Test Docker

November 14, 2021

This document is a test of Docker. The code is drawn from Section 10.9.1 of ISLR2. Please click Knit to verify that you can successfully compile this document.

First let's load some libraries (all of which are packaged in this Docker container).

```
library (ISLR2)  # for Hitters data
library(kableExtra)  # for nice tables
library(glmnet)  # for lasso
library(keras)  # for deep learning
library(tidyverse)  # for everything else
```


First let's run the linear model:

Next let's run the lasso:

Finally we train a neural network:

```
# -----
# test keras
# load keras library for deep learning
library (keras)
# define neural network structure
modnn <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
  layer_dense(units = 50, activation = "relu",
                  input_shape = ncol(x)) %>%
  layer_dropout(rate = 0.4) %>%
  layer_dense(units = 1)
## Loaded Tensorflow version 2.4.1
# compile the model
modnn %>% compile(loss = "mse",
                 optimizer = optimizer_rmsprop(),
                 metrics = list("mean_absolute_error"))
# fit the model
history <- modnn %>% fit(x[-testid, ],
                        y[-testid],
                        epochs = 100,
                        batch_size = 32,
                        validation_data = list(x[testid, ], y[testid]))
# plot training progress
plot(history)
```

`geom_smooth()` using formula 'y ~ x'



```
deep_learning_error = mean(abs(y[testid] - npred))
```

Let's compare the prediction errors:

```
tibble(Method = c("Linear Model", "Lasso", "Neural Network"),
       `Mean absolute error` = c(lm_error, lasso_error, deep_learning_error)) %>%
  kable(format = "latex", row.names = NA,
                        booktabs = TRUE,
                        digits = 2,
                        caption = "Comparing the test errors of three different
         prediction methods.") %>%
  kable_styling(position = "center") %>%
  kable_styling(latex_options = "HOLD_position")
```

Table 1: Comparing the test errors of three different prediction methods.

Method	Mean absolute error
Linear Model	254.67
Lasso	252.30
Neural Network	482.59

Table 1 shows the three prediction errors. Here the neural network does poorly, but this is a reflection that we did not use enough epochs.