of preserved plantation. He supposed that there were poachers about; they occasionally came in after hares. He went out with his gun in that direction, but saw nobody. He returned home at one o'clock by his watch.

THE CORONER: Did you fire your gun at any time?

WITNESS: No.

THE CORONER: You did not go out again?

WITNESS: I did not.

THE CORONER: Nor hear any other shots?

WITNESS: Only that one; but I fell asleep after I got back, and was wakened up by the chauffeur going out for the doctor. That would be at about a quarter past three.

THE CORONER: Is it not unusual for poachers to shoot so very near the cottage?

WITNESS: Yes, rather. If poachers do come, it is usually on the other side of the preserve, towards the moor.

Dr Thorpe gave evidence of having been called to see deceased. He lived in Stapley, nearly fourteen miles from Riddlesdale. There was no medical man in Riddlesdale. The chauffeur had knocked him up at 3:45 a.m., and he had dressed quickly and come with him at once. They were at Riddlesdale Lodge at half-past four. Deceased, when he saw him, he judged to have been dead three or four hours. The lungs had been pierced by a bullet, and death had resulted from loss of blood, and suffocation. Death would not have resulted immediately—deceased might have lingered some time. He had made a post-mortem investigation, and found that the bullet had been deflected from a rib. There was nothing to show whether the wound had been self-inflicted or fired from another hand, at close quarters. There were no other marks of violence.

Inspector Craikes from Stapley had been brought back in the car with Dr Thorpe. He had seen the body. It was then lying on its back, between the door of the conservatory and the covered well just outside. As soon as it became light, Inspector Craikes had examined the house and grounds He had found bloody marks all along the path leading to the conservatory and signs as though a body had been dragged along. This path ran into the main path leading from the gate to the front door. [Plan produced.] Where

the two paths joined, a shrubbery began, and ran down on both sides of the path to the gate and the gamekeeper's cottage. The blood-tracks had led to a little clearing in the middle of the shrubbery, about half-way between the house and the gate. Here the inspector found a great pool of blood, a handkerchief soaked in blood, and a revolver. The handkerchief bore the initials D.C., and the revolver was a small weapon of American pattern, and bore no mark. The conservatory door was open when the Inspector arrived, and the key was inside.

Deceased, when he saw him, was in dinner-jacket and pumps, without hat or overcoat. He was wet through, and his clothes, besides being much bloodstained, were very muddy and greatly disordered through the dragging of the body. The pocket contained a cigar-case and a small flat pocket-knife. Deceased's bedroom had been searched for papers, etc., but so far nothing had been found to shed very much light on his circumstances.

The Duke of Denver was then recalled.

THE CORONER: I should like to ask your grace whether you ever saw deceased in possession of a revolver?

DUKE OF D.: Not since the war.

THE CORONER: You do not know if he carried one about with him?

DUKE OF D.: I have no idea.

THE CORONER: You can make no guess, I suppose, to whom this revolver belongs?

DUKE OF D.: [in great surprise] That's my revolver—out of the study table drawer. How did you get hold of that? [Sensation.]

THE CORONER: You are certain?

DUKE OF D.: Positive. I saw it there only the other day, when I was hunting out some photos of Mary for Cathcart, and I remember saying then that it was getting rusty lying about. There's the speck of rust.

THE CORONER: Did you keep it loaded?

DUKE OF D.: Lord, no! I really don't know why it was there. I fancy I turned it out one day with some old Army stuff,

and found it among my shooting things when I was up at Riddlesdale in August. I think the cartridges were with it.

THE CORONER: Was the drawer locked?

DUKE OF D.: Yes; but the key was in the lock. My wife tells me I'm careless.

THE CORONER: Did anybody else know the revolver was there?

DUKE OF D.: Fleming did, I think. I don't know of anybody else.

Detective-Inspector Parker of Scotland Yard, having only arrived on Friday, had been unable as yet to make any very close investigation. Certain indications led him to think that some person or persons had been on the scene of the tragedy in addition to those who had taken part in the discovery. He preferred to say nothing more at present.

a shot at ten minutes to twelve, but he had supposed it to be fired by and the Duke of Denver, after which deceased had left the house never to they had still to ask themselves about the shot which had awakened Lady Thorpe's opinion, death had not immediately followed the wound. If already three or four hours dead. They would remember also that, in Dr evidence that when he arrived at Riddlesdale at 4:30 deceased had been the shot at about three A.M. did not fit in very well with the doctor's about. On the other hand, Lady Mary's statement that she had heard poachers. It was, in fact, quite possible that there had been poachers consider what weight was to be attached to those conflicting statements the room in which the revolver produced in evidence was usually kept. that he had been heard immediately afterwards moving about in the study, the Duke had gone downstairs at 11:30, and that of Colonel Marchbanks moment of death to between eleven P.M. and midnight, and this might they believed this evidence, therefore, they would have to put back the Then, as to the shots heard in the night; the gamekeeper had said he heard left his bedroom till half-past two in the morning. The jury would have to Against this they had the Duke's own sworn statement that he had not be seen alive again. They had the evidence of Mr Pettigrew-Robinson that At, or a little after, ten o'clock there had been a quarrel between deceased very well have been the shot which the gamekeeper heard. In that case The Coroner then reconstructed the evidence in chronological order

remained broad awake till the alarm was given by Lady Mary. She could swear positively that she heard no shot in the night. Her window was next to Lady Mary's, on the opposite side from the conservatory. She had always been accustomed from a child to sleep with her window open. In reply to a question from the Coroner, Mrs Pettigrew-Robinson said she had never felt there was a real, true affection between Lady Mary Wimsey and deceased. They seemed very off-hand, but that sort of thing was the fashion nowadays. She had never heard of any disagreement.

Miss Lydia Cathcart, who had been hurriedly summoned from town, then gave evidence about the deceased man. She told the Coroner that she was the Captain's aunt and his only surviving relative. She had seen very little of him since he came into possession of his father's money. He had always lived with his own friends in Paris, and they were such as she could not approve of.

any of them. I have never been in France.' will as executrix, but I converted it all, at once, into good, sound, British go and stay with his rich friends. I cannot now recall any of their names upon me at his death, but I did not care to refuse. My house was open all his life, my brother should have chosen to put such a responsibility guardian till Denis came of age. I do not know why, after neglecting me to Cambridge, by his father's desire. I was left executrix of the will, and notions were always quite French. After my brother's death Denis went persons he consorted with in Paris were most undesirable. I never met me at all to hear that he had been cheating at cards. I have heard that the securities. I cannot say what Denis did with his. It would not surprise in some kind of foreign property. I inherited a certain amount under the When Denis was twenty-one he came into £10,000 a year. I believe it was to Denis during his holidays from college, but he preferred, as a rule, to he had my nephew educated abroad till he was eighteen. I fear Denis's 'My brother and I never got on very well,' said Miss Cathcart, 'and

John Hardraw, the gamekeeper, was next called. He and his wife inhabit a small cottage just inside the gate of Riddlesdale Lodge. The grounds, which measure twenty acres or so, are surrounded at this point by a strong paling; the gate is locked at night. Hardraw stated that he had heard a shot fired at about ten minutes to twelve on Wednesday night, close to the cottage, as it seemed to him. Behind the cottage are ten acres

him moving about in the study.' Colonel Marchbanks listened and heard it too. Neither of them heard the Duke come up again. They had heard no noise of any kind in the night.

was no mistaking the Duke's bedroom door, as the hinge creaked in a He had heard his travelling clock strike twelve before falling asleep. There he thought he should have heard. He had not heard the footsteps return were at his end of the passage, and, if anybody had entered either of them. not hear whether they had gone downstairs. The bathroom and lavatory however, at 11:30 to be precise, Mr Pettigrew-Robinson had heard the nothing, and had shut his bedroom door with great decision. Later on passed, and had said again, 'I say, Denver, what's up?' The Duke had said had then returned. Mr Pettigrew-Robinson had tried to catch him as he the conservatory door open, as Mr Cathcart had gone out. The Duke and gone to the head of the stairs. He had heard him tell Fleming to leave his face. He had then gone to the Duke's door and said, 'I say, Denver' spoken a few words to Mr Arbuthnot, and rushed into his own bedroom scarlet in the face. He had not seen Mr Pettigrew-Robinson, but hac or words to that effect. Cathcart had rushed downstairs. The Duke was you dare to speak to my sister again I'll break every bone in your body', be going to happen, opened his door in time to hear the Duke say, 'If with Cathcart. Mr Pettigrew-Robinson, fearing that something might ance. He and his wife had gone to bed at ten. They had heard the quarrel peculiar manner. Duke's door open, and stealthy feet moving about the passage. He could The Duke had come out, pushing past him, without even noticing him, Arbuthnot', and Mr Arbuthnot had very rudely slammed the door in Mr Pettigrew-Robinson had run out, and said to Mr Arbuthnot, 'I say, Mr Pettigrew-Robinson appeared to give evidence with extreme reluct

Mrs Pettigrew-Robinson confirmed her husband's evidence. She had fallen asleep before midnight, and had slept heavily. She was a heavy sleeper at the beginning of the night, but slept lightly in the early morning. She had been annoyed by all the disturbance in the house that evening, as it had prevented her from getting off. In fact, she had dropped off about 10:30, and Mr Pettigrew-Robinson had had to wake her an hour after to tell her about the footsteps. What with one thing and another she only got a couple of hours' good sleep. She woke up again at two, and

Mary Wimsey. Of course, if they liked to put that down to poachers, there was no inherent impossibility.

of considerable fortune. He had had a meritorious military career, and or by malice aforethought with intent to murder. As regards suicide, they such of the jury as had never been in France that they ought to allow for cards was regarded as far more shameful than such sins as murder and involved in this inquiry belonged, such a misdemeanour as cheating at and shoot himself? Again, the jury must consider the accusation which or writing a word of explanation or farewell, would thereupon rush out deceased had announced his intention of breaking off the engagement. was evidence to show that the fiancés, though perhaps not demonstrative, well of him to consent to his own sister's engagement to deceased. There was liked by his friends. The Duke of Denver had thought sufficiently would have to decide whether that shot was fired by his own hand or by had undoubtedly died as the result of being shot in the lungs. The jury body of deceased had been dragged from that place to the house. Deceased relations with French persons in his capacity as a solicitor, and could assure very different from British ones. The Coroner himself had had business been educated in France, and French notions of the honest thing were make away with himself. But was deceased honourable? Deceased had founded or not, might well cause a gentleman of sensitive honour to adultery. Possibly the mere suggestion of such a thing, whether well him of cheating at cards. In the kind of society to which the persons the Duke of Denver said he had brought against deceased. He had accused Did they believe that deceased, without even communicating with the lady, were on excellent terms. The Duke affirmed that on the Wednesday night Deceased was a young man in the prime of his strength, and apparently must consider what they knew of deceased's character and circumstances the hand of another; and, if the latter, whether by accident, in self-defence shrubbery, about seven minutes' distance from the house, and that the medical evidence, that the shot which killed deceased had been fired in the conservatory, near the covered well. There seemed little doubt, from the by the Duke of Denver at three A.M. lying outside the door of the smal the accusation had not been produced to them. Next, they might ask these different standards. Unhappily, the alleged letter giving details of They next came to the body of deceased, which had been discovered

themselves whether it was not more usual for a suicide to shoot himself in the head. They should ask themselves how deceased came by the revolver. And, finally, they must consider, in that case, who had dragged the body towards the house, and why the person had chosen to do so, with great labour to himself and at the risk of extinguishing any lingering remnant of the vital spark, 3 instead of arousing the household and fetching help.

If they excluded suicide, there remained accident, manslaughter, or murder. As to the first, if they thought it likely that deceased or any other person had taken out the Duke of Denver's revolver that night for any purpose, and that, in looking at, cleaning, shooting with, or otherwise handling the weapon, it had gone off and killed deceased accidentally, then they would return a verdict of death by misadventure accordingly. In that case, how did they explain the conduct of the person, whoever it was, who had dragged the body to the door?

The Coroner then passed on to speak of the law concerning manslaughter. He reminded them that no mere words, however insulting or threatening, can be an efficient excuse for killing anybody, and that the conflict must be sudden and unpremeditated. Did they think, for example, that the Duke had gone out, wishing to induce his guest to return and sleep in the house, and that deceased had retorted upon him with blows or menaces of assault? If so, and the Duke, having a weapon in his hand, had shot deceased in self-defence, that was only manslaughter. But, in that case, they must ask themselves how the Duke came to go out to deceased with a lethal weapon in his hand? And this suggestion was in direct conflict with the Duke's own evidence.

Lastly, they must consider whether there was sufficient evidence of malice to justify a verdict of murder. They must consider whether any person had a motive, means, and opportunity for killing deceased; and whether they could reasonably account for that person's conduct on any other hypothesis. And, if they thought there was such a person, and that his conduct was in any way suspicious or secretive, or that he had wilfully suppressed evidence which might have had a bearing on the case, or (here the Coroner spoke with great emphasis, staring over the Duke's head) fabricated other evidence with intent to mislead—then all these

out of the window, 'Don't be an ass, man!' He had seemed very angry indeed, but the Hon. Freddy attached no importance to that. One was always getting across Denver, but it never came to anything. More dust than kick in his opinion. Hadn't known Cathcart long—always found him all right—no, he didn't *like* Cathcart, but he was all right, you know, nothing wrong about him that he knew of. Good lord, no, he'd never heard it suggested he cheated at cards! Well, no, of course, he didn't go about looking out for people cheating at cards—it wasn't a thing one expected. He'd been had that way in a club at Monte once—he'd had no hand in bringing it to light—hadn't noticed anything till the fun began. Had not noticed anything particular in Cathcart's manner to Lady Mary, or hers to him. Didn't suppose he ever would notice anything; did not consider himself an observing sort of man. Was not interfering by nature; had thought Wednesday evening's dust-up none of his business. Had gone to bed and to sleep.

THE CORONER: Did you hear anything further that night?
HON. FREDERICK: Not till poor little Mary knocked me up. Then
I toddled down and found Denver in the conservatory, bathing
Cathcart's head. We thought we ought to clean the gravel
and mud off his face, you know.
THE CORONER: You heard no shot?

HON. FREDERICK: Not a sound. But I sleep pretty heavily.

Colonel and Mrs Marchbanks slept in the room over what was called the study—more a sort of smoking-room really. They both gave the same account of a conversation which they had had at 11:30. Mrs Marchbanks had sat up to write some letters after the Colonel was in bed. They had heard voices and someone running about, but had paid no attention. It was not unusual for members of the party to shout and run about. At last the Colonel had said, 'Come to bed, my dear, it's half-past eleven, and we're making an early start tomorrow. You won't be fit for anything.' He said this because Mrs Marchbanks was a keen sportswoman and always carried her gun with the rest. She replied, 'Tm just coming.' The Colonel said, 'You're the only sinner burning the midnight oil—everybody's turned in.' Mrs Marchbanks replied, 'No, the Duke's still up; I can hear

22

Verbatim.

WITNESS: I hardly know—it all happened so quickly. I thought it was burglars, I think.

THE CORONER: His grace has told us that when you saw him you cried out, 'O God! you've killed him!' Can you tell us why you did that?

WITNESS: [very pale] I thought my brother must have come upon the burglar and fired at him in self-defence—that is, if I thought at all.

THE CORONER: Quite so. You knew that the Duke possessed a revolver?

WITNESS: Oh, yes—I think so.

THE CORONER: What did you do next?

WITNESS: My brother sent me up to get help. I knocked up Mr Arbuthnot and Mr and Mrs Pettigrew-Robinson. Then I suddenly felt very faint, and went back to my bedroom and took some sal volatile.

THE CORONER: Alone?

witness: Yes. Everybody was running about and calling out. I couldn't bear it—I—

Here the witness, who up till this moment had given her evidence very collectedly, though in a low voice, collapsed suddenly, and had to be assisted from the room.

The next witness called was James Fleming, the manservant. He remembered having brought the letters from Riddlesdale at 9:45 on Wednesday evening. He had taken three or four letters to the Duke in the gun-room. He could not remember at all whether one of them had had an Egyptian stamp. He did not collect stamps; his hobby was autographs.

The Hon. Frederick Arbuthnot then gave evidence. He had gone up to bed with the rest at a little before ten. He had heard Denver come up by himself some time later—couldn't say how much later—he was brushing his teeth at the time. [Laughter.] Had certainly heard loud voices and a row going on next door and in the passage. Had heard somebody go for the stairs hell-for-leather. Had stuck his head out and seen Denver in the passage. Had said, 'Hello, Denver, what's the row?' The Duke's reply had been inaudible. Denver had bolted into his bedroom and shouted

circumstances might be sufficient to amount to a violent presumption of guilt against some party, in which case they were in duty bound to bring in a verdict of wilful murder against that party. And, in considering this aspect of the question, the Coroner added, they would have to decide in their own minds whether the person who had dragged deceased towards the conservatory door had done so with the object of obtaining assistance or of thrusting the body down the garden well, which, as they had heard from Inspector Craikes, was situate close by the spot where the body had been found. If the jury were satisfied that deceased had been murdered, but were not prepared to accuse any particular person on the evidence, they might bring in a verdict of murder against an unknown person, or persons; but, if they felt justified in laying the killing at any person's door, then they must allow no respect of persons to prevent them from doing their duty.

Guided by these extremely plain hints, the jury, without very long consultation, returned a verdict of wilful murder against Gerald, Duke of Denver.

WITNESS: I heard a shot.

THE CORONER: You were not awake before you heard it?

WITNESS: I may have been partly awake. I heard it very distinctly I was sure it was a shot. I listened for a few minutes, and then went down to see if anything was wrong.

THE CORONER: Why did you not call your brother or some other gentleman?

WITNESS: [scornfully] Why should I? I thought it was probably only poachers, and I didn't want to make an unnecessary fuss at that unearthly hour.

THE CORONER: Did the shot sound close to the house?

WITNESS: Fairly, I think—it is hard to tell when one is wakened by a noise—it always sounds so extra loud.

THE CORONER: It did not seem to be in the house or in the conservatory?

WITNESS: No. It was outside.

THE CORONER: So you went downstairs by yourself. That was very plucky of you, Lady Mary. Did you go immediately?

witness: Not quite immediately. I thought it over for a few minutes; then I put on walking-shoes over bare feet, a heavy covert-coat, and a woolly cap. It may have been five minutes after hearing the shot that I left my bedroom. I went downstairs and through the billiard-room to the conservatory.

THE CORONER: Why did you go out that way?

WITNESS: Because it was quicker than unbolting either the front door or the back door.

At this point a plan of Riddlesdale Lodge was handed to the jury. It is a roomy, two-storied house, built in a plain style, and leased by the present owner, Mr Walter Montague, to Lord Denver for the season, Mr Montague being in the States.

WITNESS: [resuming] When I got to the conservatory door I saw a man outside, bending over something on the ground. When he looked up I was astonished to see my brother.

THE CORONER: Before you saw who it was, what did you expect?

WITNESS: Not the slightest.

THE CORONER: Can you think of any explanation now?

WITNESS: Absolutely none.

THE CORONER: There had been no quarrel?

WITNESS: No.

THE CORONER: So far as you knew, on the Wednesday evening, you were still engaged to deceased with every prospect of being married to him shortly?

WITNESS: Ye-es. Yes, certainly, of course.

THE CORONER: He was not—forgive me this very painful question—the sort of man who would have been likely to lay violent hands on himself?

witness: Oh, I never thought—well, I don't know—I suppose he might have done. That would explain it, wouldn't it?

THE CORONER: Now, Lady Mary—please don't distress yourself, take your own time—will you tell us exactly what you heard and saw on Wednesday night and Thursday morning.

Robinson at about half-past nine, leaving all the men downstairs. I said good night to Denis, who seemed quite as usual. I was not downstairs when the post came. I went to my room at once. My room is at the back of the house. I heard Mr Pettigrew-Robinson come up at about ten. The Pettigrew-Robinson sleep next door to me. Some of the other men came up with him. I did not hear my brother come upstairs. At about a quarter past ten I heard two men talking loudly in the passage, and then I heard someone run downstairs and bang the front door. Afterwards I heard rapid steps in the passage, and finally I heard my brother shut his door. Then I went to bed.

THE CORONER: You did not inquire the cause of the disturbance? WITNESS: [indifferently] I thought it was probably something about

THE CORONER: What happened next? WITNESS: I woke up at three o'clock.

THE CORONER: What wakened you?

Chapter 2

The Green-Eyed Cat

With his nose unto the ground

And here's to the hound

Drink, Puppy, Drink

less robust, hold that it is the worst, and that, of all breakfasts in the week, Sunday morning breakfast is incomparably the worst. The party gathered about the breakfast-table at Riddlesdale Lodge held, if one might judge from their faces, no brief for that day miscalled of sweet refection and holy love. The only member of it who seemed neither angry nor embarrassed was the Hon. Freddy Arbuthnot, and he was silent, engaged in trying to take the whole skeleton out of a bloater at once. The very presence of that undistinguished fish upon the Duchess's breakfast-table indicated a disorganized household.

The Duchess of Denver was pouring out coffee. This was one of her uncomfortable habits. Persons arriving late for breakfast were thereby made painfully aware of their sloth. She was a long-necked, long-backed woman, who disciplined her hair and her children. She was never embarrassed, and her anger, though never permitted to be visible, made itself felt the more.

Colonel and Mrs Marchbanks sat side by side. They had nothing beautiful about them but a stolid mutual affection. Mrs Marchbanks was not angry, but she was embarrassed in the presence of the Duchess, because she could not feel sorry for her. When you felt sorry for people you called them 'poor old dear' or 'poor dear old man.' Since, obviously, you could not call the Duchess poor old dear, you were not being properly sorry for her. This distressed Mrs Marchbanks. The Colonel was both embarrassed and angry—embarrassed because, 'pon my soul, it was very

difficult to know what to talk about in a house where your host had been arrested for murder; angry in a dim way, like an injured animal, because unpleasant things like this had no business to break in on the shooting season.

a point of ignoring those newspaper paragraphs which bore such heada girl she had adopted the motto stamped upon the school notepaper: not to blame for the unfortunate result. wanted to come to Riddlesdale, it was her place to be with him. She was obvious kind of way. But, of course, since Mr Pettigrew-Robinson had during the war. Nor had Mrs Pettigrew-Robinson at all cared for Captain connected with a Bolshevist while Lady Mary was nursing in London young woman; besides, there had been that very undignified incident considered her a very objectionable specimen of the modern independent about such things. She regretted having consented to visit Riddlesdale 'Death in a Pint of Stout'; '£75 for a Kiss'; or 'She called him dwell on anything that was not really nice. In middle life she still made Denis Cathcart. She did not like a young man to be handsome in that Lodge in the absence of the Duchess. She had never liked Lady Mary; she Hubbykins'. She said she could not see what good it did you to know lines as: 'Assault upon a School teacher at Cricklewood' Quæcunque honesta. She had always thought it wrong to let your minc Mrs Pettigrew-Robinson was not only angry, she was outraged. As

Mr Pettigrew-Robinson was angry, quite simply, because the detective from Scotland Yard had not accepted his help in searching the house and grounds for footprints. As an older man of some experience in these matters (Mr Pettigrew-Robinson was a county magistrate) he had gone out of his way to place himself at the man's disposal. Not only had the man been short with him, but he had rudely ordered him out of the conservatory, where he (Mr Pettigrew-Robinson) had been reconstructing the affair from the point of view of Lady Mary.

All these angers and embarrassments might have caused less pain to the company had they not been aggravated by the presence of the detective himself, a quiet young man in a tweed suit, eating curry at one end of the table next to Mr Murbles, the solicitor. This person had arrived from London on Friday, had corrected the local police, and strongly dissented from the opinion of Inspector Craikes. He had suppressed at the inquest

THE CORONER: Where did you first meet him? WITNESS: At my sister-in-law's house in London.

THE CORONER: When was that?

WITNESS: I think it was June last year.

THE CORONER: You were quite happy in your engagement?

WITNESS: Quite.

THE CORONER: You naturally saw a good deal of Captain Cathcart. Did he tell you much about his previous life?

witness: Not very much. We were not given to mutual confidences. We usually discussed subjects of common interest.

THE CORONER: You had many such subjects?

WITNESS: Oh, yes.

THE CORONER: You never gathered at any time that Captain Cathcart had anything on his mind?

WITNESS: Not particularly. He had seemed a little anxious the last few days.

THE CORONER: Did he speak of his life in Paris?

WITNESS: He spoke of theatres and amusements there. He knew Paris very well. I was staying in Paris with some friends last February, when he was there, and he took us about. That was shortly after our engagement.

THE CORONER: Did he ever speak of playing cards in Paris?

WITNESS: I don't remember.

THE CORONER: With regard to your marriage—had any money settlements been gone into?

WITNESS: I don't think so. The date of the marriage was not in any way fixed.

THE CORONER: He always appeared to have plenty of money? WITNESS: I suppose so; I didn't think about it.

THE CORONER: You never heard him complain of being hard up? witness: Everybody complains of that, don't they?

THE CORONER: Was he a man of cheerful disposition?

WITNESS: He was very moody, never the same two days together THE CORONER: You have heard what your brother says about the deceased wishing to break off the engagement. Had you any idea of this?