# Requirement Specification Document Label Refinement by Behavioral Similarity

Document owners:
Bianka Bakullari
Christopher Beine
Nicole Ventsch
Juan

Last edited: April 24, 2019

# Contents

1	$\operatorname{Pro}$	ject Drivers
	1.1	The Purpose of the Project
	1.2	The Client, the Customer and other Stakeholders
	1.3	Users of the Product
<b>2</b>	Pro	ject Constraints
	2.1	Mandated Constraints
	2.2	Naming Conventions and Definitions
	2.3	Relevant Facts and Assumptions
3	Fun	actional Requirements
•	3.1	The Scope of the Work
	3.2	The Scope of the Product
	3.3	Functional and Data Requirements
	<b>T</b> A T	
4		nfunctional Requirements
	4.1	Look and Feel Requirements
	4.2	Usability and Humanity Requirements
	4.3	Performance Requirements
	4.4	Operational and Environmental Requirements
	4.5	Maintainability and Support Requirements
	4.6	Security Requirements
	4.7	Cultural and Political Requirements
	4.8	Legal Requirements
5	Pro	ject Issues
	5.1	Open Issues
	5.2	Off-the-Shelf Solutions
	5.3	New Problems
	5.4	Tasks
	5.5	Migration to the New Product
	5.6	Risks
	5.7	Costs
	5.8	User Documentation
	5.9	Waiting Room
	Ref	erences3

## 1 Project Drivers

- 1.1 The Purpose of the Project
- 1.2 The Client, the Customer and other Stakeholders
- 1.3 Users of the Product
- 2 Project Constraints
- 2.1 Mandated Constraints
- 2.2 Naming Conventions and Definitions
- 2.3 Relevant Facts and Assumptions
- 3 Functional Requirements
- 3.1 The Scope of the Work
- 3.2 The Scope of the Product
- 3.3 Functional and Data Requirements
- 4 Nonfunctional Requirements
- 4.1 Look and Feel Requirements
- 4.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements
- 4.3 Performance Requirements
- 4.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements
- 4.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements
- 4.6 Security Requirements
- 4.7 Cultural and Political Requirements
- 4.8 Legal Requirements
- 5 Project Issues
- 5.1 Open Issues
- 5.2 Off-the-Shelf Solutions
- 5.3 New Problems
- 5.4 Tasks
- 5.5 Migration to the New Product
- 5.6 Risks

Risks	Description	Category	Mitigation
Inaccurate expectations.	Stakeholders develop in-	Stakeholder	Clearly state in the re-
	accurate expectations (be-		quirement documentation
	lieve that the project will		what are the deliverables
	achieve something not in		meant to be done and the
	the requirements, plan,		scope of the project.
	etc).		
Process inputs are low	Inputs from stakeholders	Stakeholder	Kindly ask the stakeholder
quality.	that are low quality (e.g.		for a more detailed and
	business case, require-		clearer version of any input
	ments, change requests).		they may provide i.e., re-
			quirements, business cases.
Misunderstood require-	When requirements are	Communication	Meet with the stakehold-
ments.	misinterpreted by the		ers and discuss the require-
	project team.		ments again until the team
			is sure that they have com-
			pletely understood them.
Learning curves.	Project team needs to ac-	Team	Motivate the project
	quire new skills for the		team, give them the best
	project.		practices on the IT field
			and make experts instruct
			them using their knowl-
			edge and own experience.
Integration failure.	Product components will	Integration	Establish standards for
	fail to integrate with each		product development and
	other.		make sure that the indi-
			vidual components passed
			flawlessly the unit test.
Requirements are incom-	Requirements are not	Requirements	Make a peer-review of
plete.	fully captured or are		the requirement documen-
	overlooked.		tation and make sure that
			nothing is being left out.

#### 5.7 Costs

#### 5.8 User Documentation

- 1. Technical documentation:
  - Software code documentation.
  - Technical specifications.
- 2. User documentation including:
  - How to use the UI.
  - Examples of inputs and outputs.
  - Explanation of error messages.
  - Information to contact the developers (in case of further questions).

### 5.9 Waiting Room

- Additional feature which enables the user to choose a Business Process Model Discovery (BPMD) technique to visualize the resulting process model and to pick the one which is considered to be the best one (according to user's expertise).
- Additional feature that allows for the automatic detection of "imprecise labels" by using properties of the Inductive Miner (IM).

# References

[1] Lu, Xixi, et al. "Handling duplicated tasks in process discovery by refining event labels." International Conference on Business Process Management. Springer, Cham, 2016.