OM 1969 — Stage 1

Jakub Kądziołka

October 9, 2020

Contents

1	Warmup problems (Series I)															1														
	1.1	Warmup	1 .																											1
	1.2	Warmup	2 .																											4
	1.3	Warmup	3 .																											Ę
	1.4	Warmup	4 .																											6
2	Seri	es I Problem	1 .	·			,	i		i			•	•	·	·	i	i	i	·	i	i	•	•	•	i	•	i		8

1 Warmup problems (Series I)

Long ago, the Polish Math Olympiad published, apart from 12 problems to be solved and mailed over 3 months, a set of 12 warmup problems, which were similar in spirit, but easier.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \bf theory $WarmupI$\\ \bf imports\\ $Complex-Main$\\ $Future-Library.Future-Library$\\ $HOL-Library.Sum-of-Squares$\\ $HOL-Library.Quadratic-Discriminant$\\ $HOL-Number-Theory.Cong$\\ $HOL-Analysis.Analysis$\\ \begin \end{tabular}
```

1.1 Warmup 1

Solve the equation $3^x = 4y + 5$ in the integers.

We begin with the following lemma:

```
lemma even-power-3: [3^k = 1::int] \pmod{4} \longleftrightarrow even k

proof –

have [3^k = (-1::int)^k] \pmod{4}
```

```
by (intro cong-pow) (auto simp: cong-def)
 thus ?thesis
   by (auto simp: cong-def minus-one-power-iff)
Here is an alternative proof — hopefully it will be instructive in doing cal-
culations mod n.
lemma [3^k = 1::int] \pmod{4} \longleftrightarrow even k
proof (cases even k)
 case True
 then obtain l where 2*l = k by auto
 then have [3^k = (3^2)^l] \pmod{4} (is cong - ... -)
   by (auto simp add: power-mult)
 also have [... = (1::int) \hat{l}] \pmod{4} (is conq - ... -)
   by (intro cong-pow) (simp add: cong-def)
 also have [... = 1] \pmod{4} by auto
 finally have [3^k = 1::int] \pmod{4}.
 thus ?thesis using \langle even \ k \rangle by blast
\mathbf{next}
 {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
 then obtain l where 2*l+1=k
   using oddE by blast
 then have [3^k = 3^2 (2*l+1)] \pmod{4} (is cong - ... -) by auto
 also have [... = (3^2)^l * 3] \pmod{4} (is cong - ... -)
   by (metis power-mult power-add power-one-right cong-def)
 also have [... = (1::int) \hat{l} * 3] \pmod{4} (is cong - ... -)
   by (intro cong-mult cong-pow) (auto simp add: cong-def)
 also have [... = 3] \pmod{4} by auto
 finally have [3^k \neq 1::int] \pmod{4} by (auto simp add: cong-def)
 then show ?thesis using \langle odd \ k \rangle by blast
qed
This allows us to prove the theorem, provided we assume x is a natural
number.
theorem warmup1-natx:
 fixes x :: nat and y :: int
 shows 3^x = 4*y + 5 \longleftrightarrow even \ x \land y = (3^x - 5) \ div \ 4
proof -
 have even x \wedge y = (3^x - 5) \ div \ 4 if 3^x = 4 * y + 5
 proof -
   from that have [3\hat{\ }x = 4*y + 5] \pmod{4} by auto
   also have [4*y + 5 = 5] \pmod{4}
    by (metis cong-mult-self-left cong-add-reancel-0)
   also have [5 = 1::int] \pmod{4} by (auto simp add: cong-def)
   finally have [(3::int)^x = 1] \pmod{4}.
   hence even x using even-power-3 by auto
   thus ?thesis using that by auto
```

moreover have $3 \hat{x} = 4 * y + 5$ if even $x \wedge y = (3\hat{x} - 5)$ div 4

```
proof -
   from that have even x and y-form: y = (3^x - 5) div 4 by auto
   then have [3^x = 1::int] \pmod{4} using even-power-3 by blast
   then have ((3::int)^x - 5) \mod 4 = 0
    by (simp add: cong-def mod-diff-cong)
   thus ?thesis using y-form by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
To consider negative values of x, we'll need to venture into the reals:
lemma powr-int-pos:
 fixes x y :: int
 assumes *: 3 powr x = y
 shows x > \theta
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume neg-x: \neg x \ge 0
 then have y-inv: y = inverse ((3::nat) \hat{n}at (-x)) (is y = inverse (?n::nat))
   using powr-real-of-int and * by auto
 hence real ?n * of\text{-}int y = 1 by auto
 hence ?n * y = 1 using of-int-eq-iff by fastforce
 hence ?n = 1
   by (metis nat-1-eq-mult-iff nat-int nat-numeral-as-int numeral-One of-nat-mult
zmult-eq-1-iff)
 hence nat(-x) = \theta by auto
 thus False using neg-x by auto
qed
corollary warmup1:
 fixes x y :: int
 shows 3 powr x = 4*y + 5 \longleftrightarrow x \ge 0 \land even x \land y = (3^n(nat x) - 5) div 4
proof
 assume assm: 3 powr x = 4*y + 5
 then have x \geq \theta using powr-int-pos by fastforce
 hence 3 powr (nat x) = 4*y + 5 using assm by simp
 hence (3::real) \cap (nat \ x) = 4*y + 5 using powr-realpow by auto
 hence with-nat: 3^{n}(nat \ x) = 4*y + 5 using of-int-eq-iff by fastforce
 hence even (nat \ x) \land y = (3^{\hat{}}(nat \ x) - 5) \ div \ 4 \ using warmup1-natx by auto
 thus x \ge 0 \land even \ x \land y = (3 \hat{\ } (nat \ x) - 5) \ div \ 4 \ using \ (x \ge 0) \ and \ even-nat-iff
by auto
next
 assume assm: x \ge 0 \land even \ x \land y = (3^{(nat \ x)} - 5) \ div \ 4
 then have 3^{(nat x)} = 4*y + 5 using warmup1-natx and even-nat-iff by blast
 thus 3 powr x = 4*y + 5 using assm powr-real-of-int by fastforce
qed
```

1.2 Warmup 2

Prove that, for all real a and b we have

$$(a+b)^4 \le 8(a^4+b^4).$$

This problem is simple enough for Isabelle to solve it automatically — with the Sum of Squares decision procedure.

theorem

```
(a+b)^4 \le 8*(a^4 + b^4) for a b :: real
by sos
```

Of course, we would rather elaborate. We will make use of the inequality known as sum-squares-bound:

```
(2::'a) * x * y \le x^2 + y^2
```

```
theorem
```

```
(a+b)^4 \le 8*(a^4 + b^4) for a b :: real
proof -
 have lemineq: 2*x^3*y \le x^4 + x^2*y^2 for xy :: real
   using sum-squares-bound [of x y]
    and mult-left-mono [where c=x^2]
   by (force simp add: numeral-eq-Suc algebra-simps)
 have (a+b)^4 = a^4 + 4*a^3*b + 6*a^2*b^2 + 4*a*b^3 + b^4 by algebra
 also have ... \leq a^4 + 2*(a^4 + a^2*b^2) + 6*a^2*b^2 + 2*(b^4 + a^2*b^2)
+ b^4
  using lemineq [of a b]
    and lemineq [of b a]
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 also have ... = 3*a^4 + 3*b^4 + 10*a^2*b^2 by (simp\ add:\ algebra-simps)
 also have \dots \leq 8*(a^4 + b^4)
   using sum-squares-bound [of a \(^2\) b \(^2\)]
   by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
```

Another interesting proof is by Jensen's inequality. In Isabelle, it's known as the *convex-on* lemma:

```
\begin{array}{l} convex \; S \Longrightarrow \\ convex\hbox{-}on \; S \; f \; = \\ (\forall \; k \; u \; x. \\ \qquad \qquad (\forall \; i {\in} \{1..k\}. \; 0 \; \leq \; u \; i \; \land \; x \; i \; \in S) \; \land \; sum \; u \; \{1..k\} \; = \; 1 \longrightarrow \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad f \; (\sum \; i \; = \; 1..k. \; u \; i \; *_R \; x \; i) \; \leq \; (\sum \; i \; = \; 1..k. \; u \; i \; *_f \; (x \; i))) \end{array}
```

Note that the sequences u and x are modeled as functions $nat \Rightarrow real$, thus instead of u_i we have u i.

Make sure not to confuse the *convex-on* lemma with the *convex-on* predicate, which is defined by *convex-on-def*:

```
convex-on sf = (\forall x \in s. \ \forall y \in s. \ \forall u \geq 0. \ \forall v \geq 0. \ u + v = 1 \longrightarrow f \ (u *_R x + v *_R y) \leq u *_f x + v *_f y)
```

The bulk of the work, of course, is in showing that our function, $x \mapsto x^4$, is convex.

```
theorem warmup2:
 (a+b)^4 \le 8*(a^4 + b^4) for a b :: real
proof -
 let ?f = \lambda x. x^4
 have convex-on UNIV ?f
 proof (rule f "-ge0-imp-convex)
   show convex UNIV by auto
   let ?f' = \lambda x. \ 4*x^3
   show ((\lambda x. x^4) has\text{-real-derivative } ?f'x) (at x) for x :: real
    using DERIV-pow [where n=4] by fastforce
   let ?f'' = \lambda x. \ 12*x^2
   show ((\lambda x. \ 4*x^3) \ has\text{-real-derivative } ?f''x) \ (at \ x) \ \textbf{for} \ x :: real
     using DERIV-pow [where n=3]
      and DERIV-cmult [where c=4]
     by fastforce
   show 0 \le 12 * x^2  for x :: real
    by auto
 qed
 hence (a/2 + b/2)^4 \le a^4/2 + b^4/2 (is ?lhs \le ?rhs)
   using convex-onD [where t=1/2] by fastforce
 also have ?lhs = ((a + b)/2)^4 by algebra
  also have ... = (a+b)^4/16 using power-divide [of a+b 2, where n=4] by
fast force
 finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

1.3 Warmup 3

This one is a straight-forward equation:

```
theorem warmup3:
```

```
|x-1|*|x+2|*|x-3|*|x+4| = |x+1|*|x-2|*|x+3|*|x-4| \\ \longleftrightarrow x \in \{0, \ sqrt \ 7, \ -sqrt \ 7, \\ sqrt \ ((13 + sqrt \ 73) \ / \ 2), \\ -sqrt \ ((13 + sqrt \ 73) \ / \ 2), \\ sqrt \ ((13 - sqrt \ 73) \ / \ 2), \\ -sqrt \ ((13 - sqrt \ 73) \ / \ 2)\} \\ \text{(is } ?eqn \longleftrightarrow ?sols) \\ \mathbf{proof} - \\ \mathbf{have } ?eqn \longleftrightarrow |(x-1)*(x+2)*(x-3)*(x+4)| = |(x+1)*(x-2)*(x+3)*(x-4)| \\ \text{(is } \cdot \longleftrightarrow |?lhs| = |?rhs|)
```

```
by (simp add: abs-mult)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?lhs - ?rhs = 0 \lor ?lhs + ?rhs = 0 by auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow x*(x^2 - 7) = 0 \lor x^4 - 13*x^2 + 24 = 0 by algebra
 also have x*(x^2 - 7) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in \{0, sqrt 7, -sqrt 7\} using plus-or-minus-sqrt
 also have x^4 - 13*x^2 + 24 = 0 \longleftrightarrow x^2 \in \{(13 + sqrt \ 73) / 2, (13 - sqrt \ 73) / 2\}
73) / 2
   using discriminant-nonneg [where x=x^2, of 1 -13 24]
   by (auto simp add: algebra-simps discrim-def)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow x \in \{sqrt \ ((13 + sqrt \ 73) / 2),
                      -sqrt ((13 + sqrt 73) / 2),
                      sqrt ((13 - sqrt 73) / 2),
                      -sqrt ((13 - sqrt 73) / 2)
 proof -
   have \theta \leq (13 - sqrt 73) / 2 by (auto simp add: real-le-lsqrt)
   hence x^2 = (13 - sqrt 73) / 2
         \longleftrightarrow x \in \{sqrt \ ((13 - sqrt \ 73) \ / \ 2),
                  -sqrt ((13 - sqrt 73) / 2)
     using plus-or-minus-sqrt
     by blast
   moreover have x^2 = (13 + sqrt 73) / 2
     \longleftrightarrow x \in \{sqrt \ ((13 + sqrt \ 73) / 2),
             -sqrt ((13 + sqrt 73) / 2)
       by (smt insert-iff power2-minus power-divide real-sqrt-abs real-sqrt-divide
real-sqrt-pow2 singletonD)
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
\mathbf{qed}
```

1.4 Warmup 4

There is a set of n points on a plane with the property that, in each triplet of points, there's a pair with distance at most 1. Prove that the set can be covered with two circles of radius 1.

There's nothing special about the case of points on a plane, the theorem can be proved without additional difficulties for any metric space:

```
theorem warmup4-generic:

fixes S:: 'a::metric\text{-space set}

assumes finite S

assumes property: \bigwedge T. \ T \subseteq S \land card \ T = 3 \Longrightarrow \exists \ p \in T. \ \exists \ q \in T. \ p \neq q \land dist

p \ q \leq 1

obtains O_1 \ O_2 where S \subseteq cball \ O_1 \ 1 \cup cball \ O_2 \ 1

proof

let ?pairs = S \times S

let ?dist = \lambda(a, b). \ dist \ a \ b

let ?big\text{-pair} = arg\text{-max-on }?dist \ ?pairs

let ?O_1 = (fst \ ?big\text{-pair})
```

```
let ?O_2 = (snd ?big-pair)
show S \subseteq cball ?O_1 1 \cup cball ?O_2 1
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume x \in S
  from \langle finite S \rangle and \langle x \in S \rangle
  have finite ?pairs and ?pairs \neq {} by auto
  hence OinS: ?big-pair \in ?pairs by (simp \ add: arg-max-if-finite)
  have \forall (P,Q) \in ?pairs.\ dist\ ?O_1\ ?O_2 \ge dist\ P\ Q
    using \langle finite ?pairs \rangle and \langle ?pairs \neq \{\} \rangle
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) arg-max-greatest prod.case-eq-if)
  hence greatest: dist P Q \leq dist ?O_1 ?O_2 if P \in S and Q \in S for P Q
    using that by blast
  let ?T = \{?O_1, ?O_2, x\}
  have TinS: ?T \subseteq S using OinS and \langle x \in S \rangle by auto
   presume ?O_1 \neq ?O_2 and x \notin \{?O_1, ?O_2\}
    then have card ?T = 3 by auto
  then consider
    (primary) \ card \ ?T = 3
    (limit) \ x \in \{?O_1, ?O_2\} \mid
    (degenerate) ?O_1 = ?O_2 by blast
  thus x \in cball ?O_1 1 \cup cball ?O_2 1
  proof cases
   case primary
    obtain p and q where p \neq q and dist p q \leq 1 and p \in ?T and q \in ?T
     using property [of ?T] and \langle card ?T = 3 \rangle TinS
     by auto
    then have
      \textit{dist } ?O_1 ?O_2 \leq \textit{1} \ \lor \ \textit{dist } ?O_1 \ \textit{x} \leq \textit{1} \ \lor \ \textit{dist } ?O_2 \ \textit{x} \leq \textit{1}
     by (metis dist-commute insertE singletonD)
    thus x \in cball ?O_1 1 \cup cball ?O_2 1
     using greatest and TinS
     by fastforce
  next
    case limit
    then have dist x ? O_1 = 0 \lor dist \ x ? O_2 = 0 by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    {f case}\ degenerate
   from this greatest TinS have dist ?O_1 x = 0 by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
```

qed

Let's make sure that the particular case of points on a plane also works out:

```
corollary warmup4:

fixes S:: (real \ ^2) set

assumes finite S

assumes property: \bigwedge T. T \subseteq S \land card \ T = 3 \Longrightarrow \exists \ p \in T. \exists \ q \in T. p \neq q \land dist

p \ q \leq 1

obtains O_1 \ O_2 where S \subseteq cball \ O_1 \ 1 \cup cball \ O_2 \ 1

using warmup4-generic and assms by auto
```

 \mathbf{end}

2 Series I

theory SeriesI imports Complex-Main begin

2.1 Problem 1

Solve the equation in the integers:

```
theorem problem1:
 fixes x y :: int
 assumes x \neq 0 and y \neq 0
 shows 1 / x^2 + 1 / (x*y) + 1 / y^2 = 1
   \longleftrightarrow x = 1 \land y = -1 \lor x = -1 \land y = 1
   (is ?eqn \leftrightarrow ?sols)
proof
   - Unfortunately, removing the conversions between int and real takes a few lines
 let ?x = real - of - int x and ?y = real - of - int y
 assume ?eqn
 then have 1 / ?x^2 + 1 / (?x*?y) + 1 / ?y^2 = 1 by auto
 hence ?x^2 * ?y^2 / ?x^2 + ?x^2 * ?y^2 / (?x * ?y) + ?x^2 * ?y^2 / ?y^2 = ?x^2 * ?y^2
   by algebra
 hence ?x^2 + ?x*?y + ?y^2 = ?x^2 * ?y^2 using \langle x \neq \theta \rangle \langle y \neq \theta \rangle
   by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
 hence inteq: x^2 + x * y + y^2 = x^2 * y^2
   using of-int-eq-iff by fastforce
 let ?g = gcd \ x \ y
 let ?x' = x \ div \ ?g and ?y' = y \ div \ ?g
 have ?g \neq 0 and ?g > 0 using \langle x \neq 0 \rangle \langle y \neq 0 \rangle by auto
 have ?x' * ?g = x and ?y' * ?g = y by auto
 from inteq and this have ?g^2 * (?x'^2 + ?x' * ?y' + ?y'^2) = ?x'^2 * ?y'^2 * ?g^4
 hence reduced: ?x'^2 + ?x' * ?y' + ?y'^2 = ?x'^2 * ?y'^2 * ?g^2 using \langle ?g \neq \theta \rangle by
algebra
```

```
hence ?x' dvd ?y'^2 and ?y' dvd ?x'^2
   by algebra +
 moreover have coprime ?x'(?y'^2) coprime (?x'^2)?y'
   using assms div-gcd-coprime by auto
 ultimately have is-unit ?x' is-unit ?y'
   unfolding coprime-def by auto
 hence abs1: |?x'| = 1 \land |?y'| = 1 using assms by auto
 then consider (same-sign) ?x' = ?y' | (diff-sign) ?x' = -?y' by fastforce
 thus ?sols
 proof cases
   {\bf case} \ same \hbox{-} sign
   then have ?x' * ?y' = 1
     using abs1 and zmult-eq-1-iff by fastforce
   hence ?q^2 = 3
     using abs1 same-sign and reduced by algebra
   hence 1^2 < ?g^2 and ?g^2 < 2^2 by auto
   hence 1 < ?g and ?g < 2
     using \langle ?g > \theta \rangle and power2-less-imp-less by fastforce+
   hence False by auto
   thus ?sols by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   {\bf case} \ \textit{diff-sign}
   then have ?x' * ?y' = -1
     using abs1
     by (smt mult-cancel-left2 mult-cancel-right2)
   hence ?g^2 = 1
     using abs1 diff-sign and reduced by algebra
   hence ?g = 1 using \langle ?g > \theta \rangle
     by (smt power2-eq-1-iff)
   hence x = ?x' and y = ?y' by auto
   thus ?sols using abs1 and diff-sign by auto
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{assume}~?sols
 then show ?eqn by auto
qed
```

end