Application

Idea: "guess" an asymptotic bound (\mathcal{O} , Ω) and prove it by mathematical induction

Example: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$

We have to show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log n$ for all $n \ge n_0$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$ for some const. c > 0

Induction base: $T(k) = \Theta(1) \le d$ for small constant k and some constant d > 0 (by def.)

Induction step:
$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log_2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right) + n \overset{?}{\leq} c \cdot n \log_2 n \end{split}$$

Example: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$, $T(n) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\right) + n \\ &\leq c \cdot n \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n \log_2 2 + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n + n \\ &= c \cdot n \log_2 n + (1 - c) \cdot n \\ &\leq c \cdot n \log_2 n & \text{for } c \geq 1 \end{split}$$

Choose $k \geq 3$ for induction base, $c \geq \max\{1, d\}$, $n_0 \geq 2$

from induction base

Pitfalls

Don't use asymptotic notation in the induction step!

Example again: $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$

 \Rightarrow Show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : T(n) \leq c \cdot n \text{ for } n \geq n_0$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \le c \cdot n$ for some const. c > 0

Induction step:

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq 2(c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq c \cdot n + n = (c+1) \cdot n = 2(n) \end{split}$$
 WRONG !!

Sometimes the "obvious" induction hypothesis doesn't work:

Example: $T(n) = T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + 1$

Guess: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$

 \Rightarrow Show: $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : T(n) \leq c \cdot n \text{ for } n \geq n_0$

Induction hypothesis: $T(n) \leq c \cdot n$ for some const. c > 0

Induction step:

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \; + \; T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) \; + 1 \\ &\leq c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \; + \; c \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \; \; + 1 \\ &= c \cdot n \; + 1 \; > \; c \cdot n \qquad \Rightarrow \text{Induction fails} \end{split}$$

But: weaker hypothesis $T(n) \leq c \cdot n - d$ with $d \in \mathbb{R}$ works

Induction hypothesis: $\frac{T(n) \leq c \cdot n}{T(n) \leq c \cdot n}$ for some const. $c > 0, d \in \mathbb{R}$ Induction step:

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \ + \ T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) \ + 1 \\ &\leq c \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + c \cdot \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1 \\ &= c \cdot n + 1 \Rightarrow c \cdot n \Rightarrow \text{Induction fails} \\ &= c \cdot n - d + (1 - d) \leq c \cdot n - d \quad \text{for } d \geq 1 \end{split}$$

Properties

advantage: more powerful than the other two methods

disadvantage: two proofs needed for Θ (\mathcal{O} and Ω)

How to make the right guess?

- o similarity to known recurrence relations
- recursion tree

How to get the right approach?

- o look at additional function
- o in case of doubt, try a second time