Expectation of a Random Variable

We define the expectation of a (discrete) random variable X as $\sum_{x} x \cdot \Pr(X = x)$



Example: Expected Waiting Time for a "Heads"

- ► Let the random variable *X* denote the number of flips of a *p*-biased coin until we get the first "heads"
 - ▶ $Pr(X = i) = p(1 p)^{i-1}$ for $i \ge 1$
 - ► Thus $E(X) = \sum_{i>1} i \cdot p(1-p)^{i-1}$
- ▶ For any z in [0,1), we have $S = \sum_{i\geq 1} i \cdot z^i = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$
 - Observe that $S zS = \sum_{i \ge 1} z^i = \frac{z}{1-z}$
- ► Thus $E(X) = \frac{p}{1-p} \cdot \frac{1-p}{p^2} = \frac{1}{p}$



Linearity of Expectation

▶ For any random variables *X* and *Y*, we have

$$\mathsf{E}(X+Y)=\mathsf{E}(X)+\mathsf{E}(Y)$$

$$E(X + Y) = \sum_{x,y} (x + y) \cdot Pr(X = x \land Y = y)$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} x \cdot Pr(X = x \land Y = y) + \sum_{x,y} y \cdot Pr(X = x \land Y = y)$$

$$= \sum_{x} x \cdot Pr(X = x) + \sum_{y} y \cdot Pr(Y = y)$$

$$= E(X) + E(Y)$$

Expected Number of Flips to get Heads (Revisited)

- ▶ Let the "indicator" random variable *X_i* be equal to 1 if the number of flips is at least *i*, and 0 otherwise
 - ▶ The X_i 's are not independent
 - We have $Pr(X_i = 1) = (1 p)^{i-1}$ and thus $E(X_i) = (1 p)^{i-1}$
- Observe that $X = \sum_{i>1} X_i$
- Thus, by linearity of expectation, we have

$$\mathsf{E}(X) = \sum_{i \ge 1} (1 - p)^{i - 1} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - p)} = \frac{1}{p}$$



Example: A Coupon Collector Process

- Suppose we repeatedly draw a uniformly random number from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ until we have drawn each number at least once
- What is the expected number of draws?
- We partition the analysis into n phases
 - For $1 \le i \le n$, phase i begins (and phase i-1 terminates) once i-1 distinct integers have been drawn
 - ▶ Let the random variable *X_i* denote the number of draws in phase *i*
 - ▶ Let X denote $\sum_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$



A Coupon Collector Process (cont'd)

- ► The random variable X_i corresponds to the number of flips to get heads with a $\frac{n-i+1}{n}$ -biased coin
- ▶ Thus $E(X_i) = \frac{n}{n-i+1}$
- By linearity of expectation, we have

$$\mathsf{E}(X) = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{n}{n - i + 1} = n \sum_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{1}{i} = n H_n \sim n \ln n$$



Randomized Quicksort

- Consider a randomized variant of Quicksort in which the pivot is chosen uniformly at random
- ► Assume for the sake of convenience that the *n* keys to be sorted are distinct
- ▶ Index the keys from 1 to *n* from smallest to largest
- ► Let the random variable *X* denote the number of comparisons performed
- ▶ For $1 \le i < j \le n$, let the indicator random variable $X_{i,j}$ be equal to 1 if keys i and j are compared, and 0 otherwise
- Since no pair of keys are compared more than once, we have $X = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} X_{i,j}$



Randomized Quicksort (cont'd)

- For any i and j such that $1 \le i < j \le n$, what is the probability that keys i and j are compared?
 - ▶ They are compared if and only if the first pivot drawn from the set of keys with indices in $\{i, ..., j\}$ is either i or j
 - ▶ By symmetry, this occurs with probability $\frac{2}{j-i+1}$
- By linearity of expectation, we have

$$E(X) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{2}{j - i + 1}$$



Randomized Quicksort (cont'd)

- ▶ We need to evaluate $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{1}{j-i+1}$
 - ► This sum is $(n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{2} + (n-2) \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \ldots + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{n}$
- ► Thus

$$E(x) = 2 \sum_{2 \le i \le n} \frac{n - i + 1}{i}$$

$$= 2(n + 1)(H_n - 1) - 2(n - 1)$$

$$= 2(n + 1)H_n - 2n - 2 - 2n + 2$$

$$= 2(n + 1)H_n - 4n$$

$$\sim 2n \ln n$$



Markov's Inequality

For any nonnegative random variable X and any a > 0, we have

$$\Pr(X \ge a) \le \frac{\mathsf{E}(X)}{a}$$

$$E(X) = \sum_{x} x \cdot \Pr(X = x)$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{x < a} 0 \cdot \Pr(X = x)\right) + \left(\sum_{x \ge a} a \cdot \Pr(X = x)\right)$$

$$= a \sum_{x \ge a} \Pr(X = x)$$

$$= a \cdot \Pr(X \ge a)$$

Expectation of a Product of Independent RVs

▶ If random variables X and Y are independent, then $E(XY) = E(X) \cdot E(Y)$

$$E(XY) = \sum_{x,y} xy \cdot \Pr(X = x \land Y = y)$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} xy \cdot \Pr(X = x) \Pr(Y = y)$$

$$= \sum_{x} x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \sum_{y} y \cdot \Pr(Y = y)$$

$$= E(Y) \sum_{x} x \cdot \Pr(X = x)$$

$$= E(X) \cdot E(Y)$$

Variance of a Random Variable

► The variance of a random variable X, denoted Var(X), is defined as the expected value of the square of the difference between X and its expectation

$$Var(X) = E[(X - E(X))^{2}]$$

$$= E[X^{2} - 2XE(X) + E(X)^{2}]$$

$$= E(X^{2}) - 2E(X)E(X) + [E(X)]^{2}$$

$$= E(X^{2}) - [E(X)]^{2}$$

Example: The Variance of a p-Biased Coin Flip

- Let the random variable X be equal to 1 with probability p, and to 0 with probability 1 p
- ► Thus X^2 has the same distribution as X since $1^2 = 1$ and $0^2 = 0$
- Hence

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = p - p^2 = p(1-p)$$



Variance of a Sum of Independent Random Variables

If random variables X and Y are independent, then Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)

$$Var(X + Y) = E[(X + Y)^{2}] - [E(X + Y)]^{2}$$

$$= E(X^{2}) + 2E(XY) + E(Y^{2}) - [E(X) + E(Y)]^{2}$$

$$= E(X^{2}) - [E(X)]^{2} + E(Y^{2}) - [E(Y)]^{2}$$

$$= Var(X) + Var(Y)$$

Example: The Variance of a Series of Coin Flips

- ▶ Let *X* denote the number of heads in *n* independent tosses of a *p*-biased coin
- ▶ Let the random variable *X_i* be equal to 1 if the *i*th toss comes up heads, and 0 otherwise
- ▶ Since the X_i 's are independent, we have

$$\mathsf{Var}(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathsf{Var}(X_i) = np(1-p)$$

Chebyshev's Inequality

▶ For any random variable X and any a > 0, we have

$$\Pr(|X - \mathsf{E}(X)| \ge a) \le \frac{\mathsf{Var}(X)}{a^2}$$

- ► The LHS is equal to $Pr(Y \ge a^2)$ where Y denotes the nonnegative random variable $(X E(X))^2$
- ▶ Thus Markov's inequality implies that the LHS is at most $\mathrm{E}(Y)/a^2$
- ▶ The claim follows since E(Y) = Var(X)

The Binomial Distribution

- ► In the design and analysis of randomized algorithms, we often encounter binomially distributed random variables
 - Counts the number of successes in n independent trials with success probability p
 - ▶ We let B(n, p) denote this distribution
- We can give derive sharp tail bounds for this specific distribution
 - These tail bounds also apply to the case where the n independent trials have average success probability p

Tail Bounds for the Binomial Distribution

- ▶ For $1 \le i \le n$, let p_i belong to [0,1], and let X_i be a 0-1 random variable such that $Pr(X_i = 1) = p_i$
- Let p denote $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} p_i$ and let the random variable X denote $\sum_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$
- ▶ Thus E(X) = np
- In what follows, we develop several useful "Chernoff bounds" on the upper and lower tail of X

A Useful Inequality

- ▶ Lemma 1: $E[\exp(tX)] \le \exp[np(e^t 1)]$ for all t
 - ▶ Using $1 + x \le e^x$ for all x, we have

$$E(\exp(tX)) = E\left[\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \exp(tX_i)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le n} E[\exp(tX_i)]$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le n} [p_i e^t + (1 - p_i)]$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le n} [1 + p_i (e^t - 1)]$$

$$\leq \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \exp[p_i (e^t - 1)]$$

$$= \exp[np(e^t - 1)]$$

A Bound on the Lower Tail

▶ For any δ in [0,1) and any $t \ge 0$, Markov's inequality implies

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Pr(X \leq (1-\delta)np) & = & \Pr(-X \geq -(1-\delta)np) \\ & = & \Pr(\exp(-tX) \geq \exp(-t(1-\delta)np)) \\ & \leq & \frac{\mathsf{E}[\exp(-tX)]}{\exp(-t(1-\delta)np)} \end{array}$$

▶ Applying Lemma 1 with $t = -\ln(1 - \delta) \ge 0$, we obtain

$$\Pr(X \leq (1-\delta)np) \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{1-\delta}}\right)^{np}$$



A Bound on the Lower Tail (cont'd)

▶ For δ in [0,1), we have

$$\ln(1-\delta) = -\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2} - \frac{\delta^3}{3} - \dots$$

► Thus

$$(1 - \delta) \ln(1 - \delta) = -\delta + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \delta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) \delta^3 + \dots$$
$$\geq -\delta + \delta^2/2$$

► Hence

$$(1-\delta)^{1-\delta} = \exp[(1-\delta)\ln(1-\delta)] \ge \exp(-\delta + \delta^2/2)$$



A Bound on the Lower Tail (cont'd)

▶ Combining the preceding inequalities, we obtain the following convenient bound for δ in [0,1)

$$\Pr(X \le (1 - \delta)np) \le \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{\exp(-\delta + \delta^2/2)}\right)^{np}$$
$$= \exp(-\delta^2 np/2)$$

A Bound on the Upper Tail

▶ For any nonnegative δ and t, Markov's inequality implies

$$\Pr(X \ge (1+\delta)np) = \Pr(\exp(tX) \ge \exp(1+\delta)npt)$$

 $\le \frac{\mathsf{E}(\exp(tX))}{\exp((1+\delta)npt)}$

▶ Using Lemma 1 with $t = ln(1 + \delta) \ge 0$, we obtain

$$\Pr(X \geq (1+\delta)np) \leq \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{1+\delta}}\right)^{np}$$

A Bound on the Upper Tail for "Small Deviations"

- ▶ The bound we have derived on the upper tail is a bit messy
- Proceeding in a similar manner as in the case of the lower tail bound, we can derive the following simpler bound for δ in [0,1)

$$\Pr(X \ge (1+\delta)np) \le \exp(-\delta^2 np/3)$$

Note that the "2" appearing in the exponent of the lower tail bound derived earlier is weakened to a "3" in the above bound



A Stronger Bound for an Important Special Case

- ▶ Oftentimes we are interested in the case of n fair coin flips, i.e., B(n, 1/2)
- ▶ For the special case where X is drawn from B(n,1/2), we can use a similar approach to derive the following bound for all δ in [0,1]

$$\Pr(X \ge (1+\delta)n/2) \le \exp(-\delta^2 n/2)$$

By symmetry, we also have

$$\Pr(X \le (1 - \delta)n/2) \le \exp(-\delta^2 n/2)$$

for all δ in [0,1]



Application: Tossing a Fair Coin

- ▶ Let X be drawn from B(n, 1/2)
- ▶ Suppose we wish to upper bound $q = \Pr(X \ge (n/2) + c\sqrt{n})$
- Markov's inequality gives

$$q \le \frac{n/2}{(n/2) + c\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim 1 - \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}} = 1 - o(1)$$

Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$q \le \Pr(|X - n/2| \ge c\sqrt{n}) \le \frac{n/4}{c^2n} = \frac{1}{4c^2}$$

▶ We can apply the Chernoff bound for B(n, 1/2) with $\delta = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}}$ to obtain

$$q \leq \exp(-2c^2)$$



Some "Balls and Bins" Problems

- Suppose we throw a series of balls independently and uniformly at random into n bins
- ➤ The coupon collector problem considered earlier tells us the expected number of balls required to "collect" each bin
- ▶ How many balls do we need to throw to ensure that with high probability each bin receives at least one ball?
- ▶ If we throw *n* balls, what bounds can we prove on the maximum load of any bin?
- ▶ How many balls do we need to throw to ensure that ratio between the maximum and minimum bin loads is at most $1+\varepsilon$?

Upper Bounding the Load of a Specific Bin

- ► Assume that *n* balls are thrown independently and uniformly at random into *n* bins
- ▶ Let the random variable *X* denote the load of bin 1 (say)
- ▶ Let X_i denote the indicator random variable that is equal to 1 if ball i lands in bin 1, and to 0 otherwise
 - $Thus X = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$
 - ▶ Thus X is drawn from B(n, 1/n), and has expectation 1
 - Using the large deviation Chernoff bound, we can show that for any positive constant c, there is a positive constant c' such that

$$\Pr\left(X \ge c' \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right) \le n^{-c}$$



Upper Bounding the Maximum Bin Load

- Let E_i denote the event that the load of bin i exceeds c'f(n) where $f(n) = \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}$
- ▶ We have seen that $Pr(E_1) \le n^{-c}$
- ▶ By symmetry, $Pr(E_i) \le n^{-c}$ for any bin i
- ▶ By a union bound, the probability that any of the "bad events" E_i occurs is n^{1-c}
- ▶ We conclude that the maximum load of any bin is O(f(n)) with high probability
- Is this bound tight?



Lower Bounding the Load of a Specific Bin

▶ Since $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \cdots \frac{n-k+1}{1} \ge (n/k)^k$, we have

$$\Pr(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$
$$\geq k^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$
$$= \Omega(k^{-k})$$

▶ Using the above, we can show that for any positive constant ε , there is a positive constant ε' such that $\Pr(X \ge \varepsilon' f(n)) \ge n^{-\varepsilon}$



Lower Bounding the Minimum Load

- ▶ For any bin i, let E_i denote the event that bin i receives at least $\varepsilon' f(n)$ balls
 - We have shown that $\Pr(E_1) \geq n^{-\varepsilon}$
 - ▶ By symmetry, we have $Pr(E_i) \ge n^{-\varepsilon}$ for any bin i
- If the events E_i were independent, we could upper bound the probability that none of the E_i 's occur by $(1 n^{-\varepsilon})^n$ which is approximately $\exp(-n^{1-\varepsilon})$, and hence is super-polynomially small
- ▶ Unfortunately, the events E_i are correlated



Exploiting Negative Correlation of the E_i 's

Observe that the following inequality holds for any bin i

$$\Pr(E_i \mid \cap_{1 \leq j < i} \neg E_j) \geq \Pr(E_i)$$

► Thus

$$\Pr(\cap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \neg E_i) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Pr(\neg E_i \mid \cap_{1 \leq j < i} \neg E_j)$$

$$\leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Pr(\neg E_i)$$

▶ In other words, we can get a valid upper bound on $Pr(\cap_{1 \le i \le n} \neg E_i)$ by treating the events E_i as if they were independent

