## CSCI 570 - Fall 2016 - HW 11

Due: Nov 26th

1. Given an n bit positive integer, the problem is to decide if it is composite. Here the problem size is n. Is this decision problem in NP?

Yes. For every "yes" instance (the number is composite), a factor of the number is a certificate. Certification proceeds by dividing the number by the factor and making sure that the reminder is zero and also making sure that the certificate is neither 1 nor the input number itself. The factor is at most n bits and verification can be done in time polynomial in n. Thus deciding if a number is composite is in NP.

2. Show that vertex cover remains *NP-complete* even if the instances are restricted to graphs with only even degree vertices.

Let  $\langle G, K \rangle$  be an input instance of *VERTEX-COVER*, where G = (V, E) is the input graph.

Because each edge in E contributes a count of 1 to the degree of each of the vertices with which it is incident, the sum of the degrees of the vertices is exactly 2|E|, an even number. Hence, there is an even number of vertices in G that have odd degrees.

Let U be the subset of vertices with odd degrees in G.

Construct a new instance  $\langle \bar{G}, k+2 \rangle$  of VERTEX-COVER, where  $\bar{G} = (V_0, E_0)$  with  $V_0 = V \cup \{x, y, z\}$  and  $E_0 = E \cup \{(x, y), (y, z), (z, x)\} \cup \{(x, v)|v \in U\}$ . In words, we make a triangle with the three new vertices, and then connect one of them (say x) to all the vertices in U.

The degree of every vertex in  $V_0$  is even. Since a vertex cover for a triangle is of (minimum) size 2, it is clear that  $\bar{G}$  has a vertex cover of size k+2 if and only if G has a vertex cover of size k.

- 3. Given an undirected graph G=(V,E), the HALF-CLIQUE problem is to decide if there is a subset  $A\subseteq V$  of vertices satisfying the following two conditions:
  - $|A| \ge \frac{|V|}{2}$
  - For every pair of vertices  $u, v \in A$ , if  $u \neq v$ , then  $(u, v) \in E$ .

Show that HALF-CLIQUE is in NP-complete. You are allowed to use the fact that INDEPENDENT-SET is NP-complete.

Given a set of vertices A as the certificate, it is easy to verify that the two conditions listed in the question are satisfied. Thus HALF-CLIQUE is in NP.

We will reduce INDEPENDENT-SET to HALF-CLIQUE in two steps. The intermediate step utilizes the CLIQUE problem.

We begin by defining a few terms that we require. A subset of vertices is called a clique if and only if every distinct pair of vertices in the subset is connected by an edge. Given a graph and an integer m, the CLIQUE problem is to decide if the graph has a clique of size m. For a graph  $G_1$ , its complement (denoted by  $\bar{G}_1$ ) is defined as the graph that has the same vertex set as  $G_1$ , but with the edge incidence inverted. That is, an edge e is in  $G_1$  if and only if e in not in  $\bar{G}_1$ .

Observe that a set of vertices B is an independent set in  $G_1$  if and only if it is a clique for its complement  $\bar{G}_1$ . Thus an INDEPENDENT-SET instance  $\langle G_1, k \rangle$  can be reduced to the CLIQUE problem by mapping it to the CLIQUE instance  $\langle \bar{G}_1, m = k \rangle$ . Thus:

## $INDEPENDENT-SET \leq_{p} CLIQUE$ .

Given a CLIQUE instance  $\langle G_2=(V_2,E_2),m\rangle$  we next reduce it to a HALF-CLIQUE instance. If  $m=\frac{|V_2|}{2}$ , then we already have a HALF-CLIQUE instance.

If  $m < \frac{|V_2|}{2}$ , then add  $|V_2|2m$  new vertices to  $G_2$ . Then add an edge between every distinct pair of new vertices. Also, add an edge between every new vertex and every existing vertex as well. The new graph has  $2(|V_2|m)$  vertices. The new graph has a clique of size at least  $|V_2|m$  if and only if  $G_2$  had a clique of size m (prove this on your own!).

If  $m > \frac{|V_2|}{2}$ , then add  $2m|V_2|$  new vertices to  $G_2$  and do not introduce any new edges. The new graph has 2m vertices. The new graph has a clique of size at least m if and only if  $G_2$  had a clique of size m (prove this on your own!).

Thus we can conclude that:

$$CLIQUE \leq_p HALF\text{-}CLIQUE$$

From the transitivity of polynomial time reductions, it follows that:

$$INDEPENDENT-SET \leq_{p} HALF-CLIQUE$$

4. Given an undirected graph G and a positive integer k, consider the decision problem which asks if a simple path (no repeating vertices) of length at least k exists.

Is this decision problem in NP? Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , is it in P?

**Yes**, the decision problem is in NP. A simple path of length k is a certificate and can be verified by traversing the path (making sure all the edges in the path are indeed in G, the length is indeed k and that there are no repeated vertices).

We claim that the problem is NP-complete (which assuming  $P \neq NP$  would imply that it is not in P).

Call the decision problem in question K-PATH.

Consider the HAMILTONIAN-PATH problem (HAM-PATH) where given a graph with n vertices, we have to decide if it contains a simple path that visits all nodes. Clearly HAM-PATH  $\leq_p K$ -PATH, since HAM-PATH is a special case of K-PATH (with k=n-1).

It turns out that HAM-CYCLE extless p HAM-PATH, where HAM-CYCLE is the HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE problem. We show this by the following reduction. Let  $\bar{G}$  be the graph input to HAM-CYCLE. Choose one vertex  $u \in \bar{G}$  and duplicate it, i.e. add another vertex  $u_0$  and for each edge (u, v) add the edge  $(u_0, v)$ . Also add two more vertices t and  $t_0$  and the edges (t, u) and  $(t_0, u_0)$ . It is fairly easy to see that the new graph has a Hamiltonian path if and only if G has a Hamiltonian cycle.

By transitivity of polynomial time reductions, it follows that:

$$HAM$$
- $PATH \leq_p K$ - $PATH$ 

Thus K-PATH is NP-complete which assuming  $P \neq NP$  implies that K-PATH is not in P.

5. Given an undirected graph with positive edge weights, the BIG-HAM-CYCLE problem is to decide if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle C such that the sum of weights of edges in C is at least half of the total sum of weights of edges in the graph. Show that BIG-HAM-CYCLE is NP-complete. You are allowed to use the fact that deciding if an undirected graph has a Hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete.

The certifier takes as input an undirected graph (the BHC instance) and a sequence of edges (certificate). It verifies that the sequence of edges form a Hamiltonian cycle and that the total weight of the cycle is at least half the total weight of the edges in the graph. Thus BIG-HAM-CYCLE is in NP-complete. We claim that HAM-CYCLE is polynomial time reducible to BIG-HAM-CYCLE. To see this, given an undirected graph G=(V,E) (instance of HC), pick an edge e and set its weight to |E| and assign the rest of the edges a weight of 1. When this weighted graph is fed into the BIG-HAM-CYCLE decider black box, it returns "yes" if and only if G has a Hamiltonian cycle containing the edge e. By repeating the above procedure once for every edge e in the graph G, we can decide if the graph has a Hamiltonian cycle.

6. You are given an undirected graph G = (V, E) and for each vertex  $v \in V$ , you are given a number p(v) (which is either 0 or 1 or 2) that denotes the number of pebbles (stones) placed on v. We will now play a game where the following move is the only move allowed. You can pick a vertex u that contains at least two pebbles, and remove two pebbles from u and add one pebble to one (your choice) of the neighboring vertices of u. The objective of the game is to perform a sequence of moves such that we are left with exactly one pebble in the whole graph. Show that the problem of deciding if we can reach the objective is NP-complete.

Call our decision problem PEBBLE. For an instance, given a sequence of moves as a certificate, we can verify efficiently if each move is valid and that we are left with a single pebble in the graph. Thus PEBBLE is in NP.

We claim that HAM- $PATH \leq_p PEBBLE$ . Unlike in most other problems that we have encountered, we will use a black box that solves PEBBLE more than once.

Let G=(V,E) be an instance of HAM-PATH. Pick a vertex  $s\in V$  as the starting vertex, place 2 pebbles at s and at every other vertex, place 1 pebble. We claim that this instance of the PEBBLE problem is an "yes" instance if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path starting from s. To see this, observe that the only allowed move in the first step is to go from s to a neighboring vertex (say u). After this move, there are no pebbles left at s and 2 pebbles at u. By induction, we see that for every vertex that we leave, there are no pebbles left and at every new vertex that we arrive at, there are 2 pebbles. Thus we can never revisit a vertex (otherwise, we get stuck since that vertex would only have a single pebble after arrival). To be left with only a single pebble in the graph, prior to the last move, the whole graph should have had exactly 2 pebbles, both of which on a single vertex. This can happen and can only happen if there is a Hamiltonian path starting from s.

Thus, by calling the black box once for every starting vertex s, we can decide is G has a Hamiltonian path.

7. Assume that you are given a polynomial time algorithm that decides if a directed graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle. Describe a polynomial time algorithm that given a directed graph that contains a Hamiltonian cycle, lists a sequence of vertices (in order) that forms a Hamiltonian cycle.

Let G = (V, E) be the input graph. Let A be an algorithm that decides if a given directed graph has a Hamiltonian cycle. Hence A(G) = 1.

Pick an edge  $e \in E$  and remove it from G to get a new graph  $\bar{G}$ . If  $A(\bar{G}) = 1$ , then there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in  $\bar{G}$  which is a subgraph of G, set  $G = \bar{G}$ . If  $A(\bar{G}) = 0$ , then every Hamiltonian cycle in G contains e. Put e back into G.

Iterate the above three lines until we are left with exactly |V| edges. Since after each step we are left with a subgraph that contains a Hamiltonian cycle, at termination we are left with the set of edges that forms a Hamiltonian cycle. Starting from an edge, do a BFS to enumerate the edges of the Hamiltonian cycle in order.