

Homework 2 Solutions

E2-210, Jan–Apr 2025

1. Fidelity is defined as $F(\rho, \sigma) := \text{tr} \sqrt{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

(a) $|\psi\rangle$ is a pure state. Then $(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)^2 = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi||\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \implies |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(|\psi\rangle, \rho) &= \text{tr} \sqrt{(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \text{tr} \sqrt{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \rho |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} = \sqrt{\langle\psi| \rho |\psi\rangle} \text{tr} \sqrt{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} = \sqrt{\langle\psi| \rho |\psi\rangle} \underbrace{\text{tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)}_{=\langle\psi|\psi\rangle=1} = \sqrt{\langle\psi| \rho |\psi\rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If ρ and σ commute, they are simultaneously diagonalizable in the same ON basis. Let $\{|i\rangle\}$ be the ON basis. Then, $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ and $\sigma = \sum_i \mu_i |i\rangle\langle i|$. With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho, \sigma) &= \text{tr} \sqrt{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \text{tr} \sqrt{(\sum_i \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} |i\rangle\langle i|)(\sum_j \mu_j |j\rangle\langle j|)(\sum_k \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} |k\rangle\langle k|)} \\ &= \text{tr} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k} (\lambda_i \cdot \lambda_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_j |i\rangle\langle i| |j\rangle\langle j| |k\rangle\langle k|} = \text{tr} \sqrt{\sum_i \lambda_i \mu_i |i\rangle\langle i|} \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i \mu_i} |i\rangle\langle i| \right) = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i \mu_i} \text{tr}(|i\rangle\langle i|) = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i \mu_i} \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = (1-p)\rho + \frac{p}{3}(X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z)$. We want to show that, for $\sigma = \mathcal{E}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$, we have $F(|\psi\rangle, \sigma) = \sqrt{1-2p/3}$. There are at least two ways that one can show this.

(i) The first way is a direct calculation, where we plug in $\sigma = (1-p)|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + \frac{p}{3}(X|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|X + Y|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|Y + Z|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|Z)$ into $F(|\psi\rangle, \sigma) = \sqrt{\langle\psi|\sigma|\psi\rangle}$ to obtain

$$F(|\psi\rangle, \sigma) = \sqrt{1-p + \frac{p}{3}(\langle\psi|X|\psi\rangle^2 + \langle\psi|Y|\psi\rangle^2 + \langle\psi|Z|\psi\rangle^2)} \quad (1)$$

We claim that for any state $\psi = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, with $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, we have $\langle\psi|X|\psi\rangle^2 + \langle\psi|Y|\psi\rangle^2 + \langle\psi|Z|\psi\rangle^2 = 1$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\psi|X|\psi\rangle &= (a^*\langle 0| + b^*\langle 1|)(a|1\rangle + b|0\rangle) = a^*b + ab^* \\ \langle\psi|Y|\psi\rangle &= (a^*\langle 0| + b^*\langle 1|)(ia|1\rangle - ib|0\rangle) = i(ab^* - a^*b) \\ \langle\psi|Z|\psi\rangle &= (a^*\langle 0| + b^*\langle 1|)(a|0\rangle - b|1\rangle) = |a|^2 - |b|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle^2 + \langle \psi | Y | \psi \rangle^2 + \langle \psi | Z | \psi \rangle^2 &= \underbrace{(a^*b + ab^*)^2 - (ab^* - a^*b)^2}_{= 4|a|^2|b|^2} + (|a|^2 - |b|^2)^2 \\
&= (|a|^2 + |b|^2)^2 \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this back into (1), we obtain $F(|\psi\rangle, \sigma) = \sqrt{1 - 2p/3}$ as desired.

(ii) The second approach is to use the identity

$$\frac{I}{2} = \frac{1}{4}(\rho + X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z), \quad (2)$$

which holds for any single-qubit density matrix ρ . A proof of this identity will be given later. Using the identity, we get

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = (1 - p)\rho + \frac{p}{3}(2I - \rho) = (1 - \frac{4p}{3})\rho + \frac{2p}{3}I$$

so that $\sigma = \mathcal{E}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = (1 - \frac{4p}{3})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + \frac{2p}{3}I$. From this, we obtain

$$\langle \psi | \sigma | \psi \rangle = (1 - \frac{4p}{3}) + \frac{2p}{3} = 1 - \frac{2p}{3}$$

and hence, $F(|\psi\rangle, \sigma) = \sqrt{\langle \psi | \sigma | \psi \rangle} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2p}{3}}$.

Proof of (2) : Denote the RHS of (2) by $\mathcal{L}(\rho)$. By direct calculations, using $XY = -YX$, $XZ = -ZX$ and $YZ = -ZY$, and also $X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = I$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\frac{I}{2}) &= \frac{I}{2} \\
\mathcal{L}(X) &= \frac{1}{4}(X + X + YXY + ZXZ) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(X + X - XYY - XZZ) = \frac{1}{4}(X + X - X - X) = 0 \\
\mathcal{L}(Y) &= \frac{1}{4}(Y + XYX + Y + ZYZ) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(Y - YXX + Y - YZZ) = \frac{1}{4}(Y - Y + Y - Y) = 0 \\
\mathcal{L}(Z) &= \frac{1}{4}(Z + XZX + YZY + Z) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(Z - ZXX - ZYY + Z) = \frac{1}{4}(Z - Z - Z + Z) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

So, if we write ρ using its Bloch vector representation, $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(I + r_x X + r_y Y + r_z Z)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = \mathcal{L}(\frac{I}{2}) + r_x \mathcal{L}(X) + r_y \mathcal{L}(Y) + r_z \mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{I}{2},$$

which proves the identity. □

(b) $\mathcal{E}_{\text{AD}}(\rho) = E_0 \rho E_0^\dagger + E_1 \rho E_1^\dagger$. First note that

$$\langle \psi | \mathcal{E}_{\text{AD}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | \psi \rangle = |\langle \psi | E_0 | \psi \rangle|^2 + |\langle \psi | E_1 | \psi \rangle|^2.$$

Plugging in $\psi = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, we get after some calculations,

$$\langle \psi | \mathcal{E}_{\text{AD}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | \psi \rangle = 1 - \gamma + |a|^2 \left[\gamma + 2|b|^2 \sqrt{1 - \gamma} (1 - \sqrt{1 - \gamma}) \right] \geq 1 - \gamma$$

with equality iff $a = 0$, i.e., $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle$. Thus,

$$F(|\psi\rangle, \mathcal{E}_{\text{AD}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)) = \sqrt{\langle \psi | \mathcal{E}_{\text{AD}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | \psi \rangle} \geq \sqrt{1 - \gamma}$$

with equality iff $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle$.

3. We simply check if the Knill-Laflamme condition holds for \mathcal{E} and the ON basis $|\phi_1\rangle = |++\rangle$, $|\phi_2\rangle = |--\rangle$. Take $E_1 = S \otimes I$ and $E_2 = I \otimes S$. Then, $E_1^\dagger E_2 = S^\dagger \otimes S$, and $\langle \phi_1 | E | \phi_2 \rangle = \langle ++ | S^\dagger \otimes S | -- \rangle = \langle + | S^\dagger | - \rangle \langle + | S | - \rangle$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle + | S^\dagger | - \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle 0 | + \langle 1 |)(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i) \\ \langle + | S | - \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle 0 | + \langle 1 |)(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle \phi_1 | E | \phi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(1 + i)(1 - i) = \frac{1}{2}$, which is not equal to $C_{12} \langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle$, for any constant C_{12} , since $\langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle = 0$. Therefore, the Knill-Laflamme condition does not hold, so that there is no recovery operation that allows an arbitrary $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{Q}$ to be recovered from any error in the set \mathcal{E} .