

OM 1969 — Stage 1

Jakub Kądziołka

October 10, 2020

Contents

1	Warmup problems (Series I)	1
1.1	Warmup 1	1
1.2	Warmup 2	4
1.3	Warmup 3	5
1.4	Warmup 4	6
2	Series I	8
2.1	Problem 1	8
2.2	Problem 2	9

1 Warmup problems (Series I)

Long ago, the Polish Math Olympiad published, apart from 12 problems to be solved and mailed over 3 months, a set of 12 warmup problems, which were similar in spirit, but easier.

```
theory WarmupI
imports
  Complex-Main
  Future-Library.Future-Library
  HOL-Library.Sum-of-Squares
  HOL-Library.Quadratic-Discriminant
  HOL-Number-Theory.Cong
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
begin
```

1.1 Warmup 1

Solve the equation $3^x = 4y + 5$ in the integers.

We begin with the following lemma:

lemma *even-power-3*: $[3^k = 1::\text{int}] \pmod{4} \longleftrightarrow \text{even } k$

proof —

```

have [ $3^k = (-1::int)^k \pmod{4}$ ] (mod 4)
  by (intro cong-pow) (auto simp: cong-def)
thus ?thesis
  by (auto simp: cong-def minus-one-power-iff)
qed

```

Here is an alternative proof — hopefully it will be instructive in doing calculations mod n .

```

lemma [ $3^k = 1::int \pmod{4} \iff \text{even } k$ ]
proof (cases even k)
  case True
  then obtain l where  $2 * l = k$  by auto
  then have [ $3^k = (3^2)^l \pmod{4}$ ] (is cong - ... -)
    by (auto simp add: power-mult)
  also have [ $\dots = (1::int)^l \pmod{4}$ ] (is cong - ... -)
    by (intro cong-pow) (simp add: cong-def)
  also have [ $\dots = 1 \pmod{4}$ ] by auto
  finally have [ $3^k = 1::int \pmod{4}$ ].
  thus ?thesis using ⟨even k⟩ by blast
next
  case False
  then obtain l where  $2 * l + 1 = k$ 
    using oddE by blast
  then have [ $3^k = 3^{(2 * l + 1)} \pmod{4}$ ] (is cong - ... -) by auto
  also have [ $\dots = (3^2)^l * 3 \pmod{4}$ ] (is cong - ... -)
    by (metis power-mult power-add power-one-right cong-def)
  also have [ $\dots = (1::int)^l * 3 \pmod{4}$ ] (is cong - ... -)
    by (intro cong-mult cong-pow) (auto simp add: cong-def)
  also have [ $\dots = 3 \pmod{4}$ ] by auto
  finally have [ $3^k \neq 1::int \pmod{4}$ ] by (auto simp add: cong-def)
  then show ?thesis using ⟨odd k⟩ by blast
qed

```

This allows us to prove the theorem, provided we assume x is a natural number.

```

theorem warmup1-natx:
  fixes x :: nat and y :: int
  shows  $3^x = 4 * y + 5 \iff \text{even } x \wedge y = (3^x - 5) \text{ div } 4$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{even } x \wedge y = (3^x - 5) \text{ div } 4$  if  $3^x = 4 * y + 5$ 
  proof -
    from that have [ $3^x = 4 * y + 5 \pmod{4}$ ] by auto
    also have [ $4 * y + 5 = 5 \pmod{4}$ ]
      by (metis cong-mult-self-left cong-add-rcancel-0)
    also have [ $5 = 1::int \pmod{4}$ ] by (auto simp add: cong-def)
    finally have [ $(3::int)^x = 1 \pmod{4}$ ].
    hence even x using even-power-3 by auto
    thus ?thesis using that by auto
  qed
qed

```

moreover have $3^x = 4 * y + 5$ **if** *even* $x \wedge y = (3^x - 5) \text{ div } 4$
proof –
from that have *even* x **and** *y-form*: $y = (3^x - 5) \text{ div } 4$ **by** *auto*
then have $[3^x = 1::\text{int}] \text{ (mod } 4)$ **using** *even-power-3* **by** *blast*
then have $((3::\text{int})^x - 5) \text{ mod } 4 = 0$
by (*simp add: cong-def mod-diff-cong*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *y-form* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

To consider negative values of x , we'll need to venture into the reals:

lemma *powr-int-pos*:
fixes $x \ y :: \text{int}$
assumes $*$: $3^{\text{powr } x} = y$
shows $x \geq 0$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume *neg-x*: $\neg x \geq 0$
then have *y-inv*: $y = \text{inverse } ((3::\text{nat})^{\text{nat } (-x)})$ (*is* $y = \text{inverse } (?n::\text{nat})$)
using *powr-real-of-int* **and** $*$ **by** *auto*
hence *real ?n * of-int* $y = 1$ **by** *auto*
hence $?n * y = 1$ **using** *of-int-eq-iff* **by** *fastforce*
hence $?n = 1$
by (*metis nat-1-eq-mult-iff nat-int nat-numeral-as-int numeral-One of-nat-mult zmult-eq-1-iff*)
hence $\text{nat } (-x) = 0$ **by** *auto*
thus *False* **using** *neg-x* **by** *auto*
qed

corollary *warmup1*:
fixes $x \ y :: \text{int}$
shows $3^{\text{powr } x} = 4 * y + 5 \longleftrightarrow x \geq 0 \wedge \text{even } x \wedge y = (3^{(\text{nat } x)} - 5) \text{ div } 4$
proof
assume *assm*: $3^{\text{powr } x} = 4 * y + 5$
then have $x \geq 0$ **using** *powr-int-pos* **by** *fastforce*
hence $3^{\text{powr } (\text{nat } x)} = 4 * y + 5$ **using** *assm* **by** *simp*
hence $(3::\text{real})^{(\text{nat } x)} = 4 * y + 5$ **using** *powr-realpow* **by** *auto*
hence *with-nat*: $3^{(\text{nat } x)} = 4 * y + 5$ **using** *of-int-eq-iff* **by** *fastforce*
hence *even* $(\text{nat } x) \wedge y = (3^{(\text{nat } x)} - 5) \text{ div } 4$ **using** *warmup1-natx* **by** *auto*
thus $x \geq 0 \wedge \text{even } x \wedge y = (3^{(\text{nat } x)} - 5) \text{ div } 4$ **using** $\langle x \geq 0 \rangle$ **and** *even-nat-iff*
by *auto*
next
assume *assm*: $x \geq 0 \wedge \text{even } x \wedge y = (3^{(\text{nat } x)} - 5) \text{ div } 4$
then have $3^{(\text{nat } x)} = 4 * y + 5$ **using** *warmup1-natx* **and** *even-nat-iff* **by** *blast*
thus $3^{\text{powr } x} = 4 * y + 5$ **using** *assm powr-real-of-int* **by** *fastforce*
qed

1.2 Warmup 2

Prove that, for all real a and b we have

$$(a + b)^4 \leq 8(a^4 + b^4).$$

This problem is simple enough for Isabelle to solve it automatically — with the Sum of Squares decision procedure.

theorem

$(a+b)^4 \leq 8*(a^4 + b^4)$ **for** $a\ b :: real$
by *sos*

Of course, we would rather elaborate. We will make use of the inequality known as *sum-squares-bound*:

$$(2::'a) * x * y \leq x^2 + y^2$$

theorem

$(a+b)^4 \leq 8*(a^4 + b^4)$ **for** $a\ b :: real$

proof –

have *lemineq*: $2*x^3*y \leq x^4 + x^2*y^2$ **for** $x\ y :: real$

using *sum-squares-bound* [of $x\ y$]

and *mult-left-mono* [where $c=x^2$]

by (*force simp add: numeral-eq-Suc algebra-simps*)

have $(a+b)^4 = a^4 + 4*a^3*b + 6*a^2*b^2 + 4*a*b^3 + b^4$ **by** *algebra*
also have $\dots \leq a^4 + 2*(a^4 + a^2*b^2) + 6*a^2*b^2 + 2*(b^4 + a^2*b^2)$
 $+ b^4$

using *lemineq* [of $a\ b$]

and *lemineq* [of $b\ a$]

by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

also have $\dots = 3*a^4 + 3*b^4 + 10*a^2*b^2$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

also have $\dots \leq 8*(a^4 + b^4)$

using *sum-squares-bound* [of $a^2\ b^2$]

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis*.

qed

Another interesting proof is by Jensen's inequality. In Isabelle, it's known as the *convex-on* lemma:

convex $S \implies$

convex-on $S\ f =$

$(\forall k\ u\ x.$

$(\forall i \in \{1..k\}. 0 \leq u\ i \wedge x\ i \in S) \wedge \text{sum } u\ \{1..k\} = 1 \longrightarrow$

$f\ (\sum i = 1..k. u\ i * x\ i) \leq (\sum i = 1..k. u\ i * f\ (x\ i)))$

Note that the sequences u and x are modeled as functions $nat \Rightarrow real$, thus instead of u_i we have $u\ i$.

Make sure not to confuse the *convex-on* lemma with the *convex-on* predicate, which is defined by *convex-on-def*:

convex-on s f =
 $(\forall x \in s. \forall y \in s. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0. u + v = 1 \longrightarrow$
 $f (u *_R x + v *_R y) \leq u * f x + v * f y)$

The bulk of the work, of course, is in showing that our function, $x \mapsto x^4$, is convex.

theorem *warmup2*:

$(a+b)^4 \leq 8*(a^4 + b^4)$ **for** $a\ b :: \text{real}$

proof -

let $?f = \lambda x. x^4$

have *convex-on UNIV* $?f$

proof (*rule f''-ge0-imp-convex*)

show *convex UNIV* **by** *auto*

let $?f' = \lambda x. 4*x^3$

show $((\lambda x. x^4) \text{ has-real-derivative } ?f' x) (at\ x)$ **for** $x :: \text{real}$

using *DERIV-pow* [**where** $n=4$] **by** *fastforce*

let $?f'' = \lambda x. 12*x^2$

show $((\lambda x. 4*x^3) \text{ has-real-derivative } ?f'' x) (at\ x)$ **for** $x :: \text{real}$

using *DERIV-pow* [**where** $n=3$]

and *DERIV-cmult* [**where** $c=4$]

by *fastforce*

show $0 \leq 12 * x^2$ **for** $x :: \text{real}$

by *auto*

qed

hence $(a/2 + b/2)^4 \leq a^4/2 + b^4/2$ (**is** $?lhs \leq ?rhs$)

using *convex-onD* [**where** $t=1/2$] **by** *fastforce*

also have $?lhs = ((a + b)/2)^4$ **by** *algebra*

also have $\dots = (a+b)^4/16$ **using** *power-divide* [*of* $a+b$ 2, **where** $n=4$] **by** *fastforce*

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

1.3 Warmup 3

This one is a straight-forward equation:

theorem *warmup3*:

$|x-1|*|x+2|*|x-3|*|x+4| = |x+1|*|x-2|*|x+3|*|x-4|$

$\longleftrightarrow x \in \{0, \text{sqrt } 7, -\text{sqrt } 7,$

$\text{sqrt } ((13 + \text{sqrt } 73) / 2),$

$-\text{sqrt } ((13 + \text{sqrt } 73) / 2),$

$\text{sqrt } ((13 - \text{sqrt } 73) / 2),$

$-\text{sqrt } ((13 - \text{sqrt } 73) / 2)\}$

(**is** $?eqn \longleftrightarrow ?sols$)

proof -

have $?eqn \longleftrightarrow |(x-1)*(x+2)*(x-3)*(x+4)| = |(x+1)*(x-2)*(x+3)*(x-4)|$

(**is** $\longleftrightarrow |?lhs| = |?rhs|$)

```

    by (simp add: abs-mult)
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$   $?lhs - ?rhs = 0 \vee ?lhs + ?rhs = 0$  by auto
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x*(x^2 - 7) = 0 \vee x^4 - 13*x^2 + 24 = 0$  by algebra
  also have  $x*(x^2 - 7) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in \{0, \sqrt{7}, -\sqrt{7}\}$  using plus-or-minus-sqrt
by auto
  also have  $x^4 - 13*x^2 + 24 = 0 \longleftrightarrow x^2 \in \{(13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2, (13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2\}$ 
    using discriminant-nonneg [where  $x=x^2$ , of  $1 - 13 \cdot 24$ ]
  by (auto simp add: algebra-simps discrimin-def)
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in \{\sqrt{(13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2},$ 
     $-\sqrt{(13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2},$ 
     $\sqrt{(13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2},$ 
     $-\sqrt{(13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2}\}$ 

proof -
  have  $0 \leq (13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2$  by (auto simp add: real-le-lsqrt)
  hence  $x^2 = (13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow x \in \{\sqrt{(13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2},$ 
     $-\sqrt{(13 - \sqrt{73}) / 2}\}$ 
    using plus-or-minus-sqrt
  by blast
  moreover have  $x^2 = (13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow x \in \{\sqrt{(13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2},$ 
     $-\sqrt{(13 + \sqrt{73}) / 2}\}$ 
    by (smt insert-iff power2-minus power-divide real-sqrt-abs real-sqrt-divide
real-sqrt-pow2 singletonD)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

1.4 Warmup 4

There is a set of n points on a plane with the property that, in each triplet of points, there's a pair with distance at most 1. Prove that the set can be covered with two circles of radius 1.

There's nothing special about the case of points on a plane, the theorem can be proved without additional difficulties for any metric space:

theorem *warmup4-generic:*

fixes $S :: 'a::metric-space\ set$

assumes *finite S*

assumes *property: $\bigwedge T. T \subseteq S \wedge \text{card } T = 3 \implies \exists p \in T. \exists q \in T. p \neq q \wedge \text{dist } p\ q \leq 1$*

obtains $O_1\ O_2$ **where** $S \subseteq \text{cball } O_1\ 1 \cup \text{cball } O_2\ 1$

proof

let $?pairs = S \times S$

let $?dist = \lambda(a, b). \text{dist } a\ b$

let $?big-pair = \text{arg-max-on } ?dist\ ?pairs$

let $?O_1 = (\text{fst } ?big-pair)$

```

let ?O2 = (snd ?big-pair)
show  $S \subseteq \text{cball } ?O_1 \ 1 \cup \text{cball } ?O_2 \ 1$ 
proof
  fix x
  assume  $x \in S$ 

  from ⟨finite S⟩ and ⟨ $x \in S$ ⟩
  have finite ?pairs and ?pairs ≠ {} by auto
  hence OinS: ?big-pair ∈ ?pairs by (simp add: arg-max-if-finite)

  have  $\forall (P,Q) \in ?pairs. \text{dist } ?O_1 \ ?O_2 \geq \text{dist } P \ Q$ 
    using ⟨finite ?pairs⟩ and ⟨?pairs ≠ {}⟩
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) arg-max-greatest prod.case-eq-if)
  hence greatest:  $\text{dist } P \ Q \leq \text{dist } ?O_1 \ ?O_2$  if  $P \in S$  and  $Q \in S$  for  $P \ Q$ 
    using that by blast

  let ?T = {?O1, ?O2, x}
  have TinS: ?T ⊆ S using OinS and ⟨ $x \in S$ ⟩ by auto

  {
    presume ?O1 ≠ ?O2 and  $x \notin \{?O_1, ?O_2\}$ 
    then have card ?T = 3 by auto
  }
  then consider
    (primary) card ?T = 3 |
    (limit)  $x \in \{?O_1, ?O_2\}$  |
    (degenerate) ?O1 = ?O2 by blast
  thus  $x \in \text{cball } ?O_1 \ 1 \cup \text{cball } ?O_2 \ 1$ 
  proof cases
    case primary
    obtain p and q where  $p \neq q$  and  $\text{dist } p \ q \leq 1$  and  $p \in ?T$  and  $q \in ?T$ 
      using property [of ?T] and ⟨card ?T = 3⟩ TinS
      by auto
    then have
       $\text{dist } ?O_1 \ ?O_2 \leq 1 \vee \text{dist } ?O_1 \ x \leq 1 \vee \text{dist } ?O_2 \ x \leq 1$ 
      by (metis dist-commute insertE singletonD)
    thus  $x \in \text{cball } ?O_1 \ 1 \cup \text{cball } ?O_2 \ 1$ 
      using greatest and TinS
      by fastforce
    next
    case limit
    then have  $\text{dist } x \ ?O_1 = 0 \vee \text{dist } x \ ?O_2 = 0$  by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
    next
    case degenerate
    from this greatest TinS have  $\text{dist } ?O_1 \ x = 0$  by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

qed

Let's make sure that the particular case of points on a plane also works out:

corollary *warmup4*:

fixes $S :: (\text{real} \wedge 2)$ *set*
assumes *finite S*
assumes property: $\bigwedge T. T \subseteq S \wedge \text{card } T = 3 \implies \exists p \in T. \exists q \in T. p \neq q \wedge \text{dist}$
 $p \ q \leq 1$
obtains $O_1 \ O_2$ **where** $S \subseteq \text{cball } O_1 \ 1 \cup \text{cball } O_2 \ 1$
using *warmup4-generic* **and** *assms* **by** *auto*

end

2 Series I

theory *SeriesI*

imports

Complex-Main

HOL-Analysis.Analysis

begin

2.1 Problem 1

Solve the equation in the integers:

theorem *problem1*:

fixes $x \ y :: \text{int}$

assumes $x \neq 0$ **and** $y \neq 0$

shows $1 \mid x^2 + 1 \mid (x*y) + 1 \mid y^2 = 1$

$\longleftrightarrow x = 1 \wedge y = -1 \vee x = -1 \wedge y = 1$

(**is** *?eqn* \longleftrightarrow *?sols*)

proof

— Unfortunately, removing the conversions between int and real takes a few lines

let $?x = \text{real-of-int } x$ **and** $?y = \text{real-of-int } y$

assume *?eqn*

then have $1 \mid ?x^2 + 1 \mid (?x*?y) + 1 \mid ?y^2 = 1$ **by** *auto*

hence $?x^2*?y^2 \mid ?x^2 + ?x^2*?y^2 \mid (?x*?y) + ?x^2*?y^2 \mid ?y^2 = ?x^2*?y^2$

by *algebra*

hence $?x^2 + ?x*?y + ?y^2 = ?x^2 * ?y^2$ **using** $\langle x \neq 0 \rangle \langle y \neq 0 \rangle$

by (*simp add: power2-eq-square*)

hence *inteq*: $x^2 + x*y + y^2 = x^2 * y^2$

using *of-int-eq-iff* **by** *fastforce*

let $?g = \text{gcd } x \ y$

let $?x' = x \text{ div } ?g$ **and** $?y' = y \text{ div } ?g$

have $?g \neq 0$ **and** $?g > 0$ **using** $\langle x \neq 0 \rangle \langle y \neq 0 \rangle$ **by** *auto*

have $?x' * ?g = x$ **and** $?y' * ?g = y$ **by** *auto*

from *inteq* **and this** **have** $?g^2 * (?x'^2 + ?x' * ?y' + ?y'^2) = ?x'^2 * ?y'^2 * ?g^4$

by *algebra*

hence *reduced*: $?x'^2 + ?x' * ?y' + ?y'^2 = ?x'^2 * ?y'^2 * ?g^2$ **using** $\langle ?g \neq 0 \rangle$ **by** *algebra*

hence $?x' \text{ dvd } ?y'^2$ **and** $?y' \text{ dvd } ?x'^2$

by *algebra*+

moreover have *coprime* $?x' (?y'^2)$ *coprime* $(?x'^2) ?y'$

using *assms div-gcd-coprime* **by** *auto*

ultimately have *is-unit* $?x'$ *is-unit* $?y'$

unfolding *coprime-def* **by** *auto*

hence *abs1*: $|?x'| = 1 \wedge |?y'| = 1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

then consider (*same-sign*) $?x' = ?y' \mid$ (*diff-sign*) $?x' = -?y'$ **by** *fastforce*

thus *?sols*

proof *cases*

case *same-sign*

then have $?x' * ?y' = 1$

using *abs1* **and** *zmult-eq-1-iff* **by** *fastforce*

hence $?g^2 = 3$

using *abs1 same-sign* **and** *reduced* **by** *algebra*

hence $1^2 < ?g^2$ **and** $?g^2 < 2^2$ **by** *auto*

hence $1 < ?g$ **and** $?g < 2$

using $\langle ?g > 0 \rangle$ **and** *power2-less-imp-less* **by** *fastforce*+

hence *False* **by** *auto*

thus *?sols* **by** *auto*

next

case *diff-sign*

then have $?x' * ?y' = -1$

using *abs1*

by (*smt mult-cancel-left2 mult-cancel-right2*)

hence $?g^2 = 1$

using *abs1 diff-sign* **and** *reduced* **by** *algebra*

hence $?g = 1$ **using** $\langle ?g > 0 \rangle$

by (*smt power2-eq-1-iff*)

hence $x = ?x'$ **and** $y = ?y'$ **by** *auto*

thus *?sols* **using** *abs1* **and** *diff-sign* **by** *auto*

qed

next

assume *?sols*

then show *?eqn* **by** *auto*

qed

2.2 Problem 2

Prove that a sequence is bounded, converges, and find the limit.

context

fixes $a :: \text{real}$

assumes *a-bounds*: $0 < a \wedge a < 1$

begin

fun $c :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$c\ 0 = a / 2 \mid$

$c \text{ (Suc } n) = (a + (c \text{ } n)^2) / 2$

abbreviation $x1 \equiv 1 - \text{sqrt } (1 - a)$

abbreviation $x2 \equiv 1 + \text{sqrt } (1 - a)$

lemma *c-pos*: $0 < c \text{ } n$

using *a-bounds*

by (*induction n, auto, smt zero-less-power*)

lemma *c-bounded*: $c \text{ } n < x1$

proof (*induction n*)

case 0

have $(1 - a/2)^2 = 1 - a + (a/2)^2$

by (*simp add: power2-diff*)

hence $1 - a < (1 - a/2)^2$ **using** *a-bounds* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{sqrt } (1 - a) < 1 - a/2$

using *a-bounds* **and** *real-less-lsqrt* **by** *auto*

thus *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then have $(c \text{ } n)^2 < (1 - \text{sqrt } (1-a))^2$ **using** *c-pos*

by (*smt power-less-imp-less-base real-sqrt-abs*)

also have $\dots = 2 - 2 * \text{sqrt } (1-a) - a$

using *a-bounds* **by** (*simp add: power2-diff*)

finally have $(a + (c \text{ } n)^2)/2 < 1 - \text{sqrt } (1-a)$ **by** *auto*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *c-incseq*: *incseq c*

proof (*rule incseq-SucI*)

fix *n*

from *c-bounded* **have** $c \text{ } n < x1$ **by** *auto*

have $c \text{ } n < x1$ $c \text{ } n < x2$

using *c-bounded*

by (*smt a-bounds real-sqrt-lt-0-iff*)**+**

moreover have $(c \text{ } n)^2 - 2*c \text{ } n + a = (c \text{ } n - x1)*(c \text{ } n - x2)$

using *a-bounds*

by (*auto simp add: algebra-simps power2-eq-square*)

ultimately have $(c \text{ } n)^2 - 2*c \text{ } n + a > 0$

by (*smt nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right zero-le-divide-iff*)

thus $c \text{ } n \leq c \text{ (Suc } n)$ **by** *auto*

qed

theorem *problem2*: $c \longrightarrow x1$

proof $-$

obtain *L* **where** $c \longrightarrow L$

using *c-incseq c-bounded incseq-convergent*

by (*metis less-imp-le*)

then have $(\lambda n. c \text{ (Suc } n)) \longrightarrow L$

```

    using LIMSEQ-Suc by blast
  hence  $(\lambda n. (a + (c\ n)^2) / 2 * 2) \longrightarrow L * 2$ 
    using tendsto-mult-right by fastforce
  hence  $(\lambda n. a + (c\ n)^2) \longrightarrow L * 2$  by (simp del: distrib-right-numeral)
  hence  $(\lambda n. a + (c\ n)^2 - a) \longrightarrow L * 2 - a$ 
    using tendsto-diff LIMSEQ-const-iff by blast
  hence  $(\lambda n. (c\ n)^2) \longrightarrow L * 2 - a$ 
    by auto
  moreover from  $\langle c \longrightarrow L \rangle$ 
  have  $(\lambda n. (c\ n)^2) \longrightarrow L^2$ 
    unfolding power2-eq-square
    using tendsto-mult by blast
  ultimately have  $L * 2 - a = L^2$ 
    by (rule LIMSEQ-unique)
  hence  $L^2 - 2 * L + a = 0$  by auto
  moreover have  $L^2 - 2 * L + a = (L - x1) * (L - x2)$ 
    using a-bounds
    by (auto simp add: algebra-simps power2-eq-square)
  ultimately have  $L = x1 \vee L = x2$ 
    by auto
  moreover from c-bounded and  $\langle c \longrightarrow L \rangle$  have  $L \leq x1$ 
    by (meson LIMSEQ-le-const2 le-less-linear less-imp-triv)
  moreover from a-bounds have  $x1 < x2$  by auto
  ultimately have  $L = x1$  by auto
  thus ?thesis using  $\langle c \longrightarrow L \rangle$  by auto
qed

end

end

```