

HARD DISK AND FILE SYSTEM RECOMMENDATIONS

HARD DISK PREP

Ceph aims for data safety, which means that when the application receives notice that data was written to the disk, that data was actually written to the disk. For old kernels (<2.6.33), disable the write cache if the journal is on a raw disk. Newer kernels should work fine.

Use `hdparm` to disable write caching on the hard disk:

```
sudo hdparm -W 0 /dev/hda 0
```

In production environments, we recommend running OSDs with an operating system disk, and a separate disk(s) for data. If you run data and an operating system on a single disk, create a separate partition for your data before configuring your OSD cluster.

FILE SYSTEMS

Ceph OSDs rely heavily upon the stability and performance of the underlying file system.

Note: We currently recommend XFS for production deployments. We recommend `bt rfs` for testing, development, and any non-critical deployments. We believe that `bt rfs` has the correct feature set and roadmap to serve Ceph in the long-term, but XFS and `ext4` provide the necessary stability for today's deployments. `bt rfs` development is proceeding rapidly: users should be comfortable installing the latest released upstream kernels and be able to track development activity for critical bug fixes.

Ceph OSDs depend on the Extended Attributes (XATTRs) of the underlying file system for various forms of internal object state and metadata. The underlying file system must provide sufficient capacity for XATTRs. `bt rfs` does not bound the total xattr metadata stored with a file. XFS has a relatively large limit (64 KB) that most deployments won't encounter, but the `ext4` is too small to be usable.

You should always add the following line to the `[osd]` section of your `ceph.conf` file for `ext4` filesystems; you can optionally use it for `bt rfs` and XFS.:

```
filestore xattr use omap = true
```

FS BACKGROUND INFO

The XFS and `bt rfs` file systems provide numerous advantages in highly scaled data storage environments when **compared** to `ext3` and `ext4`. Both XFS and `bt rfs` are **journaling file systems**, which means that they are more robust when recovering from crashes, power outages, etc. These filesystems journal all of the changes they will make before performing writes.

XFS was developed for Silicon Graphics, and is a mature and stable filesystem. By contrast, `bt rfs` is a relatively new file system that aims to address the long-standing wishes of system administrators working with large scale data storage environments. `bt rfs` has some unique features and advantages compared to other Linux filesystems.

`bt rfs` is a **copy-on-write** filesystem. It supports file creation timestamps and checksums that verify metadata integrity, so it can detect bad copies of data and fix them with the good copies. The copy-on-write capability means that `bt rfs` can support snapshots that are writable. `bt rfs` supports transparent compression and other features.

`bt rfs` also incorporates multi-device management into the file system, which enables you to support heterogeneous disk storage infrastructure, data allocation policies. The community also aims to provide `fsck`, deduplication, and data encryption support in the future. This compelling list of features makes `bt rfs` the ideal choice for Ceph clusters.