# Notes for "The Quantum Theory of Fields 1, Foundations" - Weinberg

Nico Dichter\*
Friedrich-Wilhelm-Universität Bonn
(Dated: November 17, 2023)

abc

#### I. INTRODUCTION

4. Eq. (2.2.22) (P.54)

abc

# II. RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM MECHANICS

## A. Quantum Mechanics

### B. Symmetries

1. "For this to be unitary and linear, t must be Hermitian and linear" (P.51)

Linearity is trivial and hermiticity follow from the following observation:

$$\langle U\Psi|U\Phi\rangle = \langle (1+i\varepsilon t)\Psi|(1+i\varepsilon t)\Phi\rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi|\Phi\rangle + \varepsilon i \left(\langle \Psi|t\Phi\rangle - \langle t\Psi|\Phi\rangle\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\stackrel{Eq. (2.2.2)}{\Leftrightarrow} \langle \Psi|t\Phi\rangle = \langle t\Psi|\Phi\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{Eq. (2.1.5)}{\Leftrightarrow} t^{\dagger} = t$$

 $f_{bc}^a$  and  $f^a$  have to be real as  $\theta^a$  are real.

From Eq. (2.2.20) we have up to  $\mathcal{O}(\theta^2, \bar{\theta}^2)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + i \left(\theta^a + \bar{\theta}^a + f^a_{\ bc}\bar{\theta}^b\theta^c\right)t_a + \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^b + \bar{\theta}^b\right)\left(\theta^c + \bar{\theta}^c\right)t_{bc} \\ &= \left[1 + i\bar{\theta}^at_a + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^b\bar{\theta}^ct_{bc}\right]\cdot\left[1 + i\theta^at_a + \frac{1}{2}\theta^b\theta^ct_{bc}\right] \\ &= 1 + i\theta^at_a + \frac{1}{2}\theta^b\theta^ct_{bc} + i\bar{\theta}^at_a - \bar{\theta}^bt_b\theta^ct_c + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^b\bar{\theta}^ct_{bc} \\ \Leftrightarrow &if^a_{\ bc}\bar{\theta}^b\theta^ct_a + \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^b\bar{\theta}^c + \bar{\theta}^b\theta^c\right)t_{bc} = -\bar{\theta}^b\theta^ct_bt_c \\ \Leftrightarrow &\bar{\theta}^b\theta^c\left[t_{bc} + if^a_{\ bc}t_a + t_bt_c\right] = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow &t_{bc} = -if^a_{\ bc}t_a - t_bt_c \end{aligned}$$

$$-if_{bc}^{a}t_{a} - t_{b}t_{c} \stackrel{(2.2.21)}{=} t_{bc} = t_{cb} \stackrel{(2.2.21)}{=} -if_{cb}^{a}t_{a} - t_{c}t_{b}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [t_{b}, t_{c}] = i(f_{cb}^{a} - f_{bc}^{a})t_{a}$$

#### C. Quantum Lorentz Transformations

1. " $\Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu}$  has an **inverse**" (P.57)

This is true because

$$\det(\Lambda) = \pm 1 \neq 0$$

2. "
$$(\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda)_0^0 \ge \bar{\Lambda}_0^0 \Lambda_0^0 - \sqrt{(\Lambda_0^0)^2 - 1} \sqrt{(\bar{\Lambda}_0^0)^2 - 1} \ge 1$$
" (P.58)

The first inequality is trivial from the preceding considerations. For the second inequality assume the contrary holds, then:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\Lambda^{0}{}_{0} - 1 < \sqrt{\left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - 1}\sqrt{\left(\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - 1} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - 2\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\Lambda^{0}{}_{0} + 1 \\ &< \left(\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - \left(\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - \left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} + 1 \\ \Rightarrow \left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0} + \bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} &= \left(\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} - 2\bar{\Lambda}^{0}{}_{0}\Lambda^{0}{}_{0} + \left(\Lambda^{0}{}_{0}\right)^{2} < 0 \end{split}$$

Which is a contradiction as  $\Lambda^0_{\ 0} + \bar{\Lambda}^0_{\ 0} \ge 1 + 1 = 2$  and therefore completes the proof.

## D. The Poincaré Algebra

1. "In order fo  $U(1+\omega,\varepsilon)$  to be unitary, the operators  $J^{\rho\sigma}$  and  $P^{\rho}$  must be **Hermitian**" (P.59)

Analog to IIB1.

<sup>\*</sup> nicodichter@nocoffeetech.de

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\rho\sigma}UJ^{\rho\sigma}U^{-1}-\varepsilon_{\rho}UP^{\rho}U^{-1}\\ &\stackrel{(2.4.7)}{=}\frac{1}{2}\left(\Lambda\omega\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}-\left(\Lambda\varepsilon-\Lambda\omega\Lambda^{-1}a\right)_{\mu}P^{\mu}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\sigma}{}_{\nu}J^{\mu\nu}\\ &-\left(\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\varepsilon_{\rho}-\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\sigma}{}_{\nu}a^{\nu}\right)P^{\mu}\\ &\stackrel{(2.3.10)}{=}\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\Lambda_{\nu}{}^{\sigma}J^{\mu\nu}\\ &-\left(\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\varepsilon_{\rho}-\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\Lambda_{\nu}{}^{\sigma}a^{\nu}\right)P^{\mu}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\left(\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\Lambda_{\nu}{}^{\sigma}J^{\mu\nu}+\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\Lambda_{\nu}{}^{\sigma}a^{\nu}P^{\mu}\right)\\ &-\varepsilon_{\rho}\Lambda_{\mu}{}^{\rho}P^{\mu} \end{split}$$

In order to be able to compare coefficients in this, the coefficient of  $\omega_{\rho\sigma}$  has to be anti symmatrized. With this Eqs. (2.4.8/9) follow immediately.

Up to  $\mathcal{O}(\omega, \varepsilon)$  one can identify

$$U^{-1}(1+\omega,\varepsilon) = U(1-\omega,-\varepsilon)$$

,since

$$U(1+\omega,\varepsilon)U(1-\omega,-\varepsilon) = U(1-\omega+\omega,-\varepsilon+\varepsilon) = U(1,0).$$

With this we have up to  $\mathcal{O}(\omega, \varepsilon)$ 

$$\begin{split} i & \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu}, J^{\rho\sigma} \right] \\ & = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} i \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - i \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu} \right) J^{\rho\sigma} \\ & \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} i \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} + i \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu} \right) - J^{\rho\sigma} \\ & = U J^{\rho\sigma} U^{-1} - J^{\rho\sigma} \\ & = (1 + \omega)_{\mu}^{\ \rho} (1 + \omega)_{\nu}^{\ \sigma} \\ & = \cdot (J^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon^{\mu} P^{\nu} + \varepsilon^{\nu} P^{\mu}) - J^{\rho\sigma} \\ & = -\varepsilon^{\rho} P^{\sigma} + \varepsilon^{\sigma} P^{\rho} + \omega_{\nu}^{\ \sigma} J^{\rho\nu} + \omega_{\nu}^{\ \rho} J^{\mu\sigma} \end{split}$$

and also

$$\begin{split} i \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu}, P^{\rho} \right] \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} i \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - i \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu} \right) P^{\rho} \\ &\cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} i \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} + i \varepsilon_{\mu} P^{\mu} \right) - P^{\rho} \\ &= U P^{\rho} U^{-1} - P^{\rho} \\ &\stackrel{(2.4.9)}{=} \omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho} P^{\mu} \end{split}$$

The only difficulty lies in the derivation of Eq. (2.4.12) because for this one has to anti symmatrize the coefficient of  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  in Eq. (2.4.10) in order to be able to compare coefficients, similar to II D 2. (Similarly if one derives Eq. (2.4.13) from Eq. (2.4.11))

5. 
$$Eqs. (2.4.18 - 24) (P.61)$$

First observe

$$J^{ij} = \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

and

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{lmi}J^{lm}$$

$$\begin{split} [J_{i},J_{j}] &= \frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}\varepsilon_{kpj}}{4} \left[J^{lm},J^{kp}\right] \\ &= -i\frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}\varepsilon_{kpj}}{4} \left[\eta^{mk}J^{lp} - \eta^{lk}J^{mp} - \eta^{pl}J^{km} + \eta^{pm}J^{kl}\right] \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \left[\varepsilon_{kil}\varepsilon_{kmj}J^{lm} + \varepsilon_{kim}\varepsilon_{kjl}J^{lm}\right] \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \left[J^{ji} - J^{ij}\right] \\ &= iJ^{ij} = i\varepsilon_{ijk}J_{k} \\ [J_{i},K_{j}] &= \left[J^{lm},J^{0j}\right]\frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}}{2} \\ &\stackrel{(2\cdot4.12)}{=} -i\frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}}{2} \left[\eta^{m0}J^{lj} - \eta^{l0}J^{mj} - \eta^{jl}J^{0m} + \eta^{jm}J^{0l}\right] \\ &= -i\frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}}{2} \left[\delta_{jm}K_{l} - \delta_{jl}K_{m}\right] = i\varepsilon_{ijl}K_{l} \\ [K_{i},K_{j}] &= \left[J^{0i},J^{0j}\right] \\ &\stackrel{(2\cdot4.12)}{=} -i\left[\eta^{i0}J^{0j} - \eta^{00}J^{ij} - \eta^{j0}J^{0i} + \eta^{ij}J^{00}\right] \\ &= -iJ^{ij} = -i\varepsilon_{ijk}J_{k} \\ [J_{i},P_{j}] &= \frac{\varepsilon_{lmi}}{2} \left[J^{lm},P^{j}\right] \\ &\stackrel{(2\cdot4.13)}{=} \frac{i\varepsilon_{lmi}}{2} \left[\eta^{jl}P^{m} - \eta^{jm}P^{l}\right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left[\varepsilon_{jmi}P^{m} - \varepsilon_{mji}P^{m}\right] = i\varepsilon_{ijm}P_{m} \\ [K_{i},P_{j}] &= \left[J^{0i},P^{j}\right] \\ &\stackrel{(2\cdot4.13)}{=} i\left[\eta^{j0}P^{i} - \eta^{ij}P^{0}\right] \\ &= -i\delta_{ji}P^{0} = -i\delta_{ij}H \\ [J_{i},H] &= \left[P^{i},P^{0}\right] \stackrel{(2\cdot4.14)}{=} 0 \\ [P_{i},H] &= \left[P^{i},P^{0}\right] \stackrel{(2\cdot4.14)}{=} 0 \\ [K_{i},H] &= \left[J^{0i},P^{0}\right] \\ &\stackrel{(2\cdot4.13)}{=} i\left[\eta^{00}P^{i} - \eta^{0i}P^{0}\right] = -iP_{i} \end{aligned}$$

When trying to check this for e.g. the standard representation in 4 dimensions, in terms of infinitesimal rotations, attention with the sign in front of sin for the different rotation axis (see remark at the end of II E 23).

7. "Inspection of Eqs. (2.4.18-24) shows that these commutation relations have a limit for  $v \ll 1$  of the form ..." (P.62)

Always equate same orders in v for this.

8. "
$$\exp(-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \exp(-i\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{a}) = \exp(iM\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}/2) \exp(-i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{a}))$$
" (P.62)

Use BCH Formula

$$\exp(-iK_{i}v_{i})\exp(-iP_{j}a_{j})$$

$$=\exp\left(-i(K_{i}v_{i}+P_{j}a_{j})+\frac{1}{2}(-i)^{2}[K_{i},P_{j}]v_{i}a_{j}+0\right)$$

$$=\exp\left(-i(K_{i}v_{i}+P_{j}a_{j})+\frac{1}{2}iMv_{i}a_{i}\right)$$

#### E. One-Particle States

 $\Lambda^{-1}$  shows up here since in Eq. (2.4.9)  $UPU^{-1}$  is given but here  $U^{-1}PU$  is being used.

2. "with  $\sigma$  within any one block by themselves furnish a representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group" (P.63)

In this case the Blocks do not mix with other blocks.

3. "and for  $p^2 \le 0$ , also the sign of  $p^0$ " (P.64)

For  $p^2 \leq 0$  we have

$$p^{2} = -(p^{0})^{2} + \vec{p}^{2} \le 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow |\vec{p}| \le |p^{0}|$$

and from Eq. (2.3.13) we know

$$\left|\Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0}\right| \geq \left|\vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}}\right|.$$

First suppose  $p^0 \ge 0$ :

$$\begin{split} p'^0 &= \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} p^0 + \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}i} p^i \\ &\geq \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} p^0 - \left| \vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}\cdot} \right| |\vec{p}| \\ &= \left| \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} \right| |p^0| - \left| \vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}\cdot} \right| |\vec{p}| \\ &\geq \left| \vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}\cdot} \right| \left( \left| p^0 \right| - |\vec{p}| \right) \geq 0 \end{split}$$

Now suppose  $p^0 \le 0$ :

$$\begin{split} p'^0 &= \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} p^0 + \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}i} p^i \\ &\leq \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} p^0 + \left| \vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}\cdot} \right| |\vec{p}| \\ &= - \left| \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} \right| |p^0| + \left| \vec{\Lambda^0}_{\phantom{0}\cdot} \right| |\vec{p}| \\ &\leq \left| \Lambda^0_{\phantom{0}0} \right| \left( - \left| p^0 \right| + \left| \vec{p} \right| \right) \leq 0 \end{split}$$

4. Eq. (2.5.12) "The delta function appears here because  $\Psi_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma'}$  are eigenstates of a Hermitian operator with eigenvalues  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$ , respectively." (P.66)

Note: From this point forward in this section of the book the states considered have standard momentum  $k^{\mu}$ ! (see Text in the Book preceding Eq. (2.5.12))

Suppose that for given values of  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$ 

$$\langle \Psi_{k',\sigma'} | \Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle \neq 0$$

then from

$$k^{i} \langle \Psi_{k',\sigma'} | \Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_{k',\sigma'} | P^{i} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= \langle P^{i} \Psi_{k',\sigma'} | \Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= k'^{i} \langle \Psi_{k',\sigma'} | \Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle$$

follows

$$k^i = k'^i$$
.

On why there is only a 3 dimensional delta function showing up:

This is because both states are assumed to have standard momentum  $k^{\mu}$  and therefore their 0-th component is completely fixed from their spatial components:

$$k'^0 = \pm \sqrt{\mathbf{k}'^2 - k^2}$$

Choice between + and - comes from sign of  $k^0$ , i.e. + for cases (a) and (c) of Table 2.1.

$$\begin{split} &\langle U(W)\Psi_{k',\sigma'}|U(W)\Psi_{k,\sigma}\rangle\\ &\stackrel{(2.5.8)}{=} \sum_{\sigma''\sigma'''} \langle D_{\sigma''\sigma'}\Psi_{k',\sigma''}|D_{\sigma'''\sigma}\Psi_{k,\sigma'''}\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\sigma''\sigma'''} (D_{\sigma''\sigma'})^* D_{\sigma'''\sigma} \langle \Psi_{k',\sigma''}|\Psi_{k,\sigma'''}\rangle\\ &\stackrel{(2.5.12)}{=} \sum_{\sigma''} (D_{\sigma''\sigma'})^* D_{\sigma''\sigma}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\\ &\stackrel{(2.2.2)}{=} \langle \Psi_{k',\sigma'}|\Psi_{k,\sigma}\rangle\\ &\stackrel{(2.5.12)}{=} \delta_{\sigma'\sigma}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \end{split}$$

From this it follows

$$D^{\dagger}(W) = D^{-1}(W)$$

6. "
$$\langle \Psi_{p',\sigma'} | \Psi_{p,\sigma} \rangle = \dots$$
" (P.67)

Note: What is meant by "arbitrary momenta" is that these momenta still have the standard momentum  $k^{\mu}$ , but now none of the states has exactly  $k^{\mu}$  as its momentum.

First define

$$k' \coloneqq L^{-1}(p)p'$$

with this we get:

$$\begin{split} &\langle \Psi_{p',\sigma'} | \Psi_{p,\sigma} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(2.5.5)}{=} \langle \Psi_{p',\sigma'} | N(p)U(L(p))\Psi_{k,\sigma} \rangle \\ &= N(p) \left\langle U(L^{-1}(p))\Psi_{p',\sigma'} \middle| \Psi_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{(2.5.11)}{=} N(p) \frac{N^{\star}\left(p'\right)}{N^{\star}\left(k'\right)} \\ &\cdot \sum_{\sigma''} D_{\sigma''\sigma'}^{\star} \left( W\left(L^{-1}(p),p'\right) \right) \left\langle \Psi_{k',\sigma''} \middle| \Psi_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{(2.5.12)}{=} N(p)N^{\star}\left(p'\right) D_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\star}\left( W\left(L^{-1}(p),p'\right) \right) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}) \end{split}$$

Where in the last step we used

$$\frac{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})}{N^{\star}(k')} = \frac{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})}{N^{\star}(k)} \stackrel{(2.5.5)}{=} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$$

This is valid as from Eq. (2.5.5) we know that N(p) is implicitly dependent on  $k^{\mu}$ . This therefor fixes  $p^2=k^2$ 

and the sign of  $p^0$  (see end of II E 4), s.t.

$$N(p) = N(\mathbf{p}).$$

Further we are allowed to use Eq. (2.5.12) in the last step since k' also has k as its standard momentum:

$$k' = L^{-1}(p)p' = \underbrace{L^{-1}(p)L(p')}_{=:L(k')}k$$
7. "W  $(L^{-1}(p), p) = 1$ " (P.67)

First note

$$L(k) = 1 = L^{-1}(k)$$

with this we get:

$$W(L^{-1}(p), p) \stackrel{(2.5.10)}{=} L^{-1}(L^{-1}(p)p) L^{-1}(p)L(p)$$
$$= L^{-1}(k) = 1$$

8. "So we see that the **invariant delta function** is ..." (P.67)

Invariant in this case means w.r.t. proper orthochronous Lorentz transformations, which can be interpreted as change of variables under the  $\int d^4p$  integral.

$$\begin{split} p^0 &= L^0_{\ 0} k^0 + L^0_{\ i} k^i \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2}}{M} M = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2} \\ p^i &= L^i_{\ 0} k^0 + L^i_{\ j} k^j \\ &= \frac{p_i}{|\mathbf{p}|} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{p}^2 + M^2}{M^2} - 1} M \\ &= \frac{p_i}{|\mathbf{p}|} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{M^2}} M = p_i \end{split}$$

10. "To see this, note that the boost Eq. (2.5.24) may be expressed as  $L(p) = R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})B(|\mathbf{p}|)R^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ " (P.68)

First note that the columns and rows of the matrix  $B(|\mathbf{p}|)$  are counted in the order 1,2,3,0.

Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

then we have

$$R(-\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = R_3(-\phi)R_2(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi)\cos(\theta) & -\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi)\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\phi)\cos(\theta) & \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi)\sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

(see IIE 23) and

$$R^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = R_2(\theta)R_3(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi) & 0 \\ -\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta)\cos(\phi) & \cos(\theta)\sin(\phi) & -\sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) & \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = R^{\top}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$$

From Eq. (2.5.24) we know

$$L(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}^{2}c_{\phi}^{2} & (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}c_{\phi}s_{\theta}s_{\phi} & (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & s_{\theta}c_{\phi}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} \\ (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}c_{\phi}s_{\theta}s_{\phi} & 1 + (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}^{2}s_{\phi}^{2} & (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & s_{\theta}s_{\phi}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} \\ (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & (\gamma - 1)s_{\theta}s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & 1 + (\gamma - 1)c_{\theta}^{2} & c_{\theta}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} \\ s_{\theta}c_{\phi}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} & s_{\theta}s_{\phi}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} & c_{\theta}\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - 1} & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

where s and c are shorthand for sin and cos. With this we can now check:

$$\begin{split} R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})B(|\mathbf{p}|)R^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & -s_{\phi} & c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & 0 \\ s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & c_{\phi} & s_{\phi}s_{\theta} & 0 \\ -s_{\theta} & 0 & c_{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & -s_{\theta} & 0 \\ -s_{\phi} & c_{\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & s_{\phi}s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & -s_{\phi} & c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & 0 \\ s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & c_{\phi} & s_{\phi}s_{\theta} & 0 \\ -s_{\theta} & 0 & c_{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\phi}c_{\theta} & s_{\phi}c_{\theta} & -s_{\theta} & 0 \\ -s_{\phi} & c_{\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ -s_{\phi} & c_{\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & \gamma s_{\phi}s_{\theta} & \gamma c_{\theta} & \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}c_{\theta} \\ \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}c_{\phi}s_{\theta} & \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}c_{\theta} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = L(p) \end{split}$$

11. "
$$W(\mathbf{R}, p) = \mathbf{R}$$
" (P.69) 13. Eq. (2.5.26) (P.70)

To see this just substitute  $R(\theta)$  back into the previous result.

This is a Lorentz transformation, since

$$S^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & \beta & \beta \\ -\alpha & -\beta & 1 - \zeta & -\zeta \\ \alpha & \beta & \zeta & 1 + \zeta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta & \beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 1 - \zeta & \zeta \\ -\alpha & -\beta & \zeta & -1 - \zeta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-1 = (Wt)^{\mu} (Wt)_{\mu} = \alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + \zeta^{2} - (1 + \zeta)^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} = 2\zeta$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eq. (2.5.30) is trivial since the rotations around the three axis satisfy this group multiplication and

$$S(0,0) = 1.$$

For Eq. (2.5.29) explicit calculation shows it (see TODO) together with

$$R(0) = 1.$$

Explicit calculation shows Eq. (2.5.31) (see TODO) and the invariance follows then immediately, since:

$$W'SW'^{-1} = S'\underbrace{R'SR'^{-1}}_{=S''}S'^{-1} = S'''$$

16. "
$$W(\theta, \alpha, \beta) = 1 + \omega$$
" (P.71)

From Eq. (2.5.28) we immediately get for infinitesimal  $\theta, \alpha, \beta$ :

$$(W(\theta, \alpha, \beta))^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & -\alpha & \alpha \\ -\theta & 0 & -\beta & \beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}_{\ \nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & -\alpha & \alpha \\ -\theta & 0 & -\beta & \beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha & -\beta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu}$$

$$U(1+\omega) \stackrel{(2.4.3)}{=} 1 + \frac{1}{2}i\omega_{\rho\sigma}J^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$= 1 + i\theta J^{12} + i\alpha(-J^{13} + J^{10}) + i\beta(-J^{23} + J^{20})$$

$$= 1 + i\theta J_3 + i\alpha(J_2 - K_1) + i\beta(-J_1 - K_2)$$

From Eqs. (2.4.18/19/20) we get:

$$\begin{split} [J_3,A] &= [J_3,J_2-K_1] \\ &= [J_3,J_2] - [J_3,K_1] \\ &= -iJ_1 - iK_2 = iB \\ [J_3,B] &= -[J_3,J_1] - [J_3,K_2] \\ &= -iJ_2 - i(-K_1) = -iA \\ [A,B] &= -[J_2,J_1] - [J_2,K_2] + [K_1,J_1] + [K_1,K_2] \\ &= iJ_3 - iJ_3 = 0 \end{split}$$

19. "Since A and B are commuting Hermitian operators they can be simultaneously diagonalized by states  $\Psi_{k,a,b}$ " (P.71)

This is valid, including the label k, since

$$\begin{split} [A,P_1]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_2,P_1] - [K_1,P_1])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (-iP_3 + iP^0)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \\ [A,P_2]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_2,P_2] - [K_1,P_2])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= 0 \\ [A,P_3]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_2,P_3] - [K_1,P_3])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (iP_1)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \\ [A,P^0]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_2,P^0] - [K_1,P^0])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (-iP_1)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \\ [B,P_1]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= (-[J_1,P_1] - [K_2,P_1])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= 0 \\ [B,P_2]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_1,P_2] - [K_2,P_2])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (iP_3 - iP^0)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \\ [B,P_3]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_1,P_3] - [K_2,P_3])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (-iP_2)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \\ [B,P^0]\Psi_{k,a,b} &= ([J_1,P^0] - [K_2,P^0])\Psi_{k,a,b} \\ &= (-iP_2)\Psi_{k,a,b} = 0 \end{split}$$

20. "σ gives the component of angular momentum in the direction of motion, or helicity" (P.72)

The derivation of Eq. (2.5.26) starts among other conditions from the explicit form of k. Which results in

$$Eqs. (2.5.27/28) \rightarrow Eq. (2.5.32) \rightarrow 2.5.39,$$

s.t. this is really connected to the direction of motion.

21. "
$$U(W)\Psi_{k,\sigma} = \exp(i\theta\sigma)\Psi_{k,\sigma}$$
" (P.72)

Use Eqs. (2.5.38/39).

With B(u) from Eq. (2.5.45) we get:

$$B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)^2 + 1}{2} & \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)^2 - 1}{2}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)^2 - 1}{2} & \frac{\kappa}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)^2 - 1}{2} & \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)^2 + 1}{2} \\ & & \frac{2}{\kappa} & \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \kappa\\ \kappa \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= |\mathbf{p}| \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

23. "take  $R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  as a rotation by angle  $\theta$  around the two-axis followed by a rotation by angle  $\phi$  around the three-axis" (P.73)

Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{split} R(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) &= R_3(-\phi)R_2(-\theta) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) & 0 \\ \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi)\cos(\theta) & -\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi)\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\phi)\cos(\theta) & \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi)\sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

(With this sign convention everything is consistent, see definition of  $R(\theta)$  after Eq. (2.5.27) and compare Eq. (2.5.47) to Eq. (2.4.27)) with this we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} = R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})\hat{e}_3.$$

## F. Space Inversion and Time-Reversal

1. Eqs. 
$$(2.6.7 - 12)$$
  $(P.76)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P} J_i \mathsf{P}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathsf{P} J^{jk} \mathsf{P}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \left( -\delta_l^j \right) \left( -\delta_m^k \right) J^{lm} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} J^{jk} = J_i \\ \mathsf{P} K_i \mathsf{P}^{-1} &= \mathsf{P} J^{0i} \mathsf{P}^{-1} \\ &= \delta_\mu^0 \left( -\delta_\nu^j \right) J^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -J^{0i} = -K_i \\ \mathsf{P} P_i \mathsf{P}^{-1} &= \left( -\delta_\nu^i \right) P^\mu = -P_i \\ \mathsf{T} J_i \mathsf{T}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathsf{T} J^{jk} \mathsf{T}^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \delta_l^j \delta_m^k J^{lm} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} J^{jk} = -J_i \\ \mathsf{T} K_i \mathsf{T}^{-1} &= \mathsf{T} J^{0i} \mathsf{T}^{-1} \\ &= -\left( -\delta_\mu^0 \right) \delta_\nu^j J^{\mu\nu} \\ &= J^{0i} = K_i \\ \mathsf{T} P_i \mathsf{T}^{-1} &= -\delta_\nu^i P^\mu = -P_i \end{split}$$

2. "
$$\mathcal{P}L(p)\mathcal{P}^{-1} = L(\mathcal{P}p)$$
" (P.77)

From Eq. (2.5.24) we immediately see:

$$\begin{split} \left(\mathcal{P}L(p)\mathcal{P}^{-1}\right)^i_{\ k} &= \left(L(p)\right)^i_{\ k} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^i_{\ k} \\ \left(\mathcal{P}L(p)\mathcal{P}^{-1}\right)^i_{\ 0} &= -\left(L(p)\right)^i_{\ 0} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^i_{\ 0} \\ \left(\mathcal{P}L(p)\mathcal{P}^{-1}\right)^0_{\ 0} &= \left(L(p)\right)^0_{\ 0} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^0_{\ 0} \end{split}$$

3. "Using Eq. (2.6.14) again on the left, we see that the square-root factors cancel,..." (P.77)

$$\begin{split} & (-J_1 \pm iJ_2)\,\zeta_\sigma\Psi_{k,-\sigma} = -\left(J_1 \mp iJ_2\right)\zeta_\sigma\Psi_{k,-\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{(2.6.14)}{=} -\sqrt{(j\pm(-\sigma))(j\mp(-\sigma)+1)}\zeta_\sigma\Psi_{k,-\sigma\mp1} \\ & = -\sqrt{(j\mp\sigma)(j\pm\sigma+1)}\zeta_\sigma\Psi_{k,-\sigma\mp1} \end{split}$$

4. "The time-reversal phase ζ has no physical significance." (P.78)

This redefinition only works because Tis anti-linear.

5. "
$$\mathcal{T}L(p)\mathcal{T}^{-1} = L(\mathcal{P}p)$$
" (P.78)

From Eq. (2.5.24) we immediately see:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\mathcal{T}L(p)\mathcal{T}^{-1}\right)^{i}_{\ k} = \left(L(p)\right)^{i}_{\ k} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^{i}_{\ k} \\ & \left(\mathcal{T}L(p)\mathcal{T}^{-1}\right)^{i}_{\ 0} = -\left(L(p)\right)^{i}_{\ 0} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^{i}_{\ 0} \\ & \left(\mathcal{T}L(p)\mathcal{T}^{-1}\right)^{0}_{\ 0} = \left(L(p)\right)^{0}_{\ 0} = \left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)^{0}_{\ 0} \end{split}$$

6. "Pyields a state with four-momentum ..." (P.78)

What is meant by this is:

$$P^{i}\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \overset{(2.6.9)}{=} \mathsf{P}\left(-P^{i}\right)\Psi_{k,\sigma} = \left(-\delta_{3}^{i}\kappa\right)\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$H\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \overset{(2.6.13)}{=} \mathsf{P}H\Psi_{k,\sigma} = \kappa\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$J_{3}\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \overset{(2.6.7)}{=} \mathsf{P}J_{3}\Psi_{k,\sigma} = \sigma\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

We have

$$R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = R_2^{-1}$$

from which we get (Is  $U(R_2^{-1}) = U^{-1}(R_2)$  ? TODO

$$\begin{split} U^{-1}(R_2)J_3U(R_2) &= U^{-1}(R_2)J^{12}U(R_2) \\ &\stackrel{(2.4.8)}{=} \left(R_2^{-1}\right)^1_{\ \mu} \left(R_2^{-1}\right)^2_{\ \nu} J^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -J^{12} = -J_3 \\ U^{-1}(R_2)P^{\nu}U(R_2) \stackrel{(2.4.9)}{=} \left(R_2^{-1}\right)^{\nu}_{\ \mu} P^{\mu} \end{split}$$

such that

$$\begin{split} J_3U(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} &= -U(R_2^{-1})J_3\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &\stackrel{IIF}{=}{}^6 - \sigma U(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ P^iU(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} &\stackrel{IIF}{=}{}^6 U(R_2^{-1}) \left(-\left(-\delta_3^i\kappa\right)\right)\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= k^iU(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ HU(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} &\stackrel{IIF}{=}{}^6 \kappa U(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= k^0U(R_2^{-1})\mathsf{P}\Psi_{k,\sigma}. \end{split}$$

Further we get

$$R_2^{-1}\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

8. "P commutes with the rotation  $R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ " (P.79)

This is true because  $R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  only acts on space components non trivially, which all just get a "-" sign from  $\mathcal{P}$ .

9. "
$$\Psi\Psi_{p,\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \eta_{\sigma} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,-\sigma}$$
" (P.79)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\Psi_{p,\sigma} &\overset{(2.5.5)}{=} N(p) \mathsf{P} U(L(p)) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &\overset{(2.5.44)}{=} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \mathsf{P} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(\mathcal{P} R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) \mathcal{P} B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 R_2^{-1} \mathcal{P} B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right) R_2^{-1} \mathcal{P}\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) U\left(R_2^{-1}\right) \mathsf{P} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) U\left(R_2^{-1}\right) \mathsf{P} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \end{split}$$

10. "But a rotation of  $\pm 180^{\circ}$  around the three-axis reverses the sign of  $J_2, \dots$ " (P.79)

Analogously to IIF 6  $(2 \leftrightarrow 3)$ .

First note that

$$B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)$$

and rotations around the three-axis commute (see Eq. (2.5.45)).

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\Psi_{p,\sigma} &\overset{IIF}{=} {}^{9}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^{0}}}\eta_{\sigma}U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right)R_{2}B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right)\Psi_{k,-\sigma} \\ &\overset{Eq.\,(2.6.21)}{=}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^{0}}}\eta_{\sigma}U\left(R\left(-\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot\exp(\pm i\pi J_{3})U\left(B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right)\Psi_{k,-\sigma} \\ &=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^{0}}}\eta_{\sigma}U\left(R\left(-\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot U\left(B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right)\exp(\pm i\pi J_{3})\Psi_{k,-\sigma} \\ &=\eta_{\sigma}\exp(\pm i\pi(-\sigma))\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^{0}}}U\left(L(\mathcal{P}p)\right)\Psi_{k,-\sigma} \\ &=\eta_{\sigma}\exp(\mp i\pi\sigma)\Psi_{\mathcal{P}p,-\sigma} \end{split}$$

12. "This peculiar change of sign in the operation of parity for mass-less particles of half-integer spin is due to the convention adopted in Eq. (2.5.47) for the rotation used to define mass-less particles states of arbitrary momentum."

(P.79)

This is based on the fact that the choice of  $R(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ , which transforms the three axis into the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ , is not unique. As mentioned in the text right after Eq. (2.5.47), one could always add an initial rotation around the three axis. This is also why the factor of

$$\exp(\pm i\pi J_3)$$

shows up in Eq. (2.6.21), despite  $R(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) R_2$  and  $R(-\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  both transforming the three axis into the unit vector  $-\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ .

13. "Tyields a state which has values ..." (P.79)

What is meant by this is:

$$\begin{split} P^i \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} &\stackrel{(2.6.12)}{=} \mathsf{T} \left( -P^i \right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} = \left( -\delta_3^i \kappa \right) \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ H \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} &\stackrel{(2.6.13)}{=} \mathsf{T} H \Psi_{k,\sigma} = \kappa \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ J_3 \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} &\stackrel{(2.6.10)}{=} \mathsf{T} \left( -J_3 \right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} = -\sigma \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \end{split}$$

This is completely analog to Eq. (2.6.20) when using IIF 13 (see IIF 7). Further we get

$$R_2^{-1}\mathsf{T} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{T}\Psi_{p,\sigma} &\overset{(2.5.5)}{=} N(p) \mathsf{T} U(L(p)) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &\overset{(2.5.44)}{=} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \mathsf{T} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(\mathcal{T} R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) \mathcal{T} B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 R_2^{-1} \mathcal{T} B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right) R_2^{-1} \mathcal{T}\right) \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) U\left(R_2^{-1}\right) \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U\left(R\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\right) R_2 B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)\right) U\left(R_2^{-1}\right) \mathsf{T} \Psi_{k,\sigma} \end{split}$$

First note that

$$B\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa}\right)$$

and rotations around the three-axis commute (see Eq. (2.5.45)).

$$T\Psi_{p,\sigma} \stackrel{II = f}{=} {}^{15} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \zeta_{\sigma} U \left( R \left( \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right) R_2 B \left( \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa} \right) \right) \Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$\stackrel{Eq. (2.6.21)}{=} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \zeta_{\sigma} U \left( R \left( -\hat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \right)$$

$$\cdot \exp(\pm i\pi J_3) U \left( B \left( \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa} \right) \right) \Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} \zeta_{\sigma} U \left( R \left( -\hat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \right)$$

$$\cdot U \left( B \left( \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{\kappa} \right) \right) \exp(\pm i\pi J_3) \Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$= \zeta_{\sigma} \exp(\pm i\pi \sigma) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{p^0}} U \left( L(\mathcal{P}p) \right) \Psi_{k,\sigma}$$

$$= \zeta_{\sigma} \exp(\pm i\pi \sigma) \Psi_{\mathcal{P}p,\sigma}$$

17. "...the total angular momentum j of any state of this system would have to be a half-integer,..." (P.81)

This is true as all spins and helicities except for one half integer spin/helicity would couple to a an integer angular momentum. And this would then couple with the remaining half integer spin/helicity to a half-integer total angular momentum j.

## G. Projective Representations

From Eq. (2.2.20) together with the modification of Eq. (2.7.1) we have up to  $\mathcal{O}(\theta^2, \bar{\theta}^2)$ 

$$\begin{split} &\left(1+if_{ab}\bar{\theta}^{a}\theta^{b}\right)\\ &\cdot\left[1+i\left(\theta^{a}+\bar{\theta}^{a}+f^{a}_{bc}\bar{\theta}^{b}\theta^{c}\right)t_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^{b}+\bar{\theta}^{b}\right)\left(\theta^{c}+\bar{\theta}^{c}\right)t_{bc}\right]\\ &=\left[1+i\bar{\theta}^{a}t_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{b}\bar{\theta}^{c}t_{bc}\right]\cdot\left[1+i\theta^{a}t_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\theta^{b}\theta^{c}t_{bc}\right]\\ &=1+i\theta^{a}t_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\theta^{b}\theta^{c}t_{bc}+i\bar{\theta}^{a}t_{a}-\bar{\theta}^{b}t_{b}\theta^{c}t_{c}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{b}\bar{\theta}^{c}t_{bc}\\ &\Leftrightarrow if^{a}_{bc}\bar{\theta}^{b}\theta^{c}t_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^{b}\bar{\theta}^{c}+\bar{\theta}^{b}\theta^{c}\right)t_{bc}+if_{ab}\bar{\theta}^{a}\theta^{b}=-\bar{\theta}^{b}\theta^{c}t_{b}t_{c}\\ &\Leftrightarrow \bar{\theta}^{b}\theta^{c}\left[t_{bc}+if^{a}_{bc}t_{a}+t_{b}t_{c}+if_{bc}\right]=0\\ &\Leftrightarrow t_{bc}=-if^{a}_{bc}t_{a}-t_{b}t_{c}-if_{bc} \end{split}$$

Note that here the order of multiplication is adapted from Eq. (2.2.18) and not from Eq. (2.7.1), which does not matter for the result since  $\theta$  and  $\bar{\theta}$  are dropped in the end. With this we now get the analog of Eq. (2.2.22):

$$-if_{bc}^{a}t_{a} - t_{b}t_{c} - if_{bc}$$

$$= t_{bc} = t_{cb} = -if_{cb}^{a}t_{a} - t_{c}t_{b} - if_{cb}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [t_{b}, t_{c}] = i(f_{cb}^{a} - f_{bc}^{a})t_{a} + i(f_{cb} - f_{bc})\mathbf{1}$$

$$\left[\tilde{t}_b, \tilde{t}_c\right] = \left[t_b, t_c\right] = iC^a_{\ bc}t_a + iC^a_{\ bc}\phi_a \mathbf{1} = iC^a_{\ bc}\tilde{t}_a$$

## H. The Symmetry Representation Theorem

1. "But  $\langle \Psi_k' | \Psi_k' \rangle$  is automatically **real and positive**" (P.91)

This follows immediately from Eq. (2.1.1).

2. "From Eq. (2.A.1) we have  $|c_{kk}| = |c_{k1}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and for  $l \neq k$  and  $l \neq 1$ :  $c_{kl} = 0$ " (P.91)

$$\begin{aligned} \left|c_{kl'}\right|^2 &\stackrel{(2.A.3)}{=} \left|\sum_{l} c_{kl}^{\star} \left\langle \Psi_{l}' \middle| \Psi_{l'}' \right\rangle \right|^2 = \left|\left\langle \Upsilon_{k}' \middle| \Psi_{l'}' \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(2.A.1)}{=} \left|\left\langle \Upsilon_{k} \middle| \Psi_{l'} \right\rangle \right|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } l' = 1, k \\ 0 & \text{for } l' \neq 1, k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{k}|^{2} + |C_{1}|^{2} + 2\operatorname{Re}(C_{k}C_{1}^{\star}) &= |C_{k} + C_{1}|^{2} \\ \stackrel{(2.A.9)}{=} |C'_{k} + C'_{1}|^{2} &= |C'_{k}|^{2} + |C'_{1}|^{2} + 2\operatorname{Re}(C'_{k}C'_{1}^{\star}) \\ \stackrel{Eq. (2.A.8)}{\Rightarrow} \operatorname{Re}(C_{k}C_{1}^{\star}) &= \operatorname{Re}(C'_{k}C'_{1}^{\star}) \\ \stackrel{Eq. (2.A.8)}{\Rightarrow} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C_{k}}{C_{1}}\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C'_{k}}{C'_{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{C_k}{C_1} \right) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{C_k}{C_1} \right) \right\}^2 = \left| \frac{C_k}{C_1} \right|^2$$

$$\stackrel{Eq. (2.A.8)}{=} \left| \frac{C'_k}{C'_1} \right|^2 = \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{C'_k}{C'_1} \right) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{C'_k}{C'_1} \right) \right\}^2$$

$$\stackrel{Eq. (2.A.10)}{\Rightarrow} \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{C_k}{C_1} \right) = \pm \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{C'_k}{C'_1} \right)$$

5. "This is only possible if  $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\frac{C_l^*}{C_1^*}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\frac{C_l}{C_1}\right)$  or, in other words, if  $\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\right)\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{C_l}{C_1}\right) = 0$ " (P.93)

Define

$$a \coloneqq \frac{C_k}{C_1}$$
$$b \coloneqq \frac{C_l}{C_1}$$

With this we have

$$|1 + a + b^{\star}|^2 = |1 + a + b|^2 \tag{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 + a^* + b + a + |a|^2 + ab + b^* + b^*a^* + |b|^2$$
 (2)

$$= 1 + a^{\star} + b^{\star} + a + |a|^{2} + ab^{\star} + b + ba^{\star} + |b|^{2}$$
 (3)

$$\Leftrightarrow ab + b^*a^* = ab^* + ba^* \tag{4}$$

And further rewriting yields

7. Eq. (2.A.16)(P.94)

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\frac{C_l}{C_1}\right) = \operatorname{Re}(ab) \stackrel{4}{=} \operatorname{Re}(ab^*) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\frac{C_l^*}{C_1^*}\right)$$
$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{C_k}{C_1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{C_l}{C_1}\right) = \operatorname{Im}(a) \operatorname{Im}(b)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4}(ab - ab^* - a^*b + a^*b^*) \stackrel{4}{=} 0$$

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{kl} \operatorname{Im}(B_{k}^{\star}B_{l}) \operatorname{Im}(A_{k}^{\star}A_{l}) \\ & = \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{kl} \operatorname{Im}(B_{k}^{\star}B_{l})A_{k}^{\star}A_{l}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\sum_{kl} \operatorname{Im}(B_{k}^{\star}B_{l})A_{k}^{\star}A_{l} - \operatorname{c.c.}\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\sum_{kl} \frac{1}{2i} (B_{k}^{\star}B_{l} - B_{k}B_{l}^{\star})A_{k}^{\star}A_{l} - \operatorname{c.c.}\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{2i} \left(\sum_{kl} B_{k}^{\star}B_{l}A_{k}^{\star}A_{l} - \sum_{kl} B_{k}B_{l}^{\star}A_{k}^{\star}A_{l}\right) - \operatorname{c.c.}\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{2i} \left(\left|\sum_{k} B_{k}A_{k}\right|^{2} - \left|\sum_{k} B_{k}^{\star}A_{k}\right|^{2}\right) - \operatorname{c.c.}\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{i} \left(\left|\sum_{k} B_{k}A_{k}\right|^{2} - \left|\sum_{k} B_{k}^{\star}A_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{i} \left(\left|\sum_{k} B_{k}A_{k}\right|^{2} - \left|\sum_{k} B_{k}^{\star}A_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{1}{i} \left(\left|\sum_{k} B_{k}A_{k}\right|^{2} - \left|\sum_{k} B_{k}^{\star}A_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

- "Then the invariance of transition probabilities requires that  $\left|\sum_{k} B_{k}^{\star} A_{k}\right|^{2} = \left|\sum_{k} B_{k} A_{k}\right|^{2}$ " (P.93)
- "However, for any pair of such state-vectors, with neither  $A_k$  nor  $B_k$  all of the same phase" (P.94)

If they were all of the same phase then

$$\forall k, l : \operatorname{Im}\{A_k^{\star}A_l\} = 0$$

or

$$\forall k, l : \operatorname{Im}\{B_k^{\star} B_l\} = 0$$

See Footnote j, for why this is relevant.

transformation T either all state-vectors satisfy Eq. (2.A.14)or else they all satisfy Eq. (2.A.15)" (P.94)

"We have thus shown that for a given symmetry

Preceding this a contradiction was derived from the assumption that Eq. (2.A.14) applies for a state-vector

$$\sum_{k} A_k \Psi_k$$

while Eq. (2.A.15) applies for a state vector

$$\sum_{k} B_k \Psi_k.$$

Such that the statement is obvious.

 $\left| \sum_{k} B_k^{\star} A_k \right|^2 \stackrel{Eq. (2.A.2)}{=} \left| \sum_{k} B_k^{\star} A_k \left\langle \Psi_k | \Psi_l \right\rangle \right|^2$  $= \left| \left\langle \sum B_k \Psi_k \middle| \sum A_l \Psi_l \right\rangle \right|^2$  $\stackrel{Eq. (2.A.1)}{=} \left| \left\langle U \left( \sum_{k} B_k \Psi_k \right) \middle| U \left( \sum_{k} A_l \Psi_l \right) \right\rangle \right|^2$  $= \left| \left\langle \sum B_k^{\star} U \Psi_k \middle| \sum A_l U \Psi_l \right\rangle \right|^2$  $= \left| \sum_{l,l} B_k A_l \left\langle U \Psi_k | U \Psi_l \right\rangle \right|^2$  $\stackrel{Eq. (2.A.3)}{=} \left| \sum B_k A_k \right|^2$ 

## I. Group Operators and Homotopy Classes

1. Eq. (2.B.7) (P.97)

Taylor evolving Eq. (2.B.6) in  $\theta_3^c$  up to  $\mathcal{O}(\theta_3^2)$  yields:

$$\begin{split} &f^a(\theta_2,\theta_1) + \left[h^{-1}\right]^a_{\ c} \left(f(\theta_2,\theta_1)\right) \theta_3^c \\ &= f^a(0,f(\theta_2,\theta_1)) + \left[\frac{\partial f^a(\bar{\theta},f(\theta_2,\theta_1))}{\partial \bar{\theta}^c}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_3^c \\ &= f^a(\theta_3,f(\theta_2,\theta_1)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.B.6)}{=} f^a(f(\theta_3,\theta_2),\theta_1) \\ &= f^a\left(f(0,\theta_2) + \left[\frac{\partial f^a(\bar{\theta},\theta_2)}{\partial \bar{\theta}^c}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_3^c,\theta_1\right) \\ &= f^a(\theta_2,\theta_1) + \left[\frac{\partial f^a(\bar{\theta},\theta_1)}{\partial \bar{\theta}^b}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_2} \left[\frac{\partial f^b(\bar{\theta},\theta_2)}{\partial \bar{\theta}^c}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_3^c \\ &= f^a(\theta_2,\theta_1) + \left[\frac{\partial f^a(\bar{\theta},\theta_1)}{\partial \bar{\theta}^b}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_2} \left[h^{-1}\right]^b_{\ c} (\theta_2) \theta_3^c \end{split}$$

Equating coefficients of  $\theta_3^c$ , we get:

$$[h^{-1}]^{a}_{c}(f(\theta_{2},\theta_{1})) = \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\theta_{1})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{b}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{2}} [h^{-1}]^{b}_{c}(\theta_{2})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h^{c}_{b}(\theta_{2}) = \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\theta_{1})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{b}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{2}} h^{c}_{a}(f(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}))$$

2. "Along the second segment the differential equation Eq. (2.B.2) for  $U_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$  is thus the same as the differential equation for  $U_{\theta_2}(2s-1)$ ." (P.97)

In the second segment  $\left(\frac{1}{2} \le s \le 1\right)$  the differential equation for  $U_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$  reads:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\mathcal{P}}(s)}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= it_c U_{\mathcal{P}}(s) h^c_{\ a} \left(\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c_{\mathcal{P}}(s)}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= it_c U_{\mathcal{P}}(s) h^c_{\ a} \left(f \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1), \theta_1\right)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}f^c \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1), \theta_1\right)}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= it_c U_{\mathcal{P}}(s) h^c_{\ a} \left(f \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1), \theta_1\right)\right) \left[\frac{\partial f^a(\bar{\theta}, \theta_1)}{\partial \bar{\theta}^b}\right]_{\bar{\theta} = \Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1)} \\ &\cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^b_{\theta_2}(2s-1)}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &\stackrel{(2.B.7)}{=} it_c U_{\mathcal{P}}(s) h^c_{\ b} \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^b_{\theta_2}(2s-1)}{\mathrm{d}s} \end{split}$$

Which is just the differential equation for  $U_{\theta_2}(2s-1)$ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\theta_2}(2s-1)}{\mathrm{d}s} = \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}U_{\theta_2}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\right]_{t=2s-1} 2$$

$$= it_c U_{\theta_2}(2s-1)h^c_b \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1)\right) \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\theta_2}^b(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\right]_{t=2s-1} 2$$

$$= it_c U_{\theta_2}(2s-1)h^c_b \left(\Theta_{\theta_2}(2s-1)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\theta_2}^b(2s-1)}{\mathrm{d}s}$$

3. Eq. (2.B.9) (P.98)

First note

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U^{-1}}{\mathrm{d}s} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}s}\right)^{\dagger} \stackrel{(2.B.2)}{=} -i\left(t_aU\right)^{\dagger} h^a{}_b \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^b}{\mathrm{d}s} \qquad (5)$$

$$= -iU^{-1}t_a h^a{}_b \frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^b}{\mathrm{d}s}, \qquad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( U^{-1} t_a U h^a_{\ b} \right)$$

$$= \frac{dU^{-1}}{ds} t_e U h^e_{\ b} + U^{-1} t_e \frac{dU}{ds} h^e_{\ b} + U^{-1} t_a U \frac{dh^a_{\ b}}{ds}$$
(8)

$$\stackrel{(2:B.2)}{=} -iU^{-1}t_dh^d_c\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}t_eUh^e_b \tag{9}$$

$$+U^{-1}t_eit_dUh^d_c\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}h^e_b \tag{10}$$

$$+U^{-1}t_aUh^a_{b,c}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}\tag{11}$$

$$= i \frac{d\Theta^{c}}{ds} h^{d}_{c} h^{e}_{b} U^{-1}[t_{e}, t_{d}] U + U^{-1} t_{a} U \frac{d\Theta^{c}}{ds} h^{a}_{b,c}$$
 (12)

$$\stackrel{(2.2.22)}{=} U^{-1} t_a U \frac{d\Theta^c}{ds} \left( i h^d_{\ c} h^e_{\ b} i C^a_{\ ed} + h^a_{\ b,c} \right). \tag{13}$$

By using Eq. (2.2.22) we are making use of condition (a) of the Theorem. With this we get:

Taylor evolving Eq. (2.B.6) in  $\theta_3, \theta_2$  up to  $\mathcal{O}(\theta_3^3, \theta_2^3)$  yields:

$$\begin{split} f^{a}(0,\theta_{1}) + \left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b}(\theta_{1}) \left(\theta_{3}^{b} + \theta_{2}^{b} + f^{b}_{ec}\theta_{3}^{e}\theta_{2}^{c}\right) \\ &= f^{a}(0,\theta_{1}) + \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\theta_{1})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{b}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \left(\theta_{3}^{b} + \theta_{2}^{b} + f^{b}_{ec}\theta_{3}^{e}\theta_{2}^{c}\right) \\ &= f^{a} \left(\theta_{3} + \theta_{2} + f^{c}_{ec}\theta_{3}^{e}\theta_{2}^{c}, \theta_{1}\right) \\ &= f^{a} \left(\theta_{3} + \theta_{2} + f^{c}_{ec}\theta_{3}^{e}\theta_{2}^{c}, \theta_{1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.2.19)}{=} f^{a} \left(f(\theta_{3},\theta_{2}), \theta_{1}\right) \\ &= f^{a} \left(\theta_{3}, f(\theta_{2},\theta_{1})\right) \\ &= f^{a} \left(\theta_{3}, f(0,\theta_{1}) + \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\theta_{1})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{e}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_{2}^{e}\right) \\ &= f^{a} \left(\theta_{3}, \theta_{1} + \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e}(\theta_{1})\theta_{2}^{e}\right) \\ &= f^{a}(0,\theta_{1}) + \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\theta_{1})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{b}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_{3}^{b} \\ &+ \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(0,\bar{\theta})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{c}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{1}} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{b}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{c}} \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{c}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{1}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{1}} \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{b}} \left[\frac{\partial f^{a}(\bar{\theta},\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{c}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta_{1}}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=0} \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &= f^{a}(0,\theta_{1}) + \left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{3}^{b} + \left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \theta_{3}^{b} \left[h^{-1}\right]^{c}_{e} \left(\theta_{1}\right)\theta_{2}^{e} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}^{c}} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^{a}_{b$$

No  $\theta_3^2, \theta_2^2$  terms show up and

$$\left[\frac{\partial f^a(0,\bar{\theta})}{\partial \bar{\theta}^b}\right]_{\bar{\theta}=\theta} = \delta^a_b,$$

see Eq. (2.2.19). Equating coefficients of  $\theta_3^b \theta_2^c$ , we get:

$$\begin{split} \left[h^{-1}\right]^a_{\phantom{a}e}\left(\theta_1\right) f^e_{\phantom{b}c} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1^d} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^a_{\phantom{a}b}\left(\theta_1\right)\right) \left[h^{-1}\right]^d_{\phantom{d}c}\left(\theta_1\right) \\ \Leftrightarrow f^e_{\phantom{b}c} &= h^e_{\phantom{e}a}(\theta_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1^d} \left(\left[h^{-1}\right]^a_{\phantom{a}b}\left(\theta_1\right)\right) \left[h^{-1}\right]^d_{\phantom{d}c}\left(\theta_1\right) \\ &= \left(0 - h^e_{\phantom{e}a,d} \left[h^{-1}\right]^a_{\phantom{a}b}\right) \left[h^{-1}\right]^d_{\phantom{d}c} \\ \Leftrightarrow h^e_{\phantom{e}a,d} &= -f^e_{\phantom{e}bc} h^b_{\phantom{b}a} h^c_{\phantom{c}d} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{d}U^{-1}\delta U}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}U^{-1}}{\mathrm{d}s}\delta U + U^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\delta U}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &\stackrel{6}{=} -iU^{-1}t_ah^a_{\phantom{a}b}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^b}{\mathrm{d}s}\delta U + U^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\delta U}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}c,b}\left(\delta\Theta^b\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &+ iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}b}\frac{\mathrm{d}\delta\Theta^b}{\mathrm{d}s} \\ &= iU^{-1}t_aU\left(\delta\Theta^b\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}h^a_{\phantom{a}c,b} \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}b}\delta\Theta^b\right) - i\left(\delta\Theta^b\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(U^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}b}\right) \\ &\stackrel{13}{=} iU^{-1}t_aU\left(\delta\Theta^b\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(h^a_{\phantom{a}c,b} - \left(-h^d_{\phantom{a}c}h^e_{\phantom{a}b}C^a_{\phantom{a}ed} + h^a_{\phantom{a}b,c}\right)\right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}b}\delta\Theta^b\right) \\ &= iU^{-1}t_aU\left(\delta\Theta^b\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta^c}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(h^a_{\phantom{a}c,b} + h^d_{\phantom{a}c}h^e_{\phantom{a}b}C^a_{\phantom{a}ed} - h^a_{\phantom{a}b,c}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_{\phantom{a}b}\delta\Theta^b\right) \end{split}$$

Where we inserted the expression for  $\frac{d\delta U}{ds}$  in the third step.

$$\begin{split} h^{a}_{\ c,b} - h^{a}_{\ b,c} &\stackrel{(2.B.10)}{=} - f^{a}_{\ de} h^{d}_{\ c} h^{e}_{\ b} + f^{a}_{\ de} h^{d}_{\ b} h^{e}_{\ c} \\ &= h^{d}_{\ c} h^{e}_{\ b} \left( - f^{a}_{\ de} + f^{a}_{\ ed} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.2.23)}{=} h^{d}_{\ c} h^{e}_{\ b} \left( - C^{a}_{\ ed} \right) \end{split}$$

6. "It follows that  $U_{\theta}(1)$  is stationary under any infinitesimal variation of the path that leaves the endpoints  $\Theta(0) = 0$  and  $\Theta(1) = \theta$  (and  $U_{\theta}(0) = 1$ ) fixed." (P.98)

We have

$$U^{-1}\delta U - iU^{-1}t_aUh^a_b\delta\Theta^b = C = \text{const}$$

For s = 0 this gives

$$0 = \delta U(0) = i t_a U(0) h^a{}_b(0) \underbrace{\delta \Theta^b(0)}_{=0} + U(0) C = \mathbf{1} C$$

such that C=0. For s=1 we then get

$$\delta U(1)=it_aU(1)h^a_{\phantom{a}b}(1)\underbrace{\delta\Theta^b(1)}_{=0}+U(1)\underbrace{C}_{=0}=0.$$

7. "0 = 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^b} \left\{ U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \right\} + i \phi_b(\theta) U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \text{ where}$$
  

$$\phi_b(\theta) = h^a_b \left[ \frac{\partial \phi(\theta', \theta)}{\partial \theta'^a} \right]_{\theta'=0}$$
" (P.99)

By taking the derivative of the previous equation w.r.t.  $\theta'^a$ , we obtain:

$$0 = U[\theta]^{-1}(-t_a + t_a)\tilde{U}[\theta]$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'^a}U[\theta]^{-1}U[\theta']^{-1}\tilde{U}[\theta']\tilde{U}[\theta]\right]_{\theta'=0}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'^a}U[f(\theta',\theta)]^{-1}\tilde{U}[f(\theta',\theta)]\exp(i\phi(\theta',\theta))\right]_{\theta'=0}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^b}U[\bar{\theta}]^{-1}\tilde{U}[\bar{\theta}]\right]_{\bar{\theta}=f(0,\theta)=\theta} \left[\frac{\partial f^b(\theta',\theta)}{\partial \theta'^a}\right]_{\theta'=0}\exp(i\phi(0,\theta))$$

$$+ U[\theta]^{-1}\tilde{U}[\theta]i\left[\frac{\partial \phi(\theta',\theta)}{\partial \theta'^a}\right]_{\theta'=0}\exp(i\phi(0,\theta))$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^b}\left\{U[\theta]^{-1}\tilde{U}[\theta]\right\}\left[h^{-1}\right]_a^b(\theta)$$

$$+ U[\theta]^{-1}\tilde{U}[\theta]i\left[\frac{\partial \phi(\theta',\theta)}{\partial \theta'^a}\right]_{\theta'=0}$$

Multiplying by  $h^a_{\ b}(\theta)$  yields finally

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^b} \left\{ U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \right\} + i \phi_b(\theta) U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta].$$
8. "0 =  $\frac{\partial \phi_b(\theta)}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{\partial \phi_c(\theta)}{\partial \theta^b}$ " (P.99)

Differentiating the result of III7 w.r.t  $\theta^c$  yields

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^c \partial \theta^b} \left\{ U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \right\} + i \frac{\partial \phi_b(\theta)}{\partial \theta^c} U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \\ &+ i \phi_b(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^c} \left\{ U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{III}{=} ^7 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^c \partial \theta^b} \left\{ U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \right\} + i \frac{\partial \phi_b(\theta)}{\partial \theta^c} U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta] \\ &+ \phi_b(\theta) \phi_c(\theta) U[\theta]^{-1} \tilde{U}[\theta]. \end{split}$$

Antisymmetrizing then gives

$$0 = \frac{\partial \phi_b(\theta)}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{\partial \phi_c(\theta)}{\partial \theta^b}.$$

9. "Then  $U^{-1}(f(\theta_2, \theta_1))U(\theta_2)U(\theta_1)$  can be a phase factor  $\exp(i\phi(\theta_2, \theta_1)) \neq 1$ , but  $\phi$  will be the same for all other loops into which this can be continuously deformed." (P.99)

This can be seen from the statement of III6 by setting  $\theta = 0$ .

#### J. Inversions and Degenerate Multiplets

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Typesetting done with REVTEX 4.2.