实验三

小组成员: 171860566 王盼盼 171860565 刘振国 分数比例: 1:1

实验思路

选定一个开源 IDE 项目,确定一个软件需求 R,从该软件需求提出开始。

- (1) 明确提出需求 R 的文本, 获取需求 R 的有关讨论文本;
- (2) 识别出实现需求 R 的代码:
- (3) 如果需求有变更,识别出需求变更:
 - a) 明确需求变更的文本;
 - b) 识别出与需求变更有关的代码;
- (4) 给出需求 R 的全生命周期的时间线。

实验过程

(1) 选择 IDE 并确定一个软件需求 R

IDE 项目: 托管在 github 上的 VS Code 开源代码

从 Pull Request 中选取一个,作为需求 R,选取的 PR 在下面介绍。

(2)编写 python 程序,从 github 上爬取上面这条 PR 的提出文本,截图如下:

Fix-72370 Opening large file when debugging freezes #72747

可以将需求 R 理解为给软件添加新功能。

- (3) 利用 python 程序,从 github 上爬取需求 R 的有关讨论文本,讨论文本存放在 data/pr conversation.txt.
- (4) 将第一次 commit 视为实现需求 R 的代码,同样可以爬取下来,存放在 0.txt 中。 部分截图如下:

(5) 需求变更共有两次:

①第一次变更:

R: 为源码添加两个新的函数,实现新功能——>R1: 为新添加的函数编写测试代码,用来确定正确性和必要性。

此次变更得到了允许,并且进行了实现。

变更的参与人员: isidorn(变更提出者) skprabhanjan(讨论者) 截图如下:

原需求的部分截图:

@rebornix and @isidorn, Here is the implementation of the showing only inline values of visible viewport to fix #72370.

Added two new functions, tokenizeCompleteDocument which will tokenize the complete document as per the same old behaviour, tokenizeViewPort which will only tokenize the visible viewport. Used these two functions in getWordToPositionsMap as suggested.

变更后的需求,部分截图:

isidorn commented on 25 Apr Contributor ···

Thanks for your PR. However currently we are wrapping our release which means we only take in a limited set of changes.

Due to that I am assigning this PR to may and I will review it next week.

Did you test this out? Is it possible to write some unit tests for this?

Why is the method tokenizeWholeDocument still needed? I thought we would only tokenize the viewport for optimization.

②第二次需求变更:

变更原因:原需求的实现代码遭到质疑,其中的一部分被证明是错误的,被驳回,最终只有一部分被合并到项目中。

变更结果: R2: 只 merge 正确的代码, 然后关闭该 PR。

截图如下:

isidorn commented on 24 Jun Contributor

I have looked into this PR and decided not to merge it yet. Reasons being:

- 1. I believe the logic is still not clear, since you tokenizeViewport and than tokenizeRange. Even though those operations seem to be overlaping. There might be more flows in the logic.
- 2. I think you have followed the @rebornix instructions without having an overall understanding of the solution, which lead us to a complex approach

I might be wrong in which case I appologize, but I am still not convinced with this PR, and also not convinced we need it since no other user encountered this in 3 months.

skprabhanjan commented on 25 Jun

Author Contributor •••

@isidorn , That makes sense to me and thanks for the review :)

No problems from my side , I will close this PR but ready to work on it and change it if required :) @rebornix , Thanks :)

(6) 需求 R 的全生命周期时间线:

