Extended early adulthoods

Kevin Kiley & Nicolas Restrepo

3 Abstract

Young adulthood is consistently identified as a "formative period" in the life course when people have heightened probability of attitude change. This probability has often been attributed to cognitive factors like brain plasticity as well as the social conditions of adolescence. Over the past 70 years, changes in the timing of major life course events such as marriage have transformed and extended this life stage. We leverage these changes to explore whether these life course changes, as well as broader social changes, have affected how likely people are to make durable changes of attitudes. We find strikingly little difference in the opinion behaviors among young people in different eras. People under 30 are similarly different from people over 30 in all eras, with slightly less stable attitudes. The overall pattern suggests either that social conditions are not strongly related to attitude stability or that different social shifts have had counter-vailing effects that produce aggregate stability in rates.

16 Introduction

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

Adolescence and young adulthood are typically identified in social science literature as
"impressionable years" – a stage of the life course in which people are more susceptible to
broad social currents, when their opinions are more malleable, and when peoples' core ideas
and attitudes are formed []. Research regularly finds that people are more likely to change
their attitudes and opinions in these life stages than other life stages, with opinions becoming

more stable once these life stages have passed []. This pattern, in which young people are open to attitude change and become resistant over time, underpins dynamics around cohortization that are frequently invoked in larger models of social change (Ryder 1965; Mannheim 1952; Vaisey and Lizardo 2016).

However, why people in these life stages appear to show more frequent opinion change relative to older people is unclear. Work in biology, psychology, and cultural evolution shows that peoples' cognition changes in ways that might make them more resistant to new information as they age []. Explanations in social sciences, in contrast, tend to highlight the unique social features of these life stages – high rates of mobility between social contexts, participation in educational institutions, and cultural expectations about pursuing "new experiences" – 31 that shape how people are exposed to new sources of information or their ability to update opinions in the face of this information []. While both mechanisms likely play a role in 33 facilitating opinion change in this life stage, the relative contributions of each are unclear. Clarifying the mechanism that drives the frequency of opinion change during this formative period is important for understanding the broader process of social change and cultural 36 evolution. If openness to change in early adulthood is principally a function of the social structure of life in that time period, changes in the timing and ubiquity of life course events

evolution. If openness to change in early adulthood is principally a function of the social structure of life in that time period, changes in the timing and ubiquity of life course events like marriage and childbearing can have significant impacts on the broader process of social and cultural change. Similarly, social changes such as the deinstitutionalization of marriage (Cherlin 2004, 2021; Brückner and Mayer 2005) that decrease distinctions between life course stages might make older people more open to change in more recent eras than in previous eras, diminishing the importance of "cohorts" for social change. If the mechanisms are principally cognitive and biological, rather than social, then these changes are unlikely to affect rates of social and cultural change in the same way.

Over the course of the 20th century, the timing of life-course transitions such as completing schooling, entering the workforce, getting married, leaving one's parents' home, and having

children, have changed dramatically, with most of these event occurring later in the life course in more recent cohorts (Buchmann 1989; Brückner and Mayer 2005). Similarly, this stage of life has become more detached from family and other role obligations, giving people more freedom to explore new ideas and lifestyle choices (Rosenfeld and Kim 2005). This suggests both a lengthening and a intensification of this stage of the life course in ways that should increase the rates at which people make changes of opinion. In this paper, we attempt to clarify the relative contributions of these different mechanisms by exploring how these changes have affected rates of change in political attitudes over time, especially differences in rates of opinion change between young adults and the rest of the population. We compare rates of opinion change in comparable general political attitudes across four eras – 1956-1960; 1972-1976; 1992-1996; and 2006-2010 – and between young people and old people in these eras to evaluate a range of competing hypotheses about what accounts for the divergence in attitude behaviors over the life course.

Broadly, we find that the probability of political opinion change in people under 30, relative to
the rest of the population, has not increased as the "early adulthood" window has lengthened
and the conditions of this life stage have changed. Across eras, both young people and older
people make political opinion changes at remarkably similar rates, with social transitions
such as marriage, having children, and completing education playing little role in the rates at
which these opinion changes happen. While these findings do not provide conclusive evidence
of one mechanism over the other, they confine the range of potential theories of attitude
development and the nature of political opinions. They either suggest that there is something
relatively timeless – potentially biological and cognitive factors – that accounts for increased
opinion variance in young people or that countervailing forces, some increasing stability in
young people and some decreasing it, have produced aggregate stability over seven decades.

⁷² Impressionable Years / Opinion Change and Stability

The idea that the early stages of the life course are especially influential in the process of attitudinal formation is dominant across contemporary accounts of socialization (dinas2014?; Guhin, Calarco, and Miller-Idriss 2020). The argument – at times implicit – is that the circumstances in which people are brought up leave an enduring and traceable mark on their 76 worldviews (Gerber and Green 1998). In the study of political socialization, this perspective enjoys a longstanding history. "Generational effects" are used to explain the development 78 of political preferences, longitudinal shifts in attitudes, and differences across age-groups (Mannheim 1952; Bartels and Jackman 2014). However, the notion of "impressionable years" is more general. The literature on cohort effects rests on the assumption that our early 81 years play key role in shaping not just political opinions, but peoples' cognition on many dimensions (Elder 2018). Peoples' early years will leave a mark that will be predictive of 83 their life outcomes, well beyond when those impressionable years have passed. Thus, the notion of a formative period – at the early stages of the life-course – underpins diverse and longstanding lines of research. The "impressionable years" hypothesis – that people demonstrate more opinion change early in life followed by a period of relative stability, especially in middle age – has often been tested against other theories of opinion change over the life course. The most common are an aging stability or increasing persistence hypothesis that argues that openness to change gradually declines over time and a life stages hypothesis, in which people are open to attitude change both early in life and later in life, with stability principally happening in middle ages (Visser and Krosnick 2004). While different attitudes occasionally follow slightly different patterns, the impressionable years hypothesis or aging stability hypothesis has generally been shown to be true for broad political ideology, partisan identification, and specific policy preferences (Krosnick and Alwin 1989; Alwin and Krosnick 1991; Sears and Funk 1999); social attitudes (Danigelis, Hardy, and Cutler 2007); and interest in politics (Prior 2010);

as well as things like personality (Wagner, Lüdtke, and Robitzsch 2019). More generally, recent research has found that, with a couple notable exceptions of high-salience events such as national shifts around gay rights, durable change of social opinion is quite rare among U.S. adults (Kiley and Vaisey 2020), and Vaisey and Lizardo (2016) find that cohort explains much more variance than period for most items in the General Social Survey, suggesting a strong impressionable years pattern.

In recent years, researchers have used new approaches to quantify "openness" to social influence across the life course, especially around politics (Bartels and Jackman 2014; Ghitza, Gelman, and Auerbach 2022). This work has produced remarkably similar patterns, with openness peaking from a person's mid-teens through age 25 and declining quickly after that, lending more credence to the "impressionable years" hypothesis. However, this work tends to assume a constant age-based rate of openness across cohorts – that 20-year-olds in 1950 are open to influence at the same rate as 20-year-olds in 2010 – which has not been clearly tested.

111 Theoretical Models

Why is it research consistently finds the period of time between 14 and 25 to be distinctly 112 "impressionable years?" Changing one's mind involves at least two distinct processes that can be difficult to disentangle: exposure to new ideas and changing one's opinion conditional on 114 exposure to new ideas. For example, it could be the case that people of all ages are exposed 115 to new ideas at similar rates, with acceptance of a new idea being a function of age, which 116 would account for age-based patterns in opinion change. Conversely, it could be the case that 117 rates of exposure to new ideas changes over the life course, while openness to changing one's 118 mind as a function of exposure does not, producing the same pattern. It could also be the 119 case that both change as a function of age. In this section we outline theoretical mechanisms 120 that have been suggested to contribute to one or both of these processes. 121

122 Biological Mechanisms

The first potential mechanism for changes in attitude stability over the life course is biological: 123 that the development of the of human brain as people age makes it more difficult for people to make changes of opinions after some point in their life. This approach tends to suggest that human cognition works similar to Bayesian updating (Bartels and Jackman 2014; Sherratt 126 and Morand-Ferron 2018; Gopnik 2020). In this framework, humans weight information 127 equally, whether they encounter it during adolescence or middle age. However, given that 128 by the time people reach adulthood they have already encountered a lot of information, 129 each new piece will have less of an impact (Bartels and Jackman 2014). In this thinking, 130 the distinctive thing about our early years is simply that they happen early. They prove so 131 influential because the incremental effect of new information diminishes as we age.

It is also possible that there is something unique about human cognition during these periods: 133 that agents ascribe more weight to the information acquired within them. Maturational 134 arguments about the increased plasticity of the human brain during our early years are 135 consistent with this position (Mata and von Helversen 2015). Our brains exhibit more 136 malleability during childhood and adolescence (Mata and von Helversen 2015). This is 137 consistent with the fact that these early formative periods have been observed across species 138 and contexts. It is not only human children that exhibit a heightened capacity to adapt. In 139 a study of social learning among birds, for instance, Aplin et al (2017) show that younger 140 individuals – unlike their older counterparts – were consistently able to retool their habits in 141 light of new information.

Though the Bayesian updating explanation is simple and elegant, research across different disciplines has produced evidence that supports the existence of periods of heightened sensitivity. In light of empirical evidence about these sensitive windows, there has been extensive modeling work to understand how they might have developed through evolution.

This line of research seeks to understand why periods of heightened sensitivity would emerge

and what contexts would facilitate their emergence (Fawcett and Frankenhuis 2015). The central argument is that the emergence and timing of these sensitive windows depends on the 149 interaction between the access to information, the reliability of information, and the stability 150 of the environment (Frankenhuis and Walasek 2020). Early formative periods are likely to 151 evolve in specific configurations: when environments are unstable, and information is plentiful 152 yet unreliable (Frankenhuis and Walasek 2020). In these circumstances, it makes sense for 153 agents to explore early on, to find something that works and then to stick to strategies that 154 have worked well in the past. Access to different types of information might vary across time 155 and this might explain the emergence of sensitive periods at later stages of the life-course 156 (Walasek, Frankenhuis, and Panchanathan 2021). For example, the importance of musical 157 tastes and fashion choices becomes important around adolescence, and, at this stage, we are 158 awash with – at best contradictory – information about what one should or should not like, 159 should or should not wear. Sensitive periods around the development of these tastes might 160 peak around this period, when there are more signals and when the payoffs are more salient. 161 Regardless of the underlying reasons, the thrust of the biological arguments is that millennia 162 of evolution have shaped human cognitive architecture in ways that makes humans relatively 163 open to changing dispositions at younger ages and relatively resistant at older ages. However, 164 while biological mechanisms can shape how individuals respond to new information when 165 they encounter it, these processes cannot dictate the rate at which individuals are exposed to 166 new information, which might also matter for rates of opinion change in this window of time. 167 For that, we must turn attention to the structuring of the social world. 168

Life Course // Social Role Mechanisms:

Humans' early years might be distinct in terms of cognitive plasticity, but they are also socially distinct: they are characterized by sustained social learning and punctuated with constant changes of social contexts. Here we briefly consider some of the ways that the "impressionable years" differ in ways that might expose people to new information at higher

rates and also affect their willingness to update beliefs, beyond what cognition might allow.

First, people in this age group tend to move through social contexts much more quickly than 175 people in other age groups. In the 11-year window from ages 14 to 25, in which people seem 176 to show the highest rates of opinion updating, young people move from middle school to high 177 school to either higher education or the workforce or both, with each year in these contexts 178 potentially exposing people to new classmates, teachers, role models, peers, and sources of information. People in this age window also show the highest rates of residential mobility, 180 moving for educational and occupational reasons (Geist and McManus 2008). Assuming that 181 new social contexts shape exposure to new information, and that exposure to new information 182 affects the rate at which people change their opinions, this heightened contextual mobility 183 might explain part of the difference in rates of opinion change between age groups. 184

Second, people in the "impressionable years" window spend their time in different social 185 contexts than people in middle age. Data from the American Time Use Study shows that 186 people under age 25 spend a larger portion of the day on leisure time and educational activities 187 and a smaller part of the day doing work and work-related activities than people between 188 the ages of 25 and 55. These contexts, especially education, are explicitly designed to expose 189 people to new information in ways that can lead to updated opinions. For example, there 190 is some evidence that college attendance leads to changes in opinions about civil liberties, 191 gender egalitarianism, and racial inequality (Campbell and Horowitz 2016; Wodtke 2018). 192 Social networks also differ in this time period. Previous work has found that young peoples' 193 networks tend to be larger and include more friends than kin, for example (Marsden 1987). 194 It could be the case that larger "weak tie" networks expose younger people to more new 195 information that drives opinion change (Granovetter 1973; Burt 2005). 196

Third, these are periods in which exploration and self-discovery are expected and encouraged; something that should not be taken for granted given social variation in norms around when maturity is reached (cunningham2012?; elder1994?). One reason young people might be

exposed to new information at higher rates is that they are implicitly asked to do it by the broader culture, while older people are implicitly asked not to.

For all these reasons, young people might encounter new information at higher rates than older people, which might account for higher rates of opinion updating during this window.

And changes in the norms around geographic and social mobility during the life course might affect the rates at which these changes happen at different life stages.

The social conditions of early adulthood might also influence the rate at which young people 206 demonstrate attitude change by making it easier for young people to act on new sources of 207 information in ways that facilitate opinion change. Previous work on stability of attitudes 208 over the life course suggests that people tend to value stability in figures of authority. As people move into roles with more authority in mid-life, both in family and occupational 210 contexts, they feel this expectation from others and act accordingly, closing themselves off to 211 updating beliefs (Eaton et al. 2009). This potentially explains another phenomenon with 212 some evidence behind it: that people appear to open up to changing their minds again as they 213 enter post-retirement (Danigelis, Hardy, and Cutler 2007). At this point, peoples' authority 214 diminishes, potentially opening them up to change later in life. 215

Similarly, mid-life is associated with more homogeneous networks (Marsden 1987; DiPrete et al. 2011), which experimental research suggests reduces the propensity to adopt new beliefs (Visser and Krosnick 2004). If young people exist in less dense, more heterogeneous social networks, they might it easier to update their networks to reflect new beliefs rather than constrain their beliefs to their social networks or close off parts of their lives to people who might not be supportive of new attitudes.

Given the distinct social conditions of the early-adult phase of life, there are significant reasons to believe that the "impressionable years" are principally an effect of how we have chosen to structure the life course, or the interaction between these life course stages and biological mechanisms, rather than simply a function of how the human brain works.

226 Changing Life Course

Contemporary historical trends offer the opportunity to evaluate the role of socio-cultural 227 and biological factors in explaining why we observe "impressionable years" in adolescence and early adulthood. In the U.S., the last seven decades have seen considerable changes 229 around adolescence and young adulthood (Buchmann 1989; Rosenfeld 2007; Rosenfeld and 230 Kim 2005). The expansion of higher education and increased possibilities for geographic 231 mobility are among the many social processes that have led to changing expectations for 232 the trajectories that young adults should follow (Rosenfeld 2007). In general, as Buchmann 233 (1989) notes, life trajectories have become less predictable. The "script" of young adulthood 234 has become less rigid: it might involve prolonged higher education (and movement between 235 the workforce and education), moving to a different city, or dating without a stable romantic 236 partner. The routes that people are taking into adulthood are increasingly diverse. For the 237 purposes of this paper, there are two trends that are particularly important: the elongation 238 of young adulthood and the intensification of young adulthood. 239

240 Timing

The period between adolescence and "settling down" seems to be getting longer, and this might affect the patterns of attitudinal updating we expect to see for the same age groups 242 in different cohorts. Buchmann (1989) notes that the diversification of life-trajectories has 243 been accompanied by the postponement of the rituals associated with adulthood. In the U.S., 244 the median age at first marriage has risen consistently since the middle of the 20th Century, 245 from about 20 for women and 22 for men in the mid-1950s to 26.5 for women and 28.7 for 246 men in 2011 (Manning, Brown, and Payne 2014). The median age of womens' first birth also 247 increased a comparable amount, from 21.4 years in 1970 to 26.8 years in 2017 (Eickmeyer et 248 al. 2017). We also see higher rates of non-marital childbearing across all demographic groups 249 (Cherlin 2004). Thus, the markers of the transition into adulthood seem to be occurring later 250 in the life-course and potentially in different orders. Therefore, the definition of what counts 251

as our "impressionable years" might be extending. This, in turn, could have an effect in how long exploration lasts throughout the life-course.

Adolescents are taking longer to move through the rituals of adulthood, and this might in turn elongate the period in which exploration and self-discovery are expected. If this is the case, we should expect to see more attitudinal updating among individuals in age-ranges that, in previous cohorts, had displayed mainly stability. In other words, people who were born say in the mid 20th century would have displayed mainly attitudinal stability by the time they reached their mid-twenties while more contemporary counterparts might still exhibit attitudinal updating when they reach that age.

261 Changing Impressionable Years

A second trend relates to just how much exploration young adults can engage in during these 262 "impressionable years." In his work, Rosenfeld (2007) explores the rapid rise in same-sex and 263 interracial marriages in the U.S. His argument is that one of the processes underlying these 264 changes is that young adults are increasingly escaping the controlling gaze of their parents. 265 When young people live with – or are financially dependent on – their parents, the latter 266 have more say about their children's choice of acquaintances, how they spend their time, 267 and even the things they can believe. The increased independence and mobility that young 268 adults have enjoyed since the mid 20th century means that they have been able to engage in 269 courtship outside the gaze of their parents, thus making choices that would not have been 270 sanctioned by them. Rosenfeld's (2007) work highlights an important insight: exploration 271 might not be only a product of how sensitive we are to novel information but also how – and whether – we can act upon such information. The social institutions where we spend our impressionable years might shape how much exploration we can engage in. An increase in independence then might entail more exploration. 275

This assertion in turn engenders a prediction: we should see more attitudinal updating among contemporary young adults than their older counterparts, who tended to be closer to the grip

of familial control, even if they have met similar life course milestones. The contemporary social organization of young adulthood, which has been developing for the last few decades, might give rise to more meaningful attitudinal changes by providing more leeway to deviate from established social norms.

This approach suggests that it is not the timing of life events that is important for rates of change, but the broader social conditions of early adulthood that might make people more malleable in recent cohorts even net of changes. In other words, unmarried, childless 25-year-old men and women in 1956 might have experienced a set of social constraints that unmarried, childless 25-year-old men and women in 2008 do not experience, leaving the latter much more open to developing new opinions than their predecessors.

38 Hypotheses

Sociological work then suggests principled reasons to believe that the length and intensity of formative periods – the ages at which we observe heightened rates of attitude change, and the difference between these ages and other ages – have been affected by social factors over the past 70 years. We're principally interested in the age range from about 20, when many of these life stage transitions were completed in the 1950s, to about 30, the age at which many of these life stage transitions are completed now. We refer to people in this group as "young people" for simplicity.

First, consistent with previous work, we expect young people to be more likely to make changes of attitudes than older individuals across all years. Biological arguments suggest that humans' cognition is still developing in this window, and, even in the mid-20th Century, young people were sill less likely to be married, less likely to have children, and most likely to still be enrolled in education.

301 H1:

Second, we expect that if social conditions are the principal drivers of rates of attitude change

- over the life course, then the sum of changes in the life course will produce higher rates of change in more recent cohorts. Both the extension of early adulthood and the intensification of early adulthood suggest that young people will make changes in attitudes at higher rates than they did in previous eras. [^extension]
- H2: The rate at which young people make attitude changes, relative to older people, will have increased since the 1950s.
- Third, we can distinguish between the "elongation of young adulthood" and the "intensification of young adulthood" arguments by exploring the associaiton of life-course transitions and opinion change across cohorts. The elongation of young adulthood argument principally suggests that delaying the life course transitions is what would explain heightened rates of attitudinal updating in young people in recent cohorts. In contrast, the "intensification of young adulthood" argument suggests that attitudinal updating may be occurring at heightened rates in recent cohorts net of these life course transitions.
- H3a: Heightened rates of attitudinal updating will go away when we control for life course transitions.
- H3b: Heightened rates of attitudinal updating will persist when we control for life course transitions.
- In contrast, finding similar patterns of attitudinal updating across widely different social contexts would lend further credence to the idea that our early years are "impressionable" either because we weight information more heavily during these periods or because we are cementing our opinions, regardless of the social contexts we happen to find ourselves in.
- Finally, it could be case that an explanation for overall change in rates of the population are
 explained by factors that we have not explicitly considered here. It could be the case that
 rates of opinion change are tied to things like racial and ethnic identity, religious identity, or
 geographic region, all of which have changed significantly in the same window. If we identify

broad shifts in attitude change that are not explained by age groups, or life course transitions, it is possible that further exploration of demographic changes is necessary.

\mathbf{Data}

To test the hypotheses outlined above, we require data on within-individual change in 331 comparable attitudes over a stable window of time across eras within the same general 332 population. To do this, we focus on general political beliefs, including views on the role of 333 government, political ideology, and partisan identification, that have been asked in a variety 334 of panel surveys. This is in part a practical matter, as these are the kinds of questions that 335 have been included in panel studies that date back to the mid-1950s, giving us the largest window of cohorts to explore across comparable issues. However, these kinds of questions have frequently been used to identify the "impressionable years" (Alwin and Krosnick 1991; Ghitza, Gelman, and Auerbach 2022), so using these questions helps our results speak to these previous findings more directly. 340 We draw on data from four different survey panels: the 1956-60, 1972-76, and 1992-96 American National Election Study panels, and the 2006-10 General Social Survey Panels. All four surveys are nationally representative samples of non-institutionalized adults in the United States. All four panels survey respondents three times over a four-year window, making the duration comparable. And all four panels include a variety of comparable questions about 345 general sentiments toward government action, as well as measures of life course transitions. 346

347 Political Opinions

We sought to identify questions that tapped general political sentiments that were echoed in at least one other survey. We avoided questions that asked about specific policies or political figures, such as the president, since they were often not replicated across panels. We also focused principally on questions asking about the role of the federal government in domestic affairs, rather than international concerns. Questions about international affairs were common in the early panels, but more recent panels do not contain comparable questions.

The only question that is replicated across all the panels is a question about partisan identification on a seven-point scale. No other questions are directly comparable across all of the panels, though some are asked in comparable ways across several. For this reason, we include a broad range of questions in hopes of establishing general patterns. Table XXX outlines the questions used in this analysis, their specific wording, and response options. We discuss decisions regarding coding strategies below.

360 Life Course Events

To evaluate changes in the life course, and their relationship to attitude stability, we focus 361 on a set of events that are traditionally accepted as marking the transition to adulthood. 362 First, we include a measure of respondents' ages. In our analyses below, we use an indicator 363 for whether respondents were 30 years old or younger in the first wave of the survey. In the 364 1956-60 ANES panel, 205 of the 1153 respondents (18 percent) who completed all waves 365 were under 30. In the 1972-76 panel, 339 respondents out of about 1300 respondents (26 366 percent) who completed all three waves were under 30. In the 1992-96 panel, 144 of the 713 367 respondents (20 percent) who responded in each wave were under 30. And in the GSS panel, 368 156 of the 840 respondents (19 percent) who responded in each wave were under 30. In all 369 samples, the total number of respondents under 30 is relatively small, which might pose a 370 problem for evaluating statistical differences. 371

Other life course measures are less comparable across all panel surveys. While question wording differs slightly across surveys, all surveys include a measure of marital status. We recode this into indicators for either never being married, currently married, or previously married but not currently (divorced, separated, or widowed). The 1956-60 ANES panel did not distinguish between "single" and "never married" as more recent surveys do, so there are likely some cases that should fall into the "previously married" category that are coded as "never married." We code respondents based on their response in the first wave of the panel,

ignoring transitions that happen during the course of the panel.

The four panels were highly inconsistent in how they measured whether people had children. 380 The 1956-60 panel of the ANES asked respondents how many children under 18 years old they had in the household. We coded individuals as having children in the first wave of the 382 panel if they answered at least one to this question. The 1970s panel of the ANES asked 383 respondents in the first wave if they had any school-aged children. In the second wave, they asked respondents if they ever had children, how many, and the ages of all children in the 385 household. We code respondents as "having children in the first wave of the panel if they reported having at least one child older than one in the second wave of the panel. Because 387 some of these questions focus on ever having children while others focus on children in the 388 household, we caution readers from making any significant judgments about these coefficients. 380 Finally, we include a measure of educational attainment. Rather than focus on whether a person was currently enrolled in an educational program, we code respondents by their highest 391 level of education completed, either less than a high school degree, a high school degree, or at 392 least a bachelor's degree. Even in more recent panels, the proportion of respondents enrolled 393 in education is too small to generate a good estimate of its association with attitude change. 394

395 Analytic Strategy

The broadest challenge for our analysis is that it is not obvious what it means for a person to demonstrate opinion change in a survey. Debates about how much "change" we see in opinions often focus on differences in the approach to measurement (Converse 1964; Achen 1975; Ansolabehere, Rodden, and Snyder 2008). The kinds of questions we seek to examine, general political dispositions, tend to be asked on five- or seven-point ordinal scales, either from strongly agree to strongly disagree, or from one policy position ("government should help minority groups") to another ("minority groups should help themselves"). These kinds of scales support a wide range of different measures of opinion change and stability. Rather

than adopt a single approach to quantify the rates of change across questions, we use a variety of approaches with different assumptions about what "change" entails and attempt to identify patterns that are robust across a range of assumptions. When these approaches disagree, having multiple measures allows us to more clearly evaluate what forms of change might be driving differences between groups.

The first approach we pursue is to simply measure whether people change their opinions

at all during the course of the panel. In this approach, we use an indicator variable if they 410 change at all from their first position. This imposes an extremely strong definition of what it 411 means to hold a "stable" opinion, and does not distinguish between changes of opinion that 412 might be due to measurement error and changes that reflect substantive shifts in positions. 413 The second approach to measuring change in political opinions is to assume that numbering of the ordinal scale of the response options is approximately correct and that all change is 415 meaningful. Under these assumptions, we measure the total "distance" people move across 416 a scale during the time period in question, adding the absolute change from wave 1 and 2 417 to the absolute change from wave 2 and 3. This approach does not distinguish directional 418 change (ending with a new opinion) from reversion (changing opinion at time 2 and reverting 419 at time 3). This approach also has the downside of not being comparable across questions 420 that are on different scales. A one-unit change on a five-point scale might be more substantial 421 than a one-point change on a seven-point scale. 422

A third approach we use is to define a prioi what kinds of opinion behavior count as "change" and compare the prevalence of this kind of behavior across age groups and panels. In this case, we count any response pattern in which people stay on the same side of a midpoint ("agree"-"strongly agree"-"agree") as a stable opinion and any response option that crosses the midpoint ("agree"-"disagree"-"disagree") as representing a change of opinion. In this approach, we code people as "changing" if they cross the scale midpoint. Like the previous

¹Coding people as changing if they simply "touch" the midpoint ("agree"-"neither"-"agree") compared to crossing the midpoing ("agree"-"disagree"-"agree") produces substantively similar results.

approach, this approach counts directional change the same as reversion.

A fourth and related approach is to classify people who change their responses within a specified range of the scale, such as changing less than two scale points (e.g., "agree"-"neither""agree"), as expressing stability while counting other respondents as making changes of opinion.
This allows people who are stably in the middle of the scale (somewhere between agreeing and neither agreeing nor disagreeing, for example) to count as holding a stable opinion, but as changing if they shift too far in either direction. In principle, this approach should account for measurement error better than other approaches, as "true" changes of opinion should, on average, be larger than those driven by measurement error.

Fifth, we try to distinguish change that reflects moving from one side of the scale to the other from what might be "random" change. To do this, we classify people as making a durable change if they cross the scale midpoint once and only once over the course of the panel.²
This is potentially the most stringent definition of "true" opinion change.

Sixth, in an attempt to deal with measurement error, we generate an issue scale of all general 442 political questions in each year (Ansolabehere, Rodden, and Snyder 2008). In these scales, all domestic policy issues (excluding partisan identification) are recoded on a 1 to 7 scale so that higher values are associated with more conservative positions. Responses are then 445 averaged to generate a single value for each respondent. We calculate the standard deviation of this scale as a measure of overall change, as well as the overall change from time 1 to time 447 3. These scales should not be assumed to be comparable across panels. Since some have 448 more items than others, they are likely to have lower measurement error, resulting in lower 449 standard deviations over time. However, we are able to compare young and old people on 450 these metrics in each panel. 451

For every definition of change, we regress the outcome measure on an indicator variable for whether people are aged 30 or less. For the continuous outcomes, we use ordinary

²This approach has a fundamental problem. As the proportion of people answering the question at random increases, the proportion of people demonstrating this pattern will also increase.

least squares regression. For the dichotomous outcomes, we use logistic regression. This
produces an extremely large number of models for each of many questions, and will likely
produce statistically significant coefficients at the p < .05 level for a substantial proportion
of coefficients simply by chance. Our goal is not to interpret any single coefficient but to
interpret overall patterns if they emerge. Comparing logistic regression coefficients across
models presents a number of challenges (Allison 1999). Instead, we principally compare the
predicted rates of opinion change generated by these models over time visually.

461 Strict and Loose Coding

Many of the questions have response categories like "Don't Know" and "Haven't thought about it." These are usually coded towards the end of the scale, so taking their coding at face value would imply that moving from these answers to one end of the scale is "easier" (at least in terms of distance). We consider two ways of handling these response options.

The first is to accept that we cannot categorize how far "now knowing" is from say "extremely liberal" and "extremely conservative," or whether these kinds of responses represent a qualitative shift from previous responses. Moreover, we might say that this kind of response is qualitatively not the same as being in the middle of the scale as an "moderate." Therefore, we get rid of these cases in all models, and only attempt to make inferences for the kinds of people who select other options in all waves of a survey. We call this approach "strict filtering."

The second approach is to code these responses as falling at the middle of the opinion scale;
that there is no difference between someone saying they "neither agree nor disagree," for
example, and saying they "do not know" their position on an issue. Recoding these people
allows us to have a much larger sample size and draw clearer distinctions between cases.
There are substantial justifications for this decision for many of the questions we explore
here. First, many Likert scales explicitly label the scale midpoint with "no opinion," which
suggests that respondents might perceive it this way too, even if it is labeled something like,

- "neither agree nor disagree." Second, people who report "Don't know" in one wave tend to
- report an opinion near the scale midpoint in subsequent waves (Kinder and Kalmoe 2017).
- This suggests that they view these positions as substantively similar as well.
- Each of these approaches might be more or less valid for different questions, so we consider
- all models under each approach.

485 Results

- Figure XXX presents either the average expected change (for continuous outcomes) or the
- predicted probability of people over 30 making a "change" of opinion (for categorical outcomes)
- 488 as defined by the various metrics we outline above.
- There are several takeaways from the figure. First, change as defined by most of the metrics
- we outline above is incredibly common. On almost all items, the majority of respondents
- change their response more than two scale points and cross the midpoint of the scale over
- the course of the survey window. At the same time, this tends not to manifest as "durable
- change" defined as starting on one side of the scale and ending on the other. This kind of
- opinion behavior is rare across panels, being observed in fewer than 10 percent of all cases
- for almost every question.
- Substantively, there is little difference across the panels in the average rates of opinion change.
- All panels tend to have a very similar level of each kind of change, with one or two questions
- showing more or less change in each panel. Partisan identification is a clear outlier in all
- panels. It is the least likely to show change from one side of the scale to the other and the
- least likely to show changes of greater than 2 points on the scale in almost every panel. This
- finding agrees with previous work in this domain suggesting that partisan identification is a
- 502 substantively different kind of response than the other responses here (Alwin and Krosnick
- ⁵⁰³ 1991). Partisan identification is registered externally to an individual, has the clearest support
- from social institutions, and is the most likely to be reinforced in media representations.

Self-placement on a liberal-conservative scale also shows a somewhat different pattern than
other opinion questions. For most questions, the rates of change greater than 2 points and
change across the scale midpoint are relatively similar. However, self-placement on the
liberal-conservative scale shows high rates of people switching sides or crossing the midpoint
over the course of the scale, but much lower rates (relatively) of people changing by more
than two points during the course of the panel. This suggests that many respondents hover
around the middle of the scale (identifying as moderate), while on other questions they spread
responses more widely, vacillating between ends of the scale over time.

These rates provide a baseline against which we can compare young people. We now turn to exploring the differences between young (under 30) and older respondents across the panels. Figure XXX plots predicted differences between young and old people generated from the regression models outlined above. These are presented in logodds for the dichotomous outcomes and scalar differences for the continuous (total change/standard deviation) outcomes.

#Alterantive measures plot here.

In general, there are very few statistically significant coefficients in the plot, suggesting that
young peoples' opinion behavior is not substantially different than that of older people for
the issues examined here across all eras. This is not to say that young people do not have
substantively different opinions (they likely do), just that they are not any more or less stable
by the metrics we outlined above. There are only a handful of issues where we observe a
consistent pattern across most measures of attitude change: partisan identification in most
panels, the issue of busing in the 1970s, and general views on government spending in the
1990 panel.

One concern might be that young people are changing at notably different rates than older respondents, but that the models explored here are under-powered and not able to identify true differences. However, it is hard to observe how narrower standard errors would produce a clearer pattern. For both standard deviation and total distance changed, coefficients for young people in all waves are equally likely to be positive and negative, suggesting no clear pattern over time. In all eras, across all measures, there are issues where young people are more stable and issues where young people are less stable. On the two issues that are asked across multiple panels – partisan identification, asked in all panels, and position on a liberal to conservative scale, asked since the 1970s panel – coefficients look remarkably stable across panels.

Comparing coefficient estimates across logistic regression models can be misleading (Allison 1999). We can further confirm the patterns observed in the above plot by plotting the predicted probability of old and young people making each kind of change in each panel, or the predicted difference in overall change.

#Figure out a way to put the predicted probability plot in here.

Predicted probabilities reinforce previous statements: there is not a clear change over time
in the difference between respondents under 30 and those over 30. It might be reasonable
to suggest that there is a general tendency of young people to change opinions at higher
rates than older people that might be detectable with larger samples, but the difference is
probably on the order of less than 5 percentage points, with the exception of a few questions
like partisan identification, where we see a substantial difference. This difference, to the
extent that it exists, principally manifests as inconsistent change, rather than a switch from
one side of the scale to the other.

548 Life Course Stages

We have to now explored the relationship between age and attitude change and observed no clear pattern, but we suggested earlier that features of the life course, such as whether people are married or whether they graduated college, might matter as well. Because the samples of young people are already quite small, we focus on each life stage separately.

Figure XXX plots the partial association between measures of marital status and change, net

of the coefficient for young people. Contrary to expectations, there is no clear pattern in the
effect of marital status on the probability of change, especially never having been married.
Almost none of these coefficients show statistical significance, and are equally likely to be
positive and negative, suggesting no substantial difference between married respondents and
other respondents. Having been previously married appears to be associated with slightly
higher rates of attitude change change over time, especially in more recent panels, where this
group shows up in higher rates.

#marital coef plot hereyeyeye

Figure XXX plots the coefficient estimates for the association of education level and various measures of change, with high school degree holders serving at the reference category. Broadly speaking, prior to since the 1970s, higher levels of education are associated with a decreased likelihood of attitude change on most measures.

#ed coef plot herehey

Including either education or marital status in the model does not affect the overall pattern of change over time. These covariates have almost no effect on the covariate for "youth" in any model. This suggests that changes these life course factors are not affecting the relationship between youth and attitude change over time.

569 Discussion

This paper set out to evaluate whether social and cultural changes in the length and intensity of young adulthood have produced changes in the rates at which young people hold stable political opinions or change their political opinions over time. Considering a wide range of definitions about what constitutes "opinion change," and comparing young and old people on these measures across general measures of political opinion in four panel data sets spanning 60 years, we find remarkably little change in opinion dynamics, either in the population as a

whole or among young people specifically.

Broadly, in line with previous work on the topic (much of which uses the same data), survey
measures designed to tap general political dispositions produce highly inconsistent responses
from the public (converse1965?; Zaller 1992; Hout and Hastings 2016). On many of the
questions explored here, more than 60 percent of the sample places themselves on either
side of a scale over the three-wave, four-year panel. The outlier to this pattern is partisan
identification, which demonstrates much less within-person variance than the other questions,
a finding that is also in line with previous work (Hout and Hastings 2016; Alwin and Krosnick
1991). We strongly caution other researchers from using partisan identification to draw
inferences about political attitudes in general.

In general, young people are more inconsistent opinion holders than older people, demonstrating more change or inconsistency over time across a variety of metrics than their older
counterparts. However, this difference is not pronounced. When it does manifest, young people are probably about 5 percentage points more likely to demonstrate an inconsistent opinion
than older people, and on many issues younger people and older people are indistinguishable
in their opinion behavior.

In addressing our central question, we find that there has not been a obvious, significant shift in the difference between younger and older respondents across the four panels. Young people have not diverged from older individuals in their likelihood of opinion instability or opinion change. People under 30 today make opinion changes at roughly the same rate as people under 30 in 1950 or 1970, despite being much less likely to be married, and much less likely to have a child. The overall takeaway must be that the extension and changes of early adulthood has not had profound effects on the rate at which young people update their beliefs. We suggest two explanations for this pattern.

First, it might be the case that countervailing forces have produced aggregate stability in the differences between young and old people. While more young adults are putting off marriage

and having children, which might make them more inconsistent opinion holders for longer periods of time, they are also attending higher education at higher rates, which might make them more consistent opinion holders. In more recent panels, having attended college is associated with more stable opinions, even controlling for age. This was not the case in the early panels. However, these factors – rates of marriage and rates of education – do not explain or obscure any differences over time. When we include these factors in a regression model with youth, the differences in opinion behavior between young people and old people stay the same.

The alternative explanation is that changes in the social conditions around early adulthood 610 have not had substantial effects on the rates at which young people make opinion changes 611 because it is biological and cognitive processes, rather than the social conditions of young 612 adulthood, that explain the heightened rate at which young people make opinion changes. 613 The remarkable consistency of the patterns of opinion behavior despite monumental shifts 614 in the nature of information access, political polarization, and the organization of work, as 615 well as the entrance of women into the workforce in large numbers, the dramatic expansion 616 of higher education, and dramatic changes in the demographic composition of the United 617 States in the same time period suggests that these patterns might be rooted in something 618 other than social structure. 619

620 Limitations

There are several important limitations of our analysis. First, the high degree of opinion instability in general makes it difficult to distinguish "real" change from the kind of inconsistent change that seems to be captured in most of our measures. While we attempted to control for this by using composite scales of multiple items, these scales themselves still have relatively high inconsistency.

Second, while all the questions generally touch on the role of the federal government in addressing different issues, the substantive topics asked in each panel are quite different. Early

panels focus on questions about the government's role in managing public utilities, questions of the government's role in racial integration, and the federal role in local school construction. 629 Later panels focus on questions of redistribution of wealth, and the government's role in the 630 health care and the broader economy. Because we cannot hold constant the topics under 631 investigation, and because the topics do not themselves represent a random sample of opinions, 632 it is not clear whether the lack of change over time is due to this turnover in topics. It could 633 be the case that the overall pattern we observe – high rates of instability, with instability 634 higher in young people – is only applicable to highly salient issues in the general public. 635

Conclusions

Despite significant shifts in the timing of life course events and the broad social structure of early adulthood from the mid-1950s to today, there is little change in the rates at which people between the ages of 18 and 30 undergo major changes of political opinions. The 639 patterns observed in this analysis broadly suggest that there is something timeless – such as 640 how the human brain develops over time – that explains broad patterns in attitude instability 641 early in the life course. It could also suggest that the features that explain stability are still 642 social in nature, but are rooted in factors that have not undergone significant changes since 643 the 1950s, though it is unclear what those might be.

References

Achen, Christopher H. 1975. "Mass Political Attitudes and the Survey Response." The 646 American Political Science Review 69 (4): 1218-31. https://doi.org/10.2307/1955282. 647 Allison, Paul D. 1999. "Comparing Logit and Probit Coefficients Across Groups." Sociological 648 Methods & Research 28 (2): 186–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124199028002003. 649 Alwin, Duane F., and Jon A. Krosnick. 1991. "Aging, Cohorts, and the Stability of 650 Sociopolitical Orientations over the Life Span." American Journal of Sociology 97 (1): 651 169–95. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2781642. 652

- Ansolabehere, Stephen, Jonathan Rodden, and James M. Snyder. 2008. "The Strength of
- Issues: Using Multiple Measures to Gauge Preference Stability, Ideological Constraint,
- and Issue Voting." American Political Science Review 102 (2): 215–32. https://doi.org/
- 10.1017/S0003055408080210.
- Bartels, Larry M., and Simon Jackman. 2014. "A Generational Model of Political Learning."
- Electoral Studies 33 (March): 7–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2013.06.004.
- ⁶⁵⁹ Brückner, Hannah, and Karl Ulrich Mayer. 2005. "De-Standardization of the Life Course:
- What It Might Mean? And If It Means Anything, Whether It Actually Took Place?"
- Advances in Life Course Research 9 (January): 27–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-
- 2608(04)09002-1.
- Buchmann, Marlis. 1989. The Script of Life in Modern Society: Entry into Adulthood in a
- 664 Changing World. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 665 Burt, Ronald S. 2005. Brokerage and Closure: An Introduction to Social Capital. OUP
- 666 Oxford.
- 667 Campbell, Colin, and Jonathan Horowitz. 2016. "Does College Influence Sociopolitical
- 668 Attitudes?" Sociology of Education 89 (1): 40–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/003804071561
- 7224.
- 670 Cherlin, Andrew J. 2004. "The Deinstitutionalization of American Marriage." Journal of
- 671 Marriage and Family 66 (4): 848–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-2445.2004.00058.x.
- 672 ——. 2021. "Rising Nonmarital First Childbearing Among College-Educated Women:
- Evidence from Three National Studies." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 118 (37): e2109016118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109016118.
- ⁶⁷⁵ Converse, Philip E. 1964. "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics (1964)." In, edited
- by D. E. Apter, 18:206–61. New York: Free Press.
- Danigelis, Nicholas L., Melissa Hardy, and Stephen J. Cutler. 2007. "Population Aging,
- Intracohort Aging, and Sociopolitical Attitudes." American Sociological Review 72 (5):
- 812–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240707200508.

- 680 DiPrete, Thomas A., Andrew Gelman, Tyler McCormick, Julien Teitler, and Tian Zheng.
- 2011. "Segregation in Social Networks Based on Acquaintanceship and Trust." American
- Journal of Sociology 116 (4): 1234–83. https://doi.org/10.1086/659100.
- Eaton, Asia A., Penny S. Visser, Jon A. Krosnick, and Sowmya Anand. 2009. "Social Power
- and Attitude Strength Over the Life Course." Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin
- 35 (12): 1646–60. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167209349114.
- 686 Eickmeyer, Kasey, Krista Payne, Susan Brown, and Wendy Manning. 2017. "Crossover
- in the Median Age at First Marriage and First Birth: Thirty-Five Years of Change."
- 688 https://doi.org/10.25035/ncfmr/fp-17-22.
- 689 Geist, Claudia, and Patricia A. McManus. 2008. "Geographical Mobility over the Life
- 690 Course: Motivations and Implications." Population, Space and Place 14 (4): 283–303.
- 691 https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.508.
- ⁶⁹² Ghitza, Yair, Andrew Gelman, and Jonathan Auerbach. 2022. "The Great Society, Reagan's
- Revolution, and Generations of Presidential Voting." American Journal of Political
- 694 Science, September, ajps.12713. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12713.
- 695 Granovetter, Mark S. 1973. "The Strength of Weak Ties." American Journal of Sociology 78
- 696 (6): 1360–80. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2776392.
- 697 Guhin, Jeffrey, Jessica McCrory Calarco, and Cynthia Miller-Idriss. 2020. "Whatever
- Happened to Socialization?" https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/zp2wy.
- 699 Hout, Michael, and Orestes P. Hastings. 2016. "Reliability of the Core Items in the General
- Social Survey: Estimates from the Three-Wave Panels, 2006–2014." Sociological Science 3
- 701 (November): 971–1002. https://doi.org/10.15195/v3.a43.
- 702 Kiley, Kevin, and Stephen Vaisey. 2020. "Measuring Stability and Change in Personal
- Culture Using Panel Data." American Sociological Review 85 (3): 477–506. https://os
- //doi.org/10.1177/0003122420921538.
- 705 Kinder, Donald R., and Nathan P. Kalmoe. 2017. Neither Liberal nor Conservative:
- Ideological Innocence in the American Public. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- 707 Krosnick, Jon, and Duane Alwin. 1989. "Aging and Susceptibility to Attitude Change."
- Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 57 (October): 416–25. https://doi.org/10.1
- 037//0022-3514.57.3.416.
- Mannheim, Karl. 1952. "The Problem of Generations." In, 276–322. New York: Oxford
- University Press.
- Manning, Wendy D., Susan L. Brown, and Krista K. Payne. 2014. "Two Decades of Stability
- and Change in Age at First Union Formation: Stability and Change in Age at First Union."
- Journal of Marriage and Family 76 (2): 247–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12090.
- Marsden, Peter V. 1987. "Core Discussion Networks of Americans." American Sociological
- Review 52 (1): 122–31. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095397.
- Prior, Markus. 2010. "You've Either Got It or You Don't? The Stability of Political Interest
- over the Life Cycle." The Journal of Politics 72 (3): 747–66. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0
- 719 022381610000149.
- Rosenfeld, Michael J., and Byung-Soo Kim. 2005. "The Independence of Young Adults
- and the Rise of Interracial and Same-Sex Unions." American Sociological Review 70 (4):
- 541–62. https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240507000401.
- Ryder, Norman B. 1965. "The Cohort as a Concept in the Study of Social Change." American
- Sociological Review 30 (6): 843–61. https://doi.org/10.2307/2090964.
- Sears, David O., and Carolyn L. Funk. 1999. "Evidence of the Long-Term Persistence
- of Adults' Political Predispositions." The Journal of Politics 61 (1): 1–28. https:
- //doi.org/10.2307/2647773.
- Vaisey, Stephen, and Omar Lizardo. 2016. "Cultural Fragmentation or Acquired Dispositions?
- A New Approach to Accounting for Patterns of Cultural Change." Socius 2 (January):
- 2378023116669726. https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023116669726.
- Visser, Penny S, and Jon A Krosnick. 2004. "Development of Attitude Strength Over the Life
- 732 Cycle: Surge and Decline." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 87 (6): 779–95.
- Vagner, Jenny, Oliver Lüdtke, and Alexander Robitzsch. 2019. "Does Personality Become

- More Stable with Age? Disentangling State and Trait Effects for the Big Five Across the
- Life Span Using Local Structural Equation Modeling." Journal of Personality and Social
- Psychology 116 (4): 666–80. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000203.
- Wodtke, Geoffrey T. 2018. "The Effects of Education on Beliefs about Racial Inequality."
- Social Psychology Quarterly 81 (4): 273–94. https://doi.org/10.1177/0190272518804145.
- ⁷³⁹ Zaller, John. 1992. The Nature and Origins of Mass Opinion. Cambridge Studies in
- Public Opinion and Political Psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511818691.