## Machine Learning

# Marc Sebban & Amaury Habrard $$_{\rm LaHC}$$

## Contents

1	Wha	at is Machine Learning?	2
<b>2</b>	Sup	Supervised learning problem	
	2.1	Notations	2
	2.2	Curse of dimensionality - Overfitting - Underfitting	3
	2.3	Regularized Risk Minimization	4
	2.4	Bias/Variance trade-of	4
	2.5	Statistical learning theory	4

### 1 What is Machine Learning?

Machine Learning aims at knowing how to make algorithms that can *learn* from data. They are divided in two category:

- Supervised learning, subdivided into
  - Classification: predict a yes/no answer
  - Regression: predict a continuous value, such as the price of a house
  - Ranking: output the "most relevant" data

The aim is to predict fro labelled data

- Unsupervised learning, subdivided into
  - Clustering
  - Dimensionality Reduction

The aim is to find the underlying structure of unlabelled data

Possible Applications Computer Vision, Robotics, Speech Recognition, Artificial Intelligence

#### Required Skills

- Convex Optimization
- Algorithm: Asymptotic behaviour

We will mainly use SVM (Support Vector Machine), that deals with classification problems. They use the *kernel trick*, which is projection of the data on a high-dimensional space (potentially infinite) where the data becomes linearly separable.

## 2 Supervised learning problem

#### 2.1 Notations

- Let  $S = \{z_i = (\mathbf{x_i}, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  be a set of m training examples i.i.d. from an unknown joint distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  over a space  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$
- The  $\mathbf{x_i}$  values ( $\mathbf{x_i} \in \mathcal{X}$ ) are typically vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whose components are usually called features.
- The y values  $(y \in \mathcal{Y})$  are drawn from a discrete set of classes/labels (typically  $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$  in binary classification) or are continuous values (regression)
- We assume that there exists a target function f such that  $y = f(\mathbf{x}), \ \forall (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Definition 1.** A supervised learning algorithm L automatically outputs from S a model or a classifier (or a hypothesis)  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  as close to f as possible.

#### 2.2 Curse of dimensionality - Overfitting - Underfitting

The number of training example is very important! Sadly, as the number of features or dimension grows, the amount of data (i.e. examples necessary to learn) grows exponentially: it is the *curse of dimensionality*. To avoid this problem, we can:

- pre-process the data into a lower dimensional space
- regularize the underlying optimization problem at running time

This issue is very closed to overfitting.

**Definition 2** (Overfitting). In statistics, overfitting occurs when a model is excessively complex, such as having too many degrees of freedom (e.g. polynomial of high order) with respect to the amount of data available  $\rightarrow$  use a regularization.

**Definition 3** (Underfitting). Underfitting occurs when a statistical model or machine learning algorithm cannot capture the underlying trend of the data.

To pick the best hypothesis  $h^*$ , we need a criterion to assess the quality of h. Given a non-negative loss function  $\updownarrow: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  measuring the degree of agreement between  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and y, we can define the *tree risk*.

**Definition 4** (True Risk). The true risk  $\mathcal{R}^{\ell}(h)$  (also called generalization error) of a hypothesis h with respect to a loss function  $\ell$  corresponds to the expected loss suffered by h over the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ .

$$\mathcal{R}^{\ell}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \ell(h, z)$$

Unfortunately,  $\mathcal{R}^{\ell}(h)$  cannot be computed as  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is unknown, so we try to minimise the *empirical risk*  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\ell}$ , a statistical measure of the true risk over S.

**Definition 5** (Empirical Risk). Let  $S = \{z_i = (\mathbf{x_i}, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  be a training sample. The empirical risk  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\ell}$  (also called empirical error) of a hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  with respect to a loss function 'corresponds to the expected loss suffered by h on S.

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell}(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(h, z_i)$$

**Definition 6** (0/1 loss). The most natural loss function for binary classification is the 0/1 loss (also called classification error)

$$\ell_{0/1}(h,z) = 1$$
 if  $yh(x) < 0$  and 0 otherwise

 $\mathcal{R}^{\ell_{0/1}}$  then corresponds to the proportion of correct predictions.

**Warning** Due to the non convexity and non differentiability of the 0/1 loss, minimizing the empirical risk is NP-hard. For this reason, we use surrogate loss functions such that:

- Hinge loss (used in SVM):  $\ell_{hinge}(h, z) = \max(0, 1 yh(x))$
- Exponential loss (used in boosting):  $\ell_{exp}(h,z) = e^{-yh(x)}$
- Logistic loss (used in logistic regression):  $\ell_{log}(h,z) = \ln(1+e^{-yh(x)})$

#### 2.3 Regularized Risk Minimization

To prevent the algorithm from overfitting, a supervised learning problem often take the following regularized form:

$$\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\ell}(h) + \lambda ||h||_{p}$$

Where  $\lambda$  is a constant penalizing "too complex" models, and  $||.||_p$  a  $\ell_p$ -norm over the classifier h.

**Definition 7** ( $\ell_p$ -norm). If  $\theta$  is a d-dimensional vector:

$$||\theta||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |\theta_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

The  $\ell_2$ -norm is used to reduce the risk of overfitting (it decreases the large values of the model), and the  $\ell_1$  also allows the induction of sparse models - i.e. with less features (example: LASSO or  $\ell_1$ -SVM).

**Remark** Increasing  $\theta$  with the  $\ell_1$ -norm causes more and more of the parameters  $\theta_j$  to be driven to zero. The gradient on the  $\ell_1$ -norm is constant w.r.t. the magnitude of each vector component.

**Downside** The  $l_1$ -norm is not differentiable.

#### 2.4 Bias/Variance trade-of

There are three sources of error between  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and the target function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ :

- 1. The inductive bias: nothing guarantees the equality between the target concept space  $\mathcal{F}$  and the selected class of hypotheses  $\mathcal{H}$ , even if the learner is able to provide an optimal hypothesis  $h^*$  from  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- 2. The variance: since the training set S is finite and randomly drawn from  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , the learner usually does not provide the optimal hypothesis  $h^*$ .
- 3. The presence of noise: some training examples can be mislabelled. The learner receives a training set of a "noisy" function  $f_b = f + \varepsilon$ .

The Bias/Variance trade-off comes from the Mean Square Error (MSE), in statistics:

**Definition 8** (MSE). Let  $\theta$  a theoretical parameter ( $\mathcal{R}(h)$  in our case) and  $\hat{\theta}$  an estimate of  $\theta$  ( $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(h)$  in our case). Let  $B = \mathbb{E}(\theta) - \theta$  be the bias of  $\hat{\theta}$  w.r.t.  $\theta$ . The MSE assesses the quality of  $\theta$  in terms of its variation and unbiasedness. It is the expected value of the square loss between  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\theta$ .

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}_z[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_z[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) + \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_z[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) + B)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{V}(\hat{\theta}) + B^2$$

#### 2.5 Statistical learning theory

**Definition 9** (Empirical Risk Minimization). The ERM principle rests on the fact that if h works well on the training set S it might also work well on new examples.

**Definition 10** (Probably Approximately Correct (PAC) Condition). [Valiant 1984] The ERM principle is valid if the true risk of the hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  induced from S is closed to the true risk of the optimal hypothesis  $h^* \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$h = \arg\min_{h_i \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{\mathcal{R}}(h_i)$$
$$h^* = \arg\min_{h_i \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}(h_i)$$

Condition of validity of the ERM principle:

$$\forall \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \forall \gamma \geq 1, \forall \delta \leq 1, \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{R}(h) = \mathcal{R}(h^*)| \geq \gamma) \leq \delta$$

**Definition 11** (Bayesian error). The bayesian error  $\epsilon^*$  is the lowest possible error rate (or irreducible error) for any hypothesis h.

$$\epsilon^8 = \int_{x \in R_i \ s.t. \ y \neq C_i} \mathbb{P}(C_i|x)\mathbb{P}(x)dx$$

where x is an instance, y its corresponding label,  $R_i$  is the area/region that a classifier function h classifies as  $C_i$ .

**Remark** In many application,  $\epsilon^* > 0$ , and as S is finite, selecting the optimal h does not imply getting the optimal hypothesis  $h^*$ .