

Assignment 5

OPTI 570 Quantum Mechanics

University of Arizona

Nicolás Hernández Alegría

September 30, 2025
Total time: 5 hours

Problem I

Part 1.

We define the effective state in the second frame $|\psi_E(t)\rangle = \mathbb{F}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$, where $\mathbb{F}(t)$ is some unitary time-dependent operator. Substituting $|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle$ into the Schrodinger equation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\partial_t \left[\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle \right] &= H(t) \left[\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle \right] \\ i\hbar \left[\partial_t \mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle + \mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)\partial_t|\psi_E(t)\rangle \right] &= H(t)\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle \\ i\hbar\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t)\partial_t|\psi_E(t)\rangle &= \left[H(t)\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t) - i\hbar\partial_t\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t) \right] |\psi_E(t)\rangle / \mathbb{F}(t) \\ i\hbar\partial_t|\psi_E(t)\rangle &= \left[\mathbb{F}(t)H(t)\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t) - i\hbar\mathbb{F}(t)\partial_t\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t) \right] |\psi_E(t)\rangle \\ i\hbar\partial_t|\psi_E(t)\rangle &= H_E(t)|\psi_E(t)\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_E(t)$ is the effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_E(t) = \mathbb{F}(t)H(t)\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t) - i\hbar\mathbb{F}(t)\partial_t\mathbb{F}^\dagger(t).$$

Part 2.

We know that

$$|\psi_I(t)\rangle = \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger(t, t_0)|\psi_S(t)\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{U}_0(t, t_0) = e^{-i(t-t_0)H_0/\hbar}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\partial_t \left[\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger|\psi_S(t)\rangle \right] &= i\hbar\partial_t\mathbb{U}^\dagger|\psi_S(t)\rangle + i\hbar\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger\partial_t|\psi_S(t)\rangle \\ &= i\hbar\partial_t\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger|\psi_S(t)\rangle + \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger H_S(t)|\psi_S\rangle \\ &= \left[i\hbar(\partial_t\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger)\mathbb{U}_0 + \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger H_S(t)\mathbb{U}_0 \right] |\psi_I(t)\rangle \\ &= \left[-\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger H_0 \mathbb{U}_0 + \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger (H_0 + W(t)) \mathbb{U}_0 \right] |\psi_I(t)\rangle \quad (i\hbar\partial_t\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger = -\mathbb{U}_0^\dagger H_0) \\ i\hbar\partial_t|\psi_I(t)\rangle &= \left[\mathbb{U}_0(t, t_0)^\dagger W(t) \mathbb{U}_0(t, t_0) \right] |\psi_I(t)\rangle \\ i\hbar\partial_t|\psi_I(t)\rangle &= H_E(t)|\psi_I(t)\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_E(t)$ is the effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_E(t) = \mathbb{U}_0(t, t_0)^\dagger W(t) \mathbb{U}_0(t, t_0).$$

Problem II

1. The probability for energies greater than $2\hbar\omega$ is then

$$P(E > 2\hbar\omega) = \sum_{n \geq 2} |c_n|^2, \quad c_n = \langle n | \psi(t) \rangle.$$

If $P = 0$, then all $c_n = 0$, $n \geq 2$. Only c_0 and c_1 may be non-zero.

2. The normalization condition means that

$$\sum_{n < 2} |c_n|^2 = 1 \implies |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1.$$

The mean value of the energy is

$$\langle H \rangle = \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = |c_0|^2 E_0 + |c_1|^2 E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega |c_0|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \hbar\omega |c_1|^2.$$

If $\langle H \rangle = \hbar\omega$, we have a system of equation composed of the normalization and mean value expression:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} \hbar\omega |c_0|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \hbar\omega |c_1|^2 = \hbar\omega \\ |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1 \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow |c_0|^2 = |c_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

3. First, we develop the mean value of X :

$$\langle X \rangle = \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0 | + e^{-i\theta_1} \langle 1 |) X (|0\rangle + e^{i\theta_1} |1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} [\langle 0 | X | 0 \rangle + e^{i\theta_1} \langle 0 | X | 1 \rangle + e^{-i\theta_1} \langle 1 | X | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | X | 1 \rangle].$$

The last result is due to the result we have obtained in the previous incise. Now, we use the matrix element of X of the harmonic oscillator:

$$X = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^\dagger), \quad \text{where} \quad a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle, \quad a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle.$$

We compute the terms separately,

$$\langle 0 | X | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle 0 | (a + a^\dagger) | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 0 | a | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | a^\dagger | 0 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 0 | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | 1 \rangle] = 0,$$

$$\langle 1 | X | 1 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 1 | a | 1 \rangle + \langle 1 | a^\dagger | 1 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\sqrt{1} \langle 1 | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{2} \langle 1 | 2 \rangle] = 0,$$

$$\langle 0 | X | 1 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 0 | a | 1 \rangle + \langle 0 | a^\dagger | 1 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\sqrt{1} \langle 0 | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{2} \langle 0 | 2 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}},$$

$$\langle 1 | X | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 1 | a | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | a^\dagger | 0 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\langle 1 | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{1} \langle 1 | 1 \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}.$$

We put these results in $\langle X \rangle$:

$$\langle X \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \frac{e^{i\theta_1} + e^{-i\theta_1}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cos \theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}.$$

The last relation means that

$$\cos \theta_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \longrightarrow \theta_1 = \pm \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (\text{inside one period}).$$

4. The time evolution is:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^1 c_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-i\omega t/2} |0\rangle + e^{i\theta_1} e^{-i3\omega t/2} |1\rangle \right)$$

We can factor out the common phase that translates to global phase factor so that we have

$$|\psi(t)\rangle \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{i(\theta_1 - \omega t)} |1\rangle \right) \longrightarrow \theta_1(t) = \theta_1 - \omega t.$$

We use our previous result of $\langle X \rangle$ and replace θ_1 by $\theta_1(t)$:

$$\langle X \rangle(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \cos(\omega t - \theta_1).$$

The argument of the cosine is reversed as the one in part c) due to the restriction of $\cos \theta_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Problem III

We know that we can express the density operator in an orthogonal basis

$$\rho = \sum_i \pi_i |\chi_i\rangle \langle \chi_i|, \quad \pi_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_i \pi_i = 1.$$

Then squaring ρ affect only to the π_i elements:

$$\rho^2 = \sum_i \pi_i^2 |\chi_i\rangle \langle \chi_i|.$$

In a pure state, $\rho = |\psi(t)\rangle \langle \psi(t)|$ behaves as a projector, and its eigenvalues are therefore $\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$. Thus, in the $\{|\chi_i\rangle\}$ basis,

$$[\rho]_\chi = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad [\rho^2]_\chi = [\rho]_\chi.$$

However, in the statistical mixture, more than one eigenvalue is non-zero, with $0 < \pi_i < 1$ for at least two indices. Then $[\rho]_\chi$ has several diagonal elements between 0 and 1, and $[\rho^2]_\chi$ has those entries squared.

Since we initially had $\sum_i \pi_i = 1$, $\pi_i \geq 0$, we have that $\sum_i \pi_i \leq 1$ with the equality if one $\pi_i = 1$ and all others are 0. So, the trace will indicate when we are in a pure state or a statistical mixture:

$$\rho \text{ is pure} \iff \text{Tr}[\rho^2] = 1.$$

The inequality between statistical mixture and pure state is that in the latter we can interpret the density operator as a projector whereas in the first case not. This is expressed with the inequality of the idempotency property of any projector:

$$\rho^2 \neq \rho.$$

which translates to a trace less or equal than unity:

$$\text{Tr}[\rho^2] \leq 1.$$

Problem IV

a) We use the definition of the trace

$$\text{Tr}[P_{\psi(t)}] = \sum_j \langle \phi_j | P_{\psi(t)} | \phi_j \rangle = \sum_j \langle \phi_j | \psi(t) \rangle \langle \psi(t) | \phi_j \rangle = \sum_j \langle \psi(t) | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle.$$

We now replace the relation with the time-evolution operator, which preserves the norm,

$$\langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi(0) | U^\dagger(t, 0) U(t, 0) | \psi(0) \rangle = \langle \psi(0) | \psi(0) \rangle = 1.$$

Then,

$$\text{Tr}[P_{\psi(t)}] = 1, \quad \forall t.$$

b) We use the expansion of $|\psi(t)\rangle$ to show that the projector at $t = 0$ is

$$[P_{\psi(0)}]_{mn} = \langle u_m | P_{\psi(0)} | u_n \rangle = \langle u_m | \psi(0) \rangle \langle \psi(0) | u_n \rangle = c_m c_n^*.$$

So, iterating over m, n we construct the matrix:

$$P_{\psi(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} |c_1|^2 & c_1 c_2^* & c_1 c_3^* \\ c_2 c_1^* & |c_2|^2 & c_2 c_3^* \\ c_3 c_1^* & c_3 c_2^* & |c_3|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see directly that the trace (sum of diagonal element) is unitary:

$$\text{Tr}[P_{\psi(0)}] = |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 + |c_3|^2 = 1.$$

c) if the first element if $|u_1\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle$, then the projector will only consider this element

$$P_{\psi(0)} = |\psi(0)\rangle \langle \psi(0)| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \\ \vdots & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can see that the trace is 1 so is the only element in the diagonal.

d) Let define another projector $P_m = |w_m\rangle \langle w_m|$. The probability of obtaining λ_m is

$$p_m(t) = \langle \psi(t) | P_m | \psi(t) \rangle = \sum_j \langle \psi(t) | u_j \rangle \langle u_j | P_m | \psi(t) \rangle = \sum_j \langle u_j | P_m | \psi(t) \rangle \langle \psi(t) | u_j \rangle$$

$$p_m(t) = \text{Tr}[P_m |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|] = \text{Tr}[P_m P_{\psi(t)}].$$

We use cyclic property of the trace to interchange the order of the argument and finally obtain

$$p_m(t) = \text{Tr}[P_m P_{\psi(t)}] = \text{Tr}[P_{\psi(t)} P_m].$$

e) The derivative of $P_{\psi(t)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P_{\psi(t)} &= \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| + |\psi\rangle \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi| \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} H |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| - \frac{1}{i\hbar} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| H \quad \left(i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = H |\psi\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} (H P_{\psi(t)} - P_{\psi(t)} H) \\ \frac{d}{dt} P_{\psi(t)} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [H, P_{\psi(t)}]. \end{aligned}$$