

SoA on CDMA multiple-access interference mitigation technology

Diogo Fonseca
Professor: João Dias

UALG
MESTRADO EM ENGENHARIA INFORMÁTICA

15 de Dezembro de 2025

Table of contents

- 1 Screening Process
- 2 Introduction
- 3 MAI (Multiple-Access Interference)
 - Near-Far problem
 - Out-of-phase chips
 - Multipath channel distortion
 - Doppler effect
- 4 Problem Statements
 - Downlink
 - Uplink

5 Solutions

- CDMA Rake
 - PN codes
 - The Rake System
 - MAI mitigation
- Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems
- Multiuser detectors (MUD)
- Common downlink solution
- Common uplink solution

6 Conclusions

Screening Process

- The research question made was "How does modern CDMA deal with interference and out-of-phase waves".

- The research question made was "How does modern CDMA deal with interference and out-of-phase waves".
- 48 papers were found in the last 20 years.

- The research question made was "How does modern CDMA deal with interference and out-of-phase waves".
- 48 papers were found in the last 20 years.
- 14 papers remained after being filtered out by abstract and conclusion.

- The research question made was "How does modern CDMA deal with interference and out-of-phase waves".
- 48 papers were found in the last 20 years.
- 14 papers remained after being filtered out by abstract and conclusion.
- 12 papers remained after a full read.

CDMA

CDMA

- Multiple users use the same channel simultaneously.

CDMA

- Multiple users use the same channel simultaneously.
- Each user is assigned a different orthogonal code.

CDMA

- Multiple users use the same channel simultaneously.
- Each user is assigned a different orthogonal code.
- Because the codes are orthogonal, it's possible to retrieve the original message of each user

MAI (Multiple-Access Interference)

Near-Far problem

Near-Far problem

- Each user has different distancing or differently powered RF transmitters.

Near-Far problem

- Each user has different distancing or differently powered RF transmitters.
- Signals end up with different amplitude when being received.

Near-Far problem

- Each user has different distancing or differently powered RF transmitters.
- Signals end up with different amplitude when being received.
- Loss of orthogonality occurs.

MAI (Multiple-Access Interference)

Near-Far problem (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

Near-Far problem (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).

Near-Far problem (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different magnitudes.

Near-Far problem (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different magnitudes.
- The addition of the first bit of the chip should result in $(1 + 1 - 1) = 2$.

Near-Far problem (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different magnitudes.
- The addition of the first bit of the chip should result in $(1 + 1 - 1) = 2$.
- This might actually result in $(2 + 0.1 - 5) = -2.9$ at the receiver.

Out-of-phase chips

Out-of-phase chips

- There is a delay in the waves being sent or the distancing between the users doesn't line up.

Out-of-phase chips

- There is a delay in the waves being sent or the distancing between the users doesn't line up.
- Chips will be out of phase.

Out-of-phase chips

- There is a delay in the waves being sent or the distancing between the users doesn't line up.
- Chips will be out of phase.
- Loss of orthogonality occurs.

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different phases.

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different phases.
- Imagine user 3 either moved in space in relation to the receiving station or had a slight processing delay resulting in a 1-chip delay.

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different phases.
- Imagine user 3 either moved in space in relation to the receiving station or had a slight processing delay resulting in a 1-chip delay.
- When merging for the first bit of the chip, instead of resulting in $(1 + 1 - 1) = 2$.

Out-of-phase chips (example)

User chips:

User 1: $[+1, -1, +1, +1]$

User 2: $[+1, +1, -1, -1]$

User 3: $[-1, +1, +1, -1]$

- The waves will constructively and destructively interfere (merge).
- Waves will have different phases.
- Imagine user 3 either moved in space in relation to the receiving station or had a slight processing delay resulting in a 1-chip delay.
- When merging for the first bit of the chip, instead of resulting in $(1 + 1 - 1) = 2$.
- It results in $(1 + 1 + 1) = 3$.

Multipath channel distortion

Multipath channel distortion

- Waves bounce on surfaces.

Multipath channel distortion

- Waves bounce on surfaces.
- The receiver receives several copies of the same wave.

Multipath channel distortion

- Waves bounce on surfaces.
- The receiver receives several copies of the same wave.
- Each "echo" of the wave will be phase-shifted and in lower amplitude.

Multipath channel distortion

- Waves bounce on surfaces.
- The receiver receives several copies of the same wave.
- Each "echo" of the wave will be phase-shifted and in lower amplitude.
- This interacts with the signals and causes interference.

Doppler effect

Doppler effect

- Transmitters move in space.

Doppler effect

- Transmitters move in space.
- Waves become higher frequency in front of the transmitter.

Doppler effect

- Transmitters move in space.
- Waves become higher frequency in front of the transmitter.
- Waves become lower frequency behind the transmitter.

Doppler effect

- Transmitters move in space.
- Waves become higher frequency in front of the transmitter.
- Waves become lower frequency behind the transmitter.
- This creates a continuously changing phase shift.

Doppler effect

- Transmitters move in space.
- Waves become higher frequency in front of the transmitter.
- Waves become lower frequency behind the transmitter.
- This creates a continuously changing phase shift.
- As the phase keeps changing, it seems as if the signal is "spinning" to the receiver.

Downlink

- We want to broadcast to all users in the channel.

- We want to broadcast to all users in the channel.
- The amplitude of the message for each user will be the same.

- We want to broadcast to all users in the channel.
- The amplitude of the message for each user will be the same.
- The messages are sent synchronously with each other.

- We want to broadcast to all users in the channel.
- The amplitude of the message for each user will be the same.
- The messages are sent synchronously with each other.
- There is no orthogonalization issues.

- We want to broadcast to all users in the channel.
- The amplitude of the message for each user will be the same.
- The messages are sent synchronously with each other.
- There is no orthogonalization issues.
- Usually extremely easy to make work flawlessly.

- Users send data to central tower.

- Users send data to central tower.
- Users have different locations, velocities and different amplitude transmitters.

- Users send data to central tower.
- Users have different locations, velocities and different amplitude transmitters.
- This leads to a lot of MAI.

- Users send data to central tower.
- Users have different locations, velocities and different amplitude transmitters.
- This leads to a lot of MAI.
- Data is completely incoherent and impossible to decode by the central station.

- Users send data to central tower.
- Users have different locations, velocities and different amplitude transmitters.
- This leads to a lot of MAI.
- Data is completely incoherent and impossible to decode by the central station.
- Core limitation of CDMA, where MAI comes into play very destructively.

Solutions

CDMA Rake

PN codes (1/2)

PN codes (1/2)

- Assume each user has a unique identification (i.e. A phone's ESN).

PN codes (1/2)

- Assume each user has a unique identification (i.e. A phone's ESN).
- Further assume the base station has already communicated with the user and has it's unique identification.

PN codes (1/2)

- Assume each user has a unique identification (i.e. A phone's ESN).
- Further assume the base station has already communicated with the user and has it's unique identification.
- Based on current time and unique identification, a seed is generated.

PN codes (1/2)

- Assume each user has a unique identification (i.e. A phone's ESN).
- Further assume the base station has already communicated with the user and has it's unique identification.
- Based on current time and unique identification, a seed is generated.
- Upon having the same seed, a (usually) 2^{42} -long pseudorandom noise (PN) code is generated.

PN codes (1/2)

- Assume each user has a unique identification (i.e. A phone's ESN).
- Further assume the base station has already communicated with the user and has it's unique identification.
- Based on current time and unique identification, a seed is generated.
- Upon having the same seed, a (usually) 2^{42} -long pseudorandom noise (PN) code is generated.
- The PN code is uniformly random in such a way that the average is 0, as each bit is encoded between 1 or -1.

PN codes (2/2)

PN codes (2/2)

- Other PN codes being transmitted are equivalent to random noise, no longer structured interference.

PN codes (2/2)

- Other PN codes being transmitted are equivalent to random noise, no longer structured interference.
- Chip vectors are quasi-orthogonal.

PN codes (2/2)

- Other PN codes being transmitted are equivalent to random noise, no longer structured interference.
- Chip vectors are quasi-orthogonal.
- If the chip sequence is of length n , each data bit will be encoded into the following chip sequence.

PN codes (2/2)

- Other PN codes being transmitted are equivalent to random noise, no longer structured interference.
- Chip vectors are quasi-orthogonal.
- If the chip sequence is of length n , each data bit will be encoded into the following chip sequence.

$$C_i = (C_{i \cdot n}, C_{i \cdot n + 1}, \dots, C_{(i+1) \cdot n - 1})$$

and a 0 data bit will be encoded to $-C_i$. The next data bit sent, will already be encoded into C_{i+1} , and so on and so forth.

The Rake System

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").
- Each finger receives the signal and correlates it with a phase-shifted version ("echo") of the user's PN code.

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").
- Each finger receives the signal and correlates it with a phase-shifted version ("echo") of the user's PN code.
- Then a controller takes these signals and searches through all possible delays.

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").
- Each finger receives the signal and correlates it with a phase-shifted version ("echo") of the user's PN code.
- Then a controller takes these signals and searches through all possible delays.
- The controller then identifies peaks in the correlation profile, finding each "echo".

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").
- Each finger receives the signal and correlates it with a phase-shifted version ("echo") of the user's PN code.
- Then a controller takes these signals and searches through all possible delays.
- The controller then identifies peaks in the correlation profile, finding each "echo".
- Each "echo" has a different weight proportional to its amplitude and correlation (probability of it being of the same signal).

The Rake System

- Multiple parallel processing units (called "Fingers").
- Each finger receives the signal and correlates it with a phase-shifted version ("echo") of the user's PN code.
- Then a controller takes these signals and searches through all possible delays.
- The controller then identifies peaks in the correlation profile, finding each "echo".
- Each "echo" has a different weight proportional to its amplitude and correlation (probability of it being of the same signal).
- Finally the combiner takes each "echo" and does their weighted sum.

MAI mitigation

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.
- The Rake receiver turns a weakness into a strength, exploiting the signal replicas into gain.

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.
- The Rake receiver turns a weakness into a strength, exploiting the signal replicas into gain.
- This severely mitigates multipath channel distortion, making it a non-problem.

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.
- The Rake receiver turns a weakness into a strength, exploiting the signal replicas into gain.
- This severely mitigates multipath channel distortion, making it a non-problem.
- The PN codes mitigate out-of-phase chips, as the chips from other senders will cancel out into noise

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.
- The Rake receiver turns a weakness into a strength, exploiting the signal replicas into gain.
- This severely mitigates multipath channel distortion, making it a non-problem.
- The PN codes mitigate out-of-phase chips, as the chips from other senders will cancel out into noise
- Almost completely mitigates out-of-phase chips and multipath channel distortion.

MAI mitigation

- The more fingers, the better the rake system becomes, but it also increases power consumption.
- The Rake receiver turns a weakness into a strength, exploiting the signal replicas into gain.
- This severely mitigates multipath channel distortion, making it a non-problem.
- The PN codes mitigate out-of-phase chips, as the chips from other senders will cancel out into noise
- Almost completely mitigates out-of-phase chips and multipath channel distortion.
- Diminishes the doppler effect as it tracks out of phase and lower amplitude replicas.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

- Main idea is to use multiple antennas to transmit.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

- Main idea is to use multiple antennas to transmit.
- Introduces structured redundancy in both space and time.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

- Main idea is to use multiple antennas to transmit.
- Introduces structured redundancy in both space and time.
- The redundancy is structured in such a way that we get signal gain by listening to more antennas.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

- Main idea is to use multiple antennas to transmit.
- Introduces structured redundancy in both space and time.
- The redundancy is structured in such a way that we get signal gain by listening to more antennas.
- We can also decode messages when other antennas are obstructed

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

example

	Antenna 1	Antenna 2
$t = 0$	c_0	c_1
$t = 1$	c_1	$-c_0$

Table 1: Individual antenna transmission at time t with 2 antennas.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

example

	Antenna 1	Antenna 2
$t = 0$	c_0	c_1
$t = 1$	c_1	$-c_0$

Table 1: Individual antenna transmission at time t with 2 antennas.

- Receiver knows this configuration beforehand.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

example

	Antenna 1	Antenna 2
$t = 0$	c_0	c_1
$t = 1$	c_1	$-c_0$

Table 1: Individual antenna transmission at time t with 2 antennas.

- Receiver knows this configuration beforehand.
- Receiver can decode the full message as long as one antenna is available at a given time, even if it's a different antenna.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

example

	Antenna 1	Antenna 2
$t = 0$	c_0	c_1
$t = 1$	c_1	$-c_0$

Table 1: Individual antenna transmission at time t with 2 antennas.

- Receiver knows this configuration beforehand.
- Receiver can decode the full message as long as one antenna is available at a given time, even if it's a different antenna.
- The reason for the negation is to make the sending space orthogonal.

Space-time coded (STC) CDMA systems

example

	Antenna 1	Antenna 2
$t = 0$	c_0	c_1
$t = 1$	c_1	$-c_0$

Table 1: Individual antenna transmission at time t with 2 antennas.

- Receiver knows this configuration beforehand.
- Receiver can decode the full message as long as one antenna is available at a given time, even if it's a different antenna.
- The reason for the negation is to make the sending space orthogonal.
- If each user has a multi-antenna system, STC CDMA neutralizes the near-far problem.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.
- This is usually done by using a maximum-likelihood detector.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.
- This is usually done by using a maximum-likelihood detector.
- The detector tests all possible combinations of data bits from all users.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.
- This is usually done by using a maximum-likelihood detector.
- The detector tests all possible combinations of data bits from all users.
- It then chooses the set that is statistically more likely.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.
- This is usually done by using a maximum-likelihood detector.
- The detector tests all possible combinations of data bits from all users.
- It then chooses the set that is statistically more likely.
- This provides the **best possible performance** with the minimum error.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- MUDs listen to all signals instead of taking other signals as interference.
- This is usually done by using a maximum-likelihood detector.
- The detector tests all possible combinations of data bits from all users.
- It then chooses the set that is statistically more likely.
- This provides the **best possible performance** with the minimum error.
- The algorithm is extremely inefficient ($O(2^k)$, when k is the number of users transmitting simultaneously).

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- Upon decoding all data from every other user, the current user subtracts all the other data from the main signal.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- Upon decoding all data from every other user, the current user subtracts all the other data from the main signal.
- Getting the highest quality version of the data it's receiving.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- Upon decoding all data from every other user, the current user subtracts all the other data from the main signal.
- Getting the highest quality version of the data it's receiving.
- MUDs make receiving data through CDMA more reliable.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- Upon decoding all data from every other user, the current user subtracts all the other data from the main signal.
- Getting the highest quality version of the data it's receiving.
- MUDs make receiving data through CDMA more reliable.
- They mitigate the near-far problem.

Multiuser detectors (MUD)

- Upon decoding all data from every other user, the current user subtracts all the other data from the main signal.
- Getting the highest quality version of the data it's receiving.
- MUDs make receiving data through CDMA more reliable.
- They mitigate the near-far problem.
- They mitigate problems that come with having quasi-orthogonal codes (PN codes).

Common downlink solution

Common downlink solution

- Usually denoted as Synchronous CDMA.

Common downlink solution

- Usually denoted as Synchronous CDMA.
- Walsh codes are used to provide orthogonal binary strings for chips.

Common downlink solution

- Usually denoted as Synchronous CDMA.
- Walsh codes are used to provide orthogonal binary strings for chips.
- All data is broadcast synchronously on the same amplitude.

Common downlink solution

- Usually denoted as Synchronous CDMA.
- Walsh codes are used to provide orthogonal binary strings for chips.
- All data is broadcast synchronously on the same amplitude.
- STC can also be used as long as the station has multiple antennas, in order for users to receive higher fidelity data.

Common uplink solution

Common uplink solution

- Quasi-orthogonal PN codes.

Common uplink solution

- Quasi-orthogonal PN codes.
- Users with STC CDMA systems (multi antennas) whenever possible.

Common uplink solution

- Quasi-orthogonal PN codes.
- Users with STC CDMA systems (multi antennas) whenever possible.
- Users and (especially) stations employing MUDs.

Common uplink solution

- Quasi-orthogonal PN codes.
- Users with STC CDMA systems (multi antennas) whenever possible.
- Users and (especially) stations employing MUDs.
- Power Control to further mitigate the near-far problem.

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.

Conclusions

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.
- The out-of-phase chips is mitigated by PN codes.

Conclusions

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.
- The out-of-phase chips is mitigated by PN codes.
- The new quasi-orthogonal problem is further mitigated by MUDS.

Conclusions

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.
- The out-of-phase chips is mitigated by PN codes.
- The new quasi-orthogonal problem is further mitigated by MUDS.
- The near-far problem is most reliably mitigated by Power Control, but also by MUDS (but also a bit by STC and the Rake system).

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.
- The out-of-phase chips is mitigated by PN codes.
- The new quasi-orthogonal problem is further mitigated by MUDS.
- The near-far problem is most reliably mitigated by Power Control, but also by MUDS (but also a bit by STC and the Rake system).
- The multipath channel distortion is almost completely mitigated by the Rake System, turning the "echoes" into further gain.

- Worst problems are the near-far problem and the out-of-phase chips.
- The out-of-phase chips is mitigated by PN codes.
- The new quasi-orthogonal problem is further mitigated by MUDS.
- The near-far problem is most reliably mitigated by Power Control, but also by MUDS (but also a bit by STC and the Rake system).
- The multipath channel distortion is almost completely mitigated by the Rake System, turning the "echoes" into further gain.
- For downlink transmission there is essentially no transmission issues, using welsh codes to generate orthogonal chip vectors.