

# CSC/MAT-220: Discrete Structures

## Lab 6: ML

Due November 3, 2017

**Type Inference.** Up to this point, our programs have been written in *explicitly typed style*. That is, whenever we have introduced a variable, we have assigned it a type; i.e. every variable in a pattern has a type associated with it. A particularly pleasant feature of ML is that it allows you to omit the type information whenever it can be determined from context. For example, there is no need to give a type to the variable  $s$  in the function

$$fn\ s:string => s \wedge \text{“ ”}$$

since we are using the string concatenation operator. Therefore, we may write this expression as

$$fn\ s => s \wedge \text{“ ”}$$

allowing ML to insert “:string” for us. This is called the *principle typing property* of ML: whenever type information is omitted, there is always a most general way to recover the omitted type information. If there is no way to recover the type information, then the expression is ill-typed. Otherwise, there is a best way to “fill in the blanks”, which will (almost) always be found by the compiler.

An interesting example, is the identity function:

$$fn\ x => x$$

Since this function merely returns  $x$  as the result without performing any computation, there is no constraint on the type of  $x$ . Since the function is the same no matter which type is chosen, it is said to be *polymorphic*. Therefore, we say that the type of the identity function is  $type \rightarrow type$ .

There is clearly a pattern here, which can be understood by the notion of a *type scheme*: a type expression involving one or more type variables standing for an unknown. An *instance* of a type scheme is obtained by replacing each of the type variables occurring within the expression with a specific type. For example, the type scheme  $a \rightarrow a$  has instances  $int \rightarrow int$ ,  $string \rightarrow string$ ,  $(int * int) \rightarrow (int * int)$ , and  $(b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow b)$ , among infinitely many others. In contrast, it does not have the type  $int \rightarrow string$  as instance, since we are constrained to replace all occurrences of a type variable by the same type scheme. However, the type scheme  $a \rightarrow b$  has both  $int \rightarrow int$  and  $int \rightarrow string$  as instances.

### Polymorphic Definitions.

### Overloading.