

3806ICT - Assignment 1

Nick van der Merwe - s5151332 - nick.vandermmerwe@griffithuni.edu.au

May 1, 2021

1 Introduction

In this piece a controller is defined for a robot using a proportional integral derivative controller. This is split into two tasks, defining the PID algorithm and the Kalman filter. However, the architecture of the solution will contain four parts: the sonar wrapper, the PID service, the kalman filter service and the controller. Essentially, the sonar wrapper will turn the sonar topic into a service so that the controller does not subscribe to it. The PID and the Kalman filter will be purely functions without any storage so that they can be reused in the future. Lastly, the controller node will manage all of the data.

This report is organised based on the content in the nodes. Each section will introduce the necessary theory and explain how that is converted into code. With that in mind, Section 2 contains the sonar wrapper, Section 3 covers the PID equation (not defining the constants), Section 4 runs over the kalman filter (not finding the variance), and Section 5 defines the controller. The controller contains how all the previous nodes are tied together, including how the constants in PID are defined and variance is found for the Kalman filter.

2 Sonar Wrapper Node

This is quite straight forwards as it only requires us to save the last published value in a variable. As a design decision to avoid global variables it was made as a class. The code is visible in Figure 1a and 1b.

```
1 ---
2 assignment1_setup/Sonars readings
```

(a)

```
1 //
2 // sonarwrapper.cpp
3 // Copyright (C) 2021 Nick van der Merwe - nick.vandermmerwe@griffithuni.edu.au
4 //
5 // The purpose of this is to wrap the sonars topic and return
6 // what it says
7 //
8 #include "ros/ros.h"
9 #include "assignment1_setup/Sonars.h"
10 #include "assignment1_getSonarReadings.h"
11 #include <iomanip>
12
13 class SonarReader{
14 public:
15     SonarReader() = default;
16
17     void subCallback(const assignment1_setup::Sonars &msg){
18         lastReading = msg;
19     }
20
21     bool getSonarReadings(
22         assignment1_getSonarReadings::Request &req,
23         assignment1_getSonarReadings::Response &res){
24         res.readings = lastReading;
25         ROS_INFO("sending %d", (unsigned int)res.readings.distance);
26         return true;
27     }
28
29     private:
30         assignment1_setup::Sonars lastReading;
31 };
32
33 int main(int argc, char **argv){
34     ros::init(argc, argv, "sonar_wrapper_node");
35     ros::NodeHandle nodeHandle;
36
37     SonarReader sr{};
38     ros::Subscriber subSonarReader =
39         nodeHandle.subscribe("sonars", 1000,
40                               &SonarReader::subCallback, &sr);
41
42     ros::ServiceServer getSonarReadings =
43         nodeHandle.advertiseService("sonar_wrapper",
44                                     &SonarReader::getSonarReadings, &sr);
45
46     ROS_INFO("Ready to manage requests");
47
48     ros::spin();
49     return EXIT_SUCCESS;
50 }
```

(b)

Figure 1: Sonar wrapper srv in a and code in b

3 Task 1: PID Node

Before the coding section, the mathematics behind what a proportional integral derivative controller does must be explained. In short, the goal of the controller is to minimise the error that is reported. As stated in the task, the formula to be used here is in Equation 1.

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= K_p e(t) + K_d \frac{de(t)}{dt} + K_i \int_0^t e(t') dt' \\ &= P(t) + D(t) + I(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Where $e(t)$ is the input error: in our case the distance from the bowl. t is the time, K_p is proportional gain, K_d is the derivative gain and K_i is the integral gain.

To make elaborating how this equation functions simpler, the first thing to do is to consider each of the terms separately as they each represent a part of **Proportional Integral Derivative**. To summarise, the proportional section represents the current error, the integral is the error in the past and the derivative is a prediction of the error into the future [M., 2000]. The logic behind this is that for the P term its just the current error, the integral is the area under the curve in the past and the derivative is the change at that specific point. These are each adjusted to the environment by using the K terms on each term.

Now the next issue is that this representation uses continuous time whereas in computational mathematics everything must be discrete - in this case our sonar only reports every 10ms. The exact maths for converting this into discrete values was covered in the lab content so it will not be copied over here, but rather explained.

The first step is to define time as discrete by making $T = 10ms$ and $t_i = T + t_i - 1$. Technically this would be all that's necessary, but the exact way to calculate the derivative and integral is not known. For these the conceptual meanings can be used: a derivative is the change in the output of a function in the smallest unit of time and an integral is the area under the curve from one time to another. Another fact is that technically a derivative forwards (current minus future) is the same as backwards (current minus last value) in continuous time due to it being infinitely small.

To take this and define them discretely with logic is simple. A derivative is its current value subtracted by its last value, all divided by T (since that is the smallest unit of time we have). Next the integral is simply the sum of all the previous error values times T. This can conceptually be seen as how the area of a square is found at a low level without multiplication: split it into strips of size 1 (in our case T) and add these areas together. Including the K terms, our equations are in Equations 2, 3 and 4.

$$P(t) = K_p e(t) \quad (2)$$

$$D(t) = K_d \frac{e(t_i) - e(t_{i-1})}{T} \quad (3)$$

$$I(t_i) = K_i \sum_{i=1}^i T e(t_i) \quad (4)$$

Programmatically, it would be inefficient to do the integral by summing up everything in this manner every time it is calculated, so realistically the value is simply returned on its own in the function so that it can just be added to once in the next run. This is represented as Equation 5.

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_i) &= K_i f(t) \\ f(t) &= e(t_i)T + f(t_{i-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The PID srv file and C++ code is visible in figure 2.



```

1 float64 error
2 float64 lastError
3 float64 totalFValue
4 float64 K_p
5 float64 K_i
6 float64 K_d
7 float64 T
8 ---
9 float64 y
10 float64 lastError
11 float64 totalFValue

```

```

1 /*
2  * Written by Nick van der Merwe - s5151332
3  */
4 #include "ros/ros.h"
5 #include "assignment1_setup/Sonars.h"
6 #include "assignment1/pid_algorithm.h"
7 #include "geometry_msgs/Twist.h"
8 #include <cmath>
9 #include <algorithm>
10
11 /*
12  * We want to calculate the PID. To increase readability we
13  * are going to split each of these formulas into a separate function:
14  *  $y(t_i) = P(t_i) + I(t_i) + D(t_i)$ 
15  *  $P(t_i) = K_p * e(t_i)$ 
16  *  $I(t_i) = e(t_i) + I(t_{i-1})$ 
17  *  $D(t_i) = K_d * (e(t_i) - e(t_{i-1})) / T$ 
18  *  $e(t) = \text{distance from object at } t$ 
19  *  $T = \text{latency}$ 
20  */
21
22 bool pidAlgorithm(
23     assignment1::pid_algorithm::Request & req,
24     assignment1::pid_algorithm::Response & res){
25     double P = req.K_p * req.error;
26     res.totalFValue = req.error * req.T + req.totalFValue;
27     double I = req.K_i * res.totalFValue;
28     // split D into top and bottom so its more readable
29     double topD = req.error - req.lastError;
30     double D = req.K_d * (topD / req.T);
31
32     res.y = P + I + D;
33     // checking the documentation 22.0 is the maximum speed
34     res.y = std::min(res.y, 0.22);
35     res.y = std::max(res.y, 0.0);
36
37     ROS_INFO("lastError: %u totalFValue %lf",
38             (unsigned int) res.lastError, res.totalFValue);
39     ROS_INFO("K_p: %lf K_i %lf K_d %lf", req.K_p, req.K_i, req.K_d);
40     ROS_INFO("P: %lf, I: %lf, D: %lf", P, I, D);
41     ROS_INFO("Returning y as %lf", res.y);
42     return true;
43 }
44
45 int main(int argc, char **argv){
46     ros::init(argc, argv, "pid_algorithm_node");
47     ros::NodeHandle nodeHandle;
48
49     ros::ServiceServer getSonarReadings =
50         nodeHandle.advertiseService("pid_algorithm", pidAlgorithm);
51
52     ROS_INFO("Ready to manage requests");
53     ros::spin();
54
55     return EXIT_SUCCESS;
56 }

```

Figure 2: The PID srv and cpp files respectively

4 Task 2: Kalman Filter Node

The goal of a Kalman filter is to get rid of noise in sonar readings through maths, and in this case odometry readings. The equations to be used are defined in Equations 6.

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= \frac{P_i^-}{P_i^- + R_i} \\ y_i &= y_i^- + K_i(z_i - y_i^-) \\ P_i &= (1 - K_i)P_i^- \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Where z_i is the sonar's reading, y_i^- is the estimation of how far the robot is from the bowl at step i , P_i^- is the predicted variance at i , and R_i is the initial variance read. Since there are no continuous variables in this, there is no need for this to be changed such as the PID. However, each of these should still be properly explained.

The naive way to solve the issue of a normally distributed noisy sonar would simply be to measure the median every time. However, to do that the robot would have to sit there for several seconds, move forwards slightly then sit there and measure the median again. Instead, what the Kalman filter aims to do is take the measuring once, move, (in our case) consider how far it moved through the odometry, apply the Kalman filter to this and get an estimate of how far it is [Siegward et al., 2011]. The reason why the odometry alone would not be enough is visible in how the sonar functions. Consider the case where the bowl lies 13 degrees to the right of the way the robot is facing. If we only use the euclidean distance measured by the odometry system, it would not actually be the distance from the bowl. From all of this, we can see the need for why the Kalman filter is necessary.

For now the focus is on applying the Kalman filter step out of the steps detailed previously which is all in equation 6. In short, the goal of this step is to give readings varying weight depending on the certainty of them. This weight is given by the Kalman gain by taking the predicted variance divided by itself added to the overall variance. Then we can add the difference in the reading and the estimated position to its estimated position to find y_i . To calculate the next predicted variance is typically complicated, but was compressed into multiplying P_i^- by the complement of the Kalman gain $(1 - K_i)$. In practice this just makes later readings have less weight.

In an attempt to understand this particular equation, an interesting proof by induction was found that makes calculating the variance irrelevant. Essentially it's possible to remove P_i , P_i^- and R_i from the equations. First, let's change the notation so that the pattern is more obvious.

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= \frac{P_i}{P_i + R} \\ P_{i+1} &= (1 - K_i)P_i \\ P_0 &= R \end{aligned}$$

First we define our base case of K_0

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \frac{P_0}{P_0 + R} \\ P_0 &= R \\ K_0 &= \frac{P_0}{2P_0} \\ K_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Now in induction we just have to prove the $i + 1$ case

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{i+1} &= R(1 - K_i) \\
 K_{i+1} &= \frac{P_{i+1}}{P_{i+1} + R} \\
 &= \frac{R(1 - K_i)}{R(1 - K_i) + R} \\
 &= \frac{R(1 - K_i)}{R - RK_i + R} \\
 &= \frac{R(1 - K_i)}{2R - RK_i} \\
 &= \frac{R(1 - K_i)}{R(2 - K_i)} \\
 &= \frac{1 - K_i}{2 - K_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

So essentially we found that

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1 - K_i}{2 - K_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

With this being said, it will just be ignored. It's an interesting proof that makes variance useless, but taking it out will probably remove a section of the assignment that the assignment writer intended the student to do. It's essentially just evidence of extensive research around understanding the formulas at work.

Moving onto the implementation, its a service that uses the exact formulas on the task sheet visible in figure 3.

```

1 float64 R_i
2 uint16 z_i
3 float64 y_i_estimate
4 float64 P_i_estimate
5 ---
6 float64 y_i
7 float64 P_i

```

(a)

```

1 //
2  * By Nick van der Merwe - s5151332
3  */
4 #include <limits>
5 #include <roscpp_msgs/ModelState.h>
6 #include "ros/ros.h"
7 #include "assignment1/kalman_filter.h"
8
9 [...]
10
11 bool kalmanFilter(
12     assignment1::kalman_filter::Request &req,
13     assignment1::kalman_filter::Response &res){
14     double K_i = req.P_i_estimate / (req.P_i_estimate + req.R_i);
15     res.y_i = req.y_i_estimate + K_i*(req.z_i - req.y_i_estimate);
16     ROS_INFO("P_i_estimate (before) %lf", req.P_i_estimate);
17     req.P_i_estimate = (1 - K_i) * req.P_i_estimate;
18     ROS_INFO("K_i %lf R_i %lf z_i %u y_i_estimate %lf P_i_estimate(after) %lf",
19         K_i, req.R_i, (unsigned int)req.z_i, req.y_i_estimate, req.P_i_estimate);
20
21     ROS_INFO("Returning y_i as %lf", res.y_i);
22     return true;
23 }
24
25 int main(int argc, char **argv){
26     ros::init(argc, argv, "kalman_filter_node");
27     ros::NodeHandle nodeHandle;
28
29     ros::ServiceServer findFilteredOutput =
30         nodeHandle.advertiseService("kalman_filter", kalmanFilter);
31
32     ROS_INFO("Ready to manage requests");
33     ros::spin();
34
35     return EXIT_SUCCESS;
36 }

```

(b)

Figure 3: Kalman filter implementation, srv file in 3a and code in 3b

Figure 4: Psuedo code for the controller

5 Controller Node

5.1 PID constants

As picking the PID terms is not an essential step and only needs to be justified, we can use manual selection. To define our PID terms we must consider the goal of how we wish the robot to move. A reasonably logical approach would be to make the robot run at maximum speed until it comes close to the bowl and then slow down when its closer so that it can accurately move. To align this with a real world goal, it's wanting to drive to a location as fast as possible yet be safe. In other words, when a car is parking it slows down so it can move more accurately.

One issue to consider is that typically the error in an equation is not just distance, which is especially impactful to the integral term. Usually when the error hits zero, the integral value is set as a constant otherwise it would continue to rise as the controller is running [Siegward et al., 2011]. However, this only happens when the robot actually reaches the bowl which would be the end of the problem. In the case that the robot is as far away as its sonar readers allow (around 60,000), it would likely mean that it will just run at maximum speed all the way to the bowl. This results in three options: 1) Set K_i to 0, rendering the entire term useless 2) Let the robot just run at maximum speed when its far away 3) Decide on a point when the integral term should stop changing.

To be true to the goal, the best option here would be option 1. Option 2 is in direct conflict with the goal of slowing down when close as it renders it impossible in some situations, and picking an arbitrary point where the integral stops growing would only cause the robot to have a minimum constant speed after a certain point.

Another factor is the the D term also does the opposite of the goal of the robot. Using it we can either make the robot go faster when its already going fast, or do the opposite. If this term were to dominate the terms it would mean that once the robot has hit a certain speed it will stay at that speed unless slowed by an outside force. Another option is that it will go in the opposite direction of where it is going, causing it to move back and forth (derivative is positive, move negative, derivative is negative, move positive... etc). As we do not want either of these, the derivative term can be set to 0 as well.

To tie it together, the derivative and integral are in direct conflict to the goal of getting there as fast as possible safely and the only thing remaining is the proportional term. Ideally the robot simply runs at maximum speed until a certain point, and then it slows down to approach the bowl.

5.2 Calculating Variance

5.3 Adjustment on Kalman

5.4 Structure

A core thing that makes implementing this bug free is that extracting functions from main in ROS is often prohibited due to a couple of methods only being permitted to be called inside main. So for easier understanding, figure

5.5 Implementation

References

- [M., 2000] M., A. (2000). Pid control. <https://www.eolss.net/ebooks/Sample%20Chapters/C18/E6-43-03-03.pdf>.
- [Siegward et al., 2011] Siegward, Roland, Nourbakhsh, I. R., and Scaramuzza, D. (2011). Introduction to autonomous mobile robots second edition. <https://learn-ap-southeast-2-prod-fleet01-xythos.content.blackboardcdn.com/5bb70f08ac35e/8023721?X-Blackboard-Expiration=>

1619870400000&X-Blackboard-Signature=550omC3C9LgQebBieXZLrHODLw7EyXD7bB0n8vVfmOU%
3D&X-Blackboard-Client-Id=101056&response-cache-control=private%2C%20max-age%
3D21600&response-content-disposition=inline%3B%20filename%2A%3DUTF-8%
27%27Introduction%2520to%2520Autonomous%2520Mobile%2520Robots_2nd.pdf&
response-content-type=application%2Fpdf&X-Amz-Algorithm=AWS4-HMAC-SHA256&X-Amz-Date=
20210501T060000Z&X-Amz-SignedHeaders=host&X-Amz-Expires=21600&X-Amz-Credential=
AKIAYDKQRRYZBCCQFY5%2F20210501%2Fap-southeast-2%2Fs3%2Faws4_request&X-Amz-Signature=
a86bfb6cd33b718b655f848704fa7b055a939b711e9b60af19b85768bdc61ec5.