

Constructing the p-adic zeta function via cyclotomic units

Nicolas Simard

October 31, 2016

Contents

1	p-adic measures	1
1.1	p-adic measures, distributions and Iwasawa algebras	1
1.2	Operators on p-adic measures	7
1.3	Moments of p-adic measures	9
2	p-adic measure attached to compatible systems of local units	10
2.1	The map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{U}_\infty \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$	11
2.2	Moments of p-adic measures obtained via $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$	12
2.3	Measures attached to generators of the Tate module $T_p(\mu)$	13
3	p-zeta function via cyclotomic units	14

Introduction

1 p-adic measures

In this section, we first define p-adic measures and see how they are related to Iwasawa Algebras and power series rings. We then introduce operators on them and conclude with a few results on moments of measures.

1.1 p-adic measures, distributions and Iwasawa algebras

Let \mathfrak{G} be an abelian profinite group, let $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ be the boolean algebra of compact-open subsets of \mathfrak{G} , let $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{G}} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ be the set of open subgroups of \mathfrak{G} and let A be any abelian group.

Definition 1. An A -valued distribution λ on \mathfrak{G} is a finitely additive function

$$\lambda : \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow A.$$

The set of distributions is denoted $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$, the elements of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ are called p-adic distributions.

The set $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ is naturally an abelian group. If A is a B -algebra for some ring B , the set $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ is a B -algebra under convolution product, which we won't bother to define here!

Distributions and Iwasawa algebras

If \mathfrak{G} is finite, $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}} = \{\{g\} | g \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ and we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\lambda \mapsto \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{G}} \lambda(\{g\})g : \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow A[\mathfrak{G}].$$

If A is a B -algebra for some ring B , so is $A[\mathfrak{G}]$ and the isomorphism is an isomorphism of B -algebras. For \mathfrak{G} finite, we define

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A[\mathfrak{G}].$$

For \mathfrak{G} not necessarily finite, we define

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A) = \varprojlim \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}, A) = \varprojlim A[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}],$$

where the limit is taken over all elements of $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$. Given \mathfrak{H} in $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$, we have a natural map

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x) x : \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}, A).$$

Since distributions are finitely additive, we have then a natural map

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A),$$

which is in fact an isomorphism. In a certain sense, the elements of the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ are the generating series of distributions.

Example: If $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$, one obtains the usual Iwasawa algebra

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Distributions and step functions

From now on, suppose that A is a B -algebra for some ring B .

Recall that if $s : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow A$ is a locally constant function, also called a step function, there exists an open subgroup \mathfrak{H} such that s is well defined and constant modulo \mathfrak{H} . Note that this subgroup \mathfrak{H} is not unique. The set of step functions from \mathfrak{G} to A , denoted

$$\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A),$$

is a B -algebra.

Let λ be an A -valued distribution on \mathfrak{G} , let s be a step function which is constant modulo \mathfrak{H} and define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s d\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} s(g) \lambda(g).$$

This gives a well-defined B -linear map

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A).$$

For convenience, the value of any B -linear map $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A)$ at a step function $s(x)$ is denoted

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s(x) d\lambda(x)$$

or simply

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s d\lambda$$

when there is no risk of confusion. The B -module

$$\text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A)$$

can be equipped with a natural B -algebra structure via the convolution product which is defined as follows: for $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A)$, let $\lambda * \mu$ be defined as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s(x) d(\lambda * \mu)(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s(x+y) d\lambda(x) \right) d\mu(y).$$

The map above is then a B -algebra homomorphism, which is in fact an isomorphism. Indeed, its inverse takes a B -linear map $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A)$ to the distribution λ defined as

$$\lambda(U) = \phi(\varepsilon_U)$$

for all $U \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$, where $\varepsilon_U \in \text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ is the characteristic function of U . This sketches the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *There is a natural B -algebra isomorphism*

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B\text{-mod}}(\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, A), A).$$

p-adic measures and continuous functions

From now on, suppose that A is contained in \mathbb{C}_p (e.g. $A = B = \mathbb{Z}_p$). Let

$$C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$$

be the set of continuous functions from \mathfrak{G} to \mathbb{C}_p . This is a \mathbb{C}_p -Banach algebra when equipped with the sup norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(x)|_p.$$

The set $\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is dense in $C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$.

Definition 2. *A p -adic distribution $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$ is called a p -adic measure if it is bounded (as a function from $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ to $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$). The set of p -adic measures is denoted $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$.*

Note that if A is bounded, which is the case if $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for example, then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, A) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, A)$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ be a measure, viewed as a B -linear map*

$$\lambda : \text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Then λ extends uniquely to a continuous map

$$\lambda : C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Proof. Let λ be a p -adic measure and suppose that

$$|\lambda(U)|_p \leq M$$

for all $U \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and some $M \in \mathbb{R}$. By the density of $\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ in $C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$, for any $f \in C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ one can find a sequence of step functions $\{s_n\} \subseteq \text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ such that

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x).$$

Then it is easy to see that for any integers m and n ,

$$\lambda(s_n - s_m) \leq M \|s_n - s_m\|.$$

Since the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is Cauchy, so is the sequence $\{\lambda(s_n)\}$ and it makes sense to define

$$\lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(s_n).$$

The uniqueness is clear. □

For $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p), \mathbb{C}_p)$, define

$$\|\lambda\| = \sup_{f \in C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)} \frac{|\lambda(f)|}{\|f\|},$$

which is a finite real number by the continuity of λ . Equipped with the convolution product, this set becomes a \mathbb{C}_p -Banach algebra.

In the case where $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$, recall that $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 3. *The image of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ under the injection of the previous proposition is the set of*

$$\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p), \mathbb{C}_p)$$

such that

$$\|\lambda\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and take

$$s \in \text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Writing

$$s = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} s(x) \varepsilon_x,$$

we see that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} s d\lambda = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} s(x) \lambda(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

and so

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{G}} s d\lambda \right|_p \leq \sup_{x \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} |s(x)|_p |\lambda(x)|_p \leq \|s\|.$$

From the density of $\text{Step}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ in $C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ and the continuity of the norm function, it follows that

$$\|\lambda\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Conversely, let

$$\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p), \mathbb{C}_p)$$

be such that

$$\|\lambda\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Then

$$\lambda(\varepsilon_U) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

for any $U \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ since $\varepsilon_U \in C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Moreover,

$$\|\varepsilon_U\| = 1,$$

and $\|\lambda\| \leq 1$, so in fact

$$\lambda(\varepsilon_U) \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

If $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ is a continuous character, i.e. a continuous group homomorphism, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d(\lambda * \mu)(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d\lambda(x) \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d\mu(x).$$

A *pseudo-measure* is an element λ of the total ring of fractions of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$, i.e. a quotient $\lambda = \mu/\nu$ of elements $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ where ν is not a zero divisor, with the property that

$$(g - 1)\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$$

for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ (viewed as elements of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$). For any such pseudo-measure λ and any non-trivial character ρ of \mathfrak{G} , define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d\lambda(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d((g - 1)\lambda)(x)}{\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d(g - 1)(x)} = \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho(x) d((g - 1)\lambda)(x)}{\rho(g) - 1},$$

where g is any element of \mathfrak{G} not in the kernel of ρ . This definition does not depend on this choice of g . Note that we used the fact that for any $g \in \mathfrak{G}$,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f dg = f(g).$$

In other words, the elements of \mathfrak{G} in $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ correspond to Dirac distributions.

The Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and Mahler's transform

When $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, one can say more about p -adic measures. This is because the \mathbb{C}_p -Banach algebra of continuous functions on \mathbb{Z}_p has a special *Mahler basis*.

Let $e_0(x) = 1$ and define $e_n(x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as

$$e_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \binom{x}{n} = \frac{x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)}{n!}.$$

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$. Then there exists a unique sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of elements of \mathbb{C}_p such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$$

and

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

for all x in \mathbb{Z}_p . This is called the *Mahler expansion* of f .

Proof. This is Theorem 3.3.1 in [CS]. □

Knowing that an element λ of $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ can be viewed as a continuous linear functional on $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$, one can form its generating function with respect to the Mahler basis:

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} d\lambda.$$

This is called the *Mahler transform* of λ . Note that

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].$$

Intuitively, the Mahler transform should determine λ (because the $e_n(x)$ form a basis of $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$). In fact, more is true.

Theorem 2. The Mahler transform

$$\mathcal{M} : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\mathbb{R} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]],$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras.

Proof. This is Theorem 3.3.3 in [CS]. □

The inverse of \mathcal{M} , denoted \mathcal{Y} in [CS], is defined as follows: for a continuous function f with Mahler expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e_n(x)$$

and for

$$g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n T^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]],$$

we define

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f d\mathcal{Y}(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n.$$

Example: For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, viewed as a constant compatible sequence in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, one has

$$\mathcal{M}(a) = (1 + T)^a,$$

so that the power series $(1 + T)^a$ correspond to the Dirac measures in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$

Integration over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is closely related to integration over \mathbb{Z}_p . Since $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has more structure, it is desirable to relate $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ with $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since \mathbb{Z}_p^\times is a subset of \mathbb{Z}_p , it is natural to define a map

$$\iota : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

as

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f d\iota(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} f|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} d\lambda,$$

for all $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$, where $f|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is the restriction of f to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . One can check that this map is well-defined, i.e. that the functional

$$f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f d\iota(\lambda)$$

is in the image of $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(C(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p), \mathbb{C}_p)$.

The next step is to identify the image of $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ inside $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. This will be done in the next section, using the trace and restriction operators.

The Iwasawa algebras $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ and $\Lambda(G)$

Recall the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_n &= \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}) & \text{and} & & F_n &= \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}})^+, \\ \mathcal{G} &= \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) & \text{and} & & G &= \text{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

A generator (ζ_n) of the Tate module

$$T_p(\mu) = \varprojlim \mu_{p^{n+1}}$$

is by definition a sequence of roots of unity $\zeta_n \in \mu_{p^{n+1}}$ such that $\zeta_{n+1}^p = \zeta_n$. Fixing such a generator, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times,$$

called the cyclotomic character. This induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\chi} : \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times).$$

But more is true. One can define a natural action of \mathcal{G} on $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ and $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ via the cyclotomic character. Then $\tilde{\chi}$ becomes a \mathcal{G} -isomorphism, i.e. $\tilde{\chi}$ is \mathcal{G} -equivariant.

For each $n \geq 0$, the CM field \mathcal{F}_n has complex conjugation action ι_n and the fixed field of $\{1, \iota_n\}$ is F_n . This extends to a complex conjugation action ι in \mathcal{G} , so $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{I}]$ -module, where $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \iota\}$. For p odd this module decomposes naturally as

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) = \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+ \oplus \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^-,$$

where

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+ = \frac{1+\iota}{2} \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^- = \frac{1-\iota}{2} \Lambda(\mathcal{G}).$$

Finally, one has the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *The restriction to $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+$ of the natural surjection from $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ to $\Lambda(G)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+ \simeq \Lambda(G).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 4.2.1 of [CS]. □

1.2 Operators on p -adic measures

In [CS], the authors introduce a few operators in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. Since $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is canonically isomorphic to this ring via the Mahler transform, those operators have a corresponding simple definition on the Iwasawa algebra. By combining those operators, one obtains the restriction operator, which plays an important role in the theory.

Operators on R

Let $g(T)$ be a power series in R and define the operator

$$\varphi : R \rightarrow R$$

as

$$\varphi(g)(T) = g((1+T)^p - 1).$$

This is well defined *injective* \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra endomorphism (see [CS, Lemma 2.2.2]).

Next, define the trace operator

$$\psi : R \rightarrow R$$

as

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)(g)(T) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\xi \in \mu_p} g(\xi(1+T) - 1).$$

This is a well-defined continuous \mathbb{Z}_p -linear endomorphism (see [CS, Proposition 2.2.3]).

The operators φ and ψ satisfy the relation

$$\psi \circ \varphi = 1_R.$$

Finally, one can introduce a derivation D on R as follows:

$$D(g)(T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1+T)g'(T) = (1+T)\frac{dg}{dT}.$$

It is enlightening to see how those operators act on power series. Suppose that $g(T)$ can be written as

$$g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(1+T)^n.$$

Then φ is simply given as

$$\varphi(g)(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(1+T)^{pn}.$$

As for ψ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\psi(g)(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{np}(1+T)^n.$$

Moreover,

$$D(g)(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n(1+T)^n.$$

Thinking of $1+T$ as the parameter q at infinity on the modular curve $X(1)$, this suggests that the φ , ψ and D operators correspond formally to the V_p , U_p and $q \frac{d}{dq}$ operators on q -expansions. With that in mind, it is clear that $\psi \circ \varphi$ is the identity on R .

Operators on $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

We now introduce the operators on p -adic measures which correspond under the Mahler transform to φ , ψ and D on R .

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a p -adic measure on \mathbb{Z}_p . Then one can verify without difficulty that the \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra endomorphism

$$\varphi : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\varphi(\lambda)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(px) d\lambda(x)$$

corresponds, via the Mahler transform, to the operator $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$ introduced above.

A similar calculation shows that the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map

$$\psi : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\psi(\lambda)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varepsilon_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(x) f\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) d\lambda(x)$$

corresponds to the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map $\psi : R \rightarrow R$ introduced above.

One can then see, directly or using the corresponding property on R , that

$$\psi \circ \varphi = 1_{\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)}.$$

One also sees that $\varphi \circ \psi$ corresponds to "restriction to $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ ", since

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d(\varphi \circ \psi)(\lambda)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(px) d\psi(\lambda)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varepsilon_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(x) f(x) d\lambda(x).$$

Now let $f_0(x)$ be any continuous function on \mathbb{Z}_p and define the measure $f_0\lambda$ as

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d(f_0\lambda)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_0(x) f(x) d\lambda(x).$$

For $f_0(x) = x$, one has the relation

$$\mathcal{M}(x\lambda) = D(\mathcal{M}(\lambda)),$$

which follows formally from the identity

$$x \binom{x}{n} = (n+1) \binom{x}{n+1} + n \binom{x}{n}$$

(see the proof of Lemma 3.3.5 in [CS]). Therefore the D operator corresponds to the multiplication by x map on $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Restriction of measures from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times

We now introduce the restriction operator. In particular, it will allow us to identify the image of $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ inside $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Recall that the operator $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is defined in section 3.4 of [CS] as

$$\delta(g)(T) = g(T) - \varphi \circ \psi(g)(T) = (1 - \varphi \circ \psi)(g)(T).$$

We define the restriction operator as

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \varphi \circ \psi.$$

It is not so clear why this operator on power series should be viewed as a restriction operator. However, on measures we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(\lambda)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\lambda(x) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d(\varphi \circ \psi)(\lambda)(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\lambda(x) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varepsilon_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(x) f(x) d\lambda(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - \varepsilon_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(x)) f(x) d\lambda(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varepsilon_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(x) f(x) d\lambda(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the operator $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ on measures is denoted $\#$ in [CS].

The operator $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ is a projection, i.e. $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \circ \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$. A formal computation shows that

$$g(T) \in \text{ImRes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \Leftrightarrow \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} g(T) = g(T) \Leftrightarrow \psi(g)(T) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g \in \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0},$$

where

$$\mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} = \{g \in \mathbb{R} \mid \psi(g) = 0\}.$$

Proposition 5. *The image of $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ under the injection ι is the image of the restriction operator $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.4.1 and Lemma 3.4.2 in [CS]. □

This proposition proves that the restriction of p -adic measures on \mathbb{Z}_p can be viewed as p -adic measures on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . It also implies that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} & \mathbb{R} \\
\uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \\
\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M} \circ \iota} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0}
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Using the analogy between $\varphi \leftrightarrow V_p$ and $\psi \leftrightarrow U_p$ discussed above, we see that the restriction operator looks like the p -stabilisation operator on modular forms.

1.3 Moments of p -adic measures

The special values of the zeta function will be obtained by computing the moments of a pseudo-measure on $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$. We collect here a few results that will help us compute those moments later.

First, it follows directly from the results of the previous section that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d(x^k \lambda)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e_0(x) d(x^k \lambda)(x) = \mathcal{M}(x^k \lambda)(0)$$

and since

$$\mathcal{M}(x\lambda) = D\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x) = D^k \mathcal{M}(\lambda)(0). \tag{1}$$

Second, one would like to have a relation between

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d(\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \lambda)(x).$$

To have a simple relation, *suppose* $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(\lambda)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d(1 - \varphi \circ \psi)(\lambda)(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d(1 - \varphi)(\lambda)(x) && \text{since } \psi(\lambda) = \lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\varphi(\lambda)(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (px)^k d\lambda(x) \\ &= (1 - p^k) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

In brief,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}(\lambda)(x) = (1 - p^k) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\lambda(x). \quad (2)$$

Note that this is consistent with our observation that the restriction operator can be thought of as a p -stabilisation operator, since multiplication by $1 - p^k$ corresponds to p -stabilisation on L -functions (i.e. removing the euler factors at p).

Finally, moments determine measures on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times .

Proposition 6. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ be a measure. If*

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi^k(g) d\lambda(g) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 3, 5, \dots,$$

then $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+$. Similarly, if

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi^k(g) d\lambda(g) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 2, 4, 6, \dots,$$

then $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^-$. In particular,

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi^k(g) d\lambda(g) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k > 0,$$

then $\lambda = 0$. The same is true for pseudo-measures.

Proof. This is Lemma 4.4.2 and Corollary 4.2.3 of [CS]. □

2 p -adic measure attached to compatible systems of local units

As we know, the p -adic zeta function is associated with the cyclotomic units. Those units come in compatible systems, i.e. they are elements of

$$\mathcal{U}_\infty = \varprojlim \mathcal{U}_n,$$

where \mathcal{U}_n is the group of local units in $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}})$. The first step in building this pseudo-measure is to pass from units to power series via the Coleman power series. Then one uses the map

$$\mathcal{L} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\Psi=0}$$

to get a power series which corresponds, under the inverse Mahler transform, to a measure on \mathcal{G} . As we will see, applying the map \mathcal{L} is essentially like taking the log and then restricting it.

2.1 The map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{U}_\infty \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$

The main technical tool to pass from units to p -adic measures is the Coleman power series attached to compatible systems of units.

Theorem 3. *For each $u = (u_n) \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$, there exists a unique power series $f_u(T) \in R$ such that $f_u(\pi_n) = u_n$, where*

$$\pi_n = \zeta_n - 1$$

for some generator (ζ_n) of the Tate module $T_p(\mu)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.1.2 in [CS], which is proved in Chapter 2. □

Recall that one can define a norm operator $\mathcal{N} : R \rightarrow R$ as

$$(\varphi \circ \mathcal{N})(g)(T) = \prod_{\xi \in \mu_p} g(\xi(1+T) - 1).$$

The image of \mathcal{U}_∞ under the map $u \mapsto f_u$ of the Theorem is

$$W = \{g \in R^\times \mid \mathcal{N}(g) = g\}.$$

See [CS, Corollary 2.3.7]. This gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_\infty \\ \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr \\ W \end{array}$$

which also respects the action of \mathcal{G} on both sides (recall that $g \in \mathcal{G}$ acts on R by sending T to $(1+T)^{x(g)} - 1$).

The map

$$\mathcal{L} : W \rightarrow R^{\Psi=0}$$

is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(g)(T) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{g(T)^p}{\varphi(g)(T)} \right)$$

in Lemma 2.5.1.¹ One can think of this map as the restriction of the logarithm of power series in W . Indeed, we formally have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(g)(T) &= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{g(T)^p}{\varphi(g)(T)} \right) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &= \log g(T) - \frac{1}{p} \log \varphi(g)(T) && \text{(formally)} \\ &= \log g(T) - \frac{1}{p} \log (\varphi \circ \mathcal{N})(g)(T) && \text{(since } g \in W) \\ &= \log g(T) - \frac{1}{p} \log \prod_{\xi \in \mu_p} g(\xi(1+T) - 1) && \text{(by definition of } \varphi \circ \mathcal{N}) \\ &= \log g(T) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\xi \in \mu_p} \log g(\xi(1+T) - 1) && \text{(formally)} \\ &= \log g(T) - (\varphi \circ \Psi)(\log g(T)) && \text{(by definition of } \varphi \circ \Psi) \\ &= (\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log)(g)(T) && \text{(by definition of } \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}) \end{aligned}$$

so that we could define a map

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}.$$

¹Actually, the map is defined on R^\times , not just W , but the image of \mathcal{L} lies in $R^{\Psi=0}$.

Note that this is just notation, since the logarithm map is not necessarily well-defined on all W .

At this point, we have the following diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_\infty & & \\ \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr & \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \end{array}$$

Using the isomorphism $\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0}$ of the previous section, we can lift the map \mathcal{L} to a map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{U}_\infty \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$, which we denote $\widetilde{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log}} & \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \\ \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \\ W & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \end{array}$$

2.2 Moments of p-adic measures obtained via $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$

The moments of the p-adic measures obtained via $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are related to the so-called higher logarithm derivative map. More precisely, we prove Proposition 3.5.2 of [CS] in this section, i.e. that

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi(g)^k d\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u) = \delta_k(u),$$

where

$$\delta_k(u) = \left(D^{k-1} \left((1+T) \frac{f'_u(T)}{f_u(T)} \right) \right)_{T=0}.$$

The map $\delta_k(u)$ is called the higher logarithmic derivative map.

First, recall that the map

$$\Delta(g)(T) = (1+T) \frac{g'(T)}{g(T)}$$

takes W to $\mathbb{R}^{\psi=1} = \{g \in \mathbb{R} \mid \psi(g) = g\}$ (this is Lemma 2.4.5 in [CS]). Applying the operator $1 - \varphi$ (denoted θ in [CS]), which is just the restriction operator, since

$$1 - \varphi = 1 - \varphi \circ \psi = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{\psi=1}$, we fall in $\mathbb{R}^{\psi=0}$. In the proof Theorem 2.5.2 of [CS], we learn that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow D \\ \mathbb{R}^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \end{array}$$

Using our notation, this is just saying that the D and $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ operators commute:

$$D \circ \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \circ D \log.$$

Altogether, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log}} & \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \\ \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \\ W & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \\ D \log \downarrow & & \downarrow D \\ \mathbb{R}^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} & \mathbb{R}^{\psi=0} \end{array}$$

Using this diagram, we now compute the moments:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi(g)^k d\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u) &= \int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi(g)^k d\widetilde{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log}(u) && \text{(notation)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^k d\mathcal{Y}(\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log f_u) && \text{(commutativity of top square)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^{k-1} d\chi \mathcal{Y}(\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log f_u) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^{k-1} d\mathcal{Y}(D \circ \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log f_u) && \text{(formula 1)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^{k-1} d\mathcal{Y}(\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \circ D \log f_u) && \text{(commutativity of bottom square)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^{k-1} d\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \mathcal{Y}(D \log f_u) \\
&= (1 - p^{k-1}) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi^{k-1} d\mathcal{Y}(D \log f_u) && \text{(formula 2, since } D \log f_u \in R^{\psi=1}) \\
&= (1 - p^{k-1}) (D^{k-1} (D \log f_u))_{T=0} && \text{(formula 1)} \\
&= (1 - p^{k-1}) \delta_k(u) && \text{(by definition of } \delta_k(u))
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 Measures attached to generators of the Tate module $T_p(\mu)$

As one can see, any generator (ζ_n) of the Tate module $T_p(\mu)$ is a norm compatible sequence of units, hence can be viewed as an element of \mathcal{U}_∞ . Can we obtain interesting measure from those elements? Unfortunately, no. To see this, note that since by definition $\pi_n = \zeta_n - 1$, it is clear that the Coleman power series of $(\zeta_n) \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$ is simply $1 + T$. But then

$$\mathcal{L}((\tilde{\zeta}_n)) = \mathcal{L}(1 + T) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{(1 + T)^p}{(1 + (1 + T)^p - 1)} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log 1 = 0,$$

so the corresponding measure on \mathcal{G} is the zero measure! In fact, one has the following *Fundamental Exact Sequence* of \mathcal{G} -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p-1} \times T_p(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\infty \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\beta} T_p(\mu) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map β sends λ to $(\zeta_n)^{\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi d\lambda}$ (see [CS, Theorem 3.5.1]). Note this sequence is the main ingredient in the proof of Iwasawa's theorem (Theorem 4.4.1 in [CS]).

The proof this sequence is exact follows essentially from the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow R^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\theta=\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} R^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_T=0} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is proved in [CS, Lemma 2.4.3]. Indeed, the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p-1} \longrightarrow W \xrightarrow{D \log} R^{\psi=1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

allows us to lift this sequence to obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log} & R^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow D \log & & \downarrow D \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & R^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} & R^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_T=0} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

where

$$A = \{\xi(1+T)^a \mid \xi \in \mu_{p-1}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

and $\alpha(g) = (Dg)(0)$ (see [CS, Theorem 2.5.2]). The bottom row can be simply seen as the additive version of the top row. Finally, using the isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_\infty & & \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \\ \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \\ W & & R^{\psi=0} \end{array}$$

one can further lift this sequence to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mu_{p-1} \times T_p(\mu) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\tilde{L}} & \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\beta} T_p(\mu) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \text{C.P.S.} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \log} & R^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow D \log & & \downarrow D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & R^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} & R^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{T=0}} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In brief, one needs to work a little bit harder to obtain non-trivial measures on \mathcal{G} . This is where the cyclotomic units come in!

3 p-zeta function via cyclotomic units

At this point, the construction of the p-adic zeta function is almost a formality! First, recall that

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}})$$

and that \mathcal{U}_n is the set of units in \mathcal{K}_n ($n \geq 0$). As usual, fix a generator (ζ_n) of the Tate module $T_p(\mu)$, so that

$$\zeta_n \in \mathcal{U}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n^p = \zeta_{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

For integers a and b , define

$$c_n(a, b) \frac{\zeta_n^{-a/2} - \zeta_n^{a/2}}{\zeta_n^{-b/2} - \zeta_n^{b/2}} = \zeta_n^{(a-b)/2} \frac{\zeta_n^{-a} - 1}{\zeta_n^{-b} - 1}.$$

If a and b are not divisible by p , the $c_n(a, b)$ is a unit in \mathcal{F}_n , hence in \mathcal{K}_n (this follows from [Mi, Proposition 6.2(c)]). We claim that the sequence

$$c(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (c_n(a, b))$$

is a norm compatible sequence of local units, i.e.

$$c(a, b) \in \mathcal{U}_\infty.$$

To see this, it suffices to note that

$$N_{n, n-1}(\zeta_n) = \zeta_{n-1},$$

where $N_{n, n-1} : \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$ is the norm map. This follows from the fact that ζ_n is a root of

$$X^p - \zeta_{n-1},$$

which is irreducible over \mathcal{K}_{n-1} since

$$(X+1)^p - \zeta_{n-1}$$

is Eisenstein at the prime π_{n-1} . Those elements $c(a, b)$ are the key to defining the p -adic zeta function.

Let

$$\lambda(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} \log(c(a, b)) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(c(a, b)).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi(g)^k d\lambda(a, b) = (1 - p^{k-1}) \delta_k(c(a, b)).$$

The computation of $\delta_k(c(a, b))$ is done in [CS, Proposition 2.6.3]:

$$\delta_k(c(a, b)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1, 3, 5, \dots \\ (b^k - a^k) \zeta(1 - k) & \text{if } k = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}.$$

The p -adic measure $\lambda(a, b)$ is almost the p -adic zeta function, except the it interpolates the p -stabilized values of ζ at the negative integers *times the factor* $b^k - a^k$. To cancel this factor, take a different from b and define

$$\theta(a, b) = \sigma_b - \sigma_a \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$$

such that

$$\chi(\theta(a, b)) = b^k - a^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\zeta}_p = \frac{\lambda(a, b)}{\theta(a, b)}$$

is a pseudo-measure on \mathcal{G} which is independent of the choice of a and b . More importantly,

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi(g)^k d\tilde{\zeta}_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1, 3, 5, \dots \\ \zeta(1 - k) & \text{if } k = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}$$

(see [CS, Proposition 4.2.4] for more details). Note that since pseudo-measures are determined by their moments, $\tilde{\zeta}_p$ is the unique pseudo-measure which interpolates the critical values of the Riemann zeta function.

Finally, since the odd moments of $\tilde{\zeta}_p$ are zero,

$$\tilde{\zeta}_p \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+.$$

Letting ζ_p denote the image of $\tilde{\zeta}_p$ under the identification $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^+ \simeq \Lambda(G)$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *There exists a unique pseudo-measure ζ_p on G such that*

$$\int_G \chi(g)^k d\zeta_p = (1 - p^{k-1}) \zeta(1 - k)$$

for all even integers $k \geq 2$.

References

- [CS] Coates, J., Sujatha, R., *Cyclotomic Fields and zeta Values*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2006.
- [Mi] Milne, J., *Algebraic Number Theory* (v3.04), 2012, Available at www.jmilne.org/math.