INTEGRAL COHOMOLOGY OF $K^2(A)$

SIMON KAPFER

ABSTRACT. What we know already

1. Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. Let n be a natural number. A partition of n is a decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k > 0$ of natural numbers such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$. Sometimes it is convenient to write $\lambda = (\ldots, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1})$ with multiplicities in the exponent. We define the weight $\|\lambda\| := \sum_i m_i i = n$ and the length $|\lambda| := \sum_i m_i = k$. We also define $z_{\lambda} := \prod_i i^{m_i} m_i!$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\Lambda_n := \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}$ be the graded ring of symmetric polynomials. There are canonical projections: $\Lambda_{n+1} \to \Lambda_n$ which send x_{n+1} to zero. The graded projective limit $\Lambda := \lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda_n$ is called the ring of symmetric functions. Let m_{λ} and p_{λ} denote the monomial and the power sum symmetric functions. They are defined as follows: For a monomial $x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \ldots x_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}$ of total degree n, the (ordered) sequence of exponents $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)$ defines a partition λ of n, which is called the shape of the monomial. Then we define m_{λ} being the sum of all monomials of shape λ . For the power sums, first define $p_n := x_1^n + x_2^n + \ldots$ Then $p_{\lambda} := p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \ldots p_{\lambda_k}$. The families $(m_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ and $(p_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ form two \mathbb{Q} -bases of Λ , so they are linearly related by $p_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} \psi_{\lambda\mu} m_{\mu}$. It turns out that the base change matrix $(\psi_{\lambda\mu})$ has integral entries, but its inverse $(\psi_{\lambda\mu}^{-1})$ has not.

2. Cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on a torus surface

Let A be a complex projective torus of dimension 2. Its first cohomology $H^1(A,\mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by four elements a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4 , corresponding to the four different circles on the torus. The cohomology ring is isomorphic to the exterior algebra:

$$H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda^* H^1(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We abbreviate for the products $a_i \cdot a_j =: a_{ij}$ and $a_i \cdot a_j \cdot a_k =: a_{ijk}$. We write $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot a_4 =: x$ for the class corresponding to a point on A. We choose the a_i such that $\int_A x = 1$. The bilinear form, given by $(a_{ij}, a_{kl}) \mapsto \int_A a_{ij} a_{kl}$ gives $H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ the structure of a unimodular lattice, isomorphic to $U^{\oplus 3}$, three copies of the hyperbolic lattice.

Let $A^{[n]}$ the Hilbert scheme of n points on the torus, *i.e.* the moduli space of finite subschemes of A of length n. Their rational cohomology can be described in

Date: October 6, 2015.

terms of Nakajima's operators. First consider the direct sum

$$\mathbb{H} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$$

This space is bigraded by cohomological degree and the weight, which is given by the number of points n. The unit element in $H^0(A^{[0]},\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is denoted by $|0\rangle$, called the vacuum.

There are linear operators $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \in H^*(A,\mathbb{Q})$, acting on \mathbb{H} which have the following properties: They depend linearly on α , and if $\alpha \in$ $H^k(A,\mathbb{Q})$ is homogeneous, the operator $\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha)$ is bihomogeneous of degree k+12(|m|-1) and weight m:

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha): H^l(A^{[n]}) \to H^{l+k+2(|m|-1)}(A^{[n+m]})$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations for $\alpha \in H^k(A,\mathbb{Q}), \beta \in H^{k'}(A,\mathbb{Q})$:

$$\mathfrak{p}_{m}(\alpha)\mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta) - (-1)^{k \cdot k'}\mathfrak{p}_{m'}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_{m}(\alpha) = -m \,\delta_{m,-m'} \int_{A} \alpha \cdot \beta.$$

Every element in H can be decomposed uniquely as a linear combination of products of operators $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha)$, m < 0, acting on the vacuum. We abbreviate for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$:

(1)
$$\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}(\alpha) := \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_{i}}(\alpha)$$

(2)
$$\mathfrak{q}_{*\lambda}(\alpha) := \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda_i}\right) \left(\Delta_{(k)}(\alpha)\right)$$

For the study of integral cohomology, first note that if $\alpha \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is an integral class, then $\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\alpha)$ maps integral classes to integral classes. Moreover, there is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. [11] The following operators map integral classes in \mathbb{H} to integral classes:

- $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$

Here, \mathfrak{m}_{λ} is defined as $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(\alpha) := \sum_{\mu} \psi_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} \mathfrak{q}_{-\mu}(\alpha)$ (see Definition 1.2)

To obtain the multiplicative structure of \mathbb{H} , given by the cup-products, there is a description in [6] and [7] in terms of multiplication operators $\mathfrak{G}_n(\alpha)$ [7, Def. 5.1], related to chern characters.

Next we focus on the structure of $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 2$. It has rank 13, and there is a basis consisting of:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-1} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_{ij}) |0\rangle, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \\ \bullet \ \ \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_j) |0\rangle, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \\ \bullet \ \ \frac{1}{2(n-2)!} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^{n-2} \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1) |0\rangle. \end{array}$

TODO: Show that these are indivisible. The first 6 classes give an injection j: $H^2(A,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(A^{[n]},\mathbb{Z}).$

3. Generalized Kummer varieties

Definition 3.1. Let A be a complex projective torus of dimension 2 and $A^{[n]}$, $n \geq 1$, the corresponding Hilbert scheme of points. Denote $\Sigma : A^{[n]} \to A$ the summation morphism, a smooth submersion that factorizes via the Hilbert–Chow morphism : $A^{[n]} \to \operatorname{Sym}^n(A) \to A$. Then the generalized Kummer $K^{n-1}A$ is defined as the fiber over 0:

(3)
$$K^{n-1}A \xrightarrow{\theta} A^{[n]} \downarrow_{\Sigma}$$

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow A$$

Our first objective is to collect some information about this pullback diagram. Or main reference is [1]. The morphism θ induces a homomorphism of graded rings

(4)
$$\theta^*: H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $n \geq 3$.

- (1) θ^* maps $H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ to zero.
- (2) θ^* is surjective on $H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ with kernel $\Lambda^2 H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The first statement is clear since $H^1(K_{n-1}(A))$ is always zero [1, Thm. 3]. Furthermore, by [1, Sect. 7], $\theta^*: H^2(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(K_{n-1}(A), \mathbb{C})$ is surjective. The second Betti numbers of $A^{[n]}$ and $K_{n-1}(A)$ are 13 and 7, respectively. It is clear that $\Lambda^2 H^1(A^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the kernel, and since the dimension of the kernel has to be 6, it must be all.

It remains to show that θ^* is surjective for integral coefficients, too.

We have injections $j: H^2(A) \to H^2(A^{[n]})$ and $i = \theta^*j$. The cohomology $H^*(A^{[n]})$ is described in terms of vertex operators in [6] and [7].

We describe now the image of θ^* in the case n=3:

• We know $j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle$, because the two must be linearly dependent and

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} j(a)^6 = 15q(a)^3, \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle\right)^3 = 15q(a)^3\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^3|0\rangle.$$

• By [4, p. 8], we have for $\alpha = j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2|0\rangle$:

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} \alpha^6 = \frac{5}{3} q(a) \int_{K^2} \theta^* \alpha^4$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha^4 = 3q(a)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(0)|0\rangle + 3q(a)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)^2|0\rangle,$$

so if the image of both summands under $\int \theta^*$ is positive, then

$$\int \theta^* \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(0) |0\rangle = \int \theta^* \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a)^2 |0\rangle = 1.$$

Proposition 3.3. The class of $K^2(A)$ in $H^4(A^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$a_1^{(1)} \cdot a_2^{(1)} \cdot a_3^{(1)} \cdot a_4^{(1)}$$
.

Proof. We know that for all i and all $\beta \in H^7(A^{[3]})$, we have $\int_{K^2(A)} \theta^*(\alpha_i \cdot \beta) = \int_{A^{[3]}} \alpha_i \cdot \beta \cdot [K^2(A)] = 0$ and for a basis (γ_i) of $H^2(A^{[3]})$,

$$\int_{A^{[3]}} \gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j \cdot \gamma_k \cdot \gamma_l \cdot [K^2(A)] = 3 \left(\langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_k \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_l \rangle + \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_l \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle \right)$$

These equations admit a unique solution.

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1...6}$ be a hyperbolic basis of $H^2(A,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 3.4. The classes $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle)$ and $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$ are linearly dependent.

Proposition 3.5. $\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle) = 0$

Corollary 3.6.
$$\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{4}\theta^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$$

Proof. Let a_j be complementary, *i.e.* $a_i a_j = 1$. Let $\operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_j) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ be the chern character in the vertex algebra description of $H^*(A^{[3]})$. Then:

$$\theta^* \left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1) |0\rangle \right) = \theta^* \left(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1) |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1) |0\rangle \right)$$

But on the other hand, $\delta \cdot j(a) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle + \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$, and

$$\theta^* \left(\operatorname{ch}_1(a_j) \cdot \delta \cdot j(a) \right) = \theta^* \left(-3\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1)|0\rangle - 3\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x)^2 \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle \right).$$

Corollary 3.7. $\theta^*(\delta \cdot j(a_i)) = \theta^*(\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(1)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)|0\rangle)$ is divisible by 3.

Proposition 3.8. The classes $\theta^* \left(j(a_i)^2 - \frac{1}{3} j(a_i) \cdot \delta \right)$ are divisible by 2.

Proof. By [11], the classes $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ are integral in $H^4(A^{[n]})$. But $j(a_i)^2 = \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(a_i)^2\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle$ and $\theta^*\left(\frac{1}{3}j(a_i)\cdot\delta\right) = \theta^*\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-2}(a_i)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)|0\rangle\right)$.

Proposition 3.9. The class δ^2 is divisible by 2.

Proof. By [5, Prop. 4.1], $\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2 \oplus \left(\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2\right)^{\perp} = H^4$. We want to show that $\delta^2 \cdot \operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2 = 2\mathbb{Z}$. We know a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2$ with at most one class divisible by 2, given by $j(a_i)j(a_j)$, δ^2 and the above proposition. By computation, $\int \delta^4$ is divisible by 4 and $\int \delta^2 j(a_i)j(a_j)$ and $\int \delta^3 j(a_i)$ are all divisible by 2. So $\delta^2 \cdot H^4 = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and therefore δ^2 is divisible by 2, since H^4 is unimodular.

Proposition 3.10. The class $\theta^* \left(\delta^2 - j(a_1) \cdot j(a_2) - j(a_3) \cdot j(a_4) - j(a_5) \cdot j(a_6) \right)$ is divisible by 3.

Proof. It is equal to
$$\theta^* \left(\mathfrak{p}_{-3}(1) | 0 \rangle + \frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x) \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^2 | 0 \rangle \right)$$
.

Next we look at the Chern classes of the tangent sheaves. Since the morphism Σ from the defining pullback diagram (3) is a submersion, the normal bundle of $K_{n-1}(A)$ in $A^{[n]}$ is trivial. Hence $c(K_2(A)) = \theta^* c(A^{[3]})$. Looking in [2, Sect. 8], we

find a general formula for Chern classes of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. So we deduce

$$c_{2}(A^{[3]}) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{q}_{(1,1)}(\Delta 1)\mathfrak{q}_{1}(1) - \frac{1}{3}\mathfrak{q}_{3}\right)|0\rangle$$

$$= 10(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]}) - 2(1_{(2)}^{[\bullet]})^{2}$$

$$c_{4}(A^{[3]}) = \frac{4}{3}\mathfrak{q}_{(1,1,1)}(\Delta 1)|0\rangle = 4(1_{(4)}^{[\bullet]})^{2}.$$

References

- A. Beauville, Varits khleriennes dont la premire classe de Chern est nulle, J. Differential geometry, 18 (1983) 755-782
- S. Boissière and M. Nieper-Wißkirchen, Generating series in the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, LMS J. of Computation and Mathematics 10 (2007), 254– 270.
- S. Boissière, M. Nieper-Wißkirchen, and A. Sarti, Smith theory and Irreducible Holomorphic Symplectic Manifolds, Journal of Topology 6 (2013), no. 2, 361390.
- 4. M. Britze, On the cohomology of generalized Kummer varieties, (2003)
- B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, Hodge theory and Lagrangian planes on generalized Kummer fourfolds, Moscow Math. Journal, 13, no. 1, 33-56, (2013)
- M. Lehn and C. Sorger, The cup product of Hilbert schemes for K3 surfaces, Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 2, 305–329.
- 7. W. Li, Z. Qin and W. Wang, Vertex algebras and the cohomology ring structure of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces (2002)
- 8. E. Markman, Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces, Adv. Math. 208 (2007), no. 2, 622–646.
- E. Markman, Integral constraints on the monodromy group of the hyperKähler resolution of a symmetric product of a K3 surface, Internat. J. Math. 21 (2010), no. 2, 169–223.
- H. Nakajima, Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), no. 2, 379–388.
- Z. Qin and W. Wang, Integral operators and integral cohomology classes of Hilbert schemes, Math. Ann. 331 (2005), no. 3, 669–692.

SIMON KAPFER, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, UMR CNRS 6086, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, TÉLÉPORT 2, BOULEVARD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE, F-86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUIL

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: simon.kapfer@math.univ-poitiers.fr}$