

# 1 Multi-Layer Bending Model

In this section, we propose a mathematical model to describe the observed curvature. Our approach is based on the nonlinear multi-layer bending model originally used in [?] to predict the radius of curled nanotubes. We adapt this model for our setting.

In the presence of heterogeneous layers, sudden expansion or contraction of either layer induces a curving strain. Since the leaf thickness is assumed to be small, and the top and bottom layers are each homogeneous, [?] showed that the leaf attains an energetically stable state when its radius  $\kappa^*$  satisfies

$$\kappa^* = \arg \min_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+} \bar{Q}_2(\kappa \cdot (1, 0, 0)^\top).$$

Here,  $\bar{Q}_2$  is the dimensionally reduced linearized energy functional at the identity, which depends on the material properties, layer properties, and the mismatch between layers, as constructed below.

Because obtaining a precise material description for a heterogeneous leaf is challenging, we assume each layer is isotropic. Let  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$  be the rescaled height within the leaf, and let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the Lamé parameters of each layer. We define

$$Q_2(t, G) = 2\mu \left| \frac{G + G^\top}{2} \right|^2 + \frac{2\mu\lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} (\text{tr } G)^2.$$

In our two-layer setup, the bottom layer occupies  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \tau]$ , and the top layer occupies  $[\tau, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We then set

$$\bar{Q}_2(F) = \min_{A \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} Q_2(t, A + tF + B) dt,$$

where  $B \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$  represents the layer mismatch. To compute  $\bar{Q}_2$  explicitly, let  $\mathcal{M}(t)$  be the matrix representation of  $Q_2$  to define the following “moments”:

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(t) dt, \quad \mathcal{M}_2 := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} t \mathcal{M}(t) dt, \quad \mathcal{M}_3 = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} t^2 \mathcal{M}(t) dt.$$

It can be shown that  $\bar{Q}_2(f) = (f - f_0)^\top \mathcal{M}_0 (f - f_0) + c$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_3 - \mathcal{M}_2 \mathcal{M}_1^{-1} \mathcal{M}_2$  and  $f_0 = \mathcal{M}_0^{-1} (\mathcal{M}_2 \mathcal{M}_1^{-1} b_1 - b_2)$  as well as

$$b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{8} \right) \mathcal{M}_{\text{bot}} b_{\text{bot}} - \left( \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 \right) \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}} b_{\text{top}}$$

Hence, minimizing  $\bar{Q}_2(\kappa e_1)$  is equivalent to

$$\kappa^* = \arg \min_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}} (\alpha^2 \kappa + 2\beta \kappa + \gamma), \quad \text{where } \alpha = e_1^\top \mathcal{M}_0 e_1, \quad \beta = e_1^\top \mathcal{M}_0 f_0.$$

and a radius is obtained by  $r = \frac{d}{h} \kappa$  where  $d$  refers to the leaf thickness and  $h$  the aspect ratio. Since the layer mismatch is unknown in our setting, we compute it via an explicit formula for a prescribed radius. We assume the mismatch is symmetric, i.e.  $b_{\text{bot}} = -b_{\text{top}}$ , and that the bottom and top layers share identical material parameters, implying  $\mathcal{M}(t) = \mathcal{M}$ . In this case,

$$b_{\text{bot}} = -b_{\text{top}} = \frac{d}{h} \frac{e_1^\top \mathcal{M}_0 e_1}{e_1^\top \mathcal{M} (e_1 + e_2)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} - \tau^2} \frac{1}{r_{\text{measured}}}.$$

A mismatch of  $b$  can be interpreted as the original layer expanding or contracting by a factor of

$$\frac{1}{1 + h b},$$