Assignment 5
OPTI 502 Optical Design and Instrumentation I
University of Arizona

Nicolás Hernández Alegría

September 29, 2025

Exercise 1

a) In this case, the object is virtual and the image will be real and erected (figure 1).

Figure 1: Real demagnified and erected image generated by a virtual object away from F'.

b) The object is virtual, and therefore the image will be real and erected (figure 2).

Figure 2: Real magnified and erected image from a virtual object within F.

c) The object is virtual, and the image will be virtual and inverted (figure 3).

Exercise 2

a) For a single refracting surface, we have that:

Figure 3: Virtual inverted and magnified image from a virtual object far from F.

- Both nodal points are located at the center of curvature CC.
- Front and real principal planes are located at the vertex.
- The reduced thickness of the surface is the focal length of its thin lens representation.

We illustrate these quantities along with the vertex and the focal lengths in the following figure. We

Figure 4: Illustration of cardinal point for a single refractive surface.

illustrate also some quantities of this surface:

$$C = \frac{1}{R} = 100 \ m^{-1}, \quad \phi = (n' - n)C = 33.3 \ m^{-1}, \quad f_E = \frac{1}{\phi} = 30 \ mm,$$

$$f_F = -nf_E = -30 \ mm, \quad f'_R = n'f_E = 40 \ mm.$$

b) We use the following equation:

$$\frac{n'}{z'} = \frac{n}{z} + \frac{1}{f_E} \longrightarrow z' = \frac{n'zf_E}{nf_E + z}.$$

Replacing the physical values and the EFL:

$$z' = \frac{(1.333)(30)(-100)}{(1)(30) - 100} = +57.129 \ mm.$$

2

Its height is determined by the magnification:

$$m = \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{z'/n'}{z/n} = \frac{57.129/1.333}{-100/1} = -0.429 \longrightarrow h' = mh = (-0.429)(10\ mm) = -4.29\ mm.$$

c) The cube is divided in equal part by the optical axis, yielding a height of $h = 5\ mm$. Its last side is located $100\ mm$ from the principal planes, as all their sides have the same sizes. Its first face is located $110\ mm$ from the principal plane. Now, the area of one side is $100\ mm^2$. We want to find the equivalent (area of volume) of its image. We can address this problem by considering two lines at each side of the cube as two independent objects with (z_1, h_1) and (z_2, h_2). Then, we do imaging of both to get (z_1', h_1') and (z_2', h_2'). The difference between positions and heights allow us to construct the image dimension.

$$z_1: \quad z_1' = \frac{(1.333)(30)(-110)}{(1)(30) - 110} = +54.986\ mm$$

$$z_2: \quad z_2' = \frac{(1.333)(30)(-100)}{(1)(30) - 100} = +57.129\ mm$$

We do the same for the magnification to compute the corresponding heights:

$$m_1 = \frac{54.9862/1.333}{-110/1} = -0.375 \longrightarrow h_1' = m_1 h_1 = (-0.375)(5) = -1.875\ mm$$

$$m_2 = \frac{57.129/1.333}{-100/1} = -0.429 \longrightarrow h_2' = m_2 h_2 = (-0.429)(5) = -2.145\ mm.$$

With these two distances and heights, we can construct the object volume. The remaining dimension perpendicular to z (optical axis) is affected in exactly the same way. For the difference in z, we have

$$\Delta z = z_2' - z_1' = 2.143\ mm.$$

For the heights, we will use the mean value between both to define an equivalent cube (the final volume will not be affected). Also, we include a factor 2 as we only considered half of the height. Therefore,

$$\Delta h = |h_1 + h_2| = 4.02\ mm.$$

The x dimension, will be affected in the same form as the heights:

$$\Delta x = \Delta h.$$

Finally, the volume of the image is:

$$\Delta x \Delta h \Delta z = (4.02)(4.02)(2.143) = 34.632\ mm^3.$$

If we divide this volume by the volume of the object, we will have exactly the product of the magnification for each dimension, that is,

$$m_x m_y m_z = \frac{34.623}{10^3} = 0.0346.$$

On the other hand, the illustration of the image dimension is shown in figure 5.

Figure 5: Image form and dimension given the object.

Exercise 3

For a two positive lens system, we use Gaussian reduction to reduce the effect to a single thin lens. We first compute the overall optical power with the power of individual lenses:

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_1\phi_2 t = \frac{1}{40} + \frac{1}{40} - \frac{1}{40}\frac{1}{40} \cdot 20 = 0.038 mm^{-1} \longrightarrow f_E = \frac{1}{\phi} = 26.67 \ mm.$$

The front and real focal lengths are:

$$f_F = -n_1 f_E = (1)(26.67 \ mm) = -26.67 \ mm, \quad \text{and} \quad f_R' = n_3 f_E = (1)(26.67 \ mm) = 26.67 \ mm.$$

Then the distances d and d', corresponding to the shift from the front (rear) principal planes P, P' of the equivalent system with respect to f_F, f_R' are given by

$$d = \frac{\phi_2}{\phi}t = \frac{0.025}{0.038}20 = 13.158 \ mm, \quad \text{and} \quad d' = -\frac{\phi_1}{\phi}t = -\frac{0.025}{0.038}20 = -13.158 \ mm.$$

The front (back) focal distances are then: The FFD and BFD are therefore,

$$\text{FFD} = f_F + d = -26.67 \ mm + 13.158 \ mm = -13.512 \ mm.$$
$$\text{BFD} = f_R' + d' = 26.67 \ mm - 13.512 \ mm = 13.512 \ mm.$$

The reduction process and the quantities obtained are illustrated in figure 6.

Exercise 4

In this case we have three surface, each with their correspond surface curvature C and index of refraction n.

- **Gaussian reduction** The optical power of each surface is:

$$\phi_1 = \frac{n_1 - n_0}{R_1} = \frac{1.336 - 1}{7.8 \ mm} = 0.043 \ mm^{-1},$$
$$\phi_2 = \frac{n_2 - n_1}{R_2} = \frac{1.413 - 1.336}{10 \ mm} = 0.008 \ mm^{-1},$$
$$\phi_3 = \frac{n_3 - n_2}{R_3} = \frac{1.336 - 1.413}{-6 \ mm} = 0.013 \ mm^{-1}.$$

Now, we combine surface 1 with 2:

$$\phi_{12} = \phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_1\phi_2\tau_1 = 0.043 + 0.008 - 0.043 \cdot 0.008 \cdot \frac{3.6}{1.336} = 0.050 \ mm^{-1}.$$

4

Figure 6: Gaussian reduction for two positive lenses.

The shift of the principal plane are given by

$$\delta_{12} = \frac{\phi_2}{\phi_{12}}\tau_1 = \frac{0.008}{0.050} \cdot \frac{3.6}{1.336} = 0.431 \ mm \longrightarrow d_{12} = \delta_{12}.$$

$$\delta'_{12} = -\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_{12}}\tau_1 = -\frac{0.043}{0.050} \cdot \frac{3.6}{1.336} = -2.317 \ mm \longrightarrow d'_{12} = n_2\delta'_{12} = -3.274 \ mm.$$

We can see that the front principal plane is displaced from V_1 to the left, while the rear principal plane is shifted to the right of V_2. In addition, the distance d'_{12} considered the index n_2 as it belong to that space. The distance of propagation through the index n_2 must be adjusted due to the shift of the rear principal plane:

$$\tau_{12} = \frac{t_2 - d'_{12}}{n_3} = \tau_2 - \delta'_{12} = \frac{3.6}{1.413} + 2.317 = 4.865 \ mm.$$

Now, we compute the total optical power considering the reduction and the third surface:

$$\phi = \phi_{12} + \phi_3 - \phi_{12}\phi_3\tau_{12} = 0.050 + 0.013 - (0.046)(0.013)(4.865) = 0.060 \ mm^{-1}.$$

The shifts are:

$$d_{123} = n_0\delta_{123} = \frac{\phi_3}{\phi}\tau_{12} = \frac{0.013}{0.060} \cdot 4.865 = 1.054 \; mm$$

$$d'_{123} = n_3\delta'_{123} = -n_3\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi}\tau_{12} = -(1.336)\frac{0.050}{0.060} \cdot 4.865 = -5.416 \; mm.$$

The total shift from the first surface is the sum of individual fron shift computed, while for the last surface is just the shift computed in the last reduction:

$$d = d_{12} + d_{123} = 0.431 + 1.054 = 1.485 \; mm$$

$$d' = d'_{123} = -5.416 \; mm.$$

The front (rear) focal lengths are then

$$f_E = \frac{1}{\phi} = 16.667 \; mm \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} f_F = -n_0 f_E = -(1)(16.667) = -16.667 \; mm \\ f'_R = n_3 f_E = (1.336)(16.667) = 22.267 \; mm. \end{array}$$

Finally, the FFD and BFD are:

$$\text{FFD} = f_F + d_{123} = -16.667 + 1.054 = 15.613 \; mm$$

$$\text{BFD} = f'_R + d'_{123} = 22.267 - 5.416 = 16.851 \; mm.$$

The reduction process is shown in figure 7. The green quantities are the equivalent of the final reduction.

- **Ray tracing** For the ray tracing, we will fill the ynu spreadsheet. We will trace two rays, one from left to right and other in opposite direction in order to find the front and real focal lengths.

	Object space	Space 1	Surface 1	Space 2	Surface 2	Space 3	Surface 3	Space 4	Image space
C			0.128		0.1		-0.167		
t		15.167		3.6		3.6		16.856	
n		1		1.336		1.413		1.336	
$-\phi$			-0.043		-0.008		-0.013		
t/n		15.167		2.695		2.548		12.617	
y	1	1	1		0.884		0.757		0
nu	0	0		-0.043		-0.05		-0.060	
u	0	0						-0.045	
y	0		0.910		0.967		1	1	1
nu		0.060		0.021		0.013		0	0
u		0.060						0	0

We must compare the t in blue with the FFD and the red t with the BFD. The differences are due to the approximation in intermediate computations. We can see that both methods yield the same answer, despite that ynu raytracing is way faster than Gaussian reduction.

The effective focal length is defined considering the magnification nu divided by the input ray:

$$f'_E = \frac{1}{\phi'} = -\frac{y_1}{nu'} = \frac{1}{0.060} = 16.667 \; mm \longrightarrow f'_R = n_3 f'_E = 22.267 \; mm.$$

Figure 7: Gaussian reduction for the three-surfaces object.

Similarly,

$$f'_E = \frac{1}{\phi} = \frac{y_2}{nu} = \frac{1}{0.060} = 16.667 \; mm \longrightarrow f_F = -n_0 f_E = -16.667 \; mm.$$

The focal lengths match exactly as the ones computed by Gaussian reduction. We can also compute the principal planes shifts, but we will not do it as we already know the answer.

Exercise 5

For this problem, we must consider the reflection with a negative index and a negative distante t. We will asume that $n = 1$. The first media after the object space is the one with $n = 1.5$ which has a negative curvature C_1. Then, a mirror with negative C_2 reflects the ray where a negative distance must be considered. After refracts again in the surface 1, the air propagation extend to infinity. The following table illustrates the raytracing, where a parallel ray is propagated and the distance from the last refraction is found by setting $y = 0$.

	Object space	Space 1	Surface 1	Space 2	Surface 2	Space 3	Surface 3	Space 4	Image space
C			-0.01		-0.006		-0.01		
t		127.667		10		-10		-84.182	
n		1		1.5		-1.5		-1	
$-\phi$			0.005		-0.02		0.005		
t/n		127.667		6.667		6.667		84.182	
y	1	1	1		1.033		0.926		0
nu	0	0		0.005		-0.016		-0.011	
u	0	0						-0.011	
y	0		0.766		0.833		1	1	1
nu		0.006		0.010		0.025		0	0
u		0.006						0	0