

Technology Enabled Continuous Software Development

Paul M. Clarke
School of Computing
Dublin City University, Ireland
Lero - Irish Software Research Centre
+353-1-700-7021
Paul.M.Clarke@dcu.ie

Peter Elger
NearForm Ltd.
Suite 420 Mountain View
CA 94040, USA
+1-916-235-6459
Peter.Elger@nearform.com

Rory V. O'Connor
School of Computing
Dublin City University, Ireland
Lero - Irish Software Research Centre
+353-1-700-5643
Rory.OConnor@dcu.ie

ABSTRACT

Given that organizations need to innovate and release software in faster parallel cycles of days or even hours, there are good reasons why new practices are being adopted in industry. In this paper, we present the case of a highly responsive process that is driven by tooling technology and which facilitates continual delivery of software at up to hourly intervals. Understanding and analyzing such industry practices can inform academic and practitioner dialogue on current challenges and potential solutions, and on the evolution of new 'better' practices.

CCS Concepts

• Software development process management

Keywords

Software development lifecycle; Lean software development.

1. INDUSTRIAL PRACTICE – A CASE

Software development organizations are under more pressure than ever before to evolve software intensive systems through the release of valuable software in increasingly shorter time durations. At one time, software releases would occur one or two times per year, however with current competitive market opportunities this has been reduced to weekly, daily and hourly time periods, requiring correspondingly innovative software.

This article briefly introduces the case of one software organization NearForm Ltd., a software development company with a presence in the US and Europe and which has experienced substantial growth through the continual delivery of high quality software to some of the largest companies in the world, including blue chip financial institutions. In this company's process, customer defined *business value* is a key focus and it is concerned with an acute responsiveness to client needs, be they new features or defect resolutions. The organization works to a regular 5 day iteration for software development, deploying working software weekly through a standard feature bundle. For customers who desire even higher levels of responsiveness, the company's process allows for continual deliveries of working software, at sometimes daily and even hourly intervals. While regular iterations can be predictable from the outset, continual analysis of the value stream ensures that each iteration may be re-planned in real time, delivering the highest possible level of *business value* from organizational capacity.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).
CSED'16, May 14-15 2016, Austin, TX, USA
ACM 978-1-4503-4157-8/16/05.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2896941.2896943>

The recent emphasis on DevOps recognizes that the integration between software development and its operational deployment needs to be a continuous one that is highly automated and therefore supported by tooling. However, whilst it is acknowledged that tooling can affect the design of a software process [1], the impact of technology on shaping the process in this case is very significant and could be viewed as appearing to run contrary to the Agile Manifesto value of '*Individuals and interactions over processes and tools*'. Within the case study company continual software evolution and delivery is made possible through the aggressive incorporation of contemporary and predominately open source software tools. While the speedy delivery of innovative features is a vital enabler of competitive advantage, it is only effective if it is accompanied by reliable and high quality deployments. To this end, the availability of cutting edge technology and tools has driven the current form of the organizational software processes, with a strong focus on both deployment and application quality.

We have analyzed the processes within the case study company, in particular to understand the role and impact of technology and tool support on the process. There are four key technologies driving the process architecture: (1) Java-script and Node.js which enables extremely rapid code development by utilizing the same programming language across the entirety of the system; (2) alongside a distributed micro-services architecture, under which the system is broken down into a set of discreet co-operating processes, typically each service is of the order of several hundred lines of code only. (3) This architectural approach is coupled with a continuous deployment model, layered over the Docker container engine, whereby individual services (or several services at a time) may be deployed without perturbing the system as a whole. (4) Finally quality through steps such as code commit hooks via GitHub (for distributed revision control and source code management) and the Travis CI tool set (continuous integration and delivery platform). Together, these technologies allow the company to perform well under a time and materials contract basis, whereby clients are initially attracted through the rapid delivery of a prototype in 10 days, and thereafter, regular iterations of new working software are reviewed every 5 days.

The ever increasing demands on software organizations and their developers will continue to cause major differences in how processes are designed, deployed and evolved. Therefore it is essential that evolving practices and success stories such as the one described herein are documented and exchanged.

2. REFERENCES

- [1] Clarke, P., O'Connor, R. V. 2012. The situational factors that affect the software development process: Towards a comprehensive reference framework. *Information and Software Technology*, 54(5): 433-447