

# Assignment 4

## OPTI 502 Optical Design and Instrumentation I

University of Arizona

Nicolás Hernández Alegría

September 16, 2025

### Exercise 1

The reduced thickness in this case, help us to obtain the equivalent air space of the medium of refractive index  $n$ . The greater  $n$  the shorter the equivalent distance, that because the wave propagates slower.

- a) In this case, we have

$$\tau = \frac{500 \text{ mm}}{1.33} = 375.94 \text{ mm.}$$

- b) The total distance is the sum of the air thickness and the reduced thickness of the water:

$$\tau_{\text{total}} = 500 \text{ mm} + 375.94 \text{ mm} = 875.94 \text{ mm.}$$

- c) In this case, we assume that the thick layer of ice has **replaced** 100 m of the air distance while the distance from of the water remains the same.

- For the part a), the distance would be:

$$\tau_{\text{total}} = \frac{100 \text{ mm}}{1.31} + \frac{500 \text{ mm}}{1.33} = 452.27 \text{ mm.}$$

- For part b), the total equivalent distance is

$$\tau_{\text{total}} = 400 \text{ mm} + \frac{100 \text{ mm}}{1.31} + \frac{500 \text{ mm}}{1.33} = 852.27 \text{ mm.}$$

Because 100 mm of aire has now been replaced by the ice.

### Exercise 2

I will assume that the glass rod is intended to perform the action of the two lenses in each case so that depending on the raddi of curvatures of each surface, an incoming collimated ray will outputs collimated but scaled. Therefore, the problem reduces to find  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  of the rod to accomplish both conditions: remains collimated (zero total optical power), and perform magnification.

a) For a magnification of  $-0.5$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\text{total}} = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 - \frac{t}{n} \Phi_1 \Phi_2 = 0.$$

Using the magnification formula for afocal systems  $m = -f_2/f_1$  and the definition of the optical power  $\Phi_i = (n' - n)/R_i = 1/f_i$  allow us to relate the optical power of each surface as  $m = -\Phi_1/\Phi_2$ . Equating the magnification if the previous formula

$$m = -\Phi_1/\Phi_2 = -0.5 \longrightarrow \Phi_1 = 0.5\Phi_2.$$

If we replace this result in the total power and solve for  $\Phi_2$  yields

$$(0.5\Phi_2) + \Phi_2 - \frac{t}{n}(0.5\Phi_2)\Phi_2 = 0 \longrightarrow \Phi_2 = \frac{3n}{t} = -\frac{(n-1)}{R_2}.$$

We can now substitute the refractive index and the length for then solve for  $R_2$ :

$$\Phi_2 = 30 \text{ mm}^{-1} \longrightarrow R_2 = -0.016 \text{ mm}.$$

Then,  $R_1$  is

$$\Phi_1 = 0.5(30) = 15 \text{ mm}^{-1} \longrightarrow R_1 = \frac{n-1}{\Phi_1} = 0.033 \text{ mm}.$$

b) To achieve a magnification of  $+0.5$  we do the same procedure. First, the magnification tells us

$$m = -\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2} = 0.5 \longrightarrow \Phi_2 = -2\Phi_1.$$

Then, substituting in the total power and solving for  $\Phi_1$  yields

$$\Phi_1 + (-2\Phi_1) - \frac{t}{n}\Phi_1(-2\Phi_1) = 0 \longrightarrow \Phi_1 = \frac{n}{2t} = \frac{(n-1)}{R_1}.$$

The value of the radii of curvature 1 is

$$\Phi_1 = 5 \text{ mm}^{-1} \longrightarrow R_1 = 0.10 \text{ mm}.$$

The same for  $R_2$  is

$$\Phi_2 = -2(5) = -10 \text{ mm}^{-1} \longrightarrow R_2 = 0.050 \text{ mm}.$$