Extended Essay: Physics

Title: The Relationship Between Polygon Geometry and Vortex Shedding Frequency in a Two-Dimensional Laminar Flow

RQ: How does increasing the number of faces of a bluff body (ranging from 2 to 12) affect the vortex shedding frequency in laminar flow, measured in Hz, in a two-dimensional plane?

Word count:

. . .

Contents

1	Intr	roduction
2	Bac	kground
	2.1	Fundamentals of Fluid Dynamics
	2.2	Vortex Shedding and the Kármán Vortex Street
	2.3	The Mechanism of Vortex Shedding
	2.4	The Bluff Body
	2.5	The Theoretical Investigation
		2.5.1 Ansys Workbench
		2.5.2 The OpenFoam Simulation
		2.5.3 Simulation Settings

1 Introduction

Despite its widespread significance, the study of fluid dynamics is largely overlooked by the IB Physics syllabus. Defined as a field of applied science dedicated to studying the motion and interaction of fluid substances, fluid dynamics is one of the two sub-fields of fluid mechanics, the field concerned with understanding the dynamics of fluids subject to external forces (Ghose, 2014).

From the air we breathe to the water we consume; fluids are present in almost every aspect of our lives. Although observing fluids in action is a daily occurrence for all, countless remain unaware of the highly complex and intricate theories governing these seemingly simple and elementary phenomena. Ultimately, a profound youthful interest in cars and planes - everything with an engine really - coupled with a fascination for aerodynamics led to the topic of this essay: vortex shedding. Specifically, this essay will concern itself with the question: How does increasing the number of faces of a bluff body (ranging from 2 to 12) affect the vortex shedding frequency in laminar flow, measured in Hz, in a 2D plane?

Over the past century, vortex shedding has garnered a multifold of attention, with hundreds of papers published (Buresti, 1998, p. 61). This partially elucidated phenomenon is quintessential in a broad range of scientific and engineering contexts, from maintaining ubiquitous infrastructure to developing cutting-edge aerospace technologies. Bridges may suffer from vortex shedding excitation, a severe challenge which undermines their structural integrity (Jurado et al., 2012, p. 1040). This was exemplified by the collapse of the Tacoma Narrows Bridge on the 7th of November 1940, where vortex shedding acted as the instigating mechanism – triggering vertical vibration that eventually transitioned into the torsional oscillations, ultimately causing its collapse (Song et al., 2022).

As previously mentioned, the IB Physics Guide ("IB Physics Guide 2025", 2023) does not directly concern itself with vortex shedding or, in general, the majority of fluid dynamics. Nevertheless, links can be made to section C: "Wave Behavior". Section C.1, "Simple Harmonic Motion", concerns itself with, as the name suggests, simple harmonic motion, an idealized theoretical representation of the behavior of oscillatory systems (Allum & Morris, 2023, p. 313). Fundamental concepts such as frequency and time period are discussed, ideas required in order to analyze the frequency of the vortex shedding caused by a bluff body. Furthermore, the topic of this essay also links to section C.4, "Standing Waves and Resonance". Concepts of natural frequency, vibrations and resonance are key when discussing the problems caused by vortex shedding, like the one detailed above, and the significance of the frequency of vortex shedding.

2 Background

2.1 Fundamentals of Fluid Dynamics

Firstly, the definition of vorticity, a vortex and a bluff body must be understood. **Vorticity** is the vector quantity representing the rotational motion in a velocity field (Holton, 2003, p. 2500). A **vortex** is the circular flow of a fluid around a central axis, characterized by the vorticity in the fluid (Nitsche, 2006, p. 390). A **bluff body** is defined as an object that, due to its geometry, induces significant regions of separated flow (National Research Council, 1997, p. 561).

Next, one must distinguish between a Newtonian and a non-Newtonian fluid. A **Newtonian fluid** is a fluid in which the viscosity – a measure of internal friction – remains constant despite applied shear rate, whereas a **non-Newtonian** fluid exhibits a viscosity which varies with the applied force (Mohn, 2024).

Moreover, one must also consider the type of flow. There are two key factors of flow when investigating vortex shedding: laminar and turbulent flow, and compressible and incompressible flow. **Laminar flow** is characterized by the layered motion of fluid particles, with no significant disturbance between the parallel layers (Versteeg & Malalasekera, 2007, pp. 40–41). On the other hand, **turbulent flow** is known to have continuous, irregular fluctuations in velocity and pressure throughout the fluid (Versteeg & Malalasekera, 2007, p. 40). A **compressible flow** is a flow in which the fluid does not have a uniform density (Oran & Boris, 2002, p. 31), conversely, an **incompressible flow** is a flow in which the fluid has a constant density (Versteeg & Malalasekera, 2007, p. 12).

This essay will analyze vortex shedding in a Newtonian fluid – water – which exhibits laminar and incompressible flow.

Lastly, two dimensionless numbers must be considered: the Reynolds and Strouhal number. The **Reynolds number** expresses the "ratio between inertial and viscous forces" (NASA Glenn Research Center, 2021). Given by the equation

$$Re = \frac{\rho UL}{\mu}$$

where ρ is the density of the fluid $(kg \, m^{-3})$, U is the free-stream velocity $(m \, s^{-1})$, L is the characteristic length (m) and μ is the dynamic viscosity $(kg \, m^{-1} \, s^{-1})$. This number allows one to classify if a flow is laminar or turbulent, with low Reynolds' numbers signifying laminar flow and high Reynolds' numbers indicating turbulent flow (Saldana et al., 2024). The **Strouhal number** is a dimensionless parameter used to describe the periodicity of vortex shedding (Choi & Kwon, 2000, p. 211). It is given by the equation

$$St = \frac{fL}{U}$$

where f is the frequency of vortex shedding (Hz), L is the characteristic length (m) and U is the free-stream velocity $(m \, s^{-1})$. A high Strouhal number – assuming both L and U remain constant – indicates an increased vortex shedding frequency f, conversely a low Strouhal number indicates a lower f.

2.2 Vortex Shedding and the Kármán Vortex Street

Vortex shedding in a two-dimensional plane can be defined as the phenomenon in which localized regions of high vorticity are periodically released into the wake from alternating

sides of a bluff body, each exhibiting an opposite rotational direction (Green, 1995, p. 156). Whereas vortex shedding is the process by which vortices are formed, Kármán Vortex Street refers to the flow pattern created, a repeated structure of counter-rotating vortices (Govardhan & Ramesh, 2005, p. 26).




Figure 1: Von Kármán Street behind a cylinder in a non-rotating 2D flow for Re = 140, fluorescein visualization (Ilieva, 2017, p. 144)

2.3 The Mechanism of Vortex Shedding

Due to the fiction between a given fluid and a bluff body, there is no relative motion between them (Jeff Defoe, 2020; TutorialsPoint, 2018). Consequently, if the velocity of the bluff body is zero, the velocity of the fluid at the wall of the bluff body, with respect to the reference frame of the bluff body, is also zero: a no-slip condition. This causes a variation in velocities with distance, from zero at the bluff body surface to the free stream velocity U at a certain distance from the bluff body. The region in which this velocity gradient occurs is referred to as the boundary layer.




Figure 2: Depiction of boundary layer and the velocity gradient formed. Inspired by Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University (n.d.)

When a flow moves past a bluff body in a two-dimensional plane, a boundary layer develops, with increasing thickness from the stagnation point (Fitzpatrick, 2016), the point on the leading edge of the bluff body at which the local fluid velocity is zero (with respect to the bluff body), to the back of the bluff body (Learn Engineering, 2022). At a certain point, the boundary layer separates from the bluff body, forming a shear layer. Under steady flow conditions, this separation occurs in a periodic and alternating manner, creating two separate, out-of-phase shear layers on either side of the bluff body.




Figure 3: The mechanism of vortex shedding. Inspired by Shen et al. (2010, p. 3)

For simplification, assume the first shear layer is generated at the right of the bluff body (SL_1) . Due to its vorticity, the shear layer tends to curl up, forming a vortex. As the vortex forms, the pressure in the core of the vortex decreases, acting as a sink, inducing inflow towards its center. The fluid initially present at the bottom of the backside of the bluff body is drawn into the vortex, creating space, allowing for the formation of the left shear layer (SL_2) in the formation region. This newly created shear layer splits into three distinct flows: a flow $(Flow\ C)$ which recirculates behind the bluff body, a flow $(Flow\ B)$ which mixes with the top shear layer and a flow $(Flow\ A)$ which is drawn into the vortex (V_1) .

There is a decrease in strength of the vortex being created due to $Flow\ A$ having an opposite vorticity to the vorticity of the vortex. Moreover, the opposite vorticity of $Flow\ B$ and the right shear layer effectively nullifies each other, leading to the right shear layer being interrupted and the vortex becoming detached, causing it to travel with the main flow. The vortex has been "shed". Since the vortex moves away from the bluff body, its low-pressure influence on the area near the bluff body decreases, allowing the left shear layer to more freely develop. Now, due to the periodic nature of vortex formation, the process recurs with the shear layers switching roles.

When considering the vortex being created from the top shear layer, the fluid at the top of the vortex will have the same velocity as the free stream velocity U, whereas the velocity at the bottom of the vortex will be of a smaller magnitude and in the opposite direction of the main flow. According to Bernoulli's equation, a region of higher velocity must have a lower pressure and vice versa. Therefore, the bottom region of the vortex will have a higher pressure than the top region, causing a lift force which acts on the bluff body normal to the flow. The oscillation of this lift force coincides with the vortex shedding frequency.

2.4 The Bluff Body

In order to maintain a cylinder-like appearance, one of the most common bluff bodies investigated (Rocchi & Zasso, 2002, p. 475), this investigation is conducted with bluff bodies which have a rectangular "tail", in order to give each shape an equal overall length l in both streamwise and transverse directions.




Figure 4: Examples of bluff bodies

2.5 The Theoretical Investigation

2.5.1 Ansys Workbench

The geometry and mesh preparation for the simulation was conducted using Ansys Workbench ("Ansys Workbench — Simulation Integration Platform", n.d.). The dimensions of the fluid domain are based on 5 where l is the overall length of the bluff body.




Figure 5: The fluid domain with dimensions. Inspired by comflics (2014)

Given the computational limitations of the computer the simulation was conducted on, an overall length ℓ of 1×10^{-3} meters was chosen, therefore giving each bluff body a characteristic length L of 1×10^{-3} meters.

In order to create the mesh necessary for the simulation, the All Triangles Method was utilized. A global unit size of 2.25×10^{-3} meters was applied to the fluid domain to ensure a computationally inexpensive resolution in regions of negligible interest. Conversely, near the edge of the bluff body, a significantly smaller unit size of 2.0×10^{-5} meters was used, constituting an accurate depiction of the interaction between the fluid flow and the bluff body (Ansys Learning, 2023). Furthermore, eight inflation layers were employed in order to accurately capture the gradients associated with boundary layer formation at the edges of the bluff body (Fluid Mechanics 101, 2021). Moreover, a body of influence (BOI) with a sizing of 2.0×10^{-4} meters was used, positioned as shown in 6 below, in order to refine the mesh in the wake region, where the vortex shedding occurs, constituting for a more accurate simulation.




Figure 6: The fluid domain with dimensions. Inspired by comflics (2014)

2.5.2 The OpenFoam Simulation

The theoretical part of this EE is conducted using the open-source CFD software package OpenFOAM ("OpenFOAM", 2024). Among the numerous solvers OpenFOAM provides, pimpleFOAM is a transient, pressure-based solver for incompressible, single-phase, also referred to as isothermal, flows İt combines the algorithms used in the pisoFOAM and simpleFOAM solvers, enabling robust handling of transient simulations with larger time steps, allowing for improved computational performance, hence why the solver was chosen. Moreover, its ability to model both laminar and turbulent flow ensures flow conditions are accurately reflected and given that the fluctuations of lift force are sinusoidal, one can verify the flow is laminar. Utilizing reporting functions, one can extract the lift coefficient C_L , which shows the fluctuations in the lift force acting on the bluff body.

2.5.3 Simulation Settings

To adhere to the scope of this essay the simulation setup is adapted from a case study provided in the Udemy course OpenFOAM for Absolute Beginners by Jayaraj P (2024) from Codeynamics (n.d.). The tutorial case 3vortexShedding discussed in lecture eight serves as a structural template, modifying it to align with the specific requirements of this investigation.

References

- Allum, J., & Morris, P. (2023). *Physics* (3rd ed.) [For the IB Diploma Programme]. Hodder Education.
- Ansys Learning. (2023, February 10). Best practices for mesh generation lesson 2. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nwrBYdHi64w
- Ansys workbench simulation integration platform. (n.d.). Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.ansys.com/products/ansys-workbench
- Buresti, G. (1998). Vortex shedding from bluff bodies. Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, 74-76, 163–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6105(98) 00015-5
- Choi, C.-K., & Kwon, D. K. (2000). Determination of the strouhal number based on the aerodynamic behavior of rectangular cylinders. Wind and Structures: An International Journal, 3(3), 209–220. https://doi.org/10.12989/was.2000.3.3.209
- Codeynamics. (n.d.). Codeynamics [YouTube channel]. https://www.youtube.com/ @Codeynamics
- comflics. (2014, August 27). OpenFOAM tutorial #4 laminar vortex shedding. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ylZQXiEdYYo
- Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. (n.d.). *Introduction to boundary layers* [Accessed: 2025-05-22]. EaglePubs Open Educational Resources. https://eaglepubs.erau.edu/introductiontoaerospaceflightvehicles/chapter/introduction-to-boundary-layers/
- Fitzpatrick, R. (2016, March). Reynolds number [Accessed: 2025-05-20]. University of Texas at Austin. https://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/336L/Fluidhtml/node116.html
- Fluid Mechanics 101. (2021, June 24). [CFD] inflation layers / prism layers in CFD. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1gSHN99I7L4
- Ghose, T. (2014). What is fluid dynamics? [Accessed: 2025-03-12]. LiveScience. https://www.livescience.com/47446-fluid-dynamics.html
- Govardhan, R., & Ramesh, O. (2005). A stroll down kármán street. Resonance, 10, 25–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02866744
- Green, S. I. (Ed.). (1995). Fluid vortices (Vol. 30) [Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition, originally published in 1995]. Kluwer Academic Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-0249-0
- Holton, J. R. (2003). Vorticity. In J. R. Holton (Ed.), Encyclopedia of atmospheric sciences (pp. 2500–2504). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-227090-8/00449-8
- Ib physics guide 2025 [Accessed: 2025-02-27]. (2023). International Baccalaureate Organization. https://peda.net/kuopio/lukiot/lyseonlukio/ib/syllabukset/group-4-sciences/physics-2025.pdf:file/download/c56a8c8592e847bbb555540b087b0990d1ade5b0/Physics%2C%202025.pdf
- Ilieva, G. (2017). On turbulence and its effects on aerodynamics of flow through turbine stages. In *Turbomachinery*. InTech. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68205
- Jayaraj P, H. (2024). Lecture: Introduction to vortex shedding simulation using openfoam [From the course "OpenFOAM for Absolute Beginners", Codeynamics on Udemy]. Udemy. https://www.udemy.com/course/openfoam-for-absolute-beginners/learn/lecture/44356412
- Jeff Defoe. (2020, May 26). Bluff body aerodynamics, lecture 2 part 5. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9g62QkNFtlE

- Jurado, J. Á., Sánchez, R., Hernández, S., Nieto, F., & Kusano, I. (2012). A review of cases of vortex shedding excitation in bridges: Sectional models testing [Accessed: 2025-05-04]. Proceedings of the Seventh International Colloquium on Bluff Body Aerodynamics and Applications (BBAA7). https://iawe.org/Proceedings/BBAA7/J.Jurado.pdf
- Learn Engineering. (2022, August). What is boundary layer in fluid mechanics [Accessed: 2025-04-16]. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ahohd8ceGR4
- Mohn, E. (2024). Non-newtonian fluid [Accessed: 2025-04-30]. EBSCO Information Services. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/science/non-newtonian-fluid
- NASA Glenn Research Center. (2021). Reynolds number [Accessed: 2025-05-13]. NASA. https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/airplane/reynolds.html
- National Research Council. (1997). Twenty-first symposium on naval hydrodynamics [Symposium held in Trondheim, Norway, June 24–28, 1996. Accessed: 2025-06-22]. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/5870
- Nitsche, M. (2006). Vortex dynamics. In J.-P. Françoise, G. L. Naber, & S. T. Tsou (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of mathematical physics* (pp. 390–399). Academic Press. https://doi. org/10.1016/B0-12-512666-2/00254-6
- OpenFOAM. (2024, December 24). Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.openfoam. $_{\rm com/}$
- Oran, E. S., & Boris, J. P. (2002). Fluid dynamics. In R. A. Meyers (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of physical science and technology* (3rd ed., pp. 31–43). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-227410-5/00248-9
- Rocchi, D., & Zasso, A. (2002). Vortex shedding from a circular cylinder in a smooth and wired configuration: Comparison between 3d les simulation and experimental analysis. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 90 (4–5), 475–489. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6105(01)00203-3
- Saldana, M., Gallegos, S., Gálvez, E., Castillo, J., Salinas-Rodríguez, E., Cerecedo-Sáenz, E., Hernández-Ávila, J., Navarra, A., & Toro, N. (2024). The reynolds number: A journey from its origin to modern applications. *Fluids*, 9(12), 299. https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids9120299
- Shen, H.-M., Fu, X., Chen, J.-D., & Ye, P. (2010). Development of a vortex flowmeter with good performance at low-flowrate [Accessed: 2025-06-22]. *Proceedings of the 15th International Flow Measurement Conference (FLOMEKO)*, 1–6. https://www.imeko.org/publications/tc9-2010/IMEKO-TC9-2010-017.pdf
- Song, D., Kim, W., Kwon, O.-K., & Choi, H. (2022). Vertical and torsional vibrations before the collapse of the tacoma narrows bridge in 1940. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2022.748
- TutorialsPoint. (2018, January 23). Boundary layer theory introduction. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=54zf68IoPWU
- Versteeg, H. K., & Malalasekera, W. (2007). An introduction to computational fluid dynamics: The finite volume method (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.