

# INTEGRAL COHOMOLOGY OF $K_2(A)$

SIMON KAPFER AND GRÉGOIRE MENET

ABSTRACT. What we know already

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n$  be a natural number. A partition of  $n$  is a decreasing sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ ,  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$  of natural numbers such that  $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$ . Sometimes it is convenient to write  $\lambda = (\dots, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1})$  with multiplicities in the exponent. We define the weight  $\|\lambda\| := \sum m_i i = n$  and the length  $|\lambda| := \sum_i m_i = k$ . We also define  $z_\lambda := \prod_i i^{m_i} m_i!$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Lambda_n := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$  be the graded ring of symmetric polynomials. There are canonical projections  $\Lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda_n$  which send  $x_{n+1}$  to zero. The graded projective limit  $\Lambda := \varprojlim \Lambda_n$  is called the ring of symmetric functions. Let  $m_\lambda$  and  $p_\lambda$  denote the monomial and the power sum symmetric functions. They are defined as follows: For a monomial  $x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}$  of total degree  $n$ , the (ordered) sequence of exponents  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  defines a partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , which is called the shape of the monomial. Then we define  $m_\lambda$  being the sum of all monomials of shape  $\lambda$ . For the power sums, first define  $p_n := x_1^n + x_2^n + \dots$ . Then  $p_\lambda := p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \dots p_{\lambda_k}$ . The families  $(m_\lambda)_\lambda$  and  $(p_\lambda)_\lambda$  form two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bases of  $\Lambda$ , so they are linearly related by  $p_\lambda = \sum_\mu \psi_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$ . It turns out that the base change matrix  $(\psi_{\lambda\mu})$  has integral entries, but its inverse  $(\psi_{\lambda\mu}^{-1})$  has not.

## 2. COHOMOLOGY OF HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS ON A TORUS SURFACE

Let  $A$  be a complex projective torus of dimension 2. Its first cohomology  $H^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$  is freely generated by four elements  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ , corresponding to the four different circles on the torus. The cohomology ring is isomorphic to the exterior algebra:

$$H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda^* H^1(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We abbreviate for the products  $a_i \cdot a_j =: a_{ij}$  and  $a_i \cdot a_j \cdot a_k =: a_{ijk}$ . We write  $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot a_4 =: x$  for the class corresponding to a point on  $A$ . We choose the  $a_i$  such that  $\int_A x = 1$ . We set  $a_i^-$  for the dual class of  $a_i$ , *i.e.*  $a_i \cdot a_i^- = x$ . The bilinear form, given by  $(a_{ij}, a_{kl}) \mapsto \int_A a_{ij} a_{kl}$  gives  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$  the structure of a unimodular lattice, isomorphic to  $U^{\oplus 3}$ , three copies of the hyperbolic lattice.

Let  $A^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points on the torus, *i.e.* the moduli space of finite subschemes of  $A$  of length  $n$ . Their rational cohomology can be described in

terms of Nakajima's operators. First consider the direct sum

$$\mathbb{H} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$$

This space is bigraded by cohomological *degree* and the *weight*, which is given by the number of points  $n$ . The unit element in  $H^0(A^{[0]}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  is denoted by  $|0\rangle$ , called the *vacuum*.

There are linear operators  $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$ , acting on  $\mathbb{H}$  which have the following properties: They depend linearly on  $\alpha$ , and if  $\alpha \in H^k(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is homogeneous, the operator  $\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha)$  is bihomogeneous of degree  $k + 2(|m| - 1)$  and weight  $m$ :

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha) : H^l(A^{[n]}) \rightarrow H^{l+k+2(|m|-1)}(A^{[n+m]})$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations for  $\alpha \in H^k(A, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\beta \in H^{k'}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)\mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta) - (-1)^{k \cdot k'} \mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha) = -m \delta_{m, -m'} \int_A \alpha \cdot \beta.$$

Every element in  $\mathbb{H}$  can be decomposed uniquely as a linear combination of products of operators  $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$ ,  $m < 0$ , acting on the vacuum. We abbreviate for a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ :

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{q}_\lambda(\alpha) := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_i}(\alpha)$$

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{q}_{*\lambda}(\alpha) := \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_i} \right) \left( \Delta_{(k)}(\alpha) \right)$$

For the study of integral cohomology, first note that if  $\alpha \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Z})$  is an integral class, then  $\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha)$  maps integral classes to integral classes. Moreover, there is the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** [11] *The following operators map integral classes in  $\mathbb{H}$  to integral classes:*

- $\frac{1}{z_\lambda} \mathfrak{q}_\lambda(1)$
- $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$

Here,  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  is defined as  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda(\alpha) := \sum_\mu \psi_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} \mathfrak{q}_{-\mu}(\alpha)$  (see Definition 1.2)

To obtain the multiplicative structure of  $\mathbb{H}$ , given by the cup-products, there is a description in [6] and [7] in terms of multiplication operators  $\mathfrak{G}_k(a)$ ,  $a \in H^*(A)$  [7, Def. 5.1], related to chern characters. There is the following commutation relation:

$$[\mathfrak{G}_k(a), \mathfrak{q}_1(b)] = \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}(\mathfrak{d})^k(\mathfrak{q}_1(ab)),$$

where the operator  $\mathfrak{d}$  means multiplication with the first Chern class of the tautological sheaf. We set  $a^{(k)} := \mathfrak{G}_k(a)(1)$ .

Next we focus on the structure of  $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \geq 2$ . It has rank 13, and there is a basis consisting of:

- $\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-1} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})|0\rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ,
- $\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_j)|0\rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ,
- $\frac{1}{2(n-2)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-2} \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)|0\rangle$ . We denote this class by  $\delta$ .

It is clear that these classes form a basis of  $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ . By [11, Thm. 4.6, Lemma 5.2], they also form a basis for  $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ . TODO: refine this argument

The first 6 classes give an injection  $j : H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

### 3. GENERALIZED KUMMER VARIETIES

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a complex projective torus of dimension 2 and  $A^{[n]}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , the corresponding Hilbert scheme of points. Denote  $\Sigma : A^{[n]} \rightarrow A$  the summation morphism, a smooth submersion that factorizes via the Hilbert–Chow morphism  $A^{[n]} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(A) \rightarrow A$ . Then the generalized Kummer  $K^{n-1}A$  is defined as the fiber over 0:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^{n-1}A & \xrightarrow{\theta} & A^{[n]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

Our first objective is to collect some information about this pullback diagram. We recall Theorem 2 of [12].

**Theorem 3.2.** *The cohomology of  $K_2(A)$  is torsion free.*

Our main reference is [1] where it is shown, that  $K^{n-1}$  is an irreducible holomorphically symplectic manifold. So  $H^2(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{Z})$  admits an integer-valued nondegenerated quadratic form (called Beauville–Bogomolov form)  $q$  which gives  $H^2(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{Z})$  the structure of a lattice isomorphic to  $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle -2n \rangle$ , for  $n \geq 3$ . We have the following formula for  $\alpha \in H^2(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$(4) \quad \int_{K_{n-1}(A)} \alpha^{2n-2} = n \frac{(2n-2)!}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} q(\alpha)^{n-1}$$

The morphism  $\theta$  induces a homomorphism of graded rings

$$(5) \quad \theta^* : H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{Z}).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ .*

- (1)  $\theta^*$  maps  $H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$  to zero.
- (2)  $\theta^*$  is surjective on  $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$  with kernel  $\Lambda^2 H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is clear since  $H^1(K_{n-1}(A))$  is always zero [1, Thm. 3]. Furthermore, by [1, Sect. 7],  $\theta^* : H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{C})$  is surjective. The second Betti numbers of  $A^{[n]}$  and  $K_{n-1}(A)$  are 13 and 7, respectively. It is clear that  $\Lambda^2 H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$  is contained in the kernel, and since the dimension of the kernel has to be 6, it must be all.

It remains to show that  $\theta^*$  is surjective for integral coefficients, too. We do it only for  $n = 3$ . We use a formula in [4, p. 8], namely:

$$(6) \quad \int_{A^{[3]}} j(a)^6 = \frac{5}{3} \int_A a^2 \int_{K_2(A)} \theta^* j(a)^4$$

for all  $a \in H^2(A)$ . One computes  $\int_{A^{[3]}} j(a)^6 = 15 \left( \int_A a^2 \right)^3$ . Comparing this with (4), we see that the sublattice given by the image of  $\theta^* \circ j$  is unimodular. Secondly, we must show that  $q(\theta^* \delta) = -6$ . TODO: show this! Remark:  $\theta^* \delta$  seems to be indivisible (because of (4)), but every product with  $\theta^* \delta$  is divisible by 3. Indeed, the value of (4) for  $\alpha = \theta^* \delta$  is 324.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *We have  $a_i^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}q_1(1)^2q_1(a_i)|0\rangle$ . The class of  $K_2(A)$  in  $H^4(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by*

$$a_1^{(0)} \cdot a_2^{(0)} \cdot a_3^{(0)} \cdot a_4^{(0)}.$$

*Conjecture:* This is true for all  $n$ , not only  $n = 3$ .

*Proof.* We know that for all  $i$  and all  $\beta \in H^7(A^{[3]})$ , we have  $\int_{K_2(A)} \theta^*(\alpha_i \cdot \beta) = \int_{A^{[3]}} \alpha_i \cdot \beta \cdot [K_2(A)] = 0$  and for a basis  $(\gamma_i)$  of  $H^2(A^{[3]})$ ,

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} \gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j \cdot \gamma_k \cdot \gamma_l \cdot [K_2(A)] = 3(\langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_k \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_l \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle)$$

These equations admit a unique solution.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* This allows us to better understand the morphism  $\theta^*$ . Since the Poincaré pairing is nondegenerated,  $[K_{n-1}(A)] \cdot \alpha = 0$  implies  $\theta^*\alpha = 0$ .

Let us summarize our results on  $\theta^*$  for the case  $n = 3$ :

**Theorem 3.6.** *The homomorphism  $\theta^* : H^*(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q})$  of graded rings is surjective in every degree except 4. Moreover, the image of  $H^4(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$  is equal to  $\text{Sym}^2(H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q}))$ . The kernel of  $\theta^*$  is the ideal generated by  $H^1(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ .*

Now we focus on classes of cohomological degree 4.

**Proposition 3.7.** *The classes  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{ij})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle)$  and  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})|0\rangle)$  are linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* We can compute the product of these two classes with  $[K_2(A)]$  in  $H^*(A^{[3]})$ . The two results are linearly dependent. Is there a direct geometric proof?  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.**  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle) = 0$

**Corollary 3.9.**  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{ij})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{4}\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})|0\rangle)$

*Proof.* Let  $a_{ij}$  and  $a_{kl}$  be complementary, i.e.  $a_{ij}a_{kl} = 1$ . We have  $a_{kl}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{kl})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ . Then:

$$\theta^*\left(a_{ij}^{(1)} \cdot a_{kl}^{(1)}\right) = \theta^*\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle\right)$$

But on the other hand,  $\delta \cdot j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})|0\rangle + \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{ij})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ , and

$$\theta^*\left(a_{kl}^{(1)} \cdot \delta \cdot j(a)\right) = \theta^*\left(-3\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle - 3\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle\right).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.10.**  $\theta^*(\delta \cdot j(a_{ij})) = \theta^*\left(\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})|0\rangle\right)$  is divisible by 3.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *The classes  $\theta^*(j(a_{ij})^2 - \frac{1}{3}j(a_{ij}) \cdot \delta)$  are divisible by 2.*

*Proof.* By [11], the classes  $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{ij})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$  are integral in  $H^4(A^{[n]})$ . But  $j(a_{ij})^2 = \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij})^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$  and  $\theta^*\left(\frac{1}{3}j(a_{ij}) \cdot \delta\right) = \theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_{ij})\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12.** *The class  $\theta^*(\delta^2 + j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23}))$  is divisible by 3.*

*Proof.* It is equal to  $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle - \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.13.** *We have:*

$$H^4(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q}) \oplus^\perp \Pi' \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

*Proof.* In Section 4 of [5], we can find the following formula:

$$(7) \quad Z_\tau \cdot D_1 \cdot D_2 = 2 \cdot q(D_1, D_2),$$

for all  $D_1, D_2$  in  $H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\tau \in A[3]$  and  $q$  the Beauville-Bogomolov form. It follows that  $\Pi' \subset \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z})^\perp$ . Since the cup-product is non-degenerated and by Proposition 4.3 of [5], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(\text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Pi') &= \text{rk} \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z}) + \text{rk} \Pi' \\ &= 28 + 80 \\ &= \text{rk} H^4(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$H^4(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q}) \oplus^\perp \Pi' \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

□

Next we look at the Chern classes of the tangent sheaves. Since the morphism  $\Sigma$  from the defining pullback diagram (3) is a submersion, the normal bundle of  $K_{n-1}(A)$  in  $A^{[n]}$  is trivial. Hence  $c(K_2(A)) = \theta^* c(A^{[3]})$ . Looking in [2, Sect. 8], we find a general formula for Chern classes of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. So we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(A^{[3]}) &= \left( \frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{q}_{*(1,1)}(1) \mathfrak{q}_1(1) - \frac{1}{3} \mathfrak{q}_3 \right) |0\rangle \\ &= 10(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]}) - 2(1_{(2)}^{[\bullet]})^2 \\ c_4(A^{[3]}) &= \frac{4}{3} \mathfrak{q}_{*(1,1,1)}(1) |0\rangle = 4(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]})^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.14.** *We have:*

$$c_2(K_2(A)) = \theta^* \left( 4j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - 4j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + 4j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23}) - \frac{1}{3} \delta^2 \right).$$

*In particular*  $c_2(K_2(A)) \in \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* We can write:

$$c_2(K_2(A)) = a + b,$$

with  $a \in \text{Sym}^2 H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q})$  and  $b \in \Pi'$ . First, we prove that  $b = 0$ . We have  $c_2(K_2(A)) \in \Pi'^\perp$  and also  $a \in \Pi'^\perp$ , it follows that  $b \in \Pi'^\perp$ . Since the cup-product is non-degenerated, it follows that  $b$  is of torsion. Then by Theorem 3.2,  $b = 0$ .

By (7) and Proposition 5.1 of [5], we can see that for all  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in  $H^2(K_2(A), \mathbb{Z})$ , we have:

$$c_2(K_2(A)) \cdot D_1 \cdot D_2 = 54 \cdot q(D_1, D_2),$$

where  $q$  is the Beauville-Bogomolov form. Then we can calculate that:

$$c_2(K_2(A)) = \theta^* \left( 4j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - 4j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + 4j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23}) - \frac{1}{3} \delta^2 \right).$$

□

**Corollary 3.15.** *The class  $\theta^* \delta^2$  is divisible by 3.*

**Proposition 3.16.** *The element*

$$\theta^*(j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23}))$$

*is divisible by 6. More precisely, it is equal to  $6Y_p$  (see [5]).*

*Proof.* Again by Section 4 of [5], we have:

$$W = \frac{3}{8}(c_2(K_2(A)) + 3\theta^*(\delta)^2).$$

It follows:

$$(8) \quad W = \frac{3}{8}\theta^*\left(4j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - 4j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + 4j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23}) + \frac{8}{3}\delta^2\right).$$

It follows that

$$\theta^*(j(a_{12}) \cdot j(a_{34}) - j(a_{13}) \cdot j(a_{24}) + j(a_{14}) \cdot j(a_{23})).$$

is divisible by 2. For the divisibility by 3, combine Proposition 3.12 with Corollary 3.15.  $\square$

*Remark 3.17.* We also have the following formulas:

$$(9) \quad W = \theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle)$$

$$(10) \quad Y_p = -\frac{1}{9}\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)L_{-2}(1)|0\rangle)$$

Let us now look at cohomology classes of odd degree. Since  $H^1(K_2(A)) = H^7(K_2(A)) = 0$ , we only need to consider the degrees 3 and 5.

**Proposition 3.18.** *The map  $\theta^* : H^*(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q})$  is surjective in degrees 3 and 5. If we set*

$$(11) \quad B_3 := \{a_i^{(0)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{a_i^{(1)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$$

$$(12) \quad B_5 := \{a_i^{(1)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{a_i^{(2)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\},$$

*then the images of  $B_3$  and  $B_5$  give bases of  $H^3(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^5(K_2(A), \mathbb{Q})$  that are orthogonal under the intersection pairing. We have*

$$(13) \quad \int \theta^*(a_i^{(0)} \cdot a_i^{(2)}) = \pm \frac{3}{2}$$

$$(14) \quad \int \theta^*(a_i^{(1)} \cdot a_i^{(1)}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Moreover,  $a_i^{(0)} \cdot [K_2(A)]$  and  $\frac{2}{3}a_i^{(2)} \cdot [K_2(A)]$  are integral classes. This implies (by Poincaré duality) that  $\theta^*a_i^{(0)}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}\theta^*a_i^{(2)}$  are integral.*

*Question: Which of  $\theta^*a_i^{(1)}$  and  $\theta^*a_i^{(1)}$  is not integral?*

## REFERENCES

1. A. Beauville, *Varités khleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential geometry, 18 (1983) 755-782
2. S. Boissière and M. Nieper-Wißkirchen, *Generating series in the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, LMS J. of Computation and Mathematics 10 (2007), 254–270 .
3. S. Boissière, M. Nieper-Wißkirchen, and A. Sarti, *Smith theory and Irreducible Holomorphic Symplectic Manifolds*, Journal of Topology 6 (2013), no. 2, 361390.
4. M. Britze, *On the cohomology of generalized Kummer varieties*, (2003)

5. B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, *Hodge theory and Lagrangian planes on generalized Kummer fourfolds*, Moscow Math. Journal, 13, no. 1, 33-56, (2013)
6. M. Lehn and C. Sorger, *The cup product of Hilbert schemes for K3 surfaces*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 2, 305–329.
7. W. Li, Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Vertex algebras and the cohomology ring structure of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces* (2002)
8. E. Markman, *Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 2, 622–646.
9. E. Markman, *Integral constraints on the monodromy group of the hyperKähler resolution of a symmetric product of a K3 surface*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 2, 169–223.
10. H. Nakajima, *Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 2, 379–388.
11. Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Integral operators and integral cohomology classes of Hilbert schemes*, Math. Ann. **331** (2005), no. 3, 669–692.
12. E. Spanier, *The homology of Kummer manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7, (1956), 155-160.

SIMON KAPFER, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, UMR CNRS 6086, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, TÉLÉPORT 2, BOULEVARD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE, F-86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUIL

*E-mail address:* `simon.kapfer@math.univ-poitiers.fr`