Assignment1 Fast Trajectory Replanning

Nicholas-Schenk Charles Li Vibhu Ramanujam

October 22, 2021

Introduction

This assignment is about implementing variants of of A* algorithm in gridworld environment. This involves generating a 101 X 101 grid with maze like structure. This is created using depth-first approach. Start from a random cell, then go to a random neighbor that is not visited and mark them blocked with 30% probability and continue till all the cells are visited. The following variants of A* are implemented.

- Repeated Forward A* is implemented. In one version, if the f-value is tied, the tie is broken in favor of the larger g-value and in another version the tie is broken in favor of the smaller s-value. The performance of both these versions are compared.
- Implemented and performance compared Repeated Forward A* and Repeated Backward A* . The f-value tie is broken in favor of the larger g-value.
- Implemented Adaptive A*, an improved version of A* wherein the experience of the earlier searches is used to update the h-value.

Part 0

Part 1

a Explain in your report why the first move of the agent for the example search problem from Figure 8 is to the east rather than the north given that the agent does not know initially which cells are blocked.

The agent doesn't know which cells are blocked when it starts to find the path. If it has full knowledge of the maze, then the best path is to the North and then go around the obstacle.

Since the agent doesn't know, it has four options South, West, North and East. The first step in A* will be towards the shortest unblocked path.

It cannot go South as E2 is the bottom cell.

The manhatten distance from the neighbors are:

From North (D2) = 4

From West (E1) = 4

From East (E3) = 2 (shortest path)

So, the first move from E2 the agent will make is to the East.

b This project argues that the agent is guaranteed to reach the target if it is not separated from it by blocked cells. Give a convincing argument that the agent in finite gridworlds indeed either reaches the target or discovers that this is impossible in finite time. Prove that the number of moves of the agent until it reaches the target or discovers that this is impossible isbounded from above by the number of unblocked cells squared.

The agent will reach the goal as the number of grids is finite. In A*, there is a close list, so once it is expanded it will never go back to the open list. This will avoid infinite looping. If a cell is reachable from the start, the agent will visit them in a finite number of steps unless it is surrounded by blocked cells.

The algorithm terminates when it reaches the goal or when all the cells are visited. So, the algorithm is guaranteed to find a solution if it is not blocked. The worst-case scenario would be if all the cells are unblocked, and the agent start from an unblocked cell and visit and expand all the other unblocked cells. By visiting every cell, it is guaranteed to find the target if it is possible.

If the number of unblocked cells is 'n' in a certain scenario: In the worst case, A* will expand all n nodes. If A* start search from every unblocked cell (n) and the agent visits every other unblocked cell from every starting position it will expand n nodes n times.

So, the upper limit on the moves by the agent is number of unblocked cells squared (n2)

Part 2

Part 3

Part 4

The project argues that "the Manhattan distances are consistent in gridworlds in which the agent can move only in the four main compass directions." Prove that this is indeed the case.

Furthermore, it is argued that "The h-values $h_{new}(s)$... are not only admissible but also consistent." Prove that Adaptive A^* leaves initially consistent h-values consistent even if action costs can increase.

a A heuristic h(n) is consistent if, for every node n and every successor n' of n generated by any action a, the estimated cost of reaching the goal from n is no greater than the step cost of getting to n' plus the estimated cost of reaching the goal from n'. In other words, the heuristic function must underestimate the actual path cost and the following triangular inequality must hold.

$$h(n) \le \text{step cost (to neighbor n')} + h(n')$$

Manhattan distance is calculated by adding the horizontal and the vertical path. In the gridworld, Manhattan distance is always the same and it is the fastest path possible to reach the goal as the agent can move only up, down, left, or right. Here, the agent cannot move diagonally and so the agent will always find the shortest path. So, Manhattan distance is consistent. If the agent can go diagonally, the heuristic can overestimate the distance to reach the target.

To Prove that Adaptive A* is consistent:

Since, h-values are consistent, and h(s) follows Manhattan Heuristics.

$$h_{new}(s) = g(s_{qoal}) - g(s)$$
. —— 1

c(s,a,s') – Step cost of going from s to s' using action a is ONE.

The triangular inequality says:

$$h(s) < h(s') + c(s,a,s')$$

We have to prove $h_{new}(s) \leq h_{new}(s') + c(s,a,s')$

Substituting (from 1) $h_{new}(s) = g(s_{qoal}) - g(s)$ and

$$h_{new}(\mathbf{s}') = \mathbf{g}(s_{goal}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s}')$$
 above,

We get
$$g(s_{goal}) - g(s) \le g(s_{goal}) - g(s') + c(s,a,s')$$

Simplifying we get:

$$=> g(s) \ge g(s') - c(s,a,s')$$

This is TRUE because:

- In Manhattan Heuristics c(s,a,s') is always ONE
- If g(s') is smaller than g(s), subtracting ONE from it will make it still smaller by ONE
- If g(s') is greater than g(s), subtracting ONE from it will make them equal

So, h-values $h_{new}(s)$ are consistent.

b Now, to prove that Adaptive A* leaves initially consistent h-values consistent even if action costs can increase:

Let us again consider the triangular inequality:

$$h_{new}(s) \le h_{new}(s') + c(s,a,s')$$

Let there be a cost increase and c(s,a,s') be the cost before and c'(s,a,s') be the cost after the increase.

Now
$$h_{new}(s) \le h_{new}(s') + c(s,a,s') \le h_{new}(s') + c'(s,a,s')$$

So, we can see that the heuristic is consistent with action cost increase.

Part 5

Part 6

Performance differences between two search algorithms can be systematic in nature or only due to sampling noise (= bias exhibited by the selected test cases since the number of test cases is always limited). One can use statistical hypothesis tests to determine whether they are systematic in nature. Read up on statistical hypothesis tests (for example, in Cohen, Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence, MIT Press, 1995) and then describe for one of the experimental questions above exactly how a statistical hypothesis test could be performed. You do not need to implement anything for this question, you should only precisely describe how such a test could be performed.

Null Hypothesis: H0: Repeated Forward A* = Adaptive A*: Meaning there is no systematic difference between the two algorithms
Alternate Hypothesis: H1: There is systematic difference. (inverse of the Null Hypothesis)

Alternate Hypothesis: H1: There is systematic difference. (inverse of the Null Hypothesis Steps for statistical hypothesis test:

- 1. Under the null hypothesis, the test assumes that two samples were drawn from the same experiment setup (population), meaning identical grid with same start and target.
- 2. Run experiments and record results. compute respective sample mean and sample standard deviation. Let delta (Δ) be the difference in their means.
- 3. One can choose either two sample z test (Reference) (for large sample size) or two sample t test (for small sample size) to test the significance of the difference between the two samples.
- 4. Find the distribution of Δ under the assumption that the null hypothesis is true, Forward $A^* = Adaptive A^*$
- 5. Use this distribution to find the probability p of Δ , assuming Forward A* = Adaptive A*

- 6. If the probability is very low (if p <.01), meaning 99% confidence, reject null Hypothesis H0: Forward A* = Adaptive A*</p>
 (p value is the probability of incorrectly rejecting H₀)
- 7. p<.01 is the residual uncertainty that Forward A* might equal Adaptive A*

If experimental setup (population) standard deviation is unknown and samples are small, we can use the t test.

In this case we assume the sampling distribution to be t, not normal, but approaches normal as samples size increases. The test statistic has very similar form as normal, but probabilities of the test statistic are obtained by consulting tables of the t distribution, not the standard normal distribution.

$$t = \frac{(mean(forwardA^*) - mean(adaptiveA^*))}{\text{weighted average of the two sample standard deviations}}$$

The Z test (Reference) involves nothing more than standardizing the difference between (μ) , the mean of the sampling distribution under the null hypothesis and the sample mean \overline{x} .

$$z = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{\sigma \overline{x}}$$

References

Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence. USC Information Sciences Institute $\ \textcircled{\odot}$ Paul Cohen, 2006