Requirement Specification Document Label Refinement by Behavioral Similarity

Document owners:
Bianka Bakullari
Christopher Beine
Nicole Ventsch
Juan

Last edited: April 25, 2019

Contents

1	Pro	ject Drivers
	1.1	The Purpose of the Project
	1.2	The Client, the Customer and other Stakeholders
	1.3	Users of the Product
2	Pro	ject Constraints
	2.1	Mandated Constraints
	2.2	Naming Conventions and Definitions
	2.3	Relevant Facts and Assumptions
3	Fun	actional Requirements 2
•	3.1	The Scope of the Work
	3.2	The Scope of the Product
	3.3	Functional and Data Requirements
1	Nov	nfunctional Requirements
4		Infunctional Requirements Look and Feel Requirements
	4.1	1
	4.2	Usability and Humanity Requirements
	4.3	Performance Requirements
	4.4	Operational and Environmental Requirements
	4.5	Maintainability and Support Requirements
	4.6	Security Requirements
	4.7	Cultural and Political Requirements
	4.8	Legal Requirements
5	Pro	ject Issues
	5.1	Open Issues
	5.2	Off-the-Shelf Solutions
	5.3	New Problems
	5.4	Tasks
	5.5	Migration to the New Product
	5.6	Risks
	5.7	Costs
	5.8	User Documentation
	5.9	Waiting Room
	Ref	erences4

1 Project Drivers

1.1 The Purpose of the Project

The purpose of the project is providing a platform that allows refinements of event logs, where actions that originally had the same label are relabelled if they have different structural behaviours. In order to do so, an interactive web service should be implemented that allows users to upload event logs in the standard XES format and set a threshold for the refinements. This event log should contain actions that are carried out multiple times and are named identically. The web service should then apply an algorithm to it that refines the log in a way that these actions are relabelled based on structural similarity. Finally, the user should be able to download the refined log.

The users can then use the refined log to gain insight by applying process discovery algorithms to the data. By using the refinement algorithm, higher accuracies should be reached and that way lead to a better insight into the processes.

1.2 The Client, the Customer and other Stakeholders

The client of this project is the Chair of Process and Data Science at the RWTH Aachen, which provides the supervision and support for this project. Furthermore, there are many different potential users. For example, students or researchers could use the website as a preprocessing step in order to continue research with the refined log. Additionally, business analysts from companies could use it in order to get a better insight from the refined log than from the original one. Since we will provide a web service, it is easily accessible and not limited to be used by a prespecified group of people.

2 Project Constraints

- 2.1 Mandated Constraints
- 2.2 Naming Conventions and Definitions
- 2.3 Relevant Facts and Assumptions
- 3 Functional Requirements
- 3.1 The Scope of the Work
- 3.2 The Scope of the Product
- 3.3 Functional and Data Requirements

4 Nonfunctional Requirements

- 4.1 Look and Feel Requirements
- 4.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements
- 4.3 Performance Requirements
- 4.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements
- 4.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements
- 4.6 Security Requirements
- 4.7 Cultural and Political Requirements
- 4.8 Legal Requirements

5 Project Issues

5.1 Open Issues

Our team has a rough idea of the steps needed to implement the algorithm and the interface. However, we are unsure of the relative time needed for each of these parts. Many unexpected bugs in our algorithm could hinder the time we have in our disposal to design a user-friendly web-interface.

While we will try to achieve writing an efficient algorithm, there are no concrete performance measures required. Drawbacks will probably be found during implementation and decisions will have to be made on the spot.

Since each of the members has to be fully aware of and actively participate in the coding process, it is still unclear how the functionalities will be divided between the group members. Whether or not some functionalities should be implemented together or individually depends on type and importance of functionality, personal schedules, deadlines and so on.

5.2 Off-the-Shelf Solutions

There has already been an implementation of the algorithm in ProM. This might be helpful in the designing steps of our algorithm.

We will use a code written in Java to automatically generate events logs.

@juan: Where did you get the java code you showed us once?

5.3 New Problems

The Label Refinement algorithm intends to give the user an alternative event log on which process discovery algorithms can be applied. Whether or not the models resulting from the new refined log have better precision or fitness compared to the original models is beyond our scope. Since the result also depends on the thresholds

and set of candidate activities which are chosen by the user, we assume that the user has some background on Process Mining and has clear intentions for trying to work with a new refined log. The algorithm does not filter out events or features in the data.

A common mistake the user could make is upload an event log in the .csv or .xlsx format instead of .xes format.

- 5.4 Tasks
- 5.5 Migration to the New Product
- 5.6 Risks

Risks	Description	Category	Mitigation
Inaccurate expectations.	Stakeholders develop in-	Stakeholder	Clearly state in the re-
	accurate expectations (be-		quirement documentation
	lieve that the project will		what are the deliverables
	achieve something not in		meant to be done and the
	the requirements, plan,		scope of the project.
	etc.).		
Process inputs are low	Inputs from stakeholders	Stakeholder	Kindly ask the stakeholder
quality.	that are low quality (e.g.		for a more detailed and
	business case, require-		clearer version of any input
	ments, change requests).		they may provide i.e., re-
			quirements, business cases.
Misunderstood require-	When requirements are	Communication	Meet with the stakehold-
ments.	misinterpreted by the		ers and discuss the require-
	project team.		ments again until the team
			is sure that they have com-
			pletely understood them.
Learning curves.	Project team needs to ac-	Team	Motivate the project
	quire new skills for the		team, give them the best
	project.		practices on the IT field
			and make experts instruct
			them using their knowl-
			edge and own experience.
Integration failure.	Product components will	Integration	Establish standards for
	fail to integrate with each		product development and
	other.		make sure that the indi-
			vidual components passed
		<u> </u>	flawlessly the unit test.
Requirements are incom-	Requirements are not	Requirements	Make a peer-review of
plete.	fully captured or are		the requirement documen-
	overlooked.		tation and make sure that
			nothing is being left out.

5.7 Costs

5.8 User Documentation

- 1. Technical documentation:
 - Software code documentation.
 - Technical specifications.
- 2. User documentation including:
 - How to use the UI.
 - Examples of inputs and outputs.
 - Explanation of error messages.
 - Information to contact the developers (in case of further questions).

5.9 Waiting Room

- Additional feature which enables the user to choose a Business Process Model Discovery (BPMD) technique to visualize the resulting process model and to pick the one which is considered to be the best one (according to user's expertise).
- Additional feature that allows for the automatic detection of "imprecise labels" by using properties of the Inductive Miner (IM).

References

[1] Lu, Xixi, et al. "Handling duplicated tasks in process discovery by refining event labels." International Conference on Business Process Management. Springer, Cham, 2016.