

## 1. Question 1.1.4

In order to check if  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric, we need to check that for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$

1.  $d(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$
2.  $d(x, x) = 0$
3.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
4.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$

If  $x \neq y$ , then there must be at least one  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $x_i \neq y_i$ . This means that  $d(x, y) \geq 1 > 0$ . Hence, the first condition is satisfied. Similarly, since  $x = x$ , there is no index where  $x_i \neq x_i$  and hence  $d(x, x) = 0$ . Thus, the second condition is satisfied. Looking at the definition of  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we see that

$$d(x, y) = |\{k : x_k \neq y_k, k = 1, \dots, m\}| = |\{k : y_k \neq x_k, k = 1, \dots, m\}| = d(y, x)$$

Hence the third condition is satisfied. If  $z \neq x$  or  $z \neq y$ , then clearly  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ . If  $z = y$  or  $z = x$ , we would get equality. Now, let us define sets  $A, B, C$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{k : x_k \neq y_k\} \\ B &= \{k : x_k \neq z_k\} \\ C &= \{k : z_k \neq y_k\} \end{aligned}$$

For any  $k \in A$ , this means that  $x_k \neq y_k$ . This means that either  $x_k = z_k$  but  $z_k \neq y_k$  OR  $x_k \neq z_k$ . The first case implies that  $k \in C$  and the second implies that  $k \in B$ . This means that any index  $k \in A$  must also be in either  $B$  or  $C$ . Thus,  $A \subseteq B \cup C$ . Hence,  $d(x, y) = |A| \leq |B \cup C| \leq |B| + |C| = d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ . Hence the final condition is satisfied.

## 2. Question 1.1.5

In order to check if  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric, we need to check that for  $x, y \in X$

1.  $d(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$
2.  $d(x, x) = 0$
3.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
4.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for any  $z \in X$

Since  $\hat{\rho}(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$ , then  $d(x, x) = 0$ . Hence the second condition is satisfied. Similarly, as  $\hat{\rho}(x, y) \geq 0$ , then we know that  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  as it is the maximum of two numbers greater than 0. Hence the first condition is met. Looking at the definition of  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we see that

$$d(x, y) = \max\{\hat{\rho}(x, y), \hat{\rho}(y, x)\} = \max\{\hat{\rho}(y, x), \hat{\rho}(x, y)\} = d(y, x)$$

Hence the third condition is satisfied. Finally, we must use the fact that  $\hat{\rho}(x, y) \leq \hat{\rho}(x, z) + \hat{\rho}(z, y)$  and  $\hat{\rho}(y, x) \leq \hat{\rho}(y, z) + \hat{\rho}(z, x)$  and state that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \max\{\hat{\rho}(x, y), \hat{\rho}(y, x)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\hat{\rho}(x, z) + \hat{\rho}(z, y), \hat{\rho}(y, z) + \hat{\rho}(z, x)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\hat{\rho}(x, z), \hat{\rho}(z, x)\} + \max\{\hat{\rho}(y, z), \hat{\rho}(z, y)\} \\ &= d(x, z) + d(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the final condition is satisfied.

3. Question 1.1.16

In order to check if  $\bar{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric, we must once again check that for  $x, y \in X$

1.  $\bar{d}(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$
2.  $\bar{d}(x, x) = 0$
3.  $\bar{d}(x, y) = d(y, x)$
4.  $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for any  $z \in X$

Since  $d$  is already known to be a metric, then we know that  $d$  satisfies that  $d(f(x), f(y)) > 0$  when  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Since we know that  $f$  is one to one, we know that if  $x \neq y$ , then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  and hence  $\bar{d}(x, y) > 0$  when  $x \neq y$ . Clearly  $\bar{d}(x, x) = d(f(x), f(x)) = 0$  so the second condition is satisfied. Similarly,  $\bar{d}(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) = d(f(y), f(x)) = \bar{d}(y, x)$  and so the third condition is satisfied. Now, let  $z \in X$ . Then  $\bar{d}(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(f(x), f(z)) + d(f(z), f(y)) = \bar{d}(x, z) + \bar{d}(z, y)$  since we know that  $d$  must satisfy the triangle inequality. Thus all conditions are met and  $\bar{d}$  is a metric.

4. Question 1.2.5

Since  $x_n < y_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we know that  $\sup_{n \geq k}(x_n) \leq \sup_{n \geq k}(y_n)$ . Hence, by definition,  $\limsup(x_n) \leq \limsup(y_n)$ . Similarly,  $\inf_{n \geq k}(x_n) \leq \inf_{n \geq k}(y_n)$ . Hence, by definition,  $\liminf(x_n) \leq \limsup(y_n)$ .

An example of the first equality holding is letting  $x_n = 1 - \frac{2}{n}$  and  $y_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $y_n > x_n$  but  $\limsup(x_n) = \limsup(y_n) = 1$ . Similarly, for an example of the second equality holding is  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $y_n = \frac{2}{n}$ . Then  $y_n > x_n$  but  $\liminf(x_n) = \liminf(y_n) = 0$

5. Question 1.2.6

First we must note that  $x_n \leq \sup(x_n)$  and  $y_n \leq \sup(y_n)$ . This means that  $x_n + y_n \leq \sup(x_n) + \sup(y_n)$  and hence  $\sup(x_n + y_n) \leq \sup(x_n) + \sup(y_n)$ . Similarly,  $\inf(x_n + y_n) \geq \inf(x_n) + \inf(y_n)$ . Now, taking the limit of both sides of  $\sup(x_n + y_n) \leq \sup(x_n) + \sup(y_n)$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k}(x_n + y_n) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k}(x_n) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k}(y_n)$$

which by definition gives us

$$\limsup(x_n + y_n) \leq \limsup(x_n) + \limsup(y_n)$$

Taking the limit of both sides for the infimum inequality gets us

$$\liminf(x_n + y_n) \leq \liminf(x_n) + \liminf(y_n)$$

Now An example of the first strict inequality holding is letting  $x_n = (-1)^n$  and  $y_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ . Then  $\limsup(x_n + y_n) = 0$  but  $\limsup(x_n) = \limsup(y_n) = 1$  so  $\limsup(x_n) + \limsup(y_n) = 2$ . An example of the second strict inequality holding is letting  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  be defined as above. Then  $\liminf(x_n + y_n) = 0$  but  $\liminf(x_n) = \liminf(y_n) = -1$  so  $\limsup(x_n) + \limsup(y_n) = -2$ .

6. Question 1.2.7

Since  $x_n \in c$ , we know that  $x_n$  is cauchy and since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, it must be convergent. As it is convergent,  $\limsup(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$ . Now, we know from the previous problem that

$$\limsup(x_n + y_n) \leq \limsup(x_n) + \limsup(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup(y_n) = L + \limsup(y_n)$$

But since  $x_n \rightarrow L$ , we know that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there must be an  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $|x_n - L| < \varepsilon$ . This means that for large enough  $n$ , we can approximate  $x_n$  as  $L$  and see that  $\sup_{k \geq n}(x_n + y_n) \approx \sup_{k \geq n}(L + y_n) = L + \sup_{k \geq n}(y_n)$ . Taking the limit, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k}(x_n + y_n) = L + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k}(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \limsup(y_n)$$

7. Question 1.2.8

- (a) Using 1.2.5, we know that  $\limsup(x_n) \leq \limsup(y_n)$  and  $\limsup(y_n) \leq \limsup(z_n)$ . Thus,

$$\bar{L} = \limsup(x_n) \leq \limsup(y_n) \leq \limsup(z_n) = \bar{L}$$

Hence,  $\limsup(y_n) = \bar{L}$ . Similarly, we know that  $\liminf(x_n) \leq \liminf(y_n)$  and  $\liminf(y_n) \leq \liminf(z_n)$ . Thus,

$$\underline{L} = \liminf(x_n) \leq \liminf(y_n) \leq \liminf(z_n) = \underline{L}$$

Hence,  $\liminf(y_n) = \underline{L}$ .

- (b) The Squeeze Theorem states that if  $x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow a$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow a$ , then  $y_n \rightarrow a$ . To prove it, we must first note that in order for  $\lim x_n = a$ , then  $\limsup x_n = \liminf x_n = a$ . Applying part a, we see that  $\limsup y_n = a$  and  $\liminf y_n = a$ . Thus,  $\lim y_n = a$ .

8. Question 1.2.9

- (a) We first note that  $x_n < y_n$  for all  $n$  since  $a < b$ . This means that  $x_{n+1} = \sqrt{x_n y_n} \leq x_n$  and hence non-increasing. Similarly,  $y_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \geq y_n$  and hence non-decreasing. Since  $0 < a \leq x_n \leq y_n \leq b$ , we know that both sequences are bounded and monotonic. Thus, each sequence is convergent by the monotone convergence theorem. Say  $x_n \rightarrow L_x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow L_y$ . Taking the limit of the first formula, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \sqrt{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n} \implies L_x = \sqrt{L_x L_y} \implies L_x^2 = L_x L_y \implies L_x = L_y$$

We can safely divide by  $L_x$  since  $L_x > 0$ . Similarly, taking the limit of the second formula and substituting in  $L_x = L_y$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n}{2} \implies L_y = \frac{L_x + L_y}{2} = L_x$$

9. Question 1.2.10

Since  $x_n$  converges to  $a$ , we know that for any  $\varepsilon$ , there must be an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, a) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . We can split the sum up as  $S_n = \frac{1}{n}((x_1 + \dots + x_N) + (x_{N+1} + \dots + x_n))$ . Written another way, we get  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n x_k$ . Now, we examine  $d(S_n, a)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n - a| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n x_k - a \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n x_k - a \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} (n - N) \varepsilon \right| \end{aligned}$$

Now we can take the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $N$  is fixed, the first sum will tend to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The coefficient in the second term would tend to 1 so we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n - a| \leq \varepsilon$  and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = a$ .

10. Question 1.2.11

First, notice that  $\frac{x_n}{1+x_n} < 1$  for all  $n$  and so  $x_n < 2$ . Thus it is bounded. To show the sequence is increasing, we must show that  $x_{n+1} - x_n = \frac{(1+x_{n-1})x_n - (1+x_n)x_{n-1}}{(1+x_{n-1})(1+x_n)} > 0$ . We will do so by induction. To verify the base case, let  $n = 0$ . Then  $x_{0+1} - x_0 = x_1 - x_0 = (1 + \frac{1}{1+1}) - 1 = 0.5 > 0$ . Now, assume that for some positive integer  $k$ , the inequality holds and  $x_k - x_{k-1}$ . This means that

$$x_k - x_{k-1} = \frac{(1+x_{k-2})x_{k-1} - (1+x_{k-1})x_{k-2}}{(1+x_{k-2})(1+x_{k-1})} > 0$$

. Now, examining  $x_{k+1} - x_k$ , we get

$$\frac{x_k}{1+x_k} - \frac{x_{k-1}}{1+x_{k-1}} = \frac{(1+x_{k-1})x_k - (1+x_k)x_{k-1}}{(1+x_{k-1})(1+x_k)} = \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{(1+x_{k-1})(1+x_k)}$$

Since the bottom is always greater than 0 and the top we assumed to be greater than 0, we get a positive ratio and hence  $x_k - x_{k-1} > 0 \implies x_{k+1} - x_k > 0$  and thus by induction, we get that  $x_n$  is increasing. Thus, by the monotone convergence theorem, we know that  $x_n$  converges, say to  $L$ . Taking the limit, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + 1} \implies L = 1 + \frac{L}{1+L}$ . This can be simplified and we get  $L^2 - L - 1 = 0$ . Solving this quadratic, we get two roots,  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Since  $L$  must be positive, we know that  $L = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$