Determinants of HIV

M. Moellenkamp and N. Rosemberg

December 4th, 2014

Presentation Outline

- Research Question & Motivation
- Theoretical Framework
- Methodology
- Descriptive Statistics
- Findings
- Conclusion & Limitations

Research Question & Motivation

Research Question: Are community level factors significant determinants of HIV/AIDS incidence rates?

1. Understand why some countries failed to achieve MDG 6A

   - *MDG 6: "Combat HIV/AIDS, Malaria and other diseases"*
   - *Target 6A: "Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS"*

2. Explore disease-specific determinants of health

Theoretical Framework - Determinants of Health



Source: Dahlgren and Whitehead, 1991

Methodology and Dataset

Model

$$I_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SE_{it} + \beta_2 WLC_{it} + \beta_3 SCN_{it} + \beta_4 ILF_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Datasets

- We will use the World Development Indicators (WDI) for the independent variables and a dataset from UNAIDS for the HIV/AIDS prevalence rate.

Methodology

- Model 1: Logistic Regression & Predicted Probabilities
- Model 2: Pooled OLS Regression & Fixed Effects

Distribution of HIV Incidence Rates



HIV Incidence Rates over Time



Interesting Cases for HIV Incidence Rates



GDP per capita in Selected Countries



Access to Water in Selected Countries



Health Care Expenditure in Selected Countries



Female Schooling in Selected Countries



Female Unemployment in Selected Countries



Imputed Missing Values



















Logistic Regression Results - Model 1

	Value	Std. Error	t-stat	p-value
(Intercept)	-39.3143259	7.4270082	-5.2934271	0.0000005
lGDPpc	0.3845245	0.3442224	1.1170818	0.2654575
lRural	-2.5659362	0.5810321	-4.4161692	0.0000179
lCO2	-0.6193159	0.2321263	-2.6680131	0.0115859
lHCexpend	0.8783105	0.3869075	2.2700786	0.0233140
lWater	-2.3033408	0.8432343	-2.7315550	0.0063406
lSanitation	0.8983929	0.2828859	3.1758143	0.0015027
lLifeExpect	19.4598437	1.7854897	10.8988833	0.0000000
lDPT	-0.8351185	1.0032896	-0.8323804	0.4052830
lMeasles	1.9263237	1.1528840	1.6708738	0.0950275
Inverse	1.8553161	0.2595490	7.1482315	0.0000000
lFemSchool	-5.8755427	0.7578465	-7.7529461	0.0000000

Predicted Probabilities - Female School Enrollment









Predicted Probabilities - Female Unemployment









Simple Linear Regression Results - Model 2

	Value	Std. Error	t-stat	p-value
(Intercept)	7.7351785	1.5745651	4.9125808	0.0000009
lGDPpc	0.0122734	0.0723305	0.1696857	0.8652696
lRural	0.1932816	0.1372891	1.4078439	0.1593651
lCO2	0.1066236	0.0319394	3.3383054	0.0008928
lHCexpend	0.4294569	0.1083464	3.9637390	0.0000905
lWater	-0.3678844	0.1852977	-1.9853691	0.0477825
lSanitation	0.0583937	0.0696813	0.8380112	0.4020485
lLifeExpect	-3.5022405	0.3449838	-10.1518975	0.0000000
lDPT	0.6049810	0.2468089	2.4512125	0.0142977
lMeasles	-0.0886252	0.2442630	-0.3628269	0.7167633
Inverse	-0.4246932	0.0481448	-8.8211639	0.0000000
lFemSchool	0.5738221	0.1615113	3.5528291	0.0010261

Conclusions & Limitations - Model 1

1. **Logistic Regression Results of Model 1 (all countries)**

- Generally in line with hypothesis
- Most of the variables are statistically significant
- Only Immunisation Variables and GDP per capital are not significant

2. **Predicted Probabilities of Model 1 (selected countries)**

- Direction of effect of Female School Enrollment matches initial assumptions for all case studies
- Direction of effect of Female Unemployment does not match initial assumptions for any case study

Conclusions & Limitations - Model 2

3. **Linear Regression of Model 2 (countries with incidence above mean)**

- Significance of some variables changes
- Female School Enrollment and Female Unemployment remain highly significant
- Effect of Female Schooling becomes positive (!)

4. **Fixed Effects Regression of Model 2 (countries with incidence above mean)**

- Significance of some variables changes compared to simple linear model
- Female School Enrollment and Female Unemployment become insignificant
- Immunisation rates for DPT & Measles become highly significant (!)