## INTEGRAL COHOMOLOGY OF $K^2(A)$

## SIMON KAPFER

ABSTRACT. What we know already

## 1. Chomology of Hilbert schemes of points on a torus surface

Let A be a complex projective torus of dimension 2. Its first cohomology  $H^1(A,\mathbb{Z})$  is freely generated by four elements  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ , corresponding to the four different circles on the torus. The cohomology ring is isomorphic to the exterior algebra:

$$H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda^* H^1(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We abbreviate for the products  $a_i \cdot a_j =: a_{ij}$  and  $a_i \cdot a_j \cdot a_k =: a_{ijk}$ . We write  $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot a_4 =: x$  for the class corresponding to a point on A. We choose the  $a_i$  such that  $\int_A x = 1$ . The bilinear form, given by  $(a_{ij}, a_{kl}) \mapsto \int_A a_{ij} a_{kl}$  gives  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$  the structure of a unimodular lattice, isomorphic to  $U^{\oplus 3}$ , three copies of the hyperbolic lattice.

Let  $A^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of n points on the torus, *i.e.* the moduli space of finite subschemes of A of length n. Their rational cohomology can be described in terms of Nakajima's operators. First consider the direct sum

$$\mathbb{H} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$$

This space is bigraded by cohomological degree and the weight, which is given by the number of points n. The unit element in  $H^0(A^{[0]}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  is denoted by  $|0\rangle$ , called the vacuum.

There are linear operators  $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$ , acting on  $\mathbb{H}$  which have the following properties: They depend linearly on  $\alpha$ , and if  $\alpha \in H^k(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is homogeneous, the operator  $\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha)$  is bihomogeneous of degree k + 2(|m| - 1) and weight m:

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha):H^l(A^{[n]})\to H^{l+k+2(|m|-1)}(A^{[n+m]})$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations for  $\alpha \in H^k(A, \mathbb{Q}), \ \beta \in H^{k'}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)\mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta) - (-1)^{k \cdot k'}\mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha) = -m\,\delta_{m,-m'}\int_A \alpha \cdot \beta.$$

Every element in  $\mathbb{H}$  can be decomposed uniquely as a linear combination of products of operators  $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$ , m < 0, acting on the vacuum.

For the study of integral cohomology we cite:

**Theorem 1.1.** [?] The following operators map integral classes in  $\mathbb{H}$  to integral classes:

Date: September 16, 2015.

1

- $\bullet \ \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}(\alpha) \ for \ \alpha \in H^*(A,\mathbb{Z})$   $\bullet \ \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}(1)^n$
- $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$

Here,  $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}$  is defined as  $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(\alpha) := \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda\mu} \mathfrak{p}_{-\mu}(\alpha)$  and  $c_{\lambda\mu}$  are the coefficients of the transition matrix between monomial symmetric functions and power sum symmetric functions.

For a classes  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in H^*(A)$  and a partitions  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  we write  $\alpha_1^{\lambda_1} \ldots \alpha_r^{\lambda_r}$ for the class  $\mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_1}(\alpha_1) \dots \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_r}(\alpha_r)|0\rangle$ .

## 2. Generalized Kummer varieties

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a complex projective torus of dimesion 2 and  $A^{[n]}$  the corresponding Hilbert scheme of points. Denote  $\Sigma:A^{[n]}\to A$  the summation morphism. Then the generalized Kummer  $K^{n-1}A$  is defined as the fiber over 0:

(1) 
$$K^{n-1}A \xrightarrow{\theta} A^{[n]}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{\Sigma}$$

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow A$$

By [?],  $\theta^*: H^2(A^{[n]}) \to H^2(K^{n-1}A)$  is surjective. We have injections j: $H^2(A) \to H^2(A^{[n]})$  and  $i = \theta^*j$ . The cohomology  $H^*(A^{[n]})$  is described in terms of vertex operators in [?] and [?].

We describe now the image of  $\theta^*$  in the case n=3:

• We know  $j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle$ , because the two must be linearly de-

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} j(a)^6 = 15q(a)^3, \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle\right)^3 = 15q(a)^3\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^3|0\rangle.$$

• By [?, p. 8], we have for  $\alpha = j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle$ :

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} \alpha^6 = \frac{5}{3} q(a) \int_{K^2} \theta^* \alpha^4$$

On the other hand.

$$\alpha^4 = 3q(a)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(0)|0\rangle + 3q(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)^2|0\rangle,$$

so if the image of both summands under  $\int \theta^*$  is positive, then

$$\int \theta^* \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(0) |0\rangle = \int \theta^* \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)^2 |0\rangle = 1.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** The class of  $K^2(A)$  in  $H^4(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by

$$a_1^{(1)} \cdot a_2^{(1)} \cdot a_3^{(1)} \cdot a_4^{(1)}$$
.

*Proof.* We know that for all i and all  $\beta \in H^7(A^{[3]})$ , we have  $\int_{K^2(A)} \theta^*(\alpha_i \cdot \beta) =$  $\int_{A[3]} \alpha_i \cdot \beta \cdot [K^2(A)] = 0$  and for a basis  $(\gamma_i)$  of  $H^2(A^{[3]})$ ,

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} \gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j \cdot \gamma_k \cdot \gamma_l \cdot [K^2(A)] = 3 \left( \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_k \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_l \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle \right)$$

These equations admit a unique solution.

Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=1...6}$  be a hyperbolic basis of  $H^2(A,\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** The classes  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle)$  and  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$  are linearly dependent.

**Proposition 2.4.**  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle) = 0$ 

Corollary 2.5. 
$$\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{4}\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_j$  be complementary, *i.e.*  $a_i a_j = 1$ . Let  $\operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_j) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$  be the chern character in the vertex algebra description of  $H^*(A^{[3]})$ . Then:

$$\theta^* \left( -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1) |0\rangle \right) = \theta^* \left( \mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1) |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1) |0\rangle \right)$$

But on the other hand,  $\delta \cdot j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle + \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ , and

$$\theta^* \left( \operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) \cdot \delta \cdot j(a) \right) = \theta^* \left( -3\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle - 3\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle \right).$$

Corollary 2.6.  $\theta^*(\delta \cdot j(a_i)) = \theta^*(\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$  is divisible by 3.

**Proposition 2.7.** The classes  $\theta^* (j(a_i)^2 - \frac{1}{3}j(a_i) \cdot \delta)$  are divisible by 2.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. By [?]}, \text{ the classes } \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle \text{ are integral in } \\ H^4(A^{[n]}). \text{ But } j(a_i)^2 = \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle \text{ and } \theta^*\left(\frac{1}{3}j(a_i)\cdot\delta\right) = \theta^*\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|\underline{0}\rangle\right). \end{array}$ 

**Proposition 2.8.** The class  $\delta^2$  is divisible by 2.

Proof. By [?, Prop. 4.1],  $\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2 \oplus \left(\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2\right)^{\perp} = H^4$ . We want to show that  $\delta^2 \cdot \operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2 = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . We know a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2$  with at most one class divisible by 2, given by  $j(a_i)j(a_j)$ ,  $\delta^2$  and the above proposition. By computation,  $\int \delta^4$  is divisible by 4 and  $\int \delta^2 j(a_i)j(a_j)$  and  $\int \delta^3 j(a_i)$  are all divisible by 2. So  $\delta^2 \cdot H^4 = 2\mathbb{Z}$  and therefore  $\delta^2$  is divisible by 2, since  $H^4$  is unimodular.

**Proposition 2.9.** The class  $\theta^* \left( \delta^2 - j(a_1) \cdot j(a_2) - j(a_3) \cdot j(a_4) - j(a_5) \cdot j(a_6) \right)$  is divisible by 3.

*Proof.* It is equal to 
$$\theta^* \left( \mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle + \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle \right).$$

Next we look at the Chern classes of the tangent sheaves. Since the morphism  $\Sigma$  from the defining pullback diagram (1) is a submersion, the normal bundle of  $K_{n-1}(A)$  in  $A^{[n]}$  is trivial. Hence  $c(K_2(A)) = \theta^* c(A^{[3]})$ . Looking in [?, Sect. 8], we find a general formula for Chern classes of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. So we deduce

$$c_{2}(A^{[3]}) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{q}_{(1,1)}(\Delta 1)\mathfrak{q}_{1}(1) - \frac{1}{3}\mathfrak{q}_{3}\right)|0\rangle$$

$$= 10(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]}) - 2(1_{(2)}^{[\bullet]})^{2}$$

$$c_{4}(A^{[3]}) = \frac{4}{3}\mathfrak{q}_{(1,1,1)}(\Delta 1)|0\rangle = 4(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]})^{2}.$$

References

SIMON KAPFER, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, UMR CNRS 6086, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, TÉLÉPORT 2, BOULEVARD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE, F-86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUIL

E-mail address: simon.kapfer@math.univ-poitiers.fr