Photo-Reverberation Mapping

Tutorial #5

Nicolás Guerra-Varas
Professor Dragana Ilić
Tutor Isidora Jankov
11 de mayo de 2023

The goal of this tutorial was to learn photo-reverberation mapping (photo-RM) methods and techniques, and to get introduce to tools that simulate active galactic nuclei (AGN) light-curves and perform photo-RM. And then, to apply these methods to real observational data.

Task 1

1. Simulating Light-Curves

In the `photRM.py` module, there are functions implemented to generate artificial AGN light curves. The `lc_two_bands` function generates them and returns light-curves that are ready for photo-RM. There are two main components for these light-curves [?, ?]:

- The X band, which covers only the continuum. This one is generated with a Damped random walk (DRW) process , which is able to describe optical thermal emission of the accretion disk.
- The Y band, which covers emission lines and its surrounding continuum. It is modeled as described in [?, ?]. The emission line response curve is obtained by convolving the X band light curve with a Gaussian kernel, which mean and standard deviation depend on the radius of the broad line region. Then this is summed up with another pure continuum curve with appropiate realistic weights.

I generated three pairs of light curves with $\log L = 43$, 44 and 45 $L_\odot$ respectively. They are 5000 data points long, have redshift $z = 0.1$, have an oscillatory signal with an amplitude of 0.14 magnitudes, noise of a factor of 0.00005, and a random time-lag. In Figures 1, 2 and 3, I plotted the first 1000 detections of these light-curves. The time-lags printed by the `lc_two_bands` function are 9.86, 33.65 and 114.82 days respectively.

2. Estimating Time-Lags

Then, I estimated the time-lags of each pair of light-curves. For this, I used `pyzdcf` [?], a python implementation of a simpler version of `PLIKE`, a Fortran code [?, ?]. The results are plotted in Figures 4, 5 and 6. The estimations closely match the time-lag posted by the `lc_two_bands` function. They are 9.0, 33.0 and 115.0 days respectively. Thus, these are very good results. However, the accuracies and errors are lost in the `pyzdcf` code.



Figure 1: Artificial light-curve of an AGN with $\log L = 43 L_\odot$ and a time-lasg of 9.86 days.

I note that the more luminous AGN have a larger time-lag (see Figure 7). This can be explained with the size or supermassive black hole (SMBH) mass versus luminosity relation [**?**, **?**]:

$$R_{BLR} \propto L^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

[PHYSICS OF THE THING]

Different authors have estimated α. For example, [**?**] obtained an estimate of 0.665 ± 0.069 and [**?**] obtained one equal to $0.519 + 0.063 - 0.066$. I plotted this relation to see how it looks like for these artificial light-curves in Figure 7. None of these slopes fit the artificial light curves, so by trial and error I found that a slope of 0.340 somewhat fits them. The difference might be due to the fact that these light-curves are artificial, or perhaps a redshift correction should be done first.

3. Gapped Light-Curves

Task 2: NGC 4395

References

[1] H. Edri, S. E. Rafter, D. Chelouche, S. Kaspi, and E. Behar, “Broadband Photometric Reverberation Mapping of NGC 4395,” , vol. 756, p. 73, Sept. 2012.



Figure 2: Artificial light-curve of an AGN with $\log L = 44 L_{\odot}$ and a time-lag of 33.65 days.



Figure 3: Artificial light-curve of an AGN with $\log L = 45 L_{\odot}$ and a time-lag of 114.82 days.



.

Figure 4: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 43L_{\odot}$



Figure 7: Luminosity versus time-lags for the artificial light-curves.



Figure 5: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 44L_{\odot}$.



Figure 6: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 45L_{\odot}$.

../artificial_x_y_5days.pdf

.

Figure 8: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 43 L_{\odot}$ with detections every five days.

../artificial_x_y_month.pdf

.

Figure 9: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 43 L_\odot$ with detections in a pattern of every day for one month and then a gap of a month.

../artificial_x_y_lgaps.pdf

.

Figure 10: CCF of the artificial light-curve with $\log L = 43 L_{\odot}$ with detections in a pattern of three month of observations every day, followed by six months of observations with a frequency of once per month and then a gap of three months.