

Application

Idea: "guess" an asymptotic bound (\mathcal{O} , Ω) and prove it by mathematical induction

Example: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$

We have to show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T(n) \leq c \cdot n \log n \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \leq c \cdot n \log_2 n$ for some const. $c > 0$

Induction base: $T(k) = \Theta(1) \leq d$ for small constant k
and some constant $d > 0$ (by def.)

Induction step: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$

$$\leq 2 \cdot (c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log_2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \stackrel{?}{\leq} c \cdot n \log_2 n$$

Example: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$, $T(n) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (c \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)) + n \\ &\leq c \cdot n \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n \log_2 2 + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n + (1 - c) \cdot n \\ &\leq c \cdot n \log_2 n \quad \text{for } c \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Choose $k \geq 3$ for induction base, $c \geq \max\{1, d\}$, $n_0 \geq 2$

↑
from induction base

Pitfalls

Don't use asymptotic notation in the induction step!

Example again: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$

\Rightarrow Show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : T(n) \leq c \cdot n$ for $n \geq n_0$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \leq c \cdot n$ for some const. $c > 0$

Induction step:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2(c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq c \cdot n + n = (c+1) \cdot n = \cancel{\mathcal{O}(n)} \quad \text{WRONG !!} \end{aligned}$$

Sometimes the "obvious" induction hypothesis doesn't work:

Example: $T(n) = T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + 1$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$

\Rightarrow Show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : T(n) \leq c \cdot n$ for $n \geq n_0$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \leq c \cdot n$ for some const. $c > 0$

Induction step:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + 1 \\ &\leq c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + c \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1 \\ &= c \cdot n + 1 > c \cdot n \quad \Rightarrow \text{Induction fails} \end{aligned}$$

But: weaker hypothesis $T(n) \leq c \cdot n - d$ with $d \in \mathbb{R}$ works

Induction hypothesis: ~~$T(n) \leq c \cdot n$~~ for some const. $c > 0, d \in \mathbb{R}$

Induction step: $T(n) \leq c \cdot n - d$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + 1 \\ &\leq c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + c \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1 \\ &= c \cdot n + 1 > c \cdot n \quad \Rightarrow \text{Induction fails} \\ &= c \cdot n - d + (1 - d) \leq c \cdot n - d \quad \text{for } d \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Properties

advantage: more powerful than the other two methods

disadvantage: two proofs needed for Θ (\mathcal{O} and Ω)

How to make the right guess?

- similarity to known recurrence relations
- recursion tree

How to get the right approach?

- look at additional function
- in case of doubt, try a second time