

Computational Photography Assignment 3

Single Michael

08-917-445

Task 1

Def(Linear) Given two measurable function f and g and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. An operator \mathcal{F} is called *linear* if the following identity holds true:

$$\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) = \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x) \quad (1)$$

Def(Shift Invariant) Given a measurable function f . An operator \mathcal{F} is called *shift invariant* if the following identity holds true:

$$\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta f\}(x) = S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x) \quad (2)$$

where the shift operator S_δ is defined as the following:

$$S_\delta(f)(x) = f(x + \delta) \quad (3)$$

For any given function f and small number $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that notational convention the operation notion for any operator F , we write $F(f)(x)$ instead of $F(f(x))$. In words we apply the operator F (i.e. the functional F) to the function f . Thus it would even be possible to omit the argument x . However to make the reasoning clear, I will keep it.

Therefore, directly applying the definition from equation 3 to equation 2 we can also define the property *shift invariant* as the following:

$$\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} := \mathcal{F}\{f(x + \delta)\} = S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x) \quad (4)$$

Relying on the definitions of the equations before, equation 4 and equation 57 let I solved this task,

(a) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = e^{f(x)}$ is *not linear* and is *shift invariant*.

Show: \mathcal{F} is not *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= e^{\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)} \\ &= e^{\alpha f(x)} e^{\beta g(x)} \\ &\neq \alpha e^{f(x)} + \beta e^{g(x)} \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x + \delta)\} \\ &= e^{f(x + \delta)} \\ &= S_\delta(e^{f(x)}) \\ &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

(b) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = f(x)f(x - 1)$ is *not linear* and is *shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is not *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x))(\alpha f(x-1) + \beta g(x-1)) \\ &= \alpha^2 f(x)f(x-1) + \beta^2 g(x)g(x-1) + \alpha\beta(f(x)g(x-1) + f(x-1)g(x)) \\ &\neq \alpha f(x)f(x-1) + \beta g(x)g(x-1) \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x+\delta)\} \\ &= f(x+\delta)f(x-1+\delta) \\ &= S_\delta(f(x)f(x-1)) \\ &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x))\end{aligned}$$

□

(c) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = \sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} f(k)$ is *linear* and is *shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= \sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} (\alpha f(k) + \beta g(k)) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} f(k) + \beta \sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} g(k) \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x + \delta)\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=x-4+\delta}^{x+2+\delta} f(k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} f(k + \delta) \\
 &= S_\delta\left(\sum_{k=x-4}^{x+2} f(k)\right) \\
 &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

(d) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = f(2x)$ is *linear* and is *not shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= \alpha f(2x) + \beta g(2x) \\
 &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *not shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x + \delta)\} \\
 &= f(2(x + \delta)) \\
 &= f(2x + 2\delta) \\
 &\neq f(2x + \delta) \\
 &= S_\delta(f(2x)) \\
 &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

(e) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = \sin(2x)f(x)$ is *linear* and is *not shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= \sin(2x)(\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) \\ &= \sin(2x)\alpha f(x) + \sin(2x)\beta g(x) \\ &= \alpha \sin(2x)f(x) + \beta \sin(2x)g(x) \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is not *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x + \delta)\} \\ &= \sin(2x)f(x + \delta) \\ &\neq \sin(2x + \delta)f(x + \delta) \\ &= S_\delta(\sin(2x)f(x)) \\ &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x))\end{aligned}$$

□

(f) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = xf(x)$ is *linear* and is *not shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= x(\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x)) \\ &= x\alpha f(x) + x\beta f(x) \\ &= \alpha xf(x) + \beta xf(x) \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is not *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x+\delta)\} \\ &= xf(x+\delta) \\ &\neq (x+\delta)f(x+\delta) \\ &= S_\delta(xf(x)) \\ &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

(g) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = f(x) - f(x-5)$ is *linear* and is *shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) - (\alpha f(x-5) + \beta g(x-5)) \\ &= (\alpha f(x) - \alpha f(x-5)) + (\beta g(x) - \beta g(x-5)) \\ &= \alpha(f(x) - f(x-5)) + \beta(g(x) - g(x-5)) \\ &= \alpha\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta\mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x+\delta)\} \\ &= f(x+\delta) - f(x-5+\delta) \\ &= S_\delta(f(x) - f(x-5)) \\ &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\})(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

(h) $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) = f(x-3) - 2f(x-12)$ is *linear* and is *shift invariant*.

Show \mathcal{F} is *linear*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(x) &= (\alpha f(x-3) + \beta g(x-3)) - 2(\alpha f(x-12) + \beta g(x-12)) \\
 &= (\alpha f(x-3) - 2\alpha f(x-12)) + (\beta g(x-3) - 2\beta g(x-12)) \\
 &= \alpha(f(x-3) - 2f(x-12)) + \beta(g(x-3) - 2g(x-12)) \\
 &= \alpha\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x) + \beta\mathcal{F}\{g\}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Show \mathcal{F} is *shift invariant*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}\{S_\delta(f(x))\} &= \mathcal{F}\{f(x+\delta)\} \\
 &= f(x-3+\delta) - 2f(x-12+\delta) \\
 &= S_\delta(f(x-3) - 2f(x-12)) \\
 &= S_\delta(\mathcal{F}\{f\}(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Task 2

Given an $m \times n$ monochromatic (i.e. there is only one color-channel) Image I . Give an algorithm how to apply box-filtering on this image. Furthermore analyse the asymptotic complexity of this algorithm.

Algorithm 1 Moving Average box filter

Input: Grayscale *Image* I with resolution $m \times n$

Output: Box filtered Image *Image* \hat{I}

Procedures: $getDimensions(Image)$, $zeros(height, width)$

```

1:  $[h, w] = getDimensions(I)$ 
2:  $\hat{I} = zeros(h, w)$ 
3:  $r = \lceil \frac{w-1}{2} \rceil$ 
4: Foreach Pixel  $p \in Image\ I$  do
5:    $contribution = 0$ 
6:   Foreach Pixel  $p_n \in r - Neighborhood\ \mathcal{N}_r(p)$  do
7:      $contribution = contribution + I(p + p_n)$ 
8:   end for
9:    $\hat{I}(p) = \frac{contribution}{m \cdot n}$ 
10: end for

```

Remarks:

- By pixels in the Algorithm we are referring to the coordinates of the pixel in the image. Therefore p corresponds to the x and y coordinates of pixel p in the Image I .
- $I(p)$ denotes accessing the pixel-(color)-values in the images at the position of the pixel p in the image I .
- $\mathcal{N}_r(p)$ denotes the neighborhood with radius r around a given pixel p . In the context of pixel-coordinates, think of it as a box-grid, centred at the pixel coordinates of p . This grid has a radius of r . This means there are r neighbors (pixel-coordinates in the grid) below, on top, on the left and on the right of p .
- Our algorithm can easily be extended for color Images by simply applying the same algorithm to each color-channel separately.
- The assumption of being provided by a m by n can easily be extended for the case when $n \neq m$. This only will affect the computation of the radius r in algorithm . Computing $\lceil 0.5 \cdot (\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil) \rceil$ would be a valid option in order to compute r .
- If w (i.e. n) is odd, then $\lceil \frac{w-1}{2} \rceil$ is equal to $\frac{w-1}{2}$.
- The procedure $getDimensions$ returns the width-and height resolution of a provided Image.
- The procedure $zeros$ creates a new image with the provided resolutions.

Asymptotic Complexity Next let us have a closer look into algorithm .

- The most outer foreach loop will iterate over each pixel. This loop corresponds to two for loops, one over iterating over each row in the image, another iterating over each column in the image. Assuming there are h rows and w columns in the image, this most outer foreach loop has an asymptotic complexity of $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$. From the assignment description we are supposed to assume that there are m pixels in the image. Thus, we conclude, the most outer foreach loop has an asymptotic complexity in $\mathcal{O}(m)$.
- The inner foreach loop iterates over each neighborhood pixel around the current pixel in iteration (according to the most outer foreach loop). Assuming we are allowed to ignore boundary issue, we always visit a neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}((2r+1)^2)$ pixels. Referring to the definition of r at line 3 in algorithm we see that r linearly depends on the width of the image (note that we assume width is equal to height for the given image). Therefore $\mathcal{O}((2r+1)^2)$ is in the complexity class $\mathcal{O}(\text{width}^2)$.

We can conclude the following: The asymptotic complexity of algorithm is in $\mathcal{O}(k^2 m)$. Note that k denotes the width w from before and m is the number of pixels.

Task 3

Given a 3×3 box filter

$$B_{3 \times 3} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Applying the boxfilter from equation 5 twice corresponds to convolving the the box filter with itself, i.e. $B_{3 \times 3} * B_{3 \times 3}$. This will gives us the following filter:

$$B_{3 \times 3}^2 = \frac{1}{81} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

In Matlab we can compute $B_{3 \times 3}^2$ applying the function *conv2* to the kernel $B_{3 \times 3}$ with itself, i.e.

$$B_{3 \times 3}^2 = \text{conv2}(B_{3 \times 3}, B_{3 \times 3}) \quad (7)$$

Having a closer look to the filter $B_{3 \times 3}^2$ it resembles a gaussian bell (in 2d).

In spatial domain, the filter $B_{3 \times 3}$ is just a box function (here 2d). In the frequency domain, this corresponds to a *sinc* function. For simplification, let us consider the one-dimensional box function $\text{rect}_T(x)$ which is one if x is in the range $[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}]$ and zero otherwise.

$$\text{rect}_T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -\frac{T}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{T}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Next let us apply the Fourier Transformation on $\text{rect}_T(x)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{rect}_T(x) e^{-2j\pi\omega t} dt = \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-2j\pi\omega t} dt \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i \omega} [e^{-2j\pi\omega t}]_{t=-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i \omega} (e^{-j\pi\omega T} - e^{j\pi\omega T}) \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{T}{\pi\omega} \left(\frac{e^{j\pi\omega T} - e^{-j\pi\omega T}}{2j} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{T}{\pi\omega} (\sin(\pi\omega T)) \quad (13)$$

$$= T \text{sinc}(\omega T) \quad (14)$$

From equation 14 we can conclude a box filter in the frequency domain is equal to a *sinc* function. Similarly, we can reason when applying a two dimensional box function. Using green's theorem, we can separate a multi-dimensional box function, when applying a Fourier operator (i.e. the n-dimensional Fourier Transformation), since a two dimensional box function is the cartesian product of two one dimensional rect functions. Notice that each of these rect functions only depends on one particular variable (dimension). Thus the integral from equation 14 is separable in the multidimensional case. Therefore, applying the box filter twice corresponds to $\text{rect}^2(x, y)$ which is equal to $\text{sinc}(u) * \text{sinc}(v)$ according to my previous reasoning. Thus, when applying the box function a infinite amount corresponds to the limit of *sinc* to the power of n, where n converges towards infinite. This converges towards a delta spike function, centred at zero (in the frequency domain). In the spatial domain, however, the function gets wider. Practically speaking, applying the box filter infinite times will average the whole image and the colors in the image will be everywhere all the same.

Task 4

In the following a list of steps that have to be performed in order to retrieve the 3×3 unsharp masking kernel:

1. Define the 3×3 Box Filter:

$$B_{3 \times 3} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Applying this filter will blur the image.

2. Define the 3×3 Identity Filter

$$I_{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Applying this filter will give us the same image back.

3. The details of an image can be obtained by subtraction the blurred version of the image from itself. A kernel (filter) that does this for us can be obtained by subtracting the identity kernel from a blur kernel. For our case the 3×3 detail kernel $D_{3 \times 3}$ is equal to:

$$D_{3 \times 3} = I_{3 \times 3} - B_{3 \times 3} \quad (17)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

4. The detail of an Image can be scaled (enhanced or lowered) by multiplying a scalar factor λ to the kernel $D_{3 \times 3}$ when applying this kernel to an image.
5. Unsharp masking is simply scaling the details of an given image by applying a certain kernel. The kernel $D_{3 \times 3}$ from equation 19 will do the trick for us. The 3×3 unsharp masking kernel $U_{3 \times 3}$ can be obtained by adding a scalar multiple of the kernel $D_{3 \times 3}$ to the identity kernel $I_{3 \times 3}$.

$$U_{3 \times 3} = I_{3 \times 3} + \lambda D_{3 \times 3} \quad (20)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{9}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{9} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{9}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{9}{\lambda} + 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

Task 5

Before starting this task, helper intermediate result later used for the proof. This is rather advanced stuff, but the final result will help us proving the fact we have to prove. :)

Def(Transformation into Polar Coordinates) All Cartesian coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be expressed in so called polar coordinates by applying the following mapping:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x(r, \phi) \\ y(r, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} r \cos(\phi) \\ r \sin(\phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

Where r denotes a radius with $0 \leq r < \infty$ and ϕ denotes an angle that is in the range $[0, 2\pi]$.

Our goal is to find a solution for this evil thing:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ax^2} dx = \sqrt{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ax^2} dx\right)^2} \quad (27)$$

$$= \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-a(x^2+y^2)} dx dy} \quad (28)$$

Note that a denotes a scalar value.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-a(x^2+y^2)} dx dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a(x^2+y^2)} dx dy \quad (29)$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ar^2} r dr d\phi \quad (30)$$

In equation 30 We transformed the integral from equation 28 to polar coordinates using the definition from equation 26. The factor r results due to the change of integration variables from $dx dy$ to $dr d\phi$. This can easily be shown, by computing the Jacobian J of the polar-coordinate transformation. This is the so called correction factor when we change integration variables into a new coordinate system. In order to compute J we first have to compute the partial derivatives of the polar coordinate transformation:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} r \cos(\phi) \\ r \sin(\phi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \begin{pmatrix} r \cos(\phi) \\ r \sin(\phi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -r \sin(\phi) \\ r \cos(\phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

Then the correction factor (jacobian) is the determinate to the square root of two of the matrix consisting of the derivatives (columns):

$$J = \sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dr}x(r, \phi) & \frac{d}{d\phi}x(r, \phi) \\ \frac{d}{dr}y(r, \phi) & \frac{d}{d\phi}y(r, \phi) \end{pmatrix}} \quad (33)$$

$$= \sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -r \sin(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) & r \cos(\phi) \end{pmatrix}} \quad (34)$$

$$= \sqrt{r^2(\cos^2(\phi) + \sin^2(\phi))} \quad (35)$$

$$= r \quad (36)$$

As you might have noticed, the exponential is to the power of $-r^2$ instead of to the power of $x^2 + y^2$. This is also a direct result coming from the coordinate transformation.

$$x^2 + y^2 = x(r, \phi)^2 + y(r, \phi)^2 \quad (37)$$

$$= (r \cos(\phi))^2 + (r \sin(\phi))^2 \quad (38)$$

$$= r^2(\cos^2(\phi) + \sin^2(\phi)) \quad (39)$$

$$= r^2 \quad (40)$$

Note that we only used the definition of the polar coordinates and that $\cos^2(\phi) + \sin^2(\phi) = 1$.

Next, let us further simplify the integral from equation 30.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-ar^2} r dr d\phi = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-ar^2} r dr \right) \quad (41)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-ar^2} r dr \quad (42)$$

In equation 42 we used greens' identity for splitting multi-dimensional integrals into a product of one-dimensional integrals. This can be done if the integral can be split into independent parts. In the following we will simplify this integral even further by using the following substitute:

$$s(r) := s = -ar^2 \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{ds}{dr} = -2ar \quad (44)$$

$$dr = \frac{ds}{-2ar} \quad (45)$$

$$s(0) = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} s(A) = -\infty \quad (47)$$

Using the substitution from equation 47 and the corresponding derived facts and plugging these facts into equation 42 we can further conclude:

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-ar^2} r dr = 2\pi \int_0^{-\infty} e^s r \left(\frac{ds}{-2ar} \right) \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} \int_0^{-\infty} -e^s ds \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^s ds \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} \lim_{A \rightarrow -\infty} (e^0 - e^A) \quad (51)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} \left(e^0 - \lim_{A \rightarrow -\infty} e^A \right) \quad (52)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} (1 - 0) \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{a} \quad (54)$$

We only used the rule for swapping integration limits in the derivation steps of equation 54. Now, after knowing all these facts we know the solution to equation 28 using the final result from equation 54 which gives us:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ax^2} dx = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-a(x^2+y^2)} dx dy} \quad (55)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \quad (56)$$

The final result in equation 56 will be used in the following proof.

Task 6

Def(Linear) Given two measurable function f and g and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. An operator \mathcal{F} is called *linear* if the following identity holds true:

$$\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(u)(v) = \alpha \mathcal{F}\{f\}(u)(v) + \beta \mathcal{F}\{g\}(u)(v) \quad (57)$$

Def(Two dimensional Fourier Transformation) Given two measurable function f . Then

$$F(u, v) := \mathcal{F}_2\{f\}(u, v) \quad (58)$$

denotes the two dimensional Fourier Transformation of f and is defined as the following

$$\mathcal{F}_2\{f\}(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz \quad (59)$$

Def(Two dimensional Inverse Fourier Transformation) Given two measurable function F . Then

$$f(t, z) := \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\{F\}(t, z) \quad (60)$$

denotes the two dimensional inverse Fourier Transformation of F and is defined as the following

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\{F\}(t, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv \quad (61)$$

In the following let f, g, F , and G denote measurable function, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ then:

(a) **Show:** \mathcal{F}_2 is a linear operator.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2\{\alpha f + \beta g\}(u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha f(t, z) + \beta g(t, z)) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha f(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} + \beta g(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha f(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta g(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz \\ &= \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t, z) e^{-j2\pi(ut+ vz)} dt dz \\ &= \alpha \mathcal{F}_2\{f\}(u, v) + \beta \mathcal{F}_2\{g\}(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

□

(b) **Show:** \mathcal{F}_2^{-1} is a linear operator.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\{\alpha F + \beta G\}(t, z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha F(u, v) + \beta G(u, v)) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha F(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} + \beta G(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha F(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta G(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv \\
 &= \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(u, v) e^{j2\pi(ut+ vz)} du dv \\
 &= \alpha \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\{G\}(t, z) + \beta \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\{G\}(t, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

□