

Short HW3 – SVM, Optimization, and PAC learning

Submitted individually by Wednesday, 31.07, at 23:59.

You may answer in Hebrew or English and write on a computer or by hand (but be clear).

Please submit a PDF file named like your ID number, e.g., 123456789.pdf.

Bonus (maximal grade is 100): Writing on a computer (using LyX/LaTeX, Word + Equation tool, etc.) = 2 pts.

חיילים בשירות מילואים ממושך המעוניינים לקבל פטור מאחת השאלות במטלה (לבחירתנו) מוזמנים לפנות למייל הקורסי.

1. Define $\mathcal{H} = \{x \mapsto \text{sign}(w^t x) : w \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, the hypothesis class of homogeneous linear classifiers.

1.1. In Tutorial 05, we said that the VC-dimension of homogeneous linear classifiers is $\geq d$.

Provide a rigorous proof for this statement.

1.2. Prove that $VCdim(\mathcal{H})$ is exactly d by proving that $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) < d + 1$.

Hint: Any set of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$ vectors in \mathbb{R}^d is linearly dependent, and at least one vector in the set (w.l.o.g x_{d+1}) satisfies $x_{d+1} = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i x_i$ for some scalars $z_1, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{R}$ with at least some scalar that is not equal to 0.

2. Let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \phi': \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ be two feature mappings where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $K, K': (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two **valid kernels** defined as:

$$K(u, v) = \langle \phi(u), \phi(v) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \phi_i(u) \phi_i(v), \quad K'(u, v) = \langle \phi'(u), \phi'(v) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \phi'_j(u) \phi'_j(v).$$

Prove that $G(u, v) \triangleq K(u, v) \cdot K'(u, v)$ is a valid kernel. That is, propose a feature mapping $\psi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_3}$ for some $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $G(u, v) = \langle \psi(u), \psi(v) \rangle$.

Hint: You should use $n_3 = n_1 \cdot n_2$.

3. For a given parameter $\gamma > 0$, define the Gaussian Kernel for 1-D input in the following manner:

$$K: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad K(a, b) = \exp(-\gamma(a - b)^2)$$

3.1. Provide a feature mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ with $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, and prove that K is indeed a valid kernel.

$$\text{Hint: } e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

3.2. Assume that you are given a very large dataset with 1-D samples. We would like to apply the Gaussian Kernel to train a classifier on the dataset. Would it be better to optimize the **primal problem** with the feature mapping you found, or is it better to optimize the **dual problem** with the kernel that we defined? Is it even possible? Explain.

4. **Refute** (with a simple example): Let $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex functions.

The composition $h \triangleq f \circ g$ (that is, $h(x) = f(g(x))$) is also a convex function.

5. We will now prove that the following **Soft-SVM** problem is convex:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{0, 1 - y_i \cdot w^\top x_i\} + \lambda \|w\|_2^2$$

Let $f, g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex functions defined over a convex set \mathcal{C} .

Lemma (no need to prove): $q(z) \triangleq \max\{f(z), g(z)\}$ is convex w.r.t z .

Lemma (no need to prove): the sum of any number of convex functions is convex.

- 5.1. Prove (by definition): Given a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the function $\alpha f(z)$ is convex w.r.t z .
- 5.2. Using a rule from Tutorial 07, conclude that $\max\{0, 1 - y_i w^\top x_i\}$ is convex w.r.t w .
- 5.3. Using the above (and properties from Tutorial 07), conclude that the Soft-SVM optimization problem is convex w.r.t w .