# CSC/MAT-220: Discrete Structures Solution 4

Thomas R. Cameron

October 6, 2017

# **Book Problems**

## **Problem 17.18**

Consider an  $m \times n$  chessboard, which has m+1 horizontal lines and n+1 vertical lines. In order to make a rectangle we need to select 2 horizontal lines and 2 vertical lines. There are  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  ways to select 2 horizontal lines and  $\binom{n+1}{2}$  ways to select 2 vertical lines, and it follows that there are  $\binom{m+1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}$  different rectangles that can be formed from an  $m \times n$  chessboard.

## **Problem 17.25**

For all natural numbers  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$1+2+\cdots+(n-1)+n+(n-1)+\cdots+2+1=n^2$$
.

Algebraic Proof. Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number and define

$$s(n) = 1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1)$$
  
=  $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1$ .

It is clear from the above equation that 2s(n) = n(n-1). Finally, it is also clear that  $n + 2s(n) = n + n(n-1) = n^2$ .

Geometric Proof. Consider an  $n \times n$  chess board, where each square is considered to have area 1 unit<sup>2</sup>. Then, the chess board clearly has area  $n^2$  unit<sup>2</sup>. To prove the identity, consider deconstructing the  $n \times n$  chessboard into 2(n-1)+1 pieces as follows:

- Remove the first row of area n unit<sup>2</sup>, leaving a  $(n-1) \times n$  chessboard.
- Remove the first column of area (n-1) unit<sup>2</sup>, leaving a  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  chessboard.
- Repeat until you are left with a  $2 \times 2$  chessboard with area equal to 2+1+1 unit<sup>2</sup>.

Then, the sum of the area of the deconstructed pieces is  $n + 2(n-1) + \cdots + 2(2) + 2(1)$  unit<sup>2</sup>. Since this sum of areas must be equal to the area of the whole, the result follows.

## Problem 20.7

If the sum of two primes is prime, then one of the primes must be 2.

*Proof.* The following is a proof by contradiction. Suppose that p and q are prime numbers whose sum is prime, but neither is 2. By definition of a prime number, only even prime number is 2. Therefore, both p and q are odd prime numbers. Since the sum of two odd numbers is even this implies that p+q must be even. But this is absurd, since p+q must be prime, and p+q>2. Therefore, either p or q must be 2.

## Problem 21.8

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n$  denote the *nth* Fibonacci number and let  $S_n = F_0 + F_1 + \cdots + F_n$  denote the sum of the first n Fibonacci numbers. Then,

$$S_n = F_{n+2} - 1$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us first establish that this result holds for n = 0:

$$S_0 = F_0 = 1$$
 and  $F_2 - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$ .

What follows is a proof by contradiction (lack of counterexample).

Suppose there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_k \neq F_{k+2} - 1$  and define the set of all counterexamples by

$$X = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \ S_n \neq F_{n+2} - 1 \}.$$

Since  $k \in X$ , we know that X is non-empty, and by the Well Ordering Principle it follows that there exists a least element of X, which we denote by l. Note that l > 0, since  $S_0 = F_2 - 1$ . Therefore,  $l - 1 \ge 0$  and satisfies

$$S_{l-1} = F_{l+1} - 1.$$

Adding  $F_l$  to both sides of the above equation, and noting that  $S_l = S_{l-1} + F_l$  and  $F_{l+2} = F_l + F_{l+1}$ , gives

$$S_l = F_{l+2} - 1,$$

which contradict  $l \in X$ . Therefore, X is empty, and the result therefore holds.

## Problem 22.13

- a. The given proof shows that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , any subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  of size n has a least element. But the Well Ordering Principle is a statement about all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , regardless of size.
- b. Below is a correct proof by induction of the Well Ordering Principle.

*Proof.* Let  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that T has no least element. We will show by induction that the set

$$S = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \ n < t, \ \forall t \in T \}$$

is equal to  $\mathbb{N}$ . To this end, note that  $0 \in S$ , since otherwise  $0 \in T$  which would contradict T having no least element. Next, suppose that  $k \in S$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose that  $(k+1) \notin S$ . Then, there exists a  $t_1 \in T$  such that  $t_1 \leq (k+1)$ . Furthermore, since T has no least element, there exists a  $t_2 \in T$  such that  $t_2 < t_1$  and therefore satisfies  $t_2 \leq k$ . This clearly contradicts the fact that  $k \in S$ , and it follows that  $k \in S \implies (k+1) \in S$ . Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction  $S = \mathbb{N}$ , which implies that  $T = \emptyset$ . Thus, the only set with no least element is the empty set, which proves the Well Ordering Principle.

#### **Problem 22.14**

Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be sets, where  $n \geq 2$ . If for any two sets  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  either  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  or  $A_j \subseteq A_i$ , then one of these n sets is a subset of all of them.

Proof. The base case when n=2 is clear: if either  $A_1\subseteq A_2$  or  $A_2\subseteq A_1$ , then either  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  is a subset of both of them. Suppose that the result holds for k subsets, where  $k\geq 2$ , and consider the (k+1) subsets:  $A_1,\ldots,A_k,A_{k+1}$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists an integer p such that  $1\leq p\leq k$  and  $A_p$  is a subset of all the sets  $A_1,\ldots,A_k$ . Furthermore, either  $A_p\subset A_{k+1}$  or  $A_{k+1}\subset A_p$ . In the former case it follows that  $A_p$  is a subset of all (k+1) sets, and in the later case it follows that  $A_{k+1}$  is a subset of all (k+1) sets. In either case, it follows that the result holds for (k+1) sets, if it holds for k sets. Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction the result holds for all  $n\geq 2$ .

#### Problem 22.15

If the grid has a + 1 vertical lines and b + 1 horizontal lines, there are  $\binom{a+b}{a}$  lattice paths from the lower left to the upper right corner.

*Proof.* The following is a proof by strong induction on all grids that have a path of length n, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The base case is for a path of the length zero. The only grid construction that is possible is a single point, where both a=0 and b=0. In this case, the result clearly holds since  $\binom{0}{0}=1$ , which denotes the 1 path of length zero.

Now, consider a path of length  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose the result holds for all  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that a+b=n (i.e. for all grids that have a lattice path from the lower left to upper right corner of length n). To get a path of length n+1, we can either add 1 to a (add a vertical line), or we can add 1 to b (add a horizontal line). Consider the former, then we have a grid with (a+1)+1 vertical lines and b+1 horizontal lines. To get to the point (a+1,b), the path must pass through the point (a,b) or (a+1,b-1), both of which are the right corner of a grid (grid 1 and grid 2, respectively) with a lattice path of length n. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, there are  $\binom{a+b}{a}$  lattice paths in grid 1, and  $\binom{a+b}{a+1}$  lattice paths in grid 2. Adding these combinations together and using Pascals identity gives

 $\binom{a+b}{a} + \binom{a+b}{a+1} = \binom{a+1+b}{a+1},$ 

and it follows that there are  $\binom{a+1+b}{a+1}$  lattice paths on a grid with (a+1)+1 vertical lines and b+1 horizontal lines. In a similar fashion, we can show that there are  $\binom{a+b+1}{a}$  lattice paths on a grid with a+1 vertical lines (b+1)+1 horizontal lines. Therefore, the result follows.

## Other Problems

#### Problem 1

**Theorem.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let P(n) be a statement that is either true or false for each  $n \geq m$ . Then P(n) is true for all  $n \geq m$ , provided that

- i. P(m) is true, and
- ii. for each  $k \ge m$ , if P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is true.

*Proof.* The following is a proof by contradiction. Suppose that both (i) and (ii) hold true, but there exists a natural number  $k \geq m$  such that P(k) is false. Define the set of all counterexamples by

$$X = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n) \text{ is false} \}.$$

Since P(k) is false, we know that X is non-empty. Furthermore, by the Well Ordering Principle, it follows that there exists a least element of X, which we denote by l. Note that l > m, since P(m) is true due to (i), and therefore,  $l-1 \ge m$ . It follows from (ii) that since P(l-1) must be true, so to must P(l) be true. But this contradicts l being an element of X. Therefore, X is in fact empty, and the result follows.

#### Problem 2

**Theorem.** Let A be a subset of the natural numbers. If

i.  $0 \in A$ , and

ii. for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $0, 1, \ldots, k \in A$ , then  $k + 1 \in A$ .

then  $A = \mathbb{N}$ 

*Proof.* The following is a proof by contradiction. Suppose that both (i) and (ii) hold true, but there exists a natural number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \notin A$ . Define the set of all elements not in A by

$$X = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \ n \notin A \}.$$

Since  $k \notin A$ , we know that X is non-empty. Furthermore, by the Well Ordering Principle, it follows that there exists a least element of X, which we denote by l. Note that l > 0, since  $0 \in A$  due to (i). Therefore  $l - 1 \ge 0$ , and  $0, \ldots, l - 1 \in A$ . It follows from (ii) that  $l \in A$  which contradicts l being an element of X. Therefore, X is in fact empty, and the result follows.