# Week5: Cox Regression I

November 23, 2020

#### Contents

### A. The Cox model as a linear model

Consider independent subjects that follow a Cox model

$$\lambda_i(t, X_i) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(X_i^T \beta)$$

such that the observed right censored survival data  $(T_i, \delta_i, X_i)$  are i.i.d. Where as always  $T_i = \min(\tilde{T}_i, C_i)$  and  $\delta_i = I(\tilde{T}_i \leq C_i)$ .  $\tilde{T}_i \sim \lambda_i(t, X_i)$  and  $C_i \sim \lambda_c(t, X_i)$  and with independence given  $X_i$ . We further denote the survival distribution of  $C_i$  given  $X_i$  as  $G_c(t, X_i)$  and assume that  $G_c(t, x) > \tilde{\epsilon} > 0$  for  $t \leq \tau$  and all x. We also assume that all  $C_i \leq \tau$  some fixed limited following.

Let the related counting processes be denoted as  $N_i(t) = (T_i \leq t, \delta_i = 1)$  and the at-risk processes be  $Y_i(t) = I(t \leq T_i)$ . Define  $N_{\bullet}(t) = \sum_i N_i(t)$ ,  $Y_{\bullet}(t) = \sum_i Y_i(t)$ . And let  $S_j(t,\beta) = \sum_i Y_i(t) \exp(X_i^T \beta) X_i^2$  for j = 0, 1, 2, where  $X_i^0 = 1$ ,  $X_i^j = X_i$ ,  $X_i^2 = X_i X_i^T$  (for  $X_i$  a  $p \times 1$  vector). Let  $\Lambda_0(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_0(s) ds$ .

- 1. What is the survival function of  $\Lambda_0(\tilde{T}_i) \exp(+X_i^T \beta)$  given  $X_i$ .
- 2. Show that  $Y_i = \log(\Lambda_0(\tilde{T}_i))$  can be written as as linear model

$$Y_i = \alpha - X_i^T \beta + W_i$$

where  $W_i$  is extreme value distributed with survival distribution  $P(W_i > w) = exp(-exp(w))$ . Hint: show that survival distributions are the same.

3. Let  $\Delta_i = I(\tilde{T}_i \leq C_i)$ , show that

$$E(\frac{\Delta_i}{G_c(T_i, X_i)}) = 1$$

Hint: repeated conditioning.

4. Use the previous result to show that

$$E(\frac{\Delta_i}{G_c(T_i, X_i)}(Y_i - (\alpha - X_i^T \beta + W_i))) = 0$$

How can this be used in practice. Construct an estimating equation for  $\beta$  based on this.

5. We now consider a linear regression model of log-transforms such that, given X,  $log(V) = -X^T \gamma + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  has hazard  $\nu(t)$ . Derive the hazard of V.

# B. This exercise is about understanding the partial likelihood.

Consider independent subjects that follow a Cox model

$$\lambda_i(t, X_i) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(X_i^T \beta)$$

such that the observed right censored survival data  $(T_i, \delta_i, X_i)$  are i.i.d. With independent censoring given X. Let the related counting processes be denoted as  $N_i(t) = (T_i \leq t, \delta_i = 1)$  and the at-risk processes be  $Y_i(t) = I(t \leq T_i)$ . Define  $N_{\bullet}(t) = \sum_i N_i(t)$ ,  $Y_{\bullet}(t) = \sum_i Y_i(t)$ . And let  $S_j(t, \beta) = \sum_i Y_i(t) \exp(X_i^T \beta) X_j^j$  for j = 0, 1.

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2, ...., \tau_d$  denote the ordered death times of the sample, that is the ordered jump times of  $N_{\bullet}(t)$ , let  $\mathcal{R}(\tau_j)$  denote the indexes of those subjects under risk at  $\tau_j$ , and let  $D_j$  denote the index of the subject that died at time  $\tau_j$  for j = 1, ..., d.

1. What is the intensity for  $N_{\bullet}(t)$ . Using the analogy from the Nelson-Aalen estimator suggest an estimator for  $\Lambda_0(t)$  based on a moment equation for  $N_{\bullet}(t)$ . This is for known  $\beta$ . Indicate with martingale arguments why this is a good estimator.

- 2. What is the likelihood for the data using the hazard functions.
- 3. Compute  $\pi_j(i) = P(D_j = i | \mathcal{R}(\tau_j), \tau_j = t)$ , that is the probability that subject "i" dies given that we have an event at time "t" and given who are under risk and their covariates. Hint: write out the probability and see that we get back to the intensities conditioning on those that are under risk. Note knowing  $\mathcal{R}(\tau_j)$  tells us who satisfies  $T_i \geq \tau_j$ .
- 4. What is the "partial likelihood" the probability of the seeing the observed  $D_j$  for j = 1, ..., d, and write up a likelihood the observed data forward in time, using the  $D_j$ 's and the  $N_{\bullet}$ .
- 5. See that 2 and 4 are the same, by re-arranging 2.
- 6. What is the expected covariate (the mean of X's) for the subject dying given we have a death at time  $\tau_j$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\tau_j)$ , as well as their covariates. Use the probability distribution from 3 (everything else if fixed when we condition on covariates and risk set).
- 7. What is the variance of the X's under risk at the j th death time, that is the X's from  $\mathcal{R}(\tau_i)$ .

## C. The Cox model

Do exercise 6.3 of MS. Hint: for b) write up the related estimating equation  $U(\theta)$  and derive the asymptotic distribution of  $U(\theta_0)$  using Martingale theory, adding and subtracting the compensator. The variance is then the limit of second derivative squared times the variance of the martingale. In addition

- 1. Write up the score test, that is the test based on evaluating the score function for  $H_0: \theta = 1$ .
- 2. In the case where  $N_{1i}(t) \sim \alpha_i(t)$  and  $N_{2i}(t) \sim \theta \alpha_i(t)$ . Estimate the  $\theta$  by calculating and multiplying partial likelihoods for each i, so the probability of seeing who died at the first jump time in all pairs. Show that this is also a mean zero estimating equation, by rewriting the score using martingales. Hint: use Martingale magic.

### D. The Cox model in action

Considering the TRACE data with time to death as the outcome. We wish to understand how vf and chf (two covariates) are important for survival.

- 1. Choose a time-scale, here there are at-least two possibilities, age and follow-up time.
- 2. Do a Kaplan-Meier for the 4 groups based on vf and chf, and a log-rank test. What do you conclude? Estimate also the cumulatives hazards using the Nelson-Aalen estimates and compare the survival estimates based on these with the Kaplan-Meier's.
- 3. Do a Cox regression for vf and chf and make conclusions under the model. How do we interpret the regression coefficients.
  - Estimate the survival for the 4 groups based on vf and chf and compare with the Kaplan-Meier estimates.
  - Should we have included interactions between vf and chf in the model. Do a formal test.
  - Estimate the effect of chf in a stratified Cox model (stratified after vf), look at the baselines and try to conclude wether they are proportional.
- 4. We shal now consider a Cox model with time-dependent covariates. It is expected that vf has a predictive strong effect only within the first 2 months (say), and that chf has an effect that is strong the first 6 monthts, and then different. Set up the data with )stop,start) such that you can fit a Cox model with time-dependent covariates of this type. Specifically we wish to consider the regression model

$$\lambda_i(t, X_i) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(VF_i\beta_1 + VF_iI(t > 2)\beta_2 + CHF_i\beta_3 + CHF_iI(t > 6)\beta_4)$$

What tests could be of interest here, and how do we interpret the coefficients.

• Hint: in R you can use survSplit to get the data on the needed form. Part of this question is to explain how putting the data on this form and fitting a Cox model would be achieving what we are after.

•	Fit the model and compare the survival predictions with the Kaplan-Meier estimates.