1 Overview

1.1 Lambertian albedo

First note that any BRDF is nonnegative. This means $\rho/\pi \ge 0 \implies \rho \ge 0$. Now, consider the conservation of energy,

$$\int_{\mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{out}}} f(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\text{in}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\text{out}}) \cos \theta_{\text{out}} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\text{out}} \leq 1 \implies \int_{\mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{out}}} \frac{\rho}{\pi} \cos \theta_{\text{out}} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\text{out}} \leq 1 \\
\implies \frac{\rho}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{out}}} \cos \theta_{\text{out}} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\text{out}} \leq 1 \\
\implies \frac{\rho}{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/2} \cos \theta \sin \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi \leq 1 \\
\implies \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/2} \sin 2\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi \leq 1 \\
\implies \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=2\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta \right]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/2} \, \mathrm{d}\phi \leq 1 \\
\implies \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=2\pi} 1 \, \mathrm{d}\phi \leq 1 \\
\implies \rho \leq 1.$$

1.2 Foreshortening

(a) The solid angle subtended by dA at X_1 is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A}{D^2},$$

and that at X_2 is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A\cos\alpha}{D^2}.$$

(b) First note that based on the definition of irradiance E and radiance L , we can relate them by

$$E = \int_{\Omega} L \cos \theta \, d\omega.$$

Since we are considering only an infinitesimally small surface dA, we have

$$E(X_1) = L d\omega_1 = L \cdot \frac{dA}{D^2}$$

$$E(X_2) = L \cos \alpha d\omega_2 = L \cos^2 \alpha \cdot \frac{dA}{D^2}.$$

The ratio among them is thus

$$\frac{E(X_1)}{E(X_2)} = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha}.$$

1.3 Simple rendering




Figure 1: Quiver from bunny.mat.



Figure 2: Simple rendering from $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ being rotated 45° upwards from (0,0,1).



Figure 3: Simple rendering from $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ being rotated 45° rightwards from (0,0,1).



Figure 4: Simple rendering from $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ being rotated 75° rightwards from (0,0,1).

Rendering with $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (0,0,1)$ can be simply done by imshow(N(:,:,3)) because the radiance of Lambertian surface is essentially directly proportional to $\cos \theta$, where θ is the angle between the light source and the surface normal. This is essentially $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}$ where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the surface normal. In the case of $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (0,0,1)$, it can simply be done by accessing the z-values.

Some errors can be seen from this simple rendering. Some places of the bunny should be blocked out of light but they still show up in our rendering. In another words, shadow is not accounted for.

1.4 Photometric stereo

1. Estimated albedo and surface normal.




Figure 5: Estimated albedo.




Figure 6: Estimated surface normal.

2. The poor estimation of albedo near the nasal area is because of shadow. In this simple rendering, we assumed of no shadows, yet near the nasal area, shadows are often there. We might be able to improve this by only estimating the albedo based on the images where the nasal area is not blocked by shadows.

3. Simple renderings.




Figure 7: Simple rendering from $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (0.58, -0.58, -0.58)$.






Figure 8: Simple rendering from $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (-0.58, -0.58, -0.58)$.

4. Recovered surface.





1.5 Dichromatic reflectance

1. The pixel values and the BRDF may be related by

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}) = \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}} \int_{\lambda} f(\lambda, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_o) I(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} c_R(\lambda) \\ c_G(\lambda) \\ c_B(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} d\lambda.$$

Under the dichromatic reflectance model, we then have

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\lambda} f_d(\lambda) I(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} c_R(\lambda) \\ c_G(\lambda) \\ c_B(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} d\lambda,$$

and

$$\mathbf{s} = \int_{\lambda} I(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} c_R(\lambda) \\ c_G(\lambda) \\ c_B(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} d\lambda.$$

2. For i = 1, 2, since $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i \perp \mathbf{s}$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}) = (\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}})(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u})),$$

which is not dependent on g_s (and hence f_s), and is linearly dependent on $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u})$.

3. Note that

$$J(\mathbf{u}) = \sqrt{(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}))^2 + (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}))^2} = (\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}) \sqrt{(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}))^2 + (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}))^2},$$

which is also linearly dependent on $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u})$ under the fact that only the case of $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}} \geq 0$ is considered.

4. imout might be more useful to a computer vision system because the glares (i.e. the spectular reflections) may be obsurring or distracting the system into given an incorrect result.



Figure 9: Result of makeLambertian.

1.6 Color metamers (Extra Credit)

1. Suppose $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the discretization of $f(\lambda)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the discretization of $l(\lambda)$ and let $C(\lambda)$ be the CIE XYZ color matching function, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{f} = \int_{\lambda} C(\lambda) f(\lambda) l(\lambda) \, d\lambda$$

$$\simeq \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{f} \odot \boldsymbol{\ell})$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{L}_{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times n}} \boldsymbol{\ell}.$$

$$= \mathbf{L}_{f} \boldsymbol{\ell},$$

where \odot denotes the elementwise multiplication.

- 2. The Euclidean distance would be $\|\mathbf{L}_f \boldsymbol{\ell} \mathbf{L}_g \boldsymbol{\ell}\|_2$.
- 4. The optimal temperature found is 8282.1459K.




Figure 10: Error function in 1.6.2. against temperature T.




Figure 11: Blackbody radiation at $T=8282.1459\mathrm{K}$, with two vertical lines at 400nm and 700nm indicating the visible spectrum.