SYMMETRIC POWERS OF SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORMS, HOMOGENEOUS ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON THE SPHERE AND AN APPLICATION IN COMPACT HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS

SIMON KAPFER

ABSTRACT. The Beauville–Fujiki relation for a compact Hyperkähler manifold X of dimension 2k allows to equip the symmetric power $\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2(X)$ with a symmetric bilinear form induced by the Beauville–Bogomolov form. We study some of its properties and compare it to the form given by the Poincaré pairing.

The construction generalizes to a definition for an induced symmetric bilinear form on the symmetric power of any free module equipped with a symmetric bilinear form. We point out that the situation is related to the theory of orthogonal polynomials in several variables. Finally, we construct a basis of homogeneous polynomials that are orthogonal when integrated over the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d , or equivalently, over \mathbb{R}^{d+1} with a Gaussian kernel.

1. Introduction

Our motivation originated in Hyperkähler theory. The Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form q for a compact Hyperkähler manifold X is a quadratic form on the integral cohomology group H^2 which is defined by an equation of the structure

$$q(x)^k = I(x^{2k}),$$

where x^{2k} means a power in the cohomology ring, and I is a linear form (in fact, a scaled integral).

Now every quadratic form q has an associated symmetric bilinear form $\langle \; , \; \rangle$, obtained by polarization: $2 \langle x,y \rangle = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$. This allows us to retrieve some information about I from $\langle \; , \; \rangle$, by comparing coefficients in the equality

$$I((x_1 + \ldots + x_{2k})^{2k}) = q(x_1 + \ldots + x_{2k})^k = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2k} q(x_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 2k} 2 \langle x_i, x_j \rangle\right)^k.$$

If we look at the summands belonging to the monomial $x_1 ldots x_{2k}$, we obtain a seemingly more general but in fact equivalent version of (1):

(2)
$$(2k)! I(x_1 \dots x_{2k}) = 2^k k! \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{P}} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle,$$

Date: June 9, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Symmetric Bilinear Forms on Symmetric Powers, Orthogonal Polynomials in Several Variables, Homogeneous Orthogonal Polynomials, Hermite Polynomials, Spherical Harmonics, Hankel matrices, Hyperkähler Manifolds, Beauville–Bogomolov Form, Beauville–Fujiki relation.

where the sum is over all partitions \mathcal{P} of $\{1,\ldots,2k\}$ into pairs. This observation was already made by O'Grady, see [8, Eq. 3.2.4]. Let us develop this idea a bit further. The map $(f,g)\mapsto I(fg)$ clearly defines a symmetric bilinear form on the symmetric product $\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2$. Equation (2) gives now a redefinition of this form by means of a bilinear form on H^2 . So we liberate ourselves from the initial setting and take the right hand side of (2) as a general recipe to construct a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\!\langle \ , \ \rangle\!\rangle$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$ from a symmetric bilinear form on an appropriate space V. This is carried out in Section 3. Our main result, Theorem 3.6, gives a formula for the determinant of the Gram matrix of $\langle\!\langle \ , \ \rangle\!\rangle$.

For real vector spaces V, there is a notable description in terms of an analytic integral given in Prop. 3.5: After some simplifications this amounts to integrating homogeneous polynomials over a sphere. Essentially, we have:

$$\langle \langle f, g \rangle \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\omega) g(\omega) d\omega$$

This is very comfortable, since it allows to use the whole bunch of techniques from calculus to investigate the algebraic properties of our construction. Since we are interested in the determinant of the Gram matrix of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ and for computing determinants it is good to have diagonal matrices, we look for polynomials that are mutually orthogonal on the sphere. The theory of orthogonal polynomials is well developed, but surprisingly we were unable to find our particular situation in the literature. There are two topics that come close. The first one is the theory of Hermite polynomials, see Remark 4.2. The second is spherical harmonics, which imposes an unwanted restriction though, namely the condition for the polynomials being harmonic. We construct the basis of homogeneous polynomials that are orthogonal on the sphere in Section 4.

After doing that, we come back to our starting point and apply our results to Hyperkähler manifolds. The bilinear form on $\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2$ allows us to compare $\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2$ with H^{2k} . We give some results on torsion factors of the quotient $\frac{H^{2k}}{\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2}$ in Section 5, similar to those the author studied in [6].

Sections 3, 4 and 5 treat rather different aspects and can be read independently.

2. Terminology and helper formulas

In this section we give a few standard definitions and recall some facts on elementary calculus. We also mention technical formulas needed for our proofs.

2.1. Combinatorial formulas.

Definition 2.1. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d)$ of length $\operatorname{len}(\alpha) := d+1$ we define: $x^{\alpha} := x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$. The degree is defined by $|\alpha| := \sum \alpha_i$, the factorial is $\alpha! := \prod \alpha_i!$. Further, we set $\alpha' := (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$. We introduce the lexicographical ordering on multi-indices: $\alpha < \beta$ iff $\alpha_d < \beta_d$ or $(\alpha_d = \beta_d) \wedge (\alpha' < \beta')$.

Definition 2.2. The binomial coefficient for nonnegative integers k and arbitrary z is defined as: $\binom{z}{k} := \frac{z(z-1)\dots(z-k+1)}{k!}$. Thus we have $\binom{-z}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{z+k-1}{k}$. For negative k we set $\binom{z}{k} := 0$.

We introduce the difference operator $\Delta f(n) := f(n+1) - f(n)$. It has the following properties similar to the differential operator:

(3)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \Delta(f) = f \Big|_{0}^{n+1} = f(n+1) - f(0) \qquad \text{(telescoping sum)}$$

(4)
$$\Delta(fg)(n) = f(n+1)\Delta g(n) + g(n)\Delta f(n) \qquad \text{(product rule)}$$

(5)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} g(i)\Delta f(i) = (fg)\Big|_{0}^{n+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(i+1)\Delta g(i) \qquad \text{(summation by parts)}$$

This often applies to the binomial coefficient, since we have:

(6)
$$\Delta\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+1}{k} - \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

Let $r_{d,k} = \operatorname{rk}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^k K^{d+1}\right)$ be the rank of the symmetric power. Because of the decomposition $\operatorname{Sym}^k K^{d+1} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^k K^d \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{k-1} K^{d+1} \otimes K$, we have the recurrence $r_{d,k} = r_{d-1,k} + r_{d,k-1}$. So we deduce:

(7)
$$\binom{k+d}{d} = \binom{k+d}{k} = rk \left(\operatorname{Sym}^k K^{d+1} \right) = \operatorname{card} \left(\{ |\alpha| = k \} \right).$$

The following identity for integers $d, k, m \ge 0$ is proven by induction over k:

(8)
$$\prod_{j=0}^{k} (k-j)!^{\binom{j+d-1}{d-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}},$$

where the induction step $k \to k+1$ produces a factor $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}$ on both sides.

We will also need the identity:

(9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k+d+1} {k-i+d \choose d-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ {k+d \choose d} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which is proven by splitting the sum into:

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \text{ even}}}^{k+1} {k-i+d\choose d-1} + \sum_{\substack{i=k+d+1\\i \text{ even}}}^{2k+d+1} {k-i+d\choose d-1} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\k-i \text{ even}}}^{k+1} {i+d-2\choose d-1} + (-1)^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\k+d+i \text{ even}}}^{k+1} {i+d-2\choose d-1}.$$

Definition 2.3. We define the double factorial for $n \geq -1$ by

$$n!! := \prod_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor} (n-2i) = n(n-2)(n-4)\dots$$

Clearly, (n-1)!! n!! = n! and $(2n)!! = 2^n n!$.

Proposition 2.4. The number of partitions of the set $\{1, \ldots, 2k\}$ into pairs equals $(2k-1)!! = \frac{(2k)!}{2k \ln l}$.

Proof. Given such a partition, look at the pair that contains the element 1. There are 2k-1 possible partners for this element; removing the pair leaves a partition of a set of cardinality (2k-2) into pairs. Then proceed by induction.

2.2. Formulas from Calculus. Denote $\Gamma(t) := \int_0^\infty r^{t-1} e^{-r} dr$ the gamma function. It satisfies:

(10)
$$n! = \Gamma(n+1), \qquad (2n-1)!!\sqrt{\pi} = 2^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

(11)
$$n!\sqrt{\pi} = 2^{n}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right),$$

(12)
$$\int_0^\infty r^s e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = 2^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right).$$

It follows, that:

(13)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}||x||^{2}} dx = \prod_{i=0}^{d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2}} dx_{i}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{d} (\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} - 1)!! & \text{if all } \alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} \text{ are even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The reader may also consult [4] for that kind of calculus. In particular, [4, Eq. (4)] yields:

Lemma 2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous homogeneous function of degree k, that is $f(sx) = s^k f(x) \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using polar coordinates $(r, \omega) = (\|x\|, \frac{x}{\|x\|})$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} f(x)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_0^\infty f(r\omega)r^d e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr d\omega$$
$$= 2^{\frac{k+d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d+1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\omega) d\omega.$$

2.3. Lattices. By a lattice L we mean a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank, equipped with a non-degenerate, integer-valued symmetric bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle$. By a homomorphism or imbedding $L \subset M$ of lattices we mean a map : $L \to M$ that preserves the bilinear forms on L and M respectively. It is automatically injective. We always have the imbedding of a lattice L into its dual space $L^* := \operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$, given by $x \mapsto \langle x, \ \rangle$. A lattice is called unimodular, if this imbedding is an isomorphism, i.e. if it is surjective. By tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , we can interpret L as well as L^* as a discrete subset of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Note that this gives a kind of lattice structure to L^* , too, but the symmetric bilinear form on L^* may now take rational coefficients.

The quotient L^*/L is called the discriminant group. Its order is always finite and is called the discriminant of L. Choosing a basis $(x_i)_i$ of L, we may express discr L as the determinant of the so-called Gram matrix G of \langle , \rangle , which is defined by $G_{ij} := \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$.

An imbedding $L \subset M$ is called primitive, if the quotient M/L is free. If additionally L an M have the same rank, we deduce from the chain of imbeddings $L \subset M \subset M^* \subset L^*$ the following

Proposition 2.6. Let M be a unimodular lattice. Let $L \subset M$ be a primitively imbedded sublattice of the same rank. Then the order of M/L equals $\sqrt{\operatorname{discr} L}$.

For any imbedding $L \subset M$ we denote by L^{\perp} the orthogonal complement of L within M. Since an orthogonal complement is always primitive, the double orthogonal complement $(L^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is a primitively embedded superlattice of L. It is

clear that the discriminant of $(L^{\perp})^{\perp}$ divides discr L. Now $L \oplus L^{\perp} \subset M$, and therefore we have a chain of imbeddings:

$$L \oplus L^{\perp} \subset (L^{\perp})^{\perp} \oplus L^{\perp} \subset M \subset M^* \subset \left((L^{\perp})^{\perp} \oplus L^{\perp} \right)^* \subset \left(L \oplus L^{\perp} \right)^*.$$

If now again M is unimodular, then $M/(L \oplus L^{\perp})$ is finite and isomorphic to the torsion part of M/L. With the above consideration we get

Proposition 2.7. Let $L \subset M$ be an imbedding of lattices with unimodular M. Then the order of the torsion part of M/L divides discr L.

3. Symmetric Bilinear Forms on Symmetric Powers

Let V be a vector space (or a free module) over a field (resp. a commutative ring) K of rank d+1 with basis $\{x_0,\ldots,x_d\}$, equipped with a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle: V\times V\to K$. We will freely identify the symmetric power $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$ with the space $K[x_0,\ldots,x_d]_k$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

There are at least two possibilities to define an induced bilinear form on $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$. We will use the following

Definition 3.1. On the basis $\{x_{n_1} \dots x_{n_k} \mid 0 \le n_1 \le \dots \le n_k \le d\}$ of Sym^k V, we define a symmetric bilinear form $\langle (,) \rangle$ by:

(14)
$$\langle \langle x_{n_1} \dots x_{n_k}, x_{n_{k+1}} \dots x_{n_{2k}} \rangle := \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{P}} \langle x_{n_i}, x_{n_j} \rangle,$$

where the sum is over all partitions \mathcal{P} of $\{1, \ldots, 2k\}$ into pairs.

We emphasize that this is not the only possibility. One could alternatively define

(15)
$$((x_{n_1} \dots x_{n_k}, x_{m_1} \dots x_{m_k})) := \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^k \langle x_{n_i}, x_{m_{\sigma(i)}} \rangle,$$

the sum being over all permutations σ of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, as studied by McGarraghy in [7]. However, this is a different construction that doesn't match the situation described in the introduction. We will *not* consider it in this article.

If $U \in O(V)$ is an orthogonal transformation, then the induced diagonal action of $U^{\otimes k}$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$ is orthogonal in both cases. This shows that the values of $\langle\!\langle \ , \ \rangle\!\rangle$ and $((\ ,\)\!\rangle$ are independent of the choice of the base of V up to orthogonal transformation.

Example 3.2. To contrast the two definitions, observe that in the case k=2

(16)
$$\langle\!\langle ab, cd \rangle\!\rangle = \langle a, c \rangle \langle b, d \rangle + \langle a, d \rangle \langle b, c \rangle + \langle a, b \rangle \langle c, d \rangle,$$

(17)
$$((ab, cd)) = \langle a, c \rangle \langle b, d \rangle + \langle a, d \rangle \langle b, c \rangle.$$

Remark 3.3. Note that (15) does not require symmetry of the bilinear form \langle , \rangle on V. Indeed, the definition would also be valid for an arbitrary bilinear form : $V \times W \to K$, yielding a bilinear form : $\operatorname{Sym}^k V \times \operatorname{Sym}^k W \to K$. On the other hand, if the form on V is not symmetric, then (14) is not well-defined.

Remark 3.4. The defining equation (14) works equally well, if the two arguments have different degree. So we can easily extend our definition to a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \! \langle \ , \ \! \rangle : \operatorname{Sym}^* V \times \operatorname{Sym}^* V \to K$. Then we have: $\langle \! \langle a,bc \rangle \! \rangle = \langle \! \langle ab,c \rangle \! \rangle$. Note that $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$ is in general not orthogonal to $\operatorname{Sym}^l V$ unless k-l is an odd number.

We wish to investigate some properties of this construction. Let G be the Gram matrix of \langle , \rangle , *i.e.* $G_{ij} = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ and let $\mathbb G$ be the Gram matrix of \langle , \rangle . We use multi-index notation, cf. Definition 2.1.

Proposition 3.5. Assume $K = \mathbb{R}$ and G is positive definite, so its inverse G^{-1} exists. Then $\langle \langle , \rangle \rangle$ takes an analytic integral form:

$$\left\langle\!\left\langle x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d\mu(x),$$

where the integration measure is $d\mu(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}G_{ij}^{-1}x_ix_j\right)dx$ and the normalization constant is $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}d\mu(x) = \sqrt{(2\pi)^{d+1}\det G}$.

Proof. Note that we need positive definiteness of G to make the integral converge. We make use of the content in Section 2. First, observe that both sides of the equation are invariant under orthogonal transformations of the base space \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . We may therefore assume that $G = \text{diag}(a_0, \ldots, a_d)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then the integral splits nicely:

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{c} \prod_{i=0}^{d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_i^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2a_i} x_i^2} dx_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} \prod_{i=0}^{d} a_i^{\frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(13)}}{=} \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{d} a_i^{\frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i}{2}} (\alpha_i + \beta_i - 1)!! & \text{if all } \alpha_i + \beta_i \text{ are even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if G is diagonal, then every partition into pairs in Equation (14) that contains a pair of two different numbers will not contribute to the sum. But the number of partitions of the multiset $\{n | 0 \le n \le d, \text{ multiplicity of } n = \alpha_n + \beta_n\}$ into pairs, such that every pair consists of two equal numbers, is by Prop. 2.4 evidently equal to $\prod_{i=0}^d (\alpha_i + \beta_i - 1)!!$ if all $\alpha_i + \beta_i$ are even and 0 otherwise. So we obtain the same formula for $\langle x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \rangle$.

The next theorem gives a formula for the determinant of \mathbb{G} . This is of particular interest when $K=\mathbb{Z}$, because in this case we are in the setting of lattice theory, and det \mathbb{G} is an important lattice-theoretic invariant, called the discriminant.

Theorem 3.6. The determinant of the Gram matrix \mathbb{G} of $\langle \! \langle \ , \ \! \rangle \! \rangle$, the induced bilinear form on $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$, $\operatorname{rk} V = d+1$, is:

(18)
$$\det(\mathbb{G}) = \det(G)^{\binom{d+k}{d+1}} \theta_{d,k}$$

where $\theta_{d,k}$ is a combinatorial factor given by:

(19)
$$\theta_{d,k} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{k} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}} d \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i \text{ odd}}}^{2k+d-1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \prod_{i=1}^{k} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}} d \prod_{i=1}^{k+\frac{d-1}{2}} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}-\binom{k-2i+d}{d}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.7. If d or k is small, this simplifies as follows:

$$\theta_{d,0} = \theta_{d,1} = 1,$$
 $\theta_{d,2} = 2^d (d+3),$
 $\theta_{0,k} = (2k-1)!!,$ $\theta_{1,k} = (k!)^{k+1}.$

Remark 3.8. We didn't mention the base ring K in the theorem. In fact, if the formula holds for $K=\mathbb{Z}$, then automatically for any K, because $\det \mathbb{G}$ is defined only by sums and products that depend on the entries of G, but not on K. In particular, (18) contains no divisions. However, for the proof we work with \mathbb{R} -valued coefficients. It is clear that the formula holds for $K=\mathbb{R}$ if and only if it holds for $K=\mathbb{Z}$. We require further that G is positive definite, because we want to use Prop. 3.5. That this means no loss of generality, is seen by the following argument: Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)\times (d+1)}$ be the set of real symmetric square matrices of size d+1. The subset $R\subset Q$ of all matrices $G\in Q$ that satisfy our formula is Zariski-closed. But on the other hand, the positive definite matrices form a nonempty subset $P\subset Q$ which is open in the analytic topology. So if $P\subset R$, then necessarily R=Q.

Proof. As one may imagine, finding the factor $\theta_{d,k}$ is the hard part. We have to postpone this to Section 4.3. We will reduce the statement to the case when G is the identity matrix, which is proven in Theorem 4.15. Since any orthogonal transformation $U \in O(V)$ induces a transformation $U^{\otimes k} \in O(\operatorname{Sym}^k V)$ and thus doesn't affect determinants, we may assume that G is a diagonal matrix. So let us check, what happens if we apply a coordinate transformation $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$ that changes the last coordinate by $\tilde{x}_d = \gamma x_d$ and leaves the other coordinates invariant. Let \tilde{G} and $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ be the Gram matrices corresponding to the new coordinates. We clearly have: $\tilde{x}^{\alpha} = \gamma^{\alpha_d} x^{\alpha}$. Extracting the factor γ from the Leibniz determinant formula, which is of the form $\det \tilde{\mathbb{G}} = \sum \pm \prod \langle \langle \tilde{x}^{\alpha}, \tilde{x}^{\sigma(\alpha)} \rangle \rangle = \det G \prod \gamma^{2\alpha_d}$, we get:

which is of the form
$$\det \tilde{\mathbb{G}} = \sum_{\sigma} \pm \prod_{|\alpha|=k} \langle \langle \tilde{x}^{\alpha}, \tilde{x}^{\sigma(\alpha)} \rangle \rangle = \det G \prod_{|\alpha|=k} \gamma^{2\alpha_d}$$
, we get:
$$\frac{\det \tilde{\mathbb{G}}}{\det \mathbb{G}} = \prod_{|\alpha|=k} \gamma^{2\alpha_d} = \prod_{i=0}^k \prod_{|\alpha'|=k-i} \gamma^{2i} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \prod_{i=0}^k \gamma^{2i\binom{k-i+d-1}{d-1}} \stackrel{(5)}{=} \gamma^{2\binom{d+k}{d+1}}.$$

Now, if $G = \operatorname{diag}(a_0, \ldots, a_d)$, we apply successively coordinate transformations that map x_i to $\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{a_i}}$. We get a factor $(a_0 \ldots a_d)^{\binom{d+k}{d+1}} = \det G^{\binom{d+k}{d+1}}$.

4. Homogeneous Orthogonal Polynomials on the sphere

In this section we will construct a basis for the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree k in d+1 variables, $\mathbb{R}[x_0,\ldots,x_d]_k$, that is orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form given by

$$\langle\!\langle f,g\rangle\!\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} f(x)g(x)d\mu(x),$$

where the measure is $d\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}||x||^2} dx$. In order to do this, we wish to apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the (lexicographically ordered) monomial basis $(x^{\alpha})_{|\alpha|=k}$. Our result is stated in Subsection 4.3.

Remark 4.1. Although our definition of $\langle \! \langle \rangle \! \rangle$ doesn't mention the sphere, in view of Lemma 2.5, we could equivalently consider the integral:

$$\langle \langle f, g \rangle \rangle = c \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\omega) g(\omega) d\omega, \qquad c = 2^{\frac{k}{2} - 1} \pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k + d + 1}{2}\right).$$

This is the reason why we speak of polynomials orthogonal on the sphere. However, we prefer to integrate over \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , since this avoids the unwanted constant c.

Remark 4.2. If the homogeneity constraint was dropped, the answer to the problem would be much simpler: A basis of $\langle \! \langle \rangle \! \rangle$ -orthogonal polynomials is given by products $H_{\alpha_0}(x_0) \dots H_{\alpha_d}(x_d)$ of Hermite polynomials in one variable, see also [3, Sect. 2.3.4].

4.1. Generalities on orthogonal polynomials in one variable. Given a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on the space of polynomials K[x], one may ask for a basis of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ that are mutually orthogonal with respect to that form. To find such a basis, one could start with the monomial basis $(x^n)_n$ and apply some version of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. The result will be an infinite lower triangular matrix T such that $p_n = \sum_j T_{nj} x^j$. We prefer to normalize such that the diagonal elements of T are equal to 1. If our bilinear form now depends only on the product of its two arguments, the procedure simplifies as follows:

Let \mathcal{L} be a linear functional such that the induced bilinear form $(f,g) = \mathcal{L}(fg)$ is nondegenerate when restricted to $K[x]_{\leq n}$, the space of polynomials of bounded degree, for all $n \geq 0$. Let $(p_n)_n$ be the associated sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials, *i.e.* the leading term of $p_n(x)$ is x^n and $(p_k, p_n) = 0$ for $k \neq n$. Then we have

Theorem 4.3. [2, Thm. 4.1] There are constants c_n , d_n such that

$$p_0(x) = 1,$$
 $p_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_n)p_n(x) - d_n p_{n-1}(x).$

But also the converse is true:

Theorem 4.4 (Favard's theorem). [2, Thm. 4.4] Let $(p_n)_n$ be a sequence of polynomials, such that $\deg p_n = n$ and the following three-term recurrence holds:

$$p_0(x) = 1,$$
 $p_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_n)p_n(x) - d_n p_{n-1}(x).$

Then there exists a unique linear functional \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{L}(1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_k p_n) = 0$ for $k \neq n$.

Theorem 4.5. [2, Thm. 4.2] Under the conditions of the above theorems, we have for $n \ge 1$:

$$\mathcal{L}(p_n^2) = d_n \mathcal{L}(p_{n-1}^2).$$

Remark 4.6. Since we shall deal with finite polynomial families, we need a little modification of Favard's theorem: If $(p_n)_{n\leq N}$ is a finite sequence that satisfies a three-term recurrence as above, then we can always extend it to an infinite sequence by choosing arbitrary constants c_n , d_n for $n\geq N$. But for every such extension, the resulting functional \mathcal{L} from Favard's theorem will satisfy $\mathcal{L}(1)=1$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_n)=\mathcal{L}(p_np_0)=0$ for $n\geq 1$. So \mathcal{L} will always be uniquely determined on $K[x]_{\leq N}$, the space of degree-bounded polynomials.

4.2. A polynomial family. Our construction of homogeneous polynomials orthogonal on the sphere is formally similar to the definition of spherical harmonics, see [3, p. 35]. Those are defined by recursion over the number of variables, as products of Chebychev and Gegenbauer polynomials. Inspired by that procedure, we introduce the following polynomial family in lieu thereof:

Definition 4.7. Let n, m be integers with $0 \le 2n \le m+1$, a condition that we always will assume silently. We define monic polynomials p_n^m of degree n with rational coefficients:

$$p_n^m(x) := \sum_{\substack{j=0\\ n-j \text{ even}}}^n (-1)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} \frac{n! (m-2n)!!}{j! (m-n-j)!! (n-j)!!} x^j.$$

Lemma 4.8. For $n \ge 1$, we have a trigonometric differential relation:

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \left[p_{n-1}^{m-2} \left(\tan(\omega) \right) \cos(\omega)^{m-1} \right] = (n-m) p_n^m \left(\tan(\omega) \right) \cos(\omega)^{m-1}.$$

Proof. This is straightforward. Firstly, we calculate $\frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\sin(\omega)^j \cos(\omega)^{m-j-1} \right] = j \sin(\omega)^{j-1} \cos(\omega)^{m-j} - (m-j-1) \sin(\omega)^{j+1} \cos(\omega)^{m-j-2}$, and so

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \left[p_{n-1}^{m-2} \left(\tan(\omega) \right) \cos(\omega)^{m-1} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j-1}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n)!!}{j! (m-n-j-1)!! (n-j-1)!!} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\sin(\omega)^j \cos(\omega)^{m-j-1} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j-2}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n)!!}{j! (m-n-j-2)!! (n-j-2)!!} \sin(\omega)^j \cos(\omega)^{m-j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j-2}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n)!!}{j! (m-n-j-2)!! (n-j-2)!!} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n)!! (m-j)}{(j-1)! (m-n-j)!! (n-j)!!} \sin(\omega)^j \cos(\omega)^{m-j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n)!!}{j! (m-n-j)!! (n-j)!!} \underbrace{\left[(j-n) (m-n-j) - j (m-j) \right]}_{=n(n-m)} \tan(\omega)^j \cos(\omega)^{m-1}$$

$$= (n-m) p_n^m (\tan(\omega)) \cos(\omega)^{m-1}.$$

Our next goal is to show that the p_n^m , for fixed m, form a set of orthogonal polynomials in the sense of the above subsection. In order to apply Favard's theorem, we claim:

Proposition 4.9. For $0 \le 2n \le m-1$, we have a three-term recurrence:

$$p_0^m(x)=1, \qquad p_1^m(x)=x, \qquad p_{n+1}^m(x)=xp_n^m(x)-d_n^mp_{n-1}^m(x),$$
 where $d_n^m:=\frac{n(m-n+1)}{(m-2n)(m-2n+2)}.$

Proof. We start from the right: $xp_n^m(x) - d_n^m p_{n-1}^m(x)$ gives

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ n-j \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j+1}{2}} n! (m-2n)!!}{(j-1)!! (m-n-j+1)!! (n-j+1)!!} x^j - \sum_{\substack{j=0\\ n-j \text{ odd}}}^{n-1} d_n^m \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j-1}{2}} (n-1)! (m-2n+2)!!}{j! (m-n-j+1)!! (n-j-1)!!} x^j$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j=0\\ n-j \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j+1}{2}} (n+1)! (m-2n-2)!!}{j! (m-n-j-1)!! (n-j+1)!!} \underbrace{j(m-2n)+(m-n+1)(n-j+1)}_{(n+1)(m-n-j+1)} x^j = p_{n+1}^m(x).$$

The next theorem gives a useful analytic form of the corresponding linear functional.

Theorem 4.10. We define, for $m \geq 1$, a linear functional \mathcal{L} on the vector space of polynomials of degree less than m, by setting

(20)
$$\mathcal{L}: f \longmapsto \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty z^{m-1} f\left(\frac{y}{z}\right) e^{-\frac{y^2+z^2}{2}} dy dz.$$

Then the p_n^m form a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the induced bilinear form, i.e. for $k \neq n$, $k+n \leq m-1$, we have $\mathcal{L}(p_k^m p_n^m) = 0$ and for $2n \leq m-1$:

(21)
$$\mathcal{L}(p_n^m p_n^m) = 2^{\frac{3}{2}m - 2n - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{n!}{(m-n)!} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} - n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} - n + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Since p_n^m satisfy the three-term relation in Prop. 4.9, by Favard's theorem and Remark 4.6, there exists a unique functional \mathcal{L}' with $\mathcal{L}'(1)=1$, such that the p_n^m form an orthogonal basis with respect to the bilinear form induced by \mathcal{L}' . We claim that \mathcal{L} is a scalar multiple of \mathcal{L}' . Since $(p_n^m)_n$ is a basis of the space of polynomials, we must show that, for $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{L}(p_n^m) = \mathcal{L}(p_n^m p_0^m) = 0$. Using polar coordinates $(y, z) = (r \cos \omega, r \sin \omega)$ and Lemma 4.8, we get:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(p_n^m) &= \int_0^\pi\!\int_0^\infty p_n^m\!\left(\frac{\cos\omega}{\sin\omega}\right) \sin(\omega)^{m-1} r^m e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr d\omega \\ &= \int_0^\infty r^m e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} (-1)^n p_n^m\!\left(\tan(\omega)\right) \cos(\omega)^{m-1} d\omega \\ &= 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{(-1)^n}{n-m} p_{n-1}^{m-2}\!\left(\tan(\omega)\right) \cos(\omega)^{m-1}\right]_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} = 0, \end{split}$$

while $\mathcal{L}(1) = 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) = 2^{\frac{3m-1}{2}} \frac{1}{m!} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})$ by (13) and (11). To verify that equation (21) holds for $n \geq 1$, too, we must show that the right hand side satisfies the recurrence from Theorem 4.5, but this is immediate:

$$\frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}m-2n-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{n!}{(m-n)!}\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}-n\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}-n+1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{3}{2}m-2n+\frac{3}{2}}\frac{(n-1)!}{(m-n+1)!}\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}-n+1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}-n+2\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)} = \frac{n(m-n+1)}{(m-2n)(m-2n+2)} = d_n^m.$$

Corollary 4.11. $\mathcal{L}(x^k p_n^m) = 0$ for k < n and $\mathcal{L}(x^n p_n^m) = \mathcal{L}(p_n^m p_n^m)$.

Proof. By the theorem, we have $\mathcal{L}(x^0p_n^m)=0$ for n>0, so the case k=0 holds true. Now the three-term recurrence from Proposition 4.9 allows us to inductively conclude that $\mathcal{L}(x^kp_n^m)=\mathcal{L}(x^{k-1}p_{n+1}^m)+d_n^m\mathcal{L}(x^{k-1}p_{n-1}^m)=0$. The second assertion, $\mathcal{L}(x^np_n^m)=\mathcal{L}(p_n^mp_n^m)$ is trivial in the case $n\leq 1$. For $n\geq 1$, the three-term recurrence yields now $\mathcal{L}(x^np_n^m)=\mathcal{L}(x^{n-1}p_{n+1}^m)+d_n^m\mathcal{L}(x^{n-1}p_{n-1}^m)=d_n^m\mathcal{L}(x^{n-1}p_{n-1}^m)$, so $\mathcal{L}(x^np_n^m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_n^mp_n^m)$ (by Theorem 4.5) satisfy the same recurrence relation and therefore must be equal.

4.3. Homogeneous orthogonal polynomials. We are now ready to give the desired basis $(h_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ of homogeneous polynomials that are orthogonal on the sphere.

Definition 4.12. For multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d)$ we recursively define homogeneous polynomials h_{α} of degree $|\alpha|$ by $h_{(\alpha_0)}(x) := x_0^{\alpha_0}$ and, for $d \geq 1$,

$$h_{\alpha}(x) := p_{\alpha_d}^{2|\alpha|+d} \left(\frac{x_d}{r}\right) r^{\alpha_d} h_{\alpha'}(x'),$$

where we have set $r = \sqrt{x_0^2 + \ldots + x_{d-1}^2} = ||x'||$. Note that the definition of p_n^m implies that $p_n^m(\frac{1}{n})y^n$ is an even polynomial, so all square roots vanish.

Theorem 4.13. For all multi-indices α , β of length d+1 and degree k we have:

(22)
$$\langle\!\langle h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \rangle\!\rangle = \alpha_d! \frac{(2|\alpha'|+d)!! (2|\alpha|+d-1)!!}{(|\alpha'|+|\alpha|+d)!} \langle\!\langle h_{\alpha'}, h_{\alpha'} \rangle\!\rangle,$$

(23)
$$\langle \langle h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta} \rangle \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta,$$

(24)
$$\langle\!\langle x^{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \rangle\!\rangle,$$

(25)
$$\langle\!\langle x^{\alpha}, h_{\beta} \rangle\!\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha < \beta.$$

Remark 4.14. This means that the $h_{\alpha}(x)$, $|\alpha| = k$ form an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}[x_0,\ldots,x_d]_k$ that comes from a Gram–Schmidt process applied to the monomials (in lexicographic order). Indeed, equations (22) and (23) say that the $h_{\alpha}(x)$ are orthogonal, while equations (24) and (25) imply that the transition matrix T^{-1} , defined by $x^{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} h_{\beta}$, $T_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} := \frac{\langle x^{\alpha}, h_{\beta} \rangle}{\langle h_{\beta}, h_{\beta} \rangle}$ is lower triangular with all diagonal elements equal to 1.

Proof. For equation (22), we use polar coordinates on \mathbb{R}^d to compute:

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{2\pi}^{d+1} \langle\!\langle h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \rangle\!\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left[p_{\alpha_d}^{2|\alpha|+d} \left(\frac{x_d}{r} \right) r^{\alpha_d} h_{\alpha'}(x) \right]^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[p_{\alpha_d}^{2|\alpha|+d} \left(\frac{x_d}{r} \right) \right]^2 r^{2|\alpha'|+2\alpha_d+d-1} e^{-\frac{r^2+x_d^2}{2}} dx_d dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left[h_{\alpha'}(\omega) \right]^2 d\omega \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \frac{\alpha_d! \, 2^{2|\alpha'|+|\alpha|+\frac{3}{2}d-\frac{1}{2}}}{(|\alpha|+|\alpha'|+d)!} \Gamma \left(|\alpha'|+\frac{d}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(|\alpha'|+\frac{d}{2}+1 \right) \Gamma \left(|\alpha|+\frac{d+1}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left[h_{\alpha'}(\omega) \right]^2 d\omega \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.5}}{=} 2.5 \quad \alpha_d! \, 2^{|\alpha'|+|\alpha|+d+\frac{1}{2}} \, \frac{\Gamma \left(|\alpha'|+\frac{d}{2}+1 \right) \Gamma \left(|\alpha|+\frac{d+1}{2} \right)}{(|\alpha'|+|\alpha|+d)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[h_{\alpha'}(x') \right]^2 dx' \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \alpha_d! \, \frac{(2|\alpha'|+d)!!}{(|\alpha'|+|\alpha|+d)!} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[h_{\alpha'}(x') \right]^2 dx'. \end{split}$$

For the proof of (23), we may assume that $\alpha_d \neq \beta_d$. Then we use the calculation above to see that Thm. 4.10 now implies the vanishing of the integral. Equations (24) and (25) follow from Corollary 4.11 in the same way.

Theorem 4.15. Let $D(d,k) := \det_{|\alpha|, |\beta| = k} \langle \langle x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \rangle \rangle$ be the determinant of the Gram matrix of $\langle \langle x \rangle \rangle$. Then:

$$D(d,k) = \theta_{d,k}$$

where $\theta_{d,k}$ is defined as in Equation (19).

Proof. This is a double induction over k and d. First check that $D(d,0)=\theta_{d,0}=1$ and $D(0,k)=\theta_{0,k}=(2k-1)!!$. From the above theorem it is clear that $D(d,k)=\prod_{|\alpha|=k}\langle\!\langle h_\alpha,h_\alpha\rangle\!\rangle$. Since $\{|\alpha|=k\}=\bigcup_{j=0}^k\{|\alpha'|=j\}\times\{k-j\}$, we have from (22):

$$D(d,k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k} D(d-1,j) \prod_{|\alpha'|=j} (k-j)! \frac{(2j+d)!! (2k+d-1)!!}{(j+k+d)!},$$

hence we get the quotient

$$R(d,k) := \frac{D(d,k)}{\prod_{j=0}^{k} D(d-1,j)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k} \left[(k-j)! \frac{(2j+d)!! (2k+d-1)!!}{(j+k+d)!} \right]^{\binom{j+d-1}{d-1}}$$

$$\stackrel{(8)}{=} \prod_{j=0}^{k} \left[\frac{(2j+d)!!}{(j+k+d)!!} \right]^{\binom{j+d-1}{d-1}} (2k+d-1)^{\binom{k+d}{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}}.$$

We will now show the principal inductive step: $\frac{D(d,k+1)}{D(d,k)D(d-1,k+1)} = \frac{\theta_{d,k+1}}{\theta_{d,k}\theta_{d-1,k+1}}$. The left hand side clearly equals

$$\begin{split} \frac{R(d,k+1)}{R(d,k)} &= \frac{(2k+d+2)!!^{\binom{k+d}{d-1}} \left(2k+d+1\right)^{\binom{k+d+1}{d}} \left(2k+d+1\right)!!^{\binom{k+d}{d-1}}}{(2k+d+2)!^{\binom{k+d}{d-1}} \prod\limits_{j=0}^{k} \left(j+k+d+1\right)^{\binom{j+d-1}{d-1}}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}} \\ &= \frac{(2k+d+1)^{\binom{k+d}{d}}}{\prod\limits_{i=k+d+1}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}. \end{split}$$

If we split $\theta_{d,k} = A(d,k)B(d,k)$ with $A(d,k) := \prod_{i=1}^k i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}d}$, we see that

$$\frac{A(d,k+1)}{A(d,k)A(d-1,k+1)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d+1}{d}} d - \binom{k-i+d}{d} d - \binom{k-i+d}{d-1} (d-1) \stackrel{(6)}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}},$$

while the other factor B(d, k) gives, for even d,

$$\frac{B(d, k+1)}{B(d, k)B(d-1, k+1)} = \frac{(2k+d+1)^{\binom{-k-1}{d}} \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ odd}}}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d+1}{d}} - \binom{k-i+d}{d}}{\prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i=1}}^{k+\frac{d}{2}} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}} \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ even}}}^{2k+d} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{-\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}} \\
\stackrel{(6)}{=} \frac{(2k+d+1)^{\binom{k+d}{d}} \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i=1}}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}}{\prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i=1}}^{k+\frac{d}{2}} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}} \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i=1}}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d}},} \stackrel{(9)}{=} \frac{(2k+d+1)^{\binom{k+d}{d}}}{\prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i=k+d+1}}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}},}$$

but also for odd d,

$$\begin{split} \frac{B(d,k+1)}{B(d,k)B(d-1,k+1)} &= \frac{(k+\frac{d+1}{2})^{-\binom{-k-1}{d}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{k+\frac{d+1}{2}} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}-\binom{k-2i+d}{d-1}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}} \\ &= \frac{(k+\frac{d+1}{2})^{\binom{k+d}{d}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{k+\frac{d+1}{2}} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2k+d} 2^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}} \stackrel{(9)}{=} \frac{(2k+d+1)^{\binom{k+d}{d}}}{\prod\limits_{i=k+d+1}^{2k+d+1} i^{\binom{k-i+d}{d-1}}}. \end{split}$$

5. Application in Hyperkähler geometry

Let X be a compact Hyperkähler manifold of complex dimension 2k. These objects are also called Irreducible Holomorphic Symplectic manifolds. The second cohomology group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ comes with an integral quadratic form, called the Beauville–Bogomolov form q_X , which can be computed by an integration over some cup–product power, see [8, Subsection 2.3]:

(26)
$$\int_{X} \alpha^{2k} = (2k-1)!! c_{X} q_{X}(\alpha)^{k}, \qquad \alpha \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

This equation is referred to as the Beauville–Fujiki relation. The constant $c_X \in \mathbb{Q}$ is chosen such that the quadratic form q_X is indivisible and its signum is such that $q_X(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) > 0$ for a holomorphic two-form σ with $\int_X \sigma \bar{\sigma} = 1$. There is an alternative description, as shown in [5, Chap. 23]. Up to a scalar factor \tilde{c} , q_X is equal to:

$$(27) \qquad \tilde{c}\,q_X(\alpha) = \frac{k}{2}\int_X\alpha^2(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{k-1} + (1-k)\left(\int_X\alpha\,\sigma^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}^n\right)\left(\int_X\alpha\,\sigma^n\bar{\sigma}^{n-1}\right).$$

Now q_X , by polarization, gives rise to a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, namely $2\langle \alpha,\beta\rangle:=q_X(\alpha+\beta)-q_X(\alpha)-q_X(\beta)$. On the other hand, from (26) one deduces again by polarization, as shown in the introduction, see also [8, Eq. 3.2.4] that:

(28)
$$\int_{X} \alpha_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{2k} = c_{X} \langle\!\langle \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k+1} \ldots \alpha_{2k} \rangle\!\rangle,$$

with the induced form $\langle \langle , \rangle \rangle$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, according to Definition 3.1. Since the Poincaré pairing $(\beta_1,\beta_2)_X := \int_X \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2$ gives $H^{2k}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ the structure of an unimodular lattice, we have got an imbedding of lattices:

(29)
$$\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{k} H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}), c_{X}\langle\!\langle , \rangle\!\rangle\right) \longrightarrow \left(H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}), (,)_{X}\right).$$

From Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 2.7 we now get some interesting corollaries:

Corollary 5.1. Let X be a compact Hyperkähler manifold of complex dimension 2k. Denote b_2 resp. d_2 the rank and the discriminant of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Then the torsion part of the quotient

$$\frac{H^{2k}(X,\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})}$$

contains only prime factors that are smaller than $2k + b_2 - 1$ or divide $c_X^{b_2}d_2$.

For the known examples of compact Hyperkähler manifolds, we can refine this a bit, using the list given in [8, Table 1]:

Let $S^{[k]}$ for $k \geq 2$ be the Hilbert scheme of k points on a K3 surface S, let $K^{[[k]]}$ be the generalized Kummer variety of a torus and let OG_6 and OG_{10} be the 6–resp. 10–dimensional O'Grady manifold. Then we have:

X	$\dim X$	b_2	c_X
$S^{[k]}$	2k	23	1
$\tilde{K}^{[[k]]}$	2k	7	k+1
OG_6	6	8	4
$ OG_6 \\ OG_{10} $	10	24	1

Corollary 5.2. The torsion part of the quotient

$$\frac{H^{2k}(X,\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{Sym}^k H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})}$$

 $contains\ no\ prime\ factors\ bigger\ than$

- 2k + 21, if X is deformation equivalent to $S^{[k]}$,
- 2k + 5, if X is deformation equivalent to $K^{[[k]]}$,
- 5, if X is deformation equivalent to OG_6 ,
- 13, if X is deformation equivalent to OG_{10} .

Remark 5.3. The cases $X = S^{[2]}$ and $X = S^{[3]}$ were already studied in [1, Prop. 6.6] and [6, Prop. 2.4], using explicit calculations. The case $X = S^{[2]}$ is special, because $\operatorname{Sym}^2 H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^4(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ have the same rank. So Prop. 2.6, together with Theorem 3.6 imply that the cardinality of the quotient is precisely $\sqrt{2^{24} \cdot 2^{22} \cdot (22+3)} = 2^{23} \cdot 5$.

Acknowledgements. We thank Samuel Boissière, Clément Chesseboeuf and Kévin Tari for useful conversations and the University of Poitiers for its hospitality. The author was supported by a DAAD grant.

References

- Samuel Boissière, Marc Nieper-Wißkirchen and Alessandra Sarti, Smith theory and Irreducible Holomorphic Symplectic Manifolds, Journal of Topology 6 (2013), no. 2, 361390
- 2. Theodore S. Chihara, An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, Mathematics and its Applications 13, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers (1978)
- Charles F. Dunkl and Yuan Xu, Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 81, Cambridge University Press (2001)
- 4. Gerald B. Folland, *How to Integrate a Polynomial over a Sphere*, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 108, No. 5, (May, 2001)
- Mark Gross, Daniel Huybrechts, Dominic Joyce, Calabi-Yau Manifolds and Related Geometries, Universitext, Springer (2003)
- Simon Kapfer, Computing Cup-Products in integral cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on K3 surfaces, eprint arXiv:1410.8398 (2014)
- 7. Seán McGarraghy, Symmetric Powers of Symmetric Bilinear Forms, Algebra Colloquium 12:1 (2005) 41-57
- 8. Kieran G. O'Grady, Compact Hyperkähler manifolds: general theory (2013)

SIMON KAPFER, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, UMR CNRS 6086, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, TÉLÉPORT 2, BOULEVARD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE, F-86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUM

E-mail address: simon.kapfer@math.univ-poitiers.fr