

**Ch. V. ITÔ (STOCHASTIC) CALCULUS. WEAK CONVERGENCE.****§1. Quadratic Variation.**

A *partition*  $\pi_n$  of  $[0, t]$  is a finite set of points  $t_{ni}$  such that  $0 = t_{n0} < t_{n1} < \dots < t_{n,k(n)} = t$ ; the *mesh* of the partition is  $|\pi_n| := \max_i (t_{ni} - t_{n,i-1})$ , the maximal subinterval length. We consider *nested* sequences  $(\pi_n)$  of partitions (each refines its predecessors by adding further partition points), with  $|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0$ . Call (writing  $t_i$  for  $t_{ni}$  for simplicity)

$$\pi_n B := \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2$$

the *quadratic variation* of  $B$  on  $(\pi_n)$ . The following classical result is due to Lévy (in his book of 1948); the proof below is from [Pro], §I.3.

**Theorem (Lévy).**  $\pi_n B \rightarrow t$  ( $|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0$ ) in mean square.

*Proof.*

$$\pi_n B - t = \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} \{(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2 - (t_{i+1} - t_i)\} = \sum_i \{(\Delta_i B)^2 - (\Delta_i t)\} = \sum_i Y_i,$$

say, where since  $\Delta_i B \sim N(0, \Delta_i t)$ ,  $E[(\Delta_i B)^2] = \Delta_i t$ , so the  $Y_i$  have zero mean, and are independent by independent increments of  $B$ . So

$$E[(\pi_n B - t)^2] = E[(\sum_i Y_i)^2] = \sum_i E(Y_i^2),$$

as variance adds over independent summands. Now as  $\Delta_i B \sim N(0, \Delta_i t)$ ,  $(\Delta_i B)/\sqrt{\Delta_i t} \sim N(0, 1)$ , so  $(\Delta_i B)^2/\Delta_i t \sim Z^2$ , where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . So  $Y_i = (\Delta_i B)^2 - \Delta_i t \sim (Z^2 - 1)\Delta_i t$ ,

$$E[(\pi_n B - t)^2] = \sum_i E[(Z^2 - 1)^2](\Delta_i t)^2 = c \sum_i (\Delta_i t)^2,$$

writing  $c$  for  $E[(Z^2 - 1)^2]$ ,  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , a finite constant. But since

$$\sum_i (\Delta_i t)^2 \leq \max_i \Delta_i t \cdot \sum_i \Delta_i t = |\pi_n| \cdot t,$$

$$E[(\pi_n B - t)^2] \leq c \cdot t \cdot |\pi_n| \rightarrow 0 \quad (|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0). \quad \bullet$$

*Note.* 1. From convergence in mean square, one can always extract an a.s. convergent subsequence.

2. The conclusion above extends in full generality to a.s. convergence, but an easy proof needs the reversed mg convergence theorem (omitted).
3. There is an easy extension to a.s. convergence under the extra restriction  $\sum_n |\pi_n| < \infty$ , using the Borel-Cantelli lemma and Chebychev's inequality.
4. If we consider the theorem over  $[0, t + dt]$ ,  $[0, t]$  and subtract, we can write the result formally as

$$(dB_t)^2 = dt.$$

This can be regarded either as a convenient piece of symbolism, or acronym, or as the essence of *Itô calculus*, to which we turn below.

*Background on Other Integrals.*

For simplicity, we fix  $T < \infty$  and work throughout on time-set  $[0, T]$  (in financial applications,  $T$  is the *expiry* time, when – or by when – financial derivatives such as options to buy or sell expire).

We want to define integrals of the form

$$I_t(f)(\omega) = \int_0^t f(s, \omega) dB_s,$$

with suitable stochastic processes  $f$  as integrands and  $BM$   $B$  as integrator. *Remark.* We first learn integration with  $x$  as integrator, to get  $\int_0^t f(x)dx$ , first as a *Riemann* integral (this is just the ‘Sixth Form integral’ in the ‘epsilon’ language of undergraduate mathematics), then as the *Lebesgue* integral (better, as more general, and easier to manipulate, thanks to the monotone and dominated convergence theorems, etc.). Later, e.g. in handling distribution functions, which may have jumps, we learn  $\int_0^t f(x)dF(x)$  for  $F$  monotone. One can extend this by linearity to  $F$  a difference of two monotone functions – a function locally of *finite variation*, FV. Again, such integrals  $\int_0^t f dF$  come in two kinds, Riemann-Stieltjes (R-S) and Lebesgue-Stieltjes (L-S). If we want  $\int_0^t f dF$  to exist for all continuous  $f$  – as we do – then one needs  $F$  to be FV (see e.g. [Pro], Th. 52 of I.7 – though see [Mik], §2.1 for the surprising lengths to which one can push the R-S integral). Now  $BM$  has finite *quadratic* variation, so infinite *ordinary* variation. So one needs something new to handle  $BM$  as an integrator.

## §2. Itô Integral.

We have our filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  on  $\Omega$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t$  handles randomness up to time  $t$ , and the Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}$  (the smallest containing the intervals) to handle the time-interval  $[0, T]$ . Write  $\mathcal{F}_t \times \mathcal{B}$  for the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing all  $A \times B$ , where  $A$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. Call  $f(.,.)$

*measurable* if it is  $\mathcal{F}_T \times \mathcal{B}$ -measurable and *adapted* if  $f(t, \cdot)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for each  $t \in [0, T]$ . Finally, write  $H^2 = H^2[0, T]$  for the class of measurable adapted  $f$  satisfying the integrability condition

$$E\left[\int_0^T f^2(t, \omega) dt\right] < \infty.$$

For  $(a, b) \subset [0, T]$ ,  $f = I_{(a,b)}$ , the only candidate for  $I(f) := \int f dB$  is

$$I(f)(\omega) = \int_a^b dB_t = B_b - B_a.$$

Similarly for other kinds of interval,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ , since  $B_t$  is continuous.

Next, integration should be *linear*, so  $I$  should extend from indicators to *simple* functions by linearity. Write  $H_0^2$  for the class of simple square-integrable functions – those  $f$  of the form

$$f(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(\omega) I(t_i < t \leq t_{i+1})$$

with  $a_i$   $\mathcal{F}(t_i)$ -measurable,  $E(a_i^2) < \infty$  and  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = T$ . The only plausible candidate for  $I_t(f)$  for  $f \in H_0^2$  is

$$I_t(f)(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(\omega) (B(t \wedge t_{i+1}) - B(t \wedge t_i)).$$

By continuity of  $B_t$  in  $t$ ,  $I_t(f)$  is continuous in  $t$ . Next, for  $u \geq s$ ,  $E(B_u | \mathcal{F}_s) = B_s$  as  $B$  is a mg, while for  $u \leq s$ ,  $E(B_u | \mathcal{F}_s) = B_u$  as then  $B_u$  is known at time  $s$ . Combining,

$$E(B_u | \mathcal{F}_s) = B(\min(u, s)).$$

Thus for  $s \leq t$ ,

$$E[I_t(f)(\omega) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(\omega) (B(s \wedge t \wedge t_{i+1}) - B(s \wedge t \wedge t_i)),$$

which – as  $s \leq t$  – is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(\omega) (B(s \wedge t_{i+1}) - B(s \wedge t_i)),$$

which is  $I_s(f)$ . This says that  $I_t(f)$  is a mg. So, from the mg property, the products of increments over disjoint intervals have zero mean (all relevant expectations exist as we are assuming square-integrability). Consider

$$E[I_t(f)^2] = E\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)) + a_k(B(t) - B(t_k))\right)^2\right] \quad (t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}).$$

Expand out the square; the cross-terms have zero expectation, leaving

$$E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i^2(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2 + a_k^2(B(t) - B(t_k))^2\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} E(a_i^2)(t_{i+1} - t_i) + E(a_k^2)(t - t_k),$$

which is  $\int_0^t E(f^2(s, \omega))ds$  as  $f^2$  is  $a_i^2$  on  $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . Combining:

**Proposition.**

For  $f \in H_0^2$  a simple function, and  $(I_t f)(\omega)$  defined as above,

- (i)  $I_t f$  is a continuous martingale,
- (ii)  $E[(I_t f)(\omega)^2] = E[\int_0^t f^2(s, \omega)ds]$  (Itô isometry).

One seeks to extend  $I_t$  from simple functions  $f \in H_0^2$  to general  $f \in H^2$  (an extension analogous to the extension of the Lebesgue integral from simple to measurable functions, in the most basic non-random measure-theoretic set-up). It is not at all obvious, but it is true, that – with  $H_0^2$ ,  $H^2$  regarded as Hilbert spaces with the norm  $\|f\| := (\int_0^T f^2(s, \omega)ds)^{1/2}$  –  $H_0^2$  is *dense* in  $H^2$  – that is, each  $f \in H^2$  is the limit in norm of an approximating sequence  $f_n \in H_0^2$ . It is further true that the map  $I_t$  extends from  $H_0^2$  to  $H^2$  via

$$(I_t f)(\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I_t f_n)(\omega)$$

(the limit is in the Hilbert-space norm), and that the limit above does not depend on the particular choice of approximating sequence. That is:

**Proposition.**

For  $f \in H^2$  and  $(I_t f)(\omega)$  defined by approximation as above:

- (i)  $(I_t f)(\omega)$  is a continuous martingale,
- (ii) The Itô isometry  $E[(I_t f)(\omega)^2] = E[\int_0^t f^2(s, \omega)ds]$  holds.

We must refer to a rigorous measure-theoretic treatment for details of the proof. Full accounts are in [R-Y], IV, [K-S], Ch. 3. See also [Pro], Ch. II.

We now call  $I_t f$  the *Itô integral* of  $f \in H^2$ , and use the suggestive Leibniz integral sign (which dates from 1675):

$$(I_t f)(\omega) = \int_0^t f(s, \omega)dB(s, \omega) \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^t f_s dB_s \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

An alternative notation is

$$(f.B)_t := \int_0^t f_s dB_s.$$

*Predictability.* We used  $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$  to ensure left-continuity, so predictability. The distinction is not critical with Brownian motion or a continuous mg integrator, but it is critical with a general semimg integrator.

The appropriate topology (or convergence concept, in metric-space situations as here) is that of uniform convergence in probability on compact time-sets (ucp). The simple predictable functions (class  $S$ ) are dense in the adapted càglàd functions (class  $L$ ) in the ucp topology ([Pro], II.4), and this allows the approximation and extension results we need (and assume).

*An Example.* We calculate  $\int_0^t B_s dB_s$ , using Brownian motion both as (continuous, so previsible) integrand and as integrator. Take a sequence of partitions  $\pi_n$  with mesh  $|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0$ , and write  $t_i$  for the partition points  $t_{ni}$  of  $\pi_n$ , as above. The approximation properties sketched above allow us to identify  $\int_0^t B_s dB_s$  with the limit of

$$\sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} B(t_i)(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)).$$

But this is

$$\sum \frac{1}{2}(B(t_{i+1}) + B(t_i))(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)) - \sum \frac{1}{2}(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)).$$

The first sum is  $\frac{1}{2} \sum (B(t_{i+1})^2 - B(t_i)^2)$ , which telescopes to  $\frac{1}{2} B(t)^2$  ( $B(0) = 0$ ). The second sum is  $\frac{1}{2} \sum (\Delta_i B)^2$ , which tends to  $\frac{1}{2} t$  by Lévy's theorem on the quadratic variation of  $BM$ . Combining:

$$\int_0^t B_s dB_s = \frac{1}{2} B_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t.$$

This formula of course differs dramatically from that for ordinary (Newton-Leibniz) calculus, or its Riemann-Stieltjes or Lebesgue-Stieltjes extensions. The role of the second term – the correction term or *Itô term* – illustrates both the contrast between the Itô and earlier integrals and the decisive influence of the quadratic variation on the Itô integral.

*Quadratic Variation.* For continuous semimartingales  $X$ , the quadratic variation process  $\langle X \rangle = (\langle X \rangle_t : t \geq 0)$  is defined by

$$\langle X \rangle = X^2 - 2 \int X_- dX$$

(of course  $X_- = X$  when  $X$  is continuous, as here). Alternatively,  $X^2$  is a submg, and then

$$X^2 = \langle X \rangle + 2 \int X_- dX$$

is the Doob (or Doob-Meyer) decomposition of  $X^2$  into an increasing previsible process  $\langle X \rangle$  and a mg  $2 \int X_- dX$ .

For general (not necessarily continuous) mgs  $X$ , the quadratic variation  $[X]$  involves the jumps  $\Delta X_t$  of  $X$  also. Then if  $[X]^c$  is the continuous part of the increasing process  $[X]$ ,

$$[X]_t = [X]_t^c + \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^2,$$

and if  $X^c$  is the continuous mg part of  $X$  in its seming decomposition,

$$\langle X^c \rangle = [X^c] = [X]^c.$$

Both  $\langle X \rangle$  and  $[X]$  are shorthand for  $\langle X, X \rangle$  and  $[X, X]$ . Extend to different  $X$  and  $Y$  by polarization,

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := \frac{1}{4}(\langle X + Y, X + Y \rangle - \langle X - Y, X - Y \rangle)$$

(likewise for  $[.,.]$ ); both are locally FV, so are semimgs ([Pro], II.6).

**Product Rule.**

The quadratic covariation  $[X, Y]$  is, by polarization,

$$[X, Y] = XY - \int X_- dY - \int Y_- dX.$$

Rearranging: for  $X, Y$  semimgs, so is  $XY$ , and then

$$XY = \int X_- dY + \int Y_- dX + [X, Y].$$

This is the *integration-by-parts* formula, or *product rule*. It is the principal special case of *Itô's formula* (below) – to which it is in fact equivalent.

With  $H, K$  previsible integrands and  $X, Y$  semimartingales, we can form both the stochastic integrals  $H.X = \int H dX$ ,  $K.Y = \int K dY$ . We can then form the *(square-)bracket processes*, for which

$$[H.X, K.Y]_t = \int H_s K_s d[X, Y]_s;$$

in particular,

$$[H.X, H.X]_t = \int H_s^2 d[X, X]_s \quad \text{or} \quad [H.X]_t = \int H_s^2 d[X]_s.$$

For Brownian motion,  $[B]_t = \langle B \rangle_t$  (as there are no jumps)  $= t$  (by Lévy's result on quadratic variation). So specialising,

$$\left\langle \int f dB \right\rangle = \int_0^t f_s^2 ds, \quad \left\langle \int f_s dB_s, \int_0^t g_s dB_s \right\rangle = \int_0^t f_s g_s ds.$$

### §3. Itô's Formula

The change-of-variable formula (or chain rule) of ordinary calculus extends to the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral, and tells us that for smooth  $f \in C^1$  and continuous  $A$ , of FV (on compacts),

$$f(A_t) - f(A_0) = \int_0^t f'(A_s) dA_s.$$

(This of course does *not* apply to  $\int_0^t B_s dB_s = \frac{1}{2} B_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t$ , but there the integral is Itô, not Lebesgue-Stieltjes.)

Rather less well-known is the extension to  $A$  only *right*-continuous:

$$f(A_t) - f(A_0) = \int_{0+}^t f'(A_{s-}) dA_s + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \{f(A_s) - f(A_{s-}) - f'(A_{s-}) \Delta A_s\}.$$

One thus seeks a setting capable of handling both the last two displayed results together.

**Theorem (Itô's Formula).** For  $X$  a semimartingale and  $f \in C^2$ , then  $f(X)$  is also a semimartingale, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) - f(X_0) &= \int_{0+}^t f'(X_{s-}) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0+}^t f''(X_{s-}) d[X, X]_s^c \\ &+ \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \{f(X_s) - f(X_{s-}) - f'(X_{s-}) \Delta X_s\}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we note the special case for  $X$  continuous.

**Theorem (Itô's Formula).** For  $X$  a continuous semimartingale and  $f \in C^2$ ,  $f(X)$  is also a continuous semimartingale, and

$$f(X_t) - f(X_0) = \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d[X, X]_s.$$

**Corollary.** If  $X = X_0 + M + A$  is the decomposition of the continuous semimartingale  $X$ , that of  $f(X)$  is

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dM_s + \left\{ \int_0^t f'(X_s) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d[M]_s \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of  $C^2$  functions  $f$  for which the desired result holds. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a vector space. But  $\mathcal{A}$  is also closed under multiplication, so is an *algebra*. Indeed, if  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , write  $F_t, G_t$  for the semimings  $f(X_t)$  and  $g(X_t)$  and use the product rule. If  $X = X_0 + M + A$  is the decomposition of  $X$  into a (continuous) local mg  $M$  and a BV process  $A$ , the continuous local mg part of  $F = f(X)$  is  $\int f'(X_s) dM_s$ . So

$$[F, G]_t = [F^{cm}, G^{cm}]_t = \left[ \int_0^\cdot f'(X_s) dM_s, \int_0^\cdot g'(X_s) dM_s \right]_t,$$

which by above is

$$\int_0^t f'(X_s) g'(X_s) d[M, M]_s.$$

The product rule now says that

$$F_t G_t - F_0 G_0 = \int_0^t F_s dG_s + \int_0^t G_s dF_s + \int_0^t (f'g')(X_s) d[M]_s.$$

As Itô's formula holds for  $f$  (by assumption),

$$dF_t = f'(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f''(X_t) d[M]_t,$$

and similarly for  $g$ . Substituting,  $F_t G_t - F_0 G_0$  is

$$\int_0^t \{F_s g'(X_s) + f'(X_s) G_s\} dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \{F_s g''(X_s) + 2f'(X_s) g'(X_s) + f''(X_s) G_s\} d[M]_s.$$



This says that Itô's formula also holds for  $fg$ . So  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, and a vector space. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $f(x) = x$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  contains all polynomials.

One can now reduce from the local-mg to the mg case by a localization argument, and extend from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $C^2$  by an approximation argument. For details, see [R-W2], IV.32 (and for discontinuous  $X$ , VI.39). //

*Note. 1. Higher dimensions.* For vector functions  $f = (F_1, \dots, f_n)$  and vector processes  $X = (X^1, \dots, X_n)$ , we use the Einstein summation convention and  $D_i f$  for  $\partial f / \partial_i$ . Then the Itô formula extends (with much the same proof) as

$$f(X_t) - f(X_0) = \int_0^t D_i f(X_s) dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_{ij} f(X_s) d[X^i, X^j]_s.$$

2. With  $f(x, y) = xy$ , we then recover the product rule (integration-by-parts formula). So Itô's formula and the product rule are equivalent.

3. So the class of semimings is closed under  $C^2$  functions: a powerful – and highly non-linear – closure property.

4. *Differential Notation.* In the one-dimensional case, we may re-write Itô's formula in shorthand form using differential rather than integral notation as

$$df(X_t) = f'(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f''(X_t) d[X]_t.$$

The left is called the *stochastic differential* of  $f(X)$ . So the above gives the stochastic differential of  $f(X)$  in terms of the stochastic differential  $dX$  of  $X$  and the quadratic variation  $[X, X]$  or  $[X]$  of  $X$ .

5. *Formalism.* For the continuous case, we can obtain Itô's formula from Taylor's formula in differential form, if we use these rules for differentials:

$$dX^i dX^j = d[X^i, X^j], \quad dX^i dX^j dX^k = 0, \quad dX dV = 0$$

whenever  $V$  has FV. In particular, for  $X = B$  BM in one dimension,

$$dB_t^i dB_t^j = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad (dB_t^i)^2 = dt, \quad dB dV = 0 \quad V \text{ of finite variation on compacts.}$$

The interpretation here is that for  $i \neq j$ , the Brownian increments  $dB^i$  and  $dB^j$  are independent with zero mean, so  $E(dB_t^i dB_t^j) = E(dB_t^i) \cdot E(dB_t^j) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ , while for  $i = j$  the earlier symbolism  $(dB_t)^2 = dt$  becomes  $(dB_t^i)^2 = dt$ . The formalism above works well, and is flexible and reliable in practice.

One can also do stochastic calculus for *Lévy processes*; see Applebaum [A]. Apart from BM, the prime case is the *Poisson process*; see Kingman [K].

#### §4. Weak convergence

*Convergence in Distribution.* If  $c_n, c$  are constants, and  $c_n \rightarrow c$ , then regarding  $c_n, c$  as random variables one should have  $c_n \rightarrow c$  in any sense of convergence of random variables – in particular, for convergence in distribution. If  $F_{c_n}, F_c$  are their distribution functions, one has  $F_{c_n}(x) \rightarrow F_c(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \neq c$  – the point where the limit function has a jump. For a set  $A$ , write  $\bar{A}$  for its *closure*,  $A^\circ$  for its *interior*,  $\partial A := \bar{A} \setminus A^\circ$  for its *boundary*. With  $P_n, P$  the corresponding probability measures,  $P_n((-\infty, x]) \rightarrow P((-\infty, x])$  for all  $x \notin \partial(-\infty, c]$ . It turns out that this is the right definition of convergence in distribution, as it generalizes – to  $d$  dimensions (random vectors), and infinitely many dimensions (stochastic processes). We confine ourselves here to processes with *continuous paths* (e.g. Brownian motion). We take the (time) parameter set as  $[0, 1]$  for simplicity. If  $X$  is such a process, its paths lie in  $C[0, 1]$ , the space of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ . This is a metric space under the sup-norm metric  $d(f, g) := \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . The *Borel  $\sigma$ -field*  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(C([0, 1]))$  of  $C[0, 1]$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the open sets of  $C[0, 1]$  w.r.t. this metric. The *distribution*, or *law*, of a process  $X$  on  $C[0, 1]$  is given by  $P(B) := P(X(\cdot) \in B)$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $X_n, X$  are such processes, with laws  $P_n, P$ , one says  $X_n \rightarrow X$  *in distribution*, or *in law*, or *weakly*, or  $P_n \rightarrow P$  *weakly*, if

$$P_n(B) \rightarrow P(B) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } P(\partial B) = 0.$$

It turns out that this is equivalent to

$$\int f dP_n \rightarrow \int f dP \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall f \in C[0, 1],$$

and also, by Prohorov's theorem, to

- (i) convergence of finite-dimensional distributions (clearly needed), and
- (ii) *tightness*: for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K$  such that  $P_n(K) > 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n$ . For proof, see e.g. Billingsley [B].

*Statistical Applications.* These include the *Kolmogorov-Smirnov* (K-S) tests for equality of two distributions  $F, G$ , in terms of the *Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic*  $D_n := \sup\{|F_n(\cdot) - G_n(\cdot)|\}$  of the distance between their empirical distribution functions. In fact  $D_n$  has the same law as  $\sup\{B_0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ , where  $B$  is Brownian motion and  $B_0$  is the *Brownian bridge*:  $B_0(t) := B(t) - tB(1)$ . Similarly for many other functionals – *Donsker's Invariance Principle*. This is the dynamic form of the Central Limit Theorem (CLT).