

## Stats Topic

# Exercises on Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Updated on January 21, 2024

© 2024 Nicky老师  
nickycahnhelp@qq.com

The maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) is one of the core topics in statistics. This note reviews several classical and representative exercises in this area.

## I. Preliminaries

Assume that a parametric statistical model  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a distribution  $\mu_\theta$ <sup>1</sup>, whose parameter  $\theta$  is unknown (but fixed) and is supposed to lie in a given set  $\Theta$ , namely,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mu_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}. \quad (1)$$

We also have a set of independent and identically-distributed (i.i.d.) samples generated from the true distribution  $\mu_\theta$  with a certain  $\theta$ . We denote  $\mathcal{X}_n = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ , where  $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu_\theta$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

The MLE is one of the methods to estimate the unknown  $\theta$  from the dataset  $\mathcal{X}_n$  of  $n$  i.i.d. samples. The idea of MLE says that such estimator  $\hat{\theta}^{MLE}$  should make the current samples occur with the largest possibility. This is achieved by maximizing the so-called likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{\theta}^{MLE} \in \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) := \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_\theta(X_i). \quad (3)$$

Sometimes it is much easier to consider the log-likelihood function defined as  $\ell(\theta) := \ln(\mathcal{L}(\theta))$ . Since the logarithm function is increasing, the solution sets of maximizing  $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$  and  $\ell(\theta)$  are equivalent.

Forming the likelihood function depends on the concrete problems. In the simplest case where the samples come from a continuous distribution with probability density function (pdf)  $f_\theta$ , the likelihood function is usually (but not always) the product of pdf's evaluated at each sample, namely,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(X_i). \quad (4)$$

In other cases, however, determining the likelihood function is more tricky. In the following, we consider several exercises on solving MLE.

---

<sup>1</sup>Or, in general, a Lebesgue measure

## II. MLE Exercises

### 1 Normal distribution

Question: Suppose  $\mathcal{X}_n = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  are i.i.d. samples from a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown standard deviation  $\sigma > 0$ . Find their maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\mu}^{MLE}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^{MLE}$ .

Solution: The parametric statistical model of this problem is

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0\}. \quad (5)$$

We know that the pdf of  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the likelihood and log-likelihood are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma|\mathcal{X}_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\mu, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(X_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{\sum_i (X_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\mu, \sigma|\mathcal{X}_n) &= \ln(\mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma|\mathcal{X}_n)) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - n \ln(\sigma) - \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\mu}^{MLE}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^{MLE}$  are solving from

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0} \ell(\mu, \sigma|\mathcal{X}_n). \quad (9)$$

The first-order condition (FOC) gives

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{\sum_i (X_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \end{cases}. \quad (10)$$

Solving the above system of equations leads to

$$\hat{\mu}^{MLE} = \bar{X} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{MLE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_i (X_i - \hat{\mu}^{MLE})^2}. \quad (12)$$

## 2 Exponential distribution

Question: Suppose the lifetime of a bulb, denoted by  $T$ , follows an exponential distribution with unknown parameter  $\lambda$ . Now, we have  $n$  bulbs of this type and we turn on all these bulbs in a room at  $t = 0$  and come back until  $t = \tau$  and find that  $m$  out of them are out of work while the rest is still lighting. Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\lambda}^{MLE}$ .

Solution: The parametric statistical model of this problem is

$$\mathcal{F} = \{Exp(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}. \quad (13)$$

We know that the pdf of  $Exp(\lambda)$  is

$$f(t|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t > 0. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, its cumulative density function (cdf) is given by, for  $t > 0$ ,

$$F(t|\lambda) = \mathbb{P}(T \leq t) = \int_0^t f(s|\lambda) ds = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}. \quad (15)$$

In this problem, we have  $n$  i.i.d. bulbs with random lifetime  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . When we examine the bulbs at  $t = \tau$ , we find that  $m$  out of  $n$  do not work anymore. Without loss of generality, let us number the broken bulbs from 1 to  $m$  and the rest from  $m + 1$  to  $n$ . Therefore, the likelihood function in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}(T_i \leq \tau) \prod_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{P}(T_i > \tau) \\ &= (1 - e^{-\lambda\tau})^m (e^{-\lambda\tau})^{n-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and the log-likelihood function is then given by

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln(\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) = m \ln(1 - e^{-\lambda\tau}) - (n - m)\lambda\tau. \quad (17)$$

The maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\lambda}^{MLE}$  is solved from  $\max_{\lambda > 0} \ell(\lambda)$ . FOC leads to

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\tau m e^{-\lambda\tau}}{1 - e^{-\lambda\tau}} - (n - m)\tau \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0. \quad (18)$$

We finally obtain

$$\hat{\lambda}^{MLE} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \frac{n - m}{n}. \quad (19)$$

◇ 这道题虽然也是连续分布, 但很明显不是pdf的连乘来构造似然函数这么简单的情形了, 是有点trick在身上的.

### 3 Multinomial distribution - Example 1

Question: Suppose  $\mathcal{X}_n = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  are i.i.d. samples from a trinomial distribution whose probability mass function (pmf) is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X = a_k) = p_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (20)$$

where  $a_k$  are given constants and  $p_k > 0$  are unknown probabilities satisfying  $\sum_k p_k = 1$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{p}_k^{MLE}$  for all  $k$ .

Solution: The parametric statistical model of this problem is

$$\mathcal{F} = \{Tri(p_1, p_2, p_3) : p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1, p_k > 0\}. \quad (21)$$

The likelihood function of this problem is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, p_3) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (p_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a_1\}} + p_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a_2\}} + p_3 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a_3\}}) \\ &= p_1^{\#_1} p_2^{\#_2} p_3^{\#_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{x \in A\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & o/w \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

is known as the indicator function and

$$\#_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a_k\}} \quad (24)$$

just counts occurrences of  $a_k$  out of  $n$  samples, and obviously  $\#_1 + \#_2 + \#_3 = n$ .

The log-likelihood function is then given by

$$\ell(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \ln(\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, p_3)) = \#_1 \ln(p_1) + \#_2 \ln(p_2) + \#_3 \ln(p_3). \quad (25)$$

The maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{p}_k^{MLE}$  are solved from

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{p_k, \forall k} \quad & \ell(p_1, p_2, p_3) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1 \\ & p_k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above programming can be easily solved by the Lagrangian method. We first define the Lagrangian as

$$L(p_1, p_2, p_3, \lambda) = \ell(p_1, p_2, p_3) - \lambda(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 1). \quad (26)$$

The FOC leads to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_k} = \frac{\#_k}{p_k} - \lambda \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0, & k = 1, 2, 3 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = -(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 1) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

After solving the above system of equations for all  $p_k$  and  $\lambda$ , we obtain

$$\hat{p}_k^{MLE} = \frac{\#_k}{n}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (28)$$

◇ 这道题的求解过程看似复杂和抽象, 但结论非常地intuitive:  $p_k$ 的MLE正是 $a_k$ 出现的次数( $\#_k$ )占总次数( $n$ )中所占的比例.

## 4 Multinomial distribution - Example 2

Question: Suppose  $\mathcal{X}_n = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , are i.i.d. samples from a  $m$ -dimensional multinomial distribution whose probability mass function (pmf) is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X = e_j) = p_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (29)$$

where  $e_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is 1 while others all zeros, and  $p_j > 0$  are unknown probabilities satisfying  $\sum_j p_j = 1$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{p}_j^{MLE}$  for all  $j$ .

Solution: The parametric statistical model of this problem is

$$\mathcal{F} = \{Multi(p_1, \dots, p_m) : \sum_j p_j = 1, p_j > 0\}. \quad (30)$$

The likelihood function of this problem is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{X_i^j}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $X_i^j$  represents  $j$ th element of  $X_i$ . Note that in this problem  $X_i^j = 1$  with probability  $p_j$  and  $X_i^j = 0$  with probability  $1 - p_j$ , and obviously  $\sum_{j=1}^m X_i^j = 1$ .

The log-likelihood function is then given by

$$\ell(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \ln(\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_i^j \ln p_j. \quad (32)$$

The maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{p}_j^{MLE}$  are solved from

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{p_1, \dots, p_m} \ell(p_1, \dots, p_m) \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_j p_j = 1 \\ &\quad p_j > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above programming can be easily solved by the Lagrangian method. We first define the Lagrangian as

$$L(p_1, \dots, p_m, \lambda) = \ell(p_1, \dots, p_m) - \lambda \left( \sum_j p_j - 1 \right). \quad (33)$$

The FOC leads to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j}{p_j} - \lambda \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0, & j = 1, \dots, m \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = -(\sum_j p_j - 1) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \end{cases}. \quad (34)$$

After solving the above system of equations for all  $p_j$  and  $\lambda$  and also noting that  $\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_i^j = n$ , we obtain

$$\hat{p}_j^{MLE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j}{n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (35)$$

◇ 以上两道涉及discrete distribution的MLE题型, 最重要的是在likelihood function定义式 $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i)$ 中, 如何通过未知参数(如 $p_j$ )与样本实现 $X_i$ 之间的联系来正确表达 $\mathbb{P}(X_i)$ , 这是需要花点心思具体问题具体分析, 如 in Exercise 3  $\mathbb{P}(X_i) = \sum_k p_k \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a_k\}}$  while in Exercise 4 就变成了 $\mathbb{P}(X_i) = \prod_j p_j^{X_i^j}$ . 另外注意log-likelihood的应用.

*End.*