABG (is) equal to triangle DEF. Thus, triangle ABC also has a squared ratio to triangle DEF with respect to (that side) BC (has) to EF.

Thus, similar triangles are to one another in the squared ratio of (their) corresponding sides. [(Which is) the very thing it was required to show].

Corollary

So it is clear, from this, that if three straight-lines are ὥσιν, ἔστιν ὡς ἡ πρώτη πρὸς τὴν τρίτην, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ proportional, then as the first is to the third, so the figure Σ TΟΙΧΕΙΩΝ ς' . **ELEMENTS BOOK 6**


τῆς πρώτης εἴδος πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς δευτέρας τὸ ὅμοιον καὶ

όμοίως ἀναγραφόμενον. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

† Literally, "double".

χ'.

Τὰ ὅμοια πολύγωνα εἴς τε ὅμοια τρίγωνα διαιρεῖται καὶ εἰς ἴσα τὸ πλῆθος καὶ ὁμόλογα τοῖς ὅλοις, καὶ τὸ πολύγωνον πρός τὸ πολύγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ὁμόλογος πλευρά πρός τὴν ὁμόλογον πλευράν.




Έστω ὄμοια πολύγωνα τὰ ΑΒΓΔΕ, ΖΗΘΚΛ, ὁμόλογος δὲ ἔστω ἡ ΑΒ τῆ ΖΗ· λέγω, ὅτι τὰ ΑΒΓΔΕ, ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνα εἴς τε ὄμοια τρίγωνα διαιρεῖται καὶ εἰς ἴσα τὸ πληθος καὶ ὁμόλογα τοῖς ὅλοις, καὶ τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕ πολύγωνον πρός τὸ ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΖΗ.

Έπεζεύχθωσαν αί ΒΕ, ΕΓ, ΗΛ, ΛΘ.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕ πολύγωνον τῷ ΖΗΘΚΛ πολυγώνω, ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΕ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΗΖΛ. καί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς ΑΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΖ πρὸς ΖΛ. ἐπεὶ οὖν δύο τρίγωνά ἐστι τὰ ΑΒΕ, ΖΗΛ μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνία ἴσην ἔχοντα, περὶ δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον τῷ ΖΗΛ τριγώνω. ὥστε καὶ ὅμοιον. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΕ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΖΗΛ. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ὄλη ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ ὄλη τῆ ὑπὸ ΖΗΘ ἴση διὰ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν πολυγώνων λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ύπὸ ΕΒΓ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΛΗΘ ἐστιν ἴση. καὶ ἐπεὶ διὰ τὴν όμοιότητα τῶν ΑΒΕ, ΖΗΛ τριγώνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΕΒ πρὸς ΒΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΛΗ πρὸς ΗΖ, ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ διὰ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν πολυγώνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς ΒΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΗ πρὸς $H\Theta$, δι' ἴσου ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ EB πρὸς $B\Gamma$, οὕτως ἡ ΛH πρὸς $H\Theta$, καὶ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνάις τὰς ὑπὸ $EB\Gamma$, $\Lambda H\Theta$ αἱ πλευραὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν· ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΕΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΛΗΘ τριγώνω. ὥστε καὶ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ ΕΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ $\Lambda H\Theta$ τριγώνω. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ τὸ $E\Gamma\Delta$ τρίγωνον ὄμοιόν ἐστι τῷ $\Lambda\Theta K$ τριγώνῳ. τὰ ἄρα ὅμοια πολύγωνα τὰ ΑΒΓΔΕ, ΖΗΘΚΛ εἴς τε ὅμοια τρίγωνα διήρηται καὶ εἰς ἴσα (described) on the first (is) to the similar, and similarly described, (figure) on the second. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 20

Similar polygons can be divided into equal numbers of similar triangles corresponding (in proportion) to the wholes, and one polygon has to the (other) polygon a squared ratio with respect to (that) a corresponding side (has) to a corresponding side.



Let ABCDE and FGHKL be similar polygons, and let AB correspond to FG. I say that polygons ABCDEand FGHKL can be divided into equal numbers of similar triangles corresponding (in proportion) to the wholes, and (that) polygon ABCDE has a squared ratio to polygon FGHKL with respect to that AB (has) to FG.

Let BE, EC, GL, and LH have been joined.

And since polygon ABCDE is similar to polygon FGHKL, angle BAE is equal to angle GFL, and as BAis to AE, so GF (is) to FL [Def. 6.1]. Therefore, since ABE and FGL are two triangles having one angle equal to one angle and the sides about the equal angles proportional, triangle ABE is thus equiangular to triangle FGL[Prop. 6.6]. Hence, (they are) also similar [Prop. 6.4, Def. 6.1]. Thus, angle ABE is equal to (angle) FGL. And the whole (angle) ABC is equal to the whole (angle) FGH, on account of the similarity of the polygons. Thus, the remaining angle EBC is equal to LGH. And since, on account of the similarity of triangles ABE and FGL, as EB is to BA, so LG (is) to GF, but also, on account of the similarity of the polygons, as AB is to BC, so FG (is) to GH, thus, via equality, as EB is to BC, so LG (is) to GH [Prop. 5.22], and the sides about the equal angles, EBC and LGH, are proportional. Thus, triangle EBC is equiangular to triangle LGH [Prop. 6.6]. Hence, triangle EBC is also similar to triangle LGH [Prop. 6.4, Def. 6.1]. So, for the same (reasons), triangle ECD is also similar

τὸ πλῆθος.

Λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ὁμόλογα τοῖς ὅλοις, τουτέστιν ὤστε ἀνάλογον εἴναι τὰ τρίγωνα, καὶ ἡγούμενα μὲν εἴναι τὰ ΑΒΕ, ΕΒΓ, ΕΓΔ, ἑπόμενα δὲ αὐτῶν τὰ ΖΗΛ, ΛΗΘ, ΛΘΚ, καὶ ὅτι τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ὁμόλογος πλευρὰ πρὸς τὴν ὁμόλογον πλευράν, τουτέστιν ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΖΗ.

Έπεζεύχθωσαν γὰρ αἱ ΑΓ, ΖΘ. καὶ ἐπεὶ διὰ τὴν όμοιότητα τῶν πολυγώνων ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ γωνία τῆ ύπὸ ΖΗΘ, καί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς ΒΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΗ πρὸς ΗΘ, ἰσογώνιόν ἐστι τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΖΗΘ τριγώνω· ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ HZΘ, ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ ΒΓΑ τῆ ὑπὸ ΗΘΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΜ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΗΖΝ, ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΜ τῆ ὑπὸ ΖΗΝ ἴση, καὶ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΜΒ λοιπῆ τῆ ὑπὸ ΖΝΗ ἴση ἐστίν· ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABM τρίγωνον τῷ ZHN τριγώνῳ. όμοίως δή δεῖξομεν, ὅτι καὶ τὸ ΒΜΓ τρίγωνον ἰσογώνιόν έστι τῷ ΗΝΘ τριγώνω. ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστίν, ὡς μὲν ἡ ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΝ πρὸς ΝΗ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΒΜ πρὸς ΜΓ, οὕτως ή ΗΝ πρὸς ΝΘ· ὤστε καὶ δι' ἴσου, ὡς ή ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΝ πρὸς ΝΘ. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΓ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΜ [τρίγωνον] πρὸς τὸ ΜΒΓ, καὶ τὸ ΑΜΕ πρὸς τὸ ΕΜΓ΄ πρὸς ἄλληλα γάρ εἰσιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις. καὶ ὡς ἄρα εν των ήγουμένων πρὸς εν των έπόμενων, οὕτως ἄπαντα τὰ ἡγούμενα πρὸς ἄπαντα τὰ ἑπόμενα: ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΑΜΒ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΒΜΓ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΕ πρὸς τὸ ΓΒΕ. αλλ ώς τὸ ΑΜΒ πρὸς τὸ ΒΜΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΓ καὶ ώς ἄρα ή ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΓ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΕΒΓ τρίγωνον. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὡς ἡ ZN πρὸς $N\Theta$, οὕτως τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΗΛΘ τρίγωνον. καί ἐστιν ώς ή ΑΜ πρὸς ΜΓ, οὕτως ή ΖΝ πρὸς ΝΘ΄ καὶ ώς ἄρα τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΒΕΓ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΗΛΘ τρίγωνον, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ὡς τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΒΕΓ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΗΛΘ τρίγωνον. ὁμοίως δὴ δείξομεν ἐπιζευχθεισῶν τῶν ΒΔ, ΗΚ, ὅτι καὶ ὡς τὸ ΒΕΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΛΗΘ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΕΓΔ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΛΘΚ τρίγωνον. καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΕΒΓ πρὸς τὸ ΛΗΘ, καὶ ἔτι τὸ ΕΓΔ πρὸς τὸ ΛΘΚ, καὶ ὡς ἄρα εν τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς εν τῶν ἑπομένων, οὕτως ἄπαντα τὰ ἡγούμενα πρὸς ἄπαντα τὰ ἑπόμενα. ἔστιν ἄρα ώς τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνον. ἀλλὰ τὸ ΑΒΕ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΖΗΛ τρίγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον έχει ήπερ ή ΑΒ όμόλογος πλευρά πρός τὴν ΖΗ όμόλογον πλευράν τὰ γὰρ ὄμοια τρίγωνα ἐν διπλασίονι λόγῳ ἐστὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. καὶ τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕ ἄρα πολύγωνον πρός τὸ ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ΑΒ δμόλογος πλευρά πρὸς τὴν ΖΗ δμόλογον πλευράν.

Τὰ ἄρα ὅμοια πολύγωνα εἴς τε ὅμοια τρίγωνα διαιρεῖται καὶ εἰς ἴσα τὸ πλῆθος καὶ ὁμόλογα τοῖς ὅλοις, καὶ τὸ

to triangle LHK. Thus, the similar polygons ABCDE and FGHKL have been divided into equal numbers of similar triangles.

I also say that (the triangles) correspond (in proportion) to the wholes. That is to say, the triangles are proportional: ABE, EBC, and ECD are the leading (magnitudes), and their (associated) following (magnitudes are) FGL, LGH, and LHK (respectively). (I) also (say) that polygon ABCDE has a squared ratio to polygon FGHKL with respect to (that) a corresponding side (has) to a corresponding side—that is to say, (side) AB to FG.

For let AC and FH have been joined. And since angle ABC is equal to FGH, and as AB is to BC, so FG (is) to GH, on account of the similarity of the polygons, triangle ABC is equiangular to triangle FGH [Prop. 6.6]. Thus, angle BAC is equal to GFH, and (angle) BCA to GHF. And since angle BAM is equal to GFN, and (angle) ABM is also equal to FGN (see earlier), the remaining (angle) AMB is thus also equal to the remaining (angle) FNG [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABM is equiangular to triangle FGN. So, similarly, we can show that triangle BMC is also equiangular to triangle GNH. Thus, proportionally, as AM is to MB, so FN (is) to NG, and as BM (is) to MC, so GN (is) to NH [Prop. 6.4]. Hence, also, via equality, as AM (is) to MC, so FN (is) to NH[Prop. 5.22]. But, as AM (is) to MC, so [triangle] ABMis to MBC, and AME to EMC. For they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 6.1]. And as one of the leading (magnitudes) is to one of the following (magnitudes), so (the sum of) all the leading (magnitudes) is to (the sum of) all the following (magnitudes) [Prop. 5.12]. Thus, as triangle AMB (is) to BMC, so (triangle) ABE (is) to CBE. But, as (triangle) AMB (is) to BMC, so AM (is) to MC. Thus, also, as AM (is) to MC, so triangle ABE(is) to triangle EBC. And so, for the same (reasons), as FN (is) to NH, so triangle FGL (is) to triangle GLH. And as AM is to MC, so FN (is) to NH. Thus, also, as triangle ABE (is) to triangle BEC, so triangle FGL (is) to triangle GLH, and, alternately, as triangle ABE (is) to triangle FGL, so triangle BEC (is) to triangle GLH[Prop. 5.16]. So, similarly, we can also show, by joining BD and GK, that as triangle BEC (is) to triangle LGH, so triangle ECD (is) to triangle LHK. And since as triangle ABE is to triangle FGL, so (triangle) EBC (is) to LGH, and, further, (triangle) ECD to LHK, and also as one of the leading (magnitudes is) to one of the following, so (the sum of) all the leading (magnitudes is) to (the sum of) all the following [Prop. 5.12], thus as triangle ABE is to triangle FGL, so polygon ABCDE (is) to polygon FGHKL. But, triangle ABE has a squared ratio


πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ πολύγωνον διπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ὁμόλογος πλευρὰ πρὸς τὴν ὁμόλογον πλευράν [ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι].

Πόρισμα.

'Ωσαύτως δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν [ὁμοίων] τετραπλεύρων δειχθήσεται, ὅτι ἐν διπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. ἐδείχθη δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν τριγώνων· ὥστε καὶ καθόλου τὰ ἀμοια εὐθύγραμμα σχήματα πρὸς ἄλληλα ἐν διπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖζαι.

κα'.

Τὰ τῷ αὐτῷ εὐθυγράμμῳ ὅμοια καὶ ἀλλήλοις ἐστὶν ὅμοια.



Έστω γὰρ ἑκάτερον τῶν A, B εὐθυγράμμων τῷ Γ ὅμοιον λέγω, ὅτι καὶ τὸ A τῷ B ἐστιν ὅμοιον.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ A τῷ Γ , ἰσογώνιόν τέ ἐστιν αὐτῷ καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχει. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ B τῷ Γ , ἰσογώνιόν τέ ἐστιν αὐτῷ καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχει. ἑκάτερον ἄρα τῶν A, B τῷ Γ ἰσογώνιόν τέ ἐστι καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχει [ὥστε καὶ τὸ A τῷ B ἰσογώνιόν τέ ἐστι καὶ τὰς περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας

to triangle FGL with respect to (that) the corresponding side AB (has) to the corresponding side FG. For, similar triangles are in the squared ratio of corresponding sides [Prop. 6.14]. Thus, polygon ABCDE also has a squared ratio to polygon FGHKL with respect to (that) the corresponding side AB (has) to the corresponding side FG.


Thus, similar polygons can be divided into equal numbers of similar triangles corresponding (in proportion) to the wholes, and one polygon has to the (other) polygon a squared ratio with respect to (that) a corresponding side (has) to a corresponding side. [(Which is) the very thing it was required to show].

Corollary

And, in the same manner, it can also be shown for [similar] quadrilaterals that they are in the squared ratio of (their) corresponding sides. And it was also shown for triangles. Hence, in general, similar rectilinear figures are also to one another in the squared ratio of (their) corresponding sides. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 21

(Rectilinear figures) similar to the same rectilinear figure are also similar to one another.




Let each of the rectilinear figures A and B be similar to (the rectilinear figure) C. I say that A is also similar to B.

For since A is similar to C, (A) is equiangular to (C), and has the sides about the equal angles proportional [Def. 6.1]. Again, since B is similar to C, (B) is equiangular to (C), and has the sides about the equal angles proportional [Def. 6.1]. Thus, A and B are each equiangular to C, and have the sides about the equal angles

πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχει]. ὅμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ A τῷ B^{\cdot} ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

хβ'.

Έὰν τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὥσιν, καὶ τὰ ἀπ' αὐτῶν εὐθύγραμμα ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγεγραμμένα ἀνάλογον ἔσται· κἂν τὰ ἀπ' αὐτῶν εὐθύγραμμα ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγεγραμμένα ἀνάλογον ἤ, καὶ αὐτὰι αἱ εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον αἱ AB, Γ Δ , EZ, H Θ , ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν Γ Δ , οὕτως ἡ EZ πρὸς τὴν H Θ , καὶ ἀναγεγράφθωσαν ἀπὸ μὲν τῶν AB, Γ Δ ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενα εὐθύγραμμα τὰ KAB, ΛΓ Δ , ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν EZ, H Θ ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενα εὐθύγραμμα τὰ MZ, N Θ · λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ KAB πρὸς τὸ ΛΓ Δ , οὕτως τὸ MZ πρὸς τὸ N Θ .


Εἰλήφθω γὰρ τῶν μὲν ΑΒ, ΓΔ τρίτη ἀνάλογον ἡ Ξ, τῶν δὲ ΕΖ, ΗΘ τρίτη ἀνάλογον ἡ Ο. καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς μὲν ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΓΔ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς τὴν ΗΘ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΓΔ πρὸς τὴν Ξ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΘ πρὸς τὴν Ο, δι᾽ ἴσου ἄρα ἑστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν Ξ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς τὴν Ο. ἀλλ᾽ ὡς μὲν ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν Ξ, οὕτως [καὶ] τὸ ΚΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΛΓΔ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΕΖ πρὸς τὴν Ο, οὕτως τὸ ΜΖ πρὸς τὸ ΝΘ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΚΑΒ πρὸς τὸ ΛΓΔ, οὕτως τὸ ΜΖ πρὸς τὸ ΝΘ·

Άλλὰ δὴ ἔστω ὡς τὸ ΚΑΒ πρὸς τὸ $\Lambda \Gamma \Delta$, οὕτως τὸ MZ πρὸς τὸ $N\Theta$ · λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶ καὶ ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma \Delta$, οὕτως ἡ EZ πρὸς τὴν $H\Theta$. εἰ γὰρ μή ἐστιν, ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma \Delta$, οὕτως ἡ EZ πρὸς τὴν $H\Theta$, ἔστω ὡς ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma \Delta$, οὕτως ἡ EZ πρὸς τὴν ΠP , καὶ ἀναγεγράφθω ἀπὸ τῆς

proportional [hence, A is also equiangular to B, and has the sides about the equal angles proportional]. Thus, A is similar to B [Def. 6.1]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 22

If four straight-lines are proportional then similar, and similarly described, rectilinear figures (drawn) on them will also be proportional. And if similar, and similarly described, rectilinear figures (drawn) on them are proportional then the straight-lines themselves will also be proportional.



Let AB, CD, EF, and GH be four proportional straight-lines, (such that) as AB (is) to CD, so EF (is) to GH. And let the similar, and similarly laid out, rectilinear figures KAB and LCD have been described on AB and CD (respectively), and the similar, and similarly laid out, rectilinear figures MF and NH on EF and GH (respectively). I say that as KAB is to LCD, so MF (is) to NH.

For let a third (straight-line) O have been taken (which is) proportional to AB and CD, and a third (straight-line) P proportional to EF and GH [Prop. 6.11]. And since as AB is to CD, so EF (is) to GH, and as CD (is) to GH, so GH (is) to GH, thus, via equality, as GH is to GH, so GH (is) to GH [Prop. 5.22]. But, as GH (is) to GH (iii) to GH

And so let KAB be to LCD, as MF (is) to NH. I say also that as AB is to CD, so EF (is) to GH. For if as AB is to CD, so EF (is) not to GH, let AB be to CD, as EF

ΠΡ ὁποτέρω τῶν MZ, NΘ ὅμοιόν τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον εὐθύγραμμον τὸ ΣΡ.

Έὰν ἄρα τέσσαρες εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ιστι, καὶ τὰ ἀπ' αὐτων εὐθύγραμμα ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγεγραμμένα ἀνάλογον ἔσται· καὶν τὰ ἀπ' αὐτων εὐθύγραμμα ὅμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγεγραμμένα ἀνάλογον ἤ, καὶ αὐτὰι αἱ εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ἔσονται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

(is) to QR [Prop. 6.12]. And let the rectilinear figure SR, similar, and similarly laid down, to either of MF or NH, have been described on QR [Props. 6.18, 6.21].

Therefore, since as AB is to CD, so EF (is) to QR, and the similar, and similarly laid out, (rectilinear figures) KAB and LCD have been described on AB and CD (respectively), and the similar, and similarly laid out, (rectilinear figures) MF and SR on EF and QR (resespectively), thus as KAB is to LCD, so MF (is) to SR (see above). And it was also assumed that as KAB (is) to LCD, so MF (is) to NH. Thus, also, as MF (is) to SR, so MF (is) to NH [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, MF has the same ratio to each of NH and SR. Thus, NH is equal to SR [Prop. 5.9]. And it is also similar, and similarly laid out, to it. Thus, GH (is) equal to QR.\(^{\dagger} And since AB is to CD, as EF (is) to QR, and QR (is) equal to GH, thus as AB is to CD, so EF (is) to GH.

Thus, if four straight-lines are proportional, then similar, and similarly described, rectilinear figures (drawn) on them will also be proportional. And if similar, and similarly described, rectilinear figures (drawn) on them are proportional then the straight-lines themselves will also be proportional. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

χγ΄.

Τὰ ἰσογώνια παραλληλόγραμμα πρὸς ἄλληλα λόγον ἔχει τὸν συγκείμενον ἐκ τῶν πλευρῶν.

Έστω ἰσογώνια παραλληλόγραμμα τὰ $A\Gamma$, ΓZ ἴσην ἔχοντα τὴν ὑπὸ $B\Gamma \Delta$ γωνίαν τῆ ὑπὸ $E\Gamma H$ · λέγω, ὅτι τὸ $A\Gamma$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓZ παραλληλόγραμμον λόγον ἔχει τὸν συγχείμενον ἐχ τῶν πλευρῶν.

Κείσθω γὰρ ὤστε ἐπ᾽ εὐθείας εἴναι τὴν $B\Gamma$ τῆ $\Gamma H\cdot$ ἐπ᾽ εὐθείας ἄρα ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ $\Delta\Gamma$ τῆ $\Gamma E.$ καὶ συμπεπληρώσθω τὸ ΔH παραλληλόγραμμον, καὶ ἐκκείσθω τις εὐθεῖα ἡ K, καὶ γεγονέτω ὡς μὲν ἡ $B\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓH , οὕτως ἡ K πρὸς τὴν Λ , ὡς δὲ ἡ $\Delta\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓE , οὕτως ἡ Λ πρὸς τὴν M.

Οἱ ἄρα λόγοι τῆς τε Κ πρὸς τὴν Λ καὶ τῆς Λ πρὸς τὴν Μ οἱ αὐτοί εἰσι τοῖς λόγοις τῶν πλευρῶν, τῆς τε ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΗ καὶ τῆς ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ. ἀλλ' ὁ τῆς Κ πρὸς Μ λόγος σύγκειται ἔκ τε τοῦ τῆς Κ πρὸς Λ λόγου καὶ τοῦ τῆς Λ πρὸς Μ· ὥστε καὶ ἡ Κ πρὸς τὴν Μ λόγον ἔχει τὸν συγκείμενον ἐκ τῶν πλευρῶν. καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΗ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΓ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓΘ, ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΗ, οὕτως ἡ Κ πρὸς τὴν Λ, καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ Κ πρὸς τὴν Λ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΓ πρὸς τὸ ΓΘ. πάλιν, ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ, οὕτως τὸ ΓΘ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓΖ, ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΕ,

Proposition 23

Equiangular parallelograms have to one another the ratio compounded[†] out of (the ratios of) their sides.


Let AC and CF be equiangular parallelograms having angle BCD equal to ECG. I say that parallelogram AC has to parallelogram CF the ratio compounded out of (the ratios of) their sides.

For let BC be laid down so as to be straight-on to CG. Thus, DC is also straight-on to CE [Prop. 1.14]. And let the parallelogram DG have been completed. And let some straight-line K have been laid down. And let it be contrived that as BC (is) to CG, so K (is) to L, and as DC (is) to CE, so L (is) to M [Prop. 6.12].

Thus, the ratios of K to L and of L to M are the same as the ratios of the sides, (namely), BC to CG and DC to CE (respectively). But, the ratio of K to M is compounded out of the ratio of K to L and (the ratio) of L to M. Hence, K also has to M the ratio compounded out of (the ratios of) the sides (of the parallelograms). And since as BC is to CG, so parallelogram AC (is) to CH [Prop. 6.1], but as BC (is) to CG, so K (is) to L, thus, also, as K (is) to L, so (parallelogram) AC (is) to CH. Again, since as DC (is) to CE, so parallelogram


[†] Here, Euclid assumes, without proof, that if two similar figures are equal then any pair of corresponding sides is also equal.

οὕτως ἡ Λ πρὸς τὴν M, καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ Λ πρὸς τὴν M, οὕτως τὸ $\Gamma \Theta$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓZ παραλληλόγραμμον. ἐπεὶ οὕν ἐδείχθη, ὡς μὲν ἡ K πρὸς τὴν Λ , οὕτως τὸ $\Lambda \Gamma$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ $\Gamma \Theta$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ $\Gamma \Theta$ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΓZ παραλληλόγραμμον δὶ ἴσου ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ K πρὸς τὴν M, οὕτως τὸ ΓZ παραλληλόγραμμον, ὁι ἴσου ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ K πρὸς τὴν M, οὕτως τὸ $K \Gamma$ πρὸς τὸ $K \Gamma$ πρὸς τὸν συγχείμενον ἐχ τῶν πλευρῶν καὶ τὸ $K \Gamma$ ἄρα πρὸς τὸ $K \Gamma$ λόγον ἔχει τὸν συγχείμενον ἐχ τῶν πλευρῶν.



Τὰ ἄρα ἰσογώνια παραλληλόγραμμα πρὸς ἄλληλα λόγον ἔχει τὸν συγκείμενον ἐκ τῶν πλευρῶν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

CH (is) to CF [Prop. 6.1], but as DC (is) to CE, so L (is) to M, thus, also, as L (is) to M, so parallelogram CH (is) to parallelogram CF. Therefore, since it was shown that as K (is) to L, so parallelogram AC (is) to parallelogram CH, and as L (is) to M, so parallelogram CH (is) to parallelogram CF, thus, via equality, as K is to M, so (parallelogram) AC (is) to parallelogram CF [Prop. 5.22]. And K has to M the ratio compounded out of (the ratios of) the sides (of the parallelogram) CF the ratio compounded out of (the ratio of) their sides.



Thus, equiangular parallelograms have to one another the ratio compounded out of (the ratio of) their sides. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

хδ′.

Παντός παραλληλογράμμου τὰ περὶ τὴν διάμετρον παραλληλόγραμμα ὅμοιά ἐστι τῷ τε ὅλῳ καὶ ἀλλήλοις.

Έστω παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta$, διάμετρος δὲ αὐτοῦ ἡ $A\Gamma$, περὶ δὲ τὴν $A\Gamma$ παραλληλόγραμμα ἔστω τὰ EH, ΘK · λέγω, ὅτι ἑχάτερον τῶν EH, ΘK παραλληλογράμμων ὅμοιόν ἐστι ὅλῳ τῷ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ χαὶ ἀλλήλοις.

Ἐπεὶ γὰρ τριγώνου τοῦ ΑΒΓ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν ΒΓ ἦχται ἡ ΕΖ, ἀνάλογόν ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΓΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ ΑΓΔ παρὰ μίαν τὴν ΓΔ ἤχται ἡ ΖΗ, ἀνάλογόν ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΓΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΗ πρὸς τὴν ΗΑ. ἀλλ᾽ ὡς ἡ ΓΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ, οὕτως ἡ δΗ πρὸς τὴν ΗΑ. ἀλλ᾽ ὡς ἡ ΓΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ, οὕτως ἐδείχθη καὶ ἡ ΒΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΗ πρὸς τὴν ΗΑ, καὶ συνθέντι ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς ΑΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΔΑ πρὸς ΑΗ, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ὡς ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΔ, οὕτως ἡ ΕΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΗ. τῶν ἄρα ΑΒΓΔ, ΕΗ παραλληλογράμμων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αὶ πλευραὶ αὶ περὶ τὴν κοινὴν γωνίαν τὴν ὑπὸ ΒΑΔ. καὶ ἐπεὶ παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ ΗΖ τῆ ΔΓ, ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΑΖΗ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔΓΑ· καὶ κοινὴ τῶν δύο

Proposition 24


In any parallelogram the parallelograms about the diagonal are similar to the whole, and to one another.

Let ABCD be a parallelogram, and AC its diagonal. And let EG and HK be parallelograms about AC. I say that the parallelograms EG and HK are each similar to the whole (parallelogram) ABCD, and to one another.

For since EF has been drawn parallel to one of the sides BC of triangle ABC, proportionally, as BE is to EA, so CF (is) to FA [Prop. 6.2]. Again, since FG has been drawn parallel to one (of the sides) CD of triangle ACD, proportionally, as CF is to FA, so DG (is) to GA [Prop. 6.2]. But, as CF (is) to FA, so it was also shown (is) BE to EA. And thus as BE (is) to EA, so DG (is) to GA. And, thus, compounding, as GE (is) to GE (is) to

[†] In modern terminology, if two ratios are "compounded" then they are multiplied together.

τριγώνων τῶν ΑΔΓ, ΑΗΖ ἡ ὑπὸ ΔΑΓ γωνία: ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΔΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΑΗΖ τριγώνῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δή καὶ τὸ ΑΓΒ τρίγωνον ἰσογώνιόν ἐστι τῷ ΑΖΕ τριγώνω, καὶ ὅλον τὸ ΑΒΓΔ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ΕΗ παραλληλογράμμω ἰσογώνιόν ἐστιν. ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΗ πρὸς τὴν ΗΖ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΕ, καὶ ἔτι ὡς ἡ ΓΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΑ, οὕτως ή ΖΕ πρὸς τὴν ΕΑ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἐδείχθη ὡς μὲν ή ΔΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΑ, ὡς δὲ ἡ ΑΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΑΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΕ, δι᾽ ἴσου ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $\Delta \Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΓB , οὕτως ἡ HZ πρὸς τὴν ZE. τῶν ἄρα ΑΒΓΔ, ΕΗ παραλληλογράμμων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αί πλευραὶ αί περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας. ὄμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓΔ παραλληλογράμμον τῷ ΕΗ παραλληλογράμμῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ τὸ ΑΒΓΔ παραλληλόγραμμον καὶ τῷ ΚΘ παραλληλογράμμω ὅμοιόν ἐστιν ἑκάτερον ἄρα τῶν ΕΗ, ΘΚ παραλληλογράμμων τῷ ΑΒΓΔ [παραλληλογράμμω] ὅμοιόν ἐστιν. τὰ δὲ τῷ αὐτῷ εὐθυγράμμῳ ὅμοια καὶ ἀλλήλοις ἐστὶν όμοια· καὶ τὸ ΕΗ ἄρα παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ΘΚ παραλληλογράμμω ὅμοιόν ἐστιν.




Παντὸς ἄρα παραλληλογράμμου τὰ περὶ τὴν διάμετρον παραλληλόγραμμα ὅμοιά ἐστι τῷ τε ὅλῳ καὶ ἀλλήλοις· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

χε'.

 $T\tilde{\omega}$ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμω ὅμοιον καὶ ἄλλω τ $\tilde{\omega}$ δοθέντι ἴσον τὸ αὐτὸ συστήσασθαι.


And angle DAC (is) common to the two triangles ADCand AGF. Thus, triangle ADC is equiangular to triangle AGF [Prop. 1.32]. So, for the same (reasons), triangle ACB is equiangular to triangle AFE, and the whole parallelogram ABCD is equiangular to parallelogram EG. Thus, proportionally, as AD (is) to DC, so AG (is) to GF, and as DC (is) to CA, so GF (is) to FA, and as AC(is) to CB, so AF (is) to FE, and, further, as CB (is) to BA, so FE (is) to EA [Prop. 6.4]. And since it was shown that as DC is to CA, so GF (is) to FA, and as AC (is) to CB, so AF (is) to FE, thus, via equality, as DC is to CB, so GF (is) to FE [Prop. 5.22]. Thus, in parallelograms ABCD and EG the sides about the equal angles are proportional. Thus, parallelogram ABCD is similar to parallelogram EG [Def. 6.1]. So, for the same (reasons), parallelogram ABCD is also similar to parallelogram KH. Thus, parallelograms EG and HK are each similar to [parallelogram] ABCD. And (rectilinear figures) similar to the same rectilinear figure are also similar to one another [Prop. 6.21]. Thus, parallelogram EG is also similar to parallelogram HK.



Thus, in any parallelogram the parallelograms about the diagonal are similar to the whole, and to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 25

To construct a single (rectilinear figure) similar to a given rectilinear figure, and equal to a different given rectilinear figure.



Έστω τὸ μὲν δοθὲν εὐθύγραμμον, ῷ δεῖ ὅμοιον συστήσασθαι, τὸ $AB\Gamma$, ῷ δὲ δεῖ ἴσον, τὸ Δ · δεῖ δὴ τῷ μὲν $AB\Gamma$ ὅμοιον, τῷ δὲ Δ ἴσον τὸ αὐτὸ συστήσασθαι.

Παραβεβλήσθω γὰρ παρὰ μὲν τὴν $B\Gamma$ τῷ $AB\Gamma$ τριγώνῳ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ BE, παρὰ δὲ τὴν ΓE τῷ Δ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ ΓM ἐν γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ $Z\Gamma E$, ἡ ἐστιν ἴση τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma B\Lambda$. ἐπ' εὐθείας ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν $B\Gamma$ τῆ ΓZ , ἡ δὲ ΛE τῆ E M. καὶ εἰλήφθω τῶν $B\Gamma$, ΓZ μέση ἀνάλογον ἡ $H\Theta$, καὶ ἀναγεγράφθω ἀπὸ τῆς $H\Theta$ τῷ $AB\Gamma$ ὅμοιόν τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον τὸ $KH\Theta$.


Καὶ ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΗΘ, οὕτως ἡ ΗΘ πρὸς τὴν ΓΖ, ἐὰν δὲ τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογον ὧσιν, ἔστιν ώς ή πρώτη πρὸς τὴν τρίτην, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης εἴδος πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς δευτέρας τὸ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφόμενον, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΓΖ, οὕτως τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΚΗΘ τρίγωνον. ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς ἡ ΒΓ πρός την ΓΖ, οὕτως τὸ ΒΕ παραλληλόγραμμον πρός τὸ ΕΖ παραλληλόγραμμον. καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΚΗΘ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ ΒΕ παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ ΕΖ παραλληλόγραμμον έναλλὰξ ἄρα ὡς τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΒΕ παραλληλόγραμμον, οὕτως τὸ ΚΗΘ τρίγωνον πρός τὸ ΕΖ παραλληλόγραμμον. ἴσον δὲ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΒΕ παραλληλογράμμω. ἴσον ἄρα καὶ τὸ ΚΗΘ τρίγωνον τῷ ΕΖ παραλληλογράμμω. ἀλλὰ τὸ ΕΖ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ Δ ἐστιν ἴσον· καὶ τὸ $ext{KH}\Theta$ ἄρα τῷ Δ ἐστιν ἴσον. ἔστι δὲ τὸ ΚΗΘ καὶ τῷ ΑΒΓ ὅμοιον.

Τῷ ἄρα δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμω τῷ $AB\Gamma$ ὅμοιον καὶ ἄλλω τῷ δοθέντι τῷ Δ ἴσον τὸ αὐτὸ συνέσταται τὸ $KH\Theta$ · ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

χτ'**.**

Έὰν ἀπὸ παραλληλογράμμου παραλληλόγραμμον ἀφαιρεθῆ ὅμοιόν τε τῷ ὅλῳ καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον κοινὴν γωνίαν ἔχον αὐτῷ, περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν διάμετρόν ἐστι τῷ ὅλῳ.

Από γὰρ παραλληλογράμμου τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ παραλληλόγραμμον ἀφηρήσθω τὸ AZ ὅμοιον τῷ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον κοινὴν γωνίαν ἔχον αὐτῷ τὴν ὑπὸ ΔAB · λέγω,



Let ABC be the given rectilinear figure to which it is required to construct a similar (rectilinear figure), and D the (rectilinear figure) to which (the constructed figure) is required (to be) equal. So it is required to construct a single (rectilinear figure) similar to ABC, and equal to D

For let the parallelogram BE, equal to triangle ABC, have been applied to (the straight-line) BC [Prop. 1.44], and the parallelogram CM, equal to D, (have been applied) to (the straight-line) CE, in the angle FCE, which is equal to CBL [Prop. 1.45]. Thus, BC is straight-on to CF, and LE to EM [Prop. 1.14]. And let the mean proportion GH have been taken of BC and CF [Prop. 6.13]. And let KGH, similar, and similarly laid out, to ABC have been described on GH [Prop. 6.18].

And since as BC is to GH, so GH (is) to CF, and if three straight-lines are proportional then as the first is to the third, so the figure (described) on the first (is) to the similar, and similarly described, (figure) on the second [Prop. 6.19 corr.], thus as BC is to CF, so triangle ABC (is) to triangle KGH. But, also, as BC (is) to CF, so parallelogram BE (is) to parallelogram EF [Prop. 6.1]. And, thus, as triangle ABC (is) to triangle KGH, so parallelogram BE (is) to parallelogram EF. Thus, alternately, as triangle ABC (is) to parallelogram EF. Thus, and triangle EF (is) to parallelogram EF [Prop. 5.16]. And triangle EF (is) equal to parallelogram EF. But, parallelogram EF is equal to EF. Thus, EF is also equal to EF. But, EF is also similar to EF.


Thus, a single (rectilinear figure) KGH has been constructed (which is) similar to the given rectilinear figure ABC, and equal to a different given (rectilinear figure) D. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

Proposition 26

If from a parallelogram a(nother) parallelogram is subtracted (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to the whole, having a common angle with it, then (the subtracted parallelogram) is about the same diagonal as the whole.

For, from parallelogram ABCD, let (parallelogram)

ότι περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν διάμετρόν ἐστι τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ τῷ AZ.



Μὴ γάρ, ἀλλ' εἰ δυνατόν, ἔστω [αὐτῶν] διάμετρος ἡ $A\Theta\Gamma$, καὶ ἐκβληθεῖσα ἡ HZ διήχθω ἐπὶ τὸ Θ , καὶ ἤχθω διὰ τοῦ Θ ὁπορέρα τῶν $A\Delta$, $B\Gamma$ παράλληλος ἡ ΘK .

Έπεὶ οὖν περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν διάμετρόν ἑστι τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ τῷ KH, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΔA πρὸς τὴν AB, οὕτως ἡ HA πρὸς τὴν AK. ἔστι δὲ καὶ διὰ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν $AB\Gamma\Delta$, EH καὶ ὡς ἡ ΔA πρὸς τὴν AB, οὕτως ἡ HA πρὸς τὴν AE· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ HA πρὸς τὴν AK, οὕτως ἡ HA πρὸς τὴν AE. ἡ HA ἄρα πρὸς ἑκατέραν τῶν AK, AE τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ AE τῆ AK ἡ ἐλάττων τῆ μείζονι· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα οὕκ ἐστι περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν διάμετρον τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ τῷ AZ· περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν ἄρα ἐστὶ διάμετρον τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ AZ παραλληλογράμμω.


Έὰν ἄρα ἀπὸ παραλληλογράμμου παραλληλόγραμμον ἀφαιρεθῆ ὅμοιόν τε τῷ ὅλῳ καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον κοινὴν γωνίαν ἔχον αὐτῷ, περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν διάμετρόν ἐστι τῷ ὅλῳ. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

хζ'.

Πάντων τῶν παρὰ τὴν αὐτὴν εὐθεῖαν παραβαλλομένων παραλληλογράμμων καὶ ἐλλειπόντων εἴδεσι παραλληλογράμμοις ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως κειμένοις τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας ἀναγραφομένῳ μέγιστόν ἐστι τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας παραβαλλόμενον [παραλληλόγραμμον] ὅμοιον ὂν τῷ ἐλλείμμαντι.

Έστω εὐθεῖα ἡ AB καὶ τετμήσθω δίχα κατὰ τὸ Γ , καὶ παραβεβλήσθω παρὰ τὴν AB εὐθεῖαν τὸ $A\Delta$ παραλληλόγραμμον ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμω τῷ ΔB ἀναγραφέντι ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας τῆς AB, τουτέστι τῆς ΓB · λέγω, ὅτι πάντων τῶν παρὰ τὴν AB παραβαλλομένων παραλληλογράμμων καὶ ἐλλειπόντων εἴδεσι [παραλληλογράμμοις] ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως κειμένοις τῷ ΔB μέγιστόν ἐστι τὸ

AF have been subtracted (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to ABCD, having the common angle DAB with it. I say that ABCD is about the same diagonal as AF.



For (if) not, then, if possible, let AHC be [ABCD's] diagonal. And producing GF, let it have been drawn through to (point) H. And let HK have been drawn through (point) H, parallel to either of AD or BC [Prop. 1.31].

Therefore, since ABCD is about the same diagonal as KG, thus as DA is to AB, so GA (is) to AK [Prop. 6.24]. And, on account of the similarity of ABCD and EG, also, as DA (is) to AB, so GA (is) to AE. Thus, also, as GA (is) to AK, so GA (is) to AE. Thus, GA has the same ratio to each of AK and AE. Thus, AE is equal to AK [Prop. 5.9], the lesser to the greater. The very thing is impossible. Thus, ABCD is not not about the same diagonal as AF. Thus, parallelogram ABCD is about the same diagonal as parallelogram AF.


Thus, if from a parallelogram a(nother) parallelogram is subtracted (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to the whole, having a common angle with it, then (the subtracted parallelogram) is about the same diagonal as the whole. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 27

Of all the parallelograms applied to the same straightline, and falling short by parallelogrammic figures similar, and similarly laid out, to the (parallelogram) described on half (the straight-line), the greatest is the [parallelogram] applied to half (the straight-line) which (is) similar to (that parallelogram) by which it falls short.

Let AB be a straight-line, and let it have been cut in half at (point) C [Prop. 1.10]. And let the parallelogram AD have been applied to the straight-line AB, falling short by the parallelogrammic figure DB (which is) applied to half of AB—that is to say, CB. I say that of all the parallelograms applied to AB, and falling short by

 $A\Delta$. παραβεβλήσθω γὰρ παρὰ τὴν AB εὐθεῖαν τὸ AZ παραλληλόγραμμον ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμω τῷ ZB ὁμοίω τε καὶ ὁμοίως κειμένω τῷ ΔB^{\cdot} λέγω, ὅτι μεῖζόν ἐστι τὸ $A\Delta$ τοῦ AZ.



Έπεὶ γὰρ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ ΔB παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ZB παραλληλογράμμῳ, περὶ τὴν αὐτήν εἰσι διάμετρον. ἤχθω αὐτῶν διάμετρος ἡ ΔB , καὶ καταγεγράφθω τὸ σχῆμα.

Έπεὶ οὖν ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΓΖ τῷ ΖΕ, κοινὸν δὲ τὸ ΖΒ, ὅλον ἄρα τὸ ΓΘ ὅλῳ τῷ ΚΕ ἐστιν ἴσον. ἀλλὰ τὸ ΓΘ τῷ ΓΗ ἐστιν ἴσον, ἐπεὶ καὶ ἡ $A\Gamma$ τῆ ΓB . καὶ τὸ $H\Gamma$ ἄρα τῷ EK ἐστιν ἴσον. κοινὸν προσκείσθω τὸ ΓZ . ὅλον ἄρα τὸ AZ τῷ ΛMN γνώμονί ἐστιν ἴσον· ὤστε τὸ ΔB παραλληλόγραμμον, τουτέστι τὸ $A\Delta$, τοῦ AZ παραλληλογράμμου μεῖζόν ἐστιν.


Πάντων ἄρα τῶν παρὰ τὴν αὐτὴν εὐθεῖαν παραβαλλομένων παραλληλογράμμων καὶ ἐλλειπόντων εἴδεσι παραλληλογράμμοις ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως κειμένοις τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας ἀναγραφομένῳ μέγιστόν ἐστι τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας παραβληθέν. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

xη'.

Παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβαλεῖν ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ ὁμοίῳ τῷ δοθέντι· δεῖ δὲ τὸ διδόμενον εὐθύγραμμον [ῷ δεῖ ἴσον παραβαλεῖν] μὴ μεῖζον εἴναι τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας ἀναγραφομένου ὁμοίου τῷ ἐλλείμματι [τοῦ τε ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας καὶ ῷ δεῖ ὅμοιον ἐλλείπειν].

Έστω ή μὲν δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ή AB, τὸ δὲ δοθὲν εὐθύγραμμον, ῷ δεῖ ἴσον παρὰ τὴν AB παραβαλεῖν, τὸ Γ μὴ μεῖζον [ὂν] τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμισείας τῆς AB ἀναγραφομένου ὁμοίου τῷ ἐλλείμματι, ῷ δὲ δεῖ ὄμοιον ἐλλείπειν, τὸ Δ · δεῖ δὴ

[parallelogrammic] figures similar, and similarly laid out, to DB, the greatest is AD. For let the parallelogram AF have been applied to the straight-line AB, falling short by the parallelogrammic figure FB (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to DB. I say that AD is greater than AF.



For since parallelogram DB is similar to parallelogram FB, they are about the same diagonal [Prop. 6.26]. Let their (common) diagonal DB have been drawn, and let the (rest of the) figure have been described.

Therefore, since (complement) CF is equal to (complement) FE [Prop. 1.43], and (parallelogram) FB is common, the whole (parallelogram) CH is thus equal to the whole (parallelogram) E. But, (parallelogram) E is equal to E is equal to E is equal to E is also (equal) to E [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, (parallelogram) E is also equal to E is also equal to E is thus, the whole (parallelogram) E is equal to the gnomon E is equal to the gnomon E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E is greater than parallelogram E is equal to say, E


Thus, for all parallelograms applied to the same straight-line, and falling short by a parallelogrammic figure similar, and similarly laid out, to the (parallelogram) described on half (the straight-line), the greatest is the [parallelogram] applied to half (the straight-line). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 28[†]

To apply a parallelogram, equal to a given rectilinear figure, to a given straight-line, (the applied parallelogram) falling short by a parallelogrammic figure similar to a given (parallelogram). It is necessary for the given rectilinear figure [to which it is required to apply an equal (parallelogram)] not to be greater than the (parallelogram) described on half (of the straight-line) and similar to the deficit.

Let AB be the given straight-line, and C the given rectilinear figure to which the (parallelogram) applied to

παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν τὴν AB τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ τῷ Γ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβαλεῖν ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ ὁμοίῳ ὄντι τῷ Δ .




Τετμήσθω ή AB δίχα κατὰ τὸ E σημεῖον, καὶ ἀναγεγράφθω ἀπὸ τῆς EB τῷ Δ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον τὸ EBZH, καὶ συμπεπληρώσθω τὸ AH παραλληλόγραμμον.

Εί μὲν οὖν ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΗ τῷ Γ, γεγονὸς ἂν εἴη τὸ ἐπιταχθέν παραβέβληται γὰρ παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν τὴν ΑΒ τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ τῷ Γ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ ΑΗ ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμω τῷ ΗΒ ὁμοίω ὄντι τῷ Δ . εἰ δὲ οὔ, μεῖζόν ἔστω τὸ ΘE τοῦ Γ . ἴσον δὲ τὸ ΘE τῷ ΗΒ· μεῖζον ἄρα καὶ τὸ ΗΒ τοῦ Γ. ῷ δὴ μεῖζόν ἐστι τὸ HB τοῦ Γ , ταύτη τῆ ὑπεροχῆ ἴσον, τῷ δὲ Δ ὅμοιον καὶ δμοίως κείμενον τὸ αὐτὸ συνεστάτω τὸ ${
m K}\Lambda{
m M}{
m N}$. ἀλλὰ τὸ ${
m \Delta}$ τῷ ΗΒ [ἐστιν] ὄμοιον· καὶ τὸ ΚΜ ἄρα τῷ ΗΒ ἐστιν ὅμοιον. ἔστω οὖν ὁμόλογος ἡ μὲν ΚΛ τὴ ΗΕ, ἡ δὲ ΛΜ τῆ ΗΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΗΒ τοῖς Γ, ΚΜ, μεῖζον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΗΒ τοῦ ΚΜ· μείζων ἄρα ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ μὲν ΗΕ τῆς ΚΛ, ἡ δὲ ΗΖ τῆς ΛΜ. κείσθω τῆ μὲν ΚΛ ἴση ἡ ΗΞ, τῆ δὲ ΛΜ ἴση ή ΗΟ, καὶ συμπεπληρώσθω τὸ ΞΗΟΠ παραλληλόγραμμον ἴσον ἄρα καὶ ὅμοιον ἐστι [τὸ ΗΠ] τῷ ΚΜ [ἀλλὰ τὸ ΚΜ τῷ ΗΒ ὅμοιόν ἐστιν]. καὶ τὸ ΗΠ ἄρα τῷ ΗΒ ὅμοιόν ἐστιν· περὶ την αὐτην ἄρα διάμετρόν ἐστι τὸ ΗΠ τῷ ΗΒ. ἔστω αὐτῶν διάμετρος ή ΗΠΒ, καὶ καταγεγράφθω τὸ σχῆμα.

Ἐπεὶ οὖν ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ BH τοῖς Γ , KM, ὧν τὸ HΠ τῷ KM ἐστιν ἴσον, λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ ΥΧΦ γνόμων λοιπῷ τῷ Γ ἴσος ἐστίν. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ OP τῷ $\Xi\Sigma$, κοινὸν προσκείσθω τὸ ΠB · ὅλον ἄρα τὸ OB ὅλω τῷ ΞB ἴσον ἐστίν. ἀλλὰ τὸ ΞB τῷ TE ἐστιν ἴσον, ἐπεὶ καὶ πλευρὰ ἡ AE πλευρῷ τῆ EB ἐστιν ἴση· καὶ τὸ TE ἄρα τῷ OB ἐστιν ἴσον. κοινὸν προσκείσθω τὸ $\Xi\Sigma$ · ὅλον ἄρα τὸ $T\Sigma$ ὅλῳ τῷ $\Phi X\Upsilon$ γνώμονί ἐστιν ἴσον. ἀλλὶ ὁ $\Phi X\Upsilon$ γνώμων τῷ Γ ἐδείχθη ἴσος· καὶ τὸ $T\Sigma$ ἄρα τῷ Γ ἐστιν ἴσον.

Παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν ἄρα εὐθεῖαν τὴν AB τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ τῷ Γ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβέβληται τὸ ΣT ἐλλεῖπον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ τῷ ΠB ὁμοίῳ ὄντι

AB is required (to be) equal, [being] not greater than the (parallelogram) described on half of AB and similar to the deficit, and D the (parallelogram) to which the deficit is required (to be) similar. So it is required to apply a parallelogram, equal to the given rectilinear figure C, to the straight-line AB, falling short by a parallelogrammic figure which is similar to D.



Let AB have been cut in half at point E [Prop. 1.10], and let (parallelogram) EBFG, (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to (parallelogram) D, have been described on EB [Prop. 6.18]. And let parallelogram AG have been completed.

Therefore, if AG is equal to C then the thing prescribed has happened. For a parallelogram AG, equal to the given rectilinear figure C, has been applied to the given straight-line AB, falling short by a parallelogrammic figure GB which is similar to D. And if not, let HEbe greater than C. And HE (is) equal to GB [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, GB (is) also greater than C. So, let (parallelogram) *KLMN* have been constructed (so as to be) both similar, and similarly laid out, to D, and equal to the excess by which GB is greater than C [Prop. 6.25]. But, GB [is] similar to D. Thus, KM is also similar to GB[Prop. 6.21]. Therefore, let KL correspond to GE, and LM to GF. And since (parallelogram) GB is equal to (figure) C and (parallelogram) KM, GB is thus greater than KM. Thus, GE is also greater than KL, and GFthan LM. Let GO be made equal to KL, and GP to LM[Prop. 1.3]. And let the parallelogram *OGPQ* have been completed. Thus, [GQ] is equal and similar to KM [but, KM is similar to GB]. Thus, GQ is also similar to GB[Prop. 6.21]. Thus, GQ and GB are about the same diagonal [Prop. 6.26]. Let GQB be their (common) diagonal, and let the (remainder of the) figure have been described.

Therefore, since BG is equal to C and KM, of which GQ is equal to KM, the remaining gnomon UWV is thus equal to the remainder C. And since (the complement) PR is equal to (the complement) OS [Prop. 1.43], let (parallelogram) QB have been added to both. Thus, the whole (parallelogram) PB is equal to the whole (parallelogram)

τῷ Δ [ἐπειδήπερ τὸ ΠB τῷ $H \Pi$ ὅμοιόν ἐστιν]· ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.


allelogram) OB. But, OB is equal to TE, since side AE is equal to side EB [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, TE is also equal to PB. Let (parallelogram) OS have been added to both. Thus, the whole (parallelogram) TS is equal to the gnomon VWU. But, gnomon VWU was shown (to be) equal to C. Therefore, (parallelogram) TS is also equal to (figure) C.

Thus, the parallelogram ST, equal to the given rectilinear figure C, has been applied to the given straightline AB, falling short by the parallelogrammic figure QB, which is similar to D [inasmuch as QB is similar to GQ [Prop. 6.24]]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

[†] This proposition is a geometric solution of the quadratic equation $x^2 - \alpha x + \beta = 0$. Here, x is the ratio of a side of the deficit to the corresponding side of figure D, α is the ratio of the length of AB to the length of that side of figure D which corresponds to the side of the deficit running along AB, and β is the ratio of the areas of figures C and D. The constraint corresponds to the condition $\beta < \alpha^2/4$ for the equation to have real roots. Only the smaller root of the equation is found. The larger root can be found by a similar method.

xil'.

Παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβαλεῖν ὑπερβάλλον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ ὁμοίῳ τῷ δοθέντι.




Έστω ή μὲν δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα ή AB, τὸ δὲ δοθὲν εὐθύγραμμον, ῷ δεῖ ἴσον παρὰ τὴν AB παραβαλεῖν, τὸ Γ , ῷ δὲ δεῖ ὄμοιον ὑπερβάλλειν, τὸ Δ · δεῖ δὴ παρὰ τὴν AB εὐθεῖαν τῷ Γ εὐθυγράμμῳ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβαλεῖν ὑπερβάλλον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ ὁμοίῳ τῷ Δ .

Τετμήσθω ή AB δίχα κατὰ τὸ E, καὶ ἀναγεγράθω ἀπὸ τὴς EB τῷ Δ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ BZ, καὶ συναμφοτέροις μὲν τοῖς BZ, Γ ἴσον, τῷ δὲ Δ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον τὸ αὐτὸ συνεστάτω τὸ $H\Theta$. ὁμόλογος δὲ ἔστω ἡ μὲν $K\Theta$ τῆ $Z\Lambda$, ἡ δὲ KH τῆ ZE. καὶ ἐπεὶ μεῖζόν ἐστι τὸ $H\Theta$ τοῦ ZB, μείζων ἄρα ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ μὲν $K\Theta$ τῆς $Z\Lambda$, ἡ δὲ KH τῆ ZE. ἐκβεβλήσθωσαν αἱ $Z\Lambda$, ZE, καὶ τῆ μὲν $X\Theta$ ἴση ἔστω ἡ $Z\Lambda M$, τῆ δὲ XH ἴση ἡ XAM, τῆ δὲ XH ἴση ἡ XAM, τὰ δὲ XH ἴση ἡ XAM, τὰ XAM συμπεπληρώσθω τὸ XAM τὸ XAM ἄρα τῷ XAM ἴσον τέ ἐστι καὶ ὅμοιον. ἀλλὰ τὸ XAM τῷ XAM ἔστιν ὅμοιον.

Proposition 29[†]

To apply a parallelogram, equal to a given rectilinear figure, to a given straight-line, (the applied parallelogram) overshooting by a parallelogrammic figure similar to a given (parallelogram).



Let AB be the given straight-line, and C the given rectilinear figure to which the (parallelogram) applied to AB is required (to be) equal, and D the (parallelogram) to which the excess is required (to be) similar. So it is required to apply a parallelogram, equal to the given rectilinear figure C, to the given straight-line AB, overshooting by a parallelogrammic figure similar to D.

Let AB have been cut in half at (point) E [Prop. 1.10], and let the parallelogram BF, (which is) similar, and similarly laid out, to D, have been described on EB [Prop. 6.18]. And let (parallelogram) GH have been constructed (so as to be) both similar, and similarly laid out, to D, and equal to the sum of BF and C [Prop. 6.25]. And let KH correspond to FL, and KG to FE. And since (parallelogram) GH is greater than (parallelogram) FB,

καὶ τὸ MN ἄρα τῷ ΕΛ ὅμοιόν ἐστιν· περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν ἄρα διάμετρόν ἐστι τὸ ΕΛ τῷ MN. ἤχθω αὐτῶν διάμετρος ἡ ΖΞ, καὶ καταγεγράφθω τὸ σχῆμα.

Έπεὶ ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΗΘ τοῖς ΕΛ, Γ , ἀλλὰ τὸ ΗΘ τῷ MN ἴσον ἐστίν, καὶ τὸ MN ἄρα τοῖς ΕΛ, Γ ἴσον ἐστίν. κοινὸν ἀφηρήσθω τὸ ΕΛ· λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ ΨΧΦ γνώμων τῷ Γ ἐστιν ἴσος. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ΑΕ τῆ ΕΒ, ἴσον ἐστὶ καὶ τὸ ΑΝ τῷ NB, τουτέστι τῷ ΛΟ. κοινὸν προσκείσθω τὸ ΕΞ· ὅλον ἄρα τὸ ΑΞ ἴσον ἐστὶ τῷ ΦΧΨ γνώμονι. ἀλλὰ ὁ ΦΧΨ γνώμων τῷ Γ ἴσος ἐστίν· καὶ τὸ ΑΞ ἄρα τῷ Γ ἴσον ἐστίν.


Παρὰ τὴν δοθεῖσαν ἄρα εὐθεῖαν τὴν AB τῷ δοθέντι εὐθυγράμμῳ τῷ Γ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον παραβέβληται τὸ $A\Xi$ ὑπερβάλλον εἴδει παραλληλογράμμῳ τῷ ΠO ὁμοίῳ ὄντι τῷ Δ , ἐπεὶ καὶ τῷ $E\Lambda$ ἐστιν ὅμοιον τὸ $O\Pi$ · ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

KH is thus also greater than FL, and KG than FE. Let FL and FE have been produced, and let FLM be (made) equal to KH, and FEN to KG [Prop. 1.3]. And let (parallelogram) MN have been completed. Thus, MN is equal and similar to GH. But, GH is similar to EL. Thus, MN is also similar to EL [Prop. 6.21]. EL is thus about the same diagonal as MN [Prop. 6.26]. Let their (common) diagonal FO have been drawn, and let the (remainder of the) figure have been described.

Thus, the parallelogram AO, equal to the given rectilinear figure C, has been applied to the given straightline AB, overshooting by the parallelogrammic figure QP which is similar to D, since PQ is also similar to EL [Prop. 6.24]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

λ'.


Τὴν δοθεῖσαν εὐθεῖαν πεπερασμένην ἄκρον καὶ μέσον λόγον τεμεῖν.



Έστω ή δοθεῖσα εὐθεῖα πεπερασμένη ή AB· δεῖ δὴ τὴν AB εὐθεῖαν ἄχρον καὶ μέσον λόγον τεμεῖν.

Proposition 30[†]

To cut a given finite straight-line in extreme and mean ratio.



Let AB be the given finite straight-line. So it is required to cut the straight-line AB in extreme and mean

[†] This proposition is a geometric solution of the quadratic equation $x^2 + \alpha x - \beta = 0$. Here, x is the ratio of a side of the excess to the corresponding side of figure D, α is the ratio of the length of AB to the length of that side of figure D which corresponds to the side of the excess running along AB, and β is the ratio of the areas of figures C and D. Only the positive root of the equation is found.

Άναγεγράφθω ἀπὸ τῆς AB τετράγωνον τὸ $B\Gamma$, καὶ παραβεβλήσθω παρὰ τὴν $A\Gamma$ τῷ $B\Gamma$ ἴσον παραλληλόγραμμον τὸ $\Gamma\Delta$ ὑπερβάλλον εἴδει τῷ $A\Delta$ ὁμοίω τῷ $B\Gamma$.

Τετράγωνον δέ ἐστι τὸ $B\Gamma$ τετράγωνον ἄρα ἐστι καὶ τὸ $A\Delta$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ $B\Gamma$ τῷ $\Gamma\Delta$, κοινὸν ἀφηρήσθω τὸ ΓE · λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸ BZ λοιπῷ τῷ $A\Delta$ ἐστιν ἴσον. ἔστι δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ ἰσογώνιον· τῶν BZ, $A\Delta$ ἄρα ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ πλευραὶ αἱ περὶ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ZE πρὸς τὴν $E\Delta$, οὔτως ἡ AE πρὸς τὴν EB. ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν ZE τῆ AB, ἡ δὲ $E\Delta$ τῆ AE. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν AE, οὔτως ἡ AE πρὸς τὴν EB. μείζων δὲ ἡ EB τῆς EB. μείζων ἄρα καὶ ἡ EB τῆς EB.

Ή ἄρα AB εὐθεῖα ἄχρον καὶ μέσον λόγον τέτμηται κατὰ τὸ E, καὶ τὸ μεῖζον αὐτῆς τμῆμά ἐστι τὸ AE ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

ratio.


Let the square BC have been described on AB [Prop. 1.46], and let the parallelogram CD, equal to BC, have been applied to AC, overshooting by the figure AD (which is) similar to BC [Prop. 6.29].

And BC is a square. Thus, AD is also a square. And since BC is equal to CD, let (rectangle) CE have been subtracted from both. Thus, the remaining (rectangle) BF is equal to the remaining (square) AD. And it is also equiangular to it. Thus, the sides of BF and AD about the equal angles are reciprocally proportional [Prop. 6.14]. Thus, as FE is to ED, so AE (is) to EB. And FE (is) equal to AB, and ED to AE. Thus, as BA is to AE, so AE (is) also greater than AE. Thus, AE (is) also greater than EB [Prop. 5.14].

Thus, the straight-line AB has been cut in extreme and mean ratio at E, and AE is its greater piece. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

 $\lambda \alpha'$.

Έν τοῖς ὀρθογωνίοις τριγώνοις τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς τὴν ὀρθὴν γωνίαν ὑποτεινούσης πλευρᾶς εΐδος ἴσον ἐστὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν τὴν ὀρθὴν γωνίαν περιεχουσῶν πλευρῶν εἴδεσι τοῖς ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφομένοις.




Έστω τρίγωνον ὀρθογώνιον τὸ $AB\Gamma$ ὀρθὴν ἔχον τὴν ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ γωνίαν· λέγω, ὅτι τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Gamma$ εἴδος ἴσον ἐστὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν BA, $A\Gamma$ εἴδεσι τοῖς ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφομένοις.

"Ήχθω κάθετος ἡ ΑΔ.

Έπεὶ οὕν ἐν ὀρθογωνίω τριγώνω τῷ ABΓ ἀπὸ τῆς πρὸς τῷ A ὀρθῆς γωνίας ἐπὶ τὴν BΓ βάσιν κάθετος ῆκται ἡ AΔ, τὰ ABΔ, ΑΔΓ πρὸς τῆ καθέτω τρίγωνα ὅμοιά ἐστι τῷ τε ὅλω τῷ ABΓ καὶ ἀλλήλοις. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὅμοιόν ἐστι τὸ ABΓ τῷ ABΔ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΓΒ πρὸς τὴν BA, οὕτως ἡ AB πρὸς τὴν BΔ. καὶ ἐπεὶ τρεῖς εὐθεῖαι ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν, ἔστιν ὡς ἡ πρώτη πρὸς τὴν τρίτην, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης εἴδος πρὸς

Proposition 31

In right-angled triangles, the figure (drawn) on the side subtending the right-angle is equal to the (sum of the) similar, and similarly described, figures on the sides surrounding the right-angle.



Let ABC be a right-angled triangle having the angle BAC a right-angle. I say that the figure (drawn) on BC is equal to the (sum of the) similar, and similarly described, figures on BA and AC.

Let the perpendicular AD have been drawn [Prop. 1.12].

Therefore, since, in the right-angled triangle ABC, the (straight-line) AD has been drawn from the right-angle at A perpendicular to the base BC, the triangles ABD and ADC about the perpendicular are similar to the whole (triangle) ABC, and to one another [Prop. 6.8]. And since ABC is similar to ABD, thus


[†] This method of cutting a straight-line is sometimes called the "Golden Section"—see Prop. 2.11.

τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς δευτέρας τὸ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφόμενον. ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΓB πρὸς τὴν $B \Delta$, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς ΓB εἴδος πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς B A τὸ ὅμοιον καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφόμενον. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὡς ἡ $B \Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν $\Gamma \Delta$, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B \Gamma$ εἴδος πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B \Gamma$ εἴδος πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B \Gamma$ εἴδος πρὸς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $B \Lambda$, $\Delta \Gamma$, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B \Gamma$ εἴδος πρὸς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $B \Lambda$, $\Delta \Gamma$ τὰ ὅμοια καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφόμενα. ἴση δὲ ἡ $B \Gamma$ ταῖς $B \Delta$, $\Delta \Gamma$ · ἴσον ἄρα καὶ τὸ ἄπὸ τῆς $B \Gamma$ εἴδος τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν $B \Lambda$, $\Delta \Gamma$ εἴδεσι τοῖς ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφομένοις.

Έν ἄρα τοῖς ὀρθογωνίοις τριγώνοις τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς τὴν ὀρθὴν γωνίαν ὑποτεινούσης πλευρᾶς εἴδος ἴσον ἐστὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν τὴν ὀρθὴν γωνίαν περιεχουσῶν πλευρῶν εἴδεσι τοῖς ὁμοίοις τε καὶ ὁμοίως ἀναγραφομένοις· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

λβ΄.

Έὰν δύο τρίγωνα συντεθή κατὰ μίαν γωνίαν τὰς δύο πλευρὰς ταῖς δυσὶ πλευραῖς ἀνάλογον ἔχοντα ὥστε τὰς ὁμολόγους αὐτῶν πλευρὰς καὶ παραλλήλους εἴναι, αἱ λοιπαὶ τῶν τριγώνων πλευραὶ ἐπ᾽ εὐθείας ἔσονται.



Έστω δύο τρίγωνα τὰ $AB\Gamma$, $\Delta\Gamma E$ τὰς δύο πλευρὰς τὰς BA, $A\Gamma$ ταῖς δυσὶ πλευραῖς ταῖς $\Delta\Gamma$, ΔE ἀνάλογον ἔχοντα, ὡς μὲν τὴν AB πρὸς τὴν $A\Gamma$, οὕτως τὴν $\Delta\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ΔE , παράλληλον δὲ τὴν μὲν AB τῆ $\Delta\Gamma$, τὴν δὲ $A\Gamma$ τῆ ΔE · λέγω, ὅτι ἐπ' εὐθείας ἐστὶν ἡ $B\Gamma$ τῆ ΓE .


Ἐπεὶ γὰρ παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ AB τῆ $\Delta\Gamma$, καὶ εἰς αὐτὰς ἐμπέπτωκεν εὐθεῖα ἡ $A\Gamma$, αἱ ἐναλλὰξ γωνίαι αἱ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$, $A\Gamma\Delta$ ἴσαι ἀλλήλαις εἰσίν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $\Gamma\Delta E$ τῆ ὑπὸ $A\Gamma\Delta$ ἴση ἐστίν. ὤστε καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ τῆ ὑπὸ $\Gamma\Delta E$ ἐστιν ἴση. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο τρίγωνά ἐστι τὰ $AB\Gamma$, $\Delta\Gamma E$ μίαν γωνίαν τὴν πρὸς τῷ Δ ἴσην ἔχοντα, περὶ

as CB is to BA, so AB (is) to BD [Def. 6.1]. And since three straight-lines are proportional, as the first is to the third, so the figure (drawn) on the first is to the similar, and similarly described, (figure) on the second [Prop. 6.19 corr.]. Thus, as CB (is) to BD, so the figure (drawn) on CB (is) to the similar, and similarly described, (figure) on BA. And so, for the same (reasons), as BC (is) to CD, so the figure (drawn) on BC (is) to the (figure) on CA. Hence, also, as BC (is) to BD and DC, so the figure (drawn) on BC (is) to the (sum of the) similar, and similarly described, (figures) on BA and AC [Prop. 5.24]. And BC is equal to BD and DC. Thus, the figure (drawn) on BC (is) also equal to the (sum of the) similar, and similarly described, figures on BA and AC [Prop. 5.9].

Thus, in right-angled triangles, the figure (drawn) on the side subtending the right-angle is equal to the (sum of the) similar, and similarly described, figures on the sides surrounding the right-angle. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 32

If two triangles, having two sides proportional to two sides, are placed together at a single angle such that the corresponding sides are also parallel, then the remaining sides of the triangles will be straight-on (with respect to one another).



Let ABC and DCE be two triangles having the two sides BA and AC proportional to the two sides DC and DE—so that as AB (is) to AC, so DC (is) to DE—and (having side) AB parallel to DC, and AC to DE. I say that (side) BC is straight-on to CE.


For since AB is parallel to DC, and the straight-line AC has fallen across them, the alternate angles BAC and ACD are equal to one another [Prop. 1.29]. So, for the same (reasons), CDE is also equal to ACD. And, hence, BAC is equal to CDE. And since ABC and DCE are two triangles having the one angle at A equal to the one

δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον, ὡς τὴν ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΓ, οὕτως τὴν ΓΔ πρὸς τὴν ΔΕ, ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΓΕ τριγώνῳ· ἴση ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΔΓΕ. ἐδείχθη δὲ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΔ τῆ ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ ἴση· ὅλη ἄρα ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ δυσὶ ταῖς ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ, ΒΑΓ ἴση ἐστίν. κοινὴ προσκείσθω ἡ ὑπὸ ΑΓΒ· αἱ ἄρα ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ, ΑΓΒ ταῖς ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ, ΑΓΒ, ΓΒΑ ἴσαι εἰσίν. ἀλλὶ αἱ ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ, ΑΒΓ, ΑΓΒ ὁυσὶν ὀρθαῖς ἴσαι εἰσίν· καὶ αἱ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ, ΑΓΒ ἄρα δυσὶν ὀρθαῖς ἴσαι εἰσίν· καὶ αἱ ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ, ΑΓΒ ἄρα δυσὶν ὀρθαῖς ἴσαι εἰσίν· πρὸς δή τινι εὐθεία τῆ ΑΓ καὶ τῷ πρὸς αὐτῆ σημείω τῷ Γ δύο εὐθεῖαι αἱ ΒΓ, ΓΕ μὴ ἐπὶ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη κείμεναι τὰς ἐφεξῆς γωνάις τὰς ὑπὸ ΑΓΕ, ΑΓΒ δυσὶν ὀρθαῖς ἴσας ποιοῦσιν· ἐπὸ εὐθείας ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΒΓ τῆ ΓΕ.

Έὰν ἄρα δύο τρίγωνα συντεθῆ κατὰ μίαν γωνίαν τὰς δύο πλευρὰς ταῖς δυσὶ πλευραῖς ἀνάλογον ἔχοντα ὥστε τὰς ὁμολόγους αὐτῶν πλευρὰς καὶ παραλλήλους εἴναι, αἱ λοιπαὶ τῶν τριγώνων πλευραὶ ἐπ᾽ εὐθείας ἔσονται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

λγ'.

Έν τοῖς ἴσοις κύκλοις αἱ γωνίαι τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχουσι λόγον ταῖς περιφερείαις, ἐφ᾽ ὧν βεβήκασιν, ἐάν τε πρὸς τοῖς κέντροις ἐάν τε πρὸς ταῖς περιφερείαις ὧσι βεβηκυῖαι.



Έστωσαν ἴσοι κύκλοι οἱ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ , καὶ πρὸς μὲν τοῖς κέντροις αὐτῶν τοῖς H, Θ γωνίαι ἔστωσαν αἱ ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$, $E\Theta Z$, πρὸς δὲ ταῖς περιφερείαις αἱ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$, $E\Delta Z$ · λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $B\Gamma$ περιφέρεια πρὸς τὴν EZ περιφέρειαν, οὔτως ἥ τε ὑπὸ $BH\Gamma$ γωνία πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Theta Z$ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$.

Κείσθωσαν γὰρ τῆ μὲν $B\Gamma$ περιφερεία ἴσαι κατὰ τὸ ἑξῆς ὁσαιδηποτοῦν αἱ ΓK , $K\Lambda$, τῆ δὲ EZ περιφερεία ἴσαι ὁσαιδηποτοῦν αἱ ZM, MN, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ HK, $H\Lambda$, ΘM , ΘN .

Έπεὶ οὖν ἴσαι εἰσὶν αἱ ΒΓ, ΓΚ, ΚΛ περιφέρειαι ἀλλήλαις, ἴσαι εἰσὶ καὶ αἱ ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ, ΓΗΚ, ΚΗΛ γωνίαι ἀλλήλαις· ὁσαπλασίων ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΒΛ περιφέρεια τῆς ΒΓ, τοσαυταπλασίων ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΛ γωνία τῆς ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ. διὰ τὰ

angle at D, and the sides about the equal angles proportional, (so that) as BA (is) to AC, so CD (is) to DE, triangle ABC is thus equiangular to triangle DCE [Prop. 6.6]. Thus, angle ABC is equal to DCE. And (angle) ACD was also shown (to be) equal to BAC. Thus, the whole (angle) ACE is equal to the two (angles) ABC and BAC. Let ACB have been added to both. Thus, ACE and ACB are equal to BAC, ACB, and CBA. But, BAC, ABC, and ACB are equal to two right-angles [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, ACE and ACB are also equal to two right-angles. Thus, the two straight-lines BC and CE, not lying on the same side, make adjacent angles ACE and ACB (whose sum is) equal to two right-angles with some straight-line AC, at the point C on it. Thus, BC is straight-on to CE [Prop. 1.14].


Thus, if two triangles, having two sides proportional to two sides, are placed together at a single angle such that the corresponding sides are also parallel, then the remaining sides of the triangles will be straight-on (with respect to one another). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 33

In equal circles, angles have the same ratio as the (ratio of the) circumferences on which they stand, whether they are standing at the centers (of the circles) or at the circumferences.

D

N



Let ABC and DEF be equal circles, and let BGC and EHF be angles at their centers, G and H (respectively), and BAC and EDF (angles) at their circumferences. I say that as circumference BC is to circumference EF, so angle BGC (is) to EHF, and (angle) BAC to EDF.

For let any number whatsoever of consecutive (circumferences), CK and KL, be made equal to circumference BC, and any number whatsoever, FM and MN, to circumference EF. And let GK, GL, HM, and HN have been joined.

Therefore, since circumferences BC, CK, and KL are equal to one another, angles BGC, CGK, and KGL are also equal to one another [Prop. 3.27]. Thus, as many times as circumference BL is (divisible) by BC, so many

αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὁσαπλασίων ἐστὶν ἡ ΝΕ περιφέρεια τῆς ΕΖ, τοσαυταπλασίων έστι και ή ύπο ΝΘΕ γωνία τῆς ὑπο ΕΘΖ. εἰ άρα ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ΒΛ περιφέρεια τῆ ΕΝ περιφερεία, ἴση ἐστὶ καὶ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΛ τῆ ὑπὸ ΕΘΝ, καὶ εἰ μείζων ἐστὶν ἡ ΒΛ περιφέρεια τῆς ΕΝ περιφερείας, μείζων ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΛ γωνία τῆς ὑπὸ ΕΘΝ, καὶ εἰ ἐλάσσων, ἐλάσσων. τεσσάρων δή ὄντων μεγεθῶν, δύο μὲν περιφερειῶν τῶν ΒΓ, ΕΖ, δύο δὲ γωνιῶν τῶν ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ, ΕΘΖ, εἴληπται τῆς μὲν ΒΓ περιφερείας καὶ τῆς ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ γωνίας ἰσάκις πολλαπλασίων ἥ τε ΒΛ περιφέρεια καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΛ γωνία, τῆς δὲ ΕΖ περιφερείας καὶ τῆς ὑπὸ ΕΘΖ γωνίας ἥ τε ΕΝ περιφέρια καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΕΘΝ γωνία. καὶ δέδεικται, ὅτι εἰ ὑπερέχει ἡ ΒΛ περιφέρεια τῆς ΕΝ περιφερείας, ὑπερέχει καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΛ γωνία τῆς ὑπο ΕΘΝ γωνίας, καὶ εἰ ἴση, ἴση, καὶ εἰ ἐλάσσων, ἐλάσσων. ἔστιν ἄρα, ὡς ἡ ΒΓ περιφέρεια πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, οὕτως ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ γωνία πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ ΕΘΖ. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ γωνία πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Theta Z$, οὕτως ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$. διπλασία γὰρ ἑκατέρα ἑκατέρας. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΓ περιφέρεια πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ περιφέρειαν, οὕτως ἥ τε ὑπὸ ΒΗΓ γωνία πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Theta Z$ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ $BA\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν ὑπὸ $E\Delta Z$.

Έν ἄρα τοῖς ἴσοις κύκλοις αἱ γωνίαι τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχουσι λόγον ταῖς περιφερείαις, ἐφ᾽ ὧν βεβήκασιν, ἐάν τε πρὸς τοῖς κέντροις ἐάν τε πρὸς ταῖς περιφερείαις ὧσι βεβηκυῖαι· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

the same (reasons), as many times as circumference NEis (divisible) by EF, so many times is angle NHE also (divisible) by EHF. Thus, if circumference BL is equal to circumference EN then angle BGL is also equal to EHN [Prop. 3.27], and if circumference BL is greater than circumference EN then angle BGL is also greater than EHN, and if (BL is) less (than EN then BGL is also) less (than EHN). So there are four magnitudes, two circumferences BC and EF, and two angles BGCand EHF. And equal multiples have been taken of circumference BC and angle BGC, (namely) circumference BL and angle BGL, and of circumference EF and angle EHF, (namely) circumference EN and angle EHN. And it has been shown that if circumference BL exceeds circumference EN then angle BGL also exceeds angle EHN, and if (BL is) equal (to EN then BGL is also) equal (to EHN), and if (BL is) less (than EN then BGLis also) less (than EHN). Thus, as circumference BC(is) to EF, so angle BGC (is) to EHF [Def. 5.5]. But as angle BGC (is) to EHF, so (angle) BAC (is) to EDF[Prop. 5.15]. For the former (are) double the latter (respectively) [Prop. 3.20]. Thus, also, as circumference BC(is) to circumference EF, so angle BGC (is) to EHF, and BAC to EDF.

times is angle BGL also (divisible) by BGC. And so, for

Thus, in equal circles, angles have the same ratio as the (ratio of the) circumferences on which they stand, whether they are standing at the centers (of the circles) or at the circumferences. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

[†] This is a straight-forward generalization of Prop. 3.27