STIMULER ASSIGNMENT: EXERCISE RECOMMENDATION

By: NIHAR MITTAL

Approach 1:

1. Understanding the Problem

The primary challenge I faced is creating an **adaptive exercise recommendation system** for an English learning app, which serves users from various parts of the world, with different language proficiencies, interests, and cultural backgrounds. The goal is to dynamically suggest exercises that help users improve in areas where they frequently make mistakes (grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, or fluency). Additionally, the system needs to handle **new users** effectively (the cold start problem), where I have little to no prior interaction data.

- Personalized learning: Tailor exercises to individual user needs based on their performance and preferences.
- Handling existing users: Use historical data to identify patterns and provide more relevant practice exercises.
- **Handling new users**: Develop a system that recommends meaningful exercises even when no prior data is available.

2. Assumptions Made

Given the scope of the problem, I made the following assumptions to simplify the solution:

- Demographic data such as the user's country, age band, and proficiency level is available.
- Each user's historical data includes their error counts across four categories: grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and fluency.
- Each user also has performance scores (between 0 and 100) for these categories, representing their proficiency.
- For new users, demographic data is available during onboarding to handle the cold start scenario.

3. Designing the Solution

To achieve the goals of this adaptive recommendation system, I broke down the solution into three key components:

- a) **Data Preprocessing**: Preparing the user data for machine learning models by encoding categorical variables and normalizing numerical ones.
- b) **Model-based Recommendation for Existing Users**: Using historical data to predict the user's weakest category and recommending exercises that address those weaknesses.
- c) **Cold Start Handling for New Users**: Using demographic clustering to recommend initial exercises to users without prior data.

4. Step-by-Step Approach to the Solution

4.1 Data Preprocessing

Before I could build any models or make recommendations, I needed to ensure the data was in a suitable format for machine learning models. User data, particularly the demographic data (e.g., country, age, proficiency level), often comes in categorical formats. Categorical data cannot be directly used in most machine learning models, which typically expect numerical inputs. Therefore, I applied **Label Encoding** and **OneHotEncoding** to convert these into numerical representations.

I also standardized the users' performance scores (e.g., grammar score, fluency score) using **StandardScaler**. Standardization ensures that the features used by our model have similar scales, improving the performance of machine learning models. This step is critical in avoiding bias in the model due to varying scales of different features. The thought process here was to ensure consistency and comparability across features, which is essential for effective modeling and clustering.

4.2 Model-based Recommendation for Existing Users

For users who have interacted with the app before, I focused on using **historical data** to predict the most suitable category for exercises. This involves two key elements:

- 1. **Identifying Weak Areas**: Each user's historical data records the number of errors they've made across four categories: grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and fluency. By comparing these, I could determine which category the user struggles with the most.
- 2. **Model Selection**: I chose to use a **RandomForestClassifier** for predicting the category with the most errors. Random forests are an ensemble learning method that combines multiple decision trees to improve prediction accuracy. This model was chosen because:
- It handles both categorical and numerical data effectively.
- It provides feature importance, which can help us understand which features are most relevant for predictions.
- It's robust to overfitting, especially when working with small datasets (like our simulated user data).

The key insight here is that predicting the category where the user makes the most errors allows us to **dynamically adapt** the exercises I recommend. Rather than showing generic exercises, I focus on exercises that target the user's specific weaknesses.

4.3 Handling the Cold Start Problem

One of the significant challenges was handling **new users** (users with no historical interaction data). Since these users don't have any previous errors logged, I cannot rely on error prediction. However, I can still use **demographic data** (e.g., country, proficiency level, and age band) to make reasonable recommendations.

For this, I applied **KMeans Clustering**, which groups similar users based on their demographic information. KMeans works by identifying clusters of users who share similar characteristics and assuming that users within the same cluster would benefit from similar types of exercises. Once a new user is assigned to a cluster, I can recommend exercises that were useful to other users in the same group.

This clustering approach is essential because, in the absence of historical data, I still need to provide **meaningful recommendations**. By leveraging the similarities between users, I can generate educated guesses about what exercises would be most beneficial for a new user.

4.4 <u>Personalized Exercise Generation</u>

Once we've determined the most relevant category (either by prediction or clustering), the next step is to generate **personalized exercises** that target the user's weak spots. Here, I took user demographics into account to ensure that exercises are engaging and relatable.

For example:

- If a user from Japan struggles with grammar, I might generate exercises that use references to **anime**, a cultural touchstone.
- For an Indian user, I could provide grammar exercises with dialogues from the TV show *Friends* or Tarak Mehta ka Oolta chashma.

5. Challenges and Decisions

- 1. **Model Selection**: I initially considered several model types for the prediction task (logistic regression, decision trees, etc.). I ultimately chose **Random Forest** due to its ability to handle both categorical and numerical data efficiently. Additionally, it performs well with small datasets, which I used during the simulation.
- 2. **Cold Start Handling**: Handling new users with no historical data is always a challenge in recommendation systems. I chose **KMeans Clustering** because it groups users with similar characteristics, making it possible to provide meaningful recommendations even when user interaction data is missing. Other methods (e.g., collaborative filtering) would have required interaction data, which was unavailable for new users.
- 3. **Data Encoding and Scaling**: The decision to use **OneHotEncoding** and **StandardScaler** was made to ensure compatibility with the machine learning models and avoid potential biases in the model due to different feature scales.

6. <u>Future Improvements</u>

- 1. **Reinforcement Learning**: Over time, I can track how effective each recommendation is by measuring user improvement (e.g., fewer errors in grammar exercises). This feedback can be used to build a **reinforcement learning system**, where the recommendation engine continually improves by learning which exercises are most effective for different types of users.
- 2. **Collaborative Filtering**: As more users interact with the app, I could implement **collaborative filtering** to recommend exercises based on patterns seen in similar users. This would complement the KMeans clustering approach and provide even more personalized recommendations.
- 3. **Deep Learning Models**: If the dataset grows significantly, I could explore more complex models like **neural networks** or **deep reinforcement learning** to provide even better predictions and recommendations.
- 4. **User Feedback Integration**: Allow users to rate exercises, and use this feedback to adjust future recommendations. This feedback loop will improve the accuracy of the recommendations over time.

Approach 2:

1. Identifying Weak Areas Through Historical Data

The first step in building an adaptive recommendation system was to analyze the **historical data** of each user to identify patterns in their errors. The fundamental insight here is that **learning is most effective when focused on areas where the learner struggles**. For example, if a user consistently makes grammatical errors, the system should prioritize grammar exercises.

- Why Focus on Historical Data?

I assumed that each user would have feedback data from past exercises, including errors and performance scores in four categories: **grammar**, **vocabulary**, **pronunciation**, and **fluency**. These scores offer a clear signal of where the user needs the most help.

By analyzing this data, I can pinpoint the **most problematic areas** and ensure that future exercises focus on improving those specific skills.

2. Weighted Approach to Prioritize Areas of Improvement

After identifying the user's weak areas, the next challenge was determining **which category to focus on next**. Not all errors are equally important, and users may struggle with multiple aspects of language learning.

I developed a weighted approach to solve this:

- Why Use a Weighted Approach?

It's important to consider both the **frequency of errors** and the **severity of underperformance** in a category. A user who makes frequent grammatical errors, even with a high grammar score, should still focus on grammar. Conversely, if a user has a low score in pronunciation but makes few mistakes, they may benefit more from focusing on grammar or vocabulary.

This balancing act between **error frequency** and **performance scores** helps the system prioritize the area that will have the greatest impact on the user's overall improvement.

3. Personalized Content Generation Based on Demographics

Language learning is not just about technical skills; it's also about keeping the user **motivated and engaged**. I recognized that exercises tailored to the **user's interests** and **cultural background** would be more engaging and relatable. This led us to incorporate **personalization based on demographics**.

- Why Include Demographics and Interests?

Personalization enhances engagement. For instance, a user from Japan who enjoys anime may be more engaged with exercises that reference anime, while a user from India might prefer exercises with content inspired by popular shows like *Friends*. By embedding cultural references, the system can make learning more enjoyable and familiar.

This is particularly important because language learning requires sustained motivation, and a one-size-fits-all approach might not work across different regions and cultural contexts.

4. <u>Dynamic Adaptation to User Progress</u>

A critical component of our approach is **dynamic adaptation**—the system must adapt as users progress in their learning journey. Users improve at different rates, and their needs change over time, so it's essential that the system adjusts its recommendations based on their evolving performance.

- Why Dynamic Adaptation?

Language learning is a **non-linear process**. As users interact with the platform, they may improve in one category while new challenges emerge in another. The system needs to continuously **analyze user performance** and **reevaluate their needs** after each exercise. By doing so, the system can keep the user on a trajectory of improvement, rather than stagnation.

How This Approach Tackles the Problem?

The approach I landed on is designed to address the core challenges of the problem statement in the following ways:

1. Handling Existing Users:

For users who have been using the platform for some time, I focus on leveraging their **historical data** to provide insights into their strengths and weaknesses. This data serves as the foundation for personalized recommendations. Specifically, we:

- **Extract error patterns** from past exercises to understand which language categories (grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, fluency) need the most attention.
- **Apply a weighted analysis** that considers both the **frequency of errors** and the **user's score** in each category. This ensures I recommend exercises that target the most pressing needs.

By focusing on the **weakest areas**, I ensure that the user is always presented with exercises that are most relevant to their learning journey.

2. Handling the Cold Start Problem:

For **new users** without historical data, I rely on **demographics** and **cultural preferences** to create an initial profile that helps us recommend relevant exercises. Instead of relying on past errors (which are unavailable for new users), we:

- Use the user's **country**, **age group**, **and proficiency level** to provide a baseline recommendation.
- Personalize the learning experience by embedding **culturally relevant content** that aligns with the user's interests (e.g., using references to anime for Japanese users).

By using demographic information, I can make **meaningful recommendations** right from the start, even for users who have just joined the platform.

3. Personalized Exercise Generation:

Every recommendation is **customized** based on both the user's weak areas and their personal preferences. This combination of **data-driven insights** (error analysis) and **contextual relevance** (demographics and interests) ensures that the user is not only improving but also staying motivated to learn.

- If a user struggles with grammar, the system can provide **grammar-specific exercises** using familiar cultural contexts, making the learning process more intuitive and enjoyable.
- If a user has pronunciation issues, the system can deliver **pronunciation drills** using content that aligns with the user's interests.

This **personalization** makes the exercises both **relevant** and **engaging**, increasing the chances of long-term user retention and success.

4. Continuous Learning and Adaptation:

The system is designed to adapt over time. After each exercise, the user's performance is evaluated, and the **error patterns are updated**. As the user progresses:

- The system **re-calculates the priorities** for future exercises, ensuring that the user is always challenged at the appropriate level.
- If a user starts making fewer grammar mistakes but struggles with vocabulary, the system will adapt to focus more on vocabulary exercises.

Conclusion:

Our approach effectively balances data-driven insights, personalized content generation, and adaptive learning. By focusing on user errors, performance, and personal preferences, I ensure that the system delivers targeted and engaging exercises that are most likely to improve the user's language proficiency.

Key strengths of the approach:

- **Personalized recommendations** ensure that users focus on areas where they need the most improvement.
- **Culturally relevant exercises** keep users engaged and motivated.
- **Dynamic adaptation** ensures that the system evolves with the user, providing a continuously effective learning experience.

This solution offers a **scalable**, **user-centric**, and **data-driven** way to tackle language learning, adapting to both **existing** and **new** users and ensuring continuous improvement over time.

Key Differences Between the Two Approaches

1. Focus of the Approach

Approach 2:

- Focuses on rule-based analysis and exercise generation using user feedback data.
- It is more tailored towards creating **personalized exercises** based on historical error types, error frequencies, and user preferences (like interests in anime or movies).
- The primary goal is to dynamically choose the most common error and tailor an exercise to address that error, without relying on complex machine learning models.

Approach 1:

- Focuses on a machine learning-based recommendation system.
- It builds a prediction model using user demographics and performance scores, predicting the language category (grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, fluency) in which the user is most likely to need help.
- Uses a combination of **RandomForestClassifier** and **KMeans clustering** to predict the user's weak points and solve the cold start problem for new users.

2. Data Handling and Processing

Approach 2:

- Uses rule-based feature extraction directly from user feedback.
- Manually computes error frequencies and scores from historical feedback, and then decides the most appropriate category for exercises based on these.
- The data processing is relatively straightforward and limited to aggregating user errors and scores.

Approach 1:

- Uses more complex data preprocessing techniques, including OneHotEncoding and StandardScaler to prepare categorical and numerical data for machine learning models.
- The data handling is more systematic, as it splits features into train and test sets, uses model fitting, and includes scaling and encoding processes.
- This approach is better suited for larger, more diverse datasets where automation and accuracy in prediction are required.

3. Exercise Category Selection

Approach 2:

- **Rule-based category selection**: The category for the next exercise is chosen based on a combination of error counts and proficiency scores.
- You use an error-weighted approach to identify the category where the user needs the most help.

Approach 1:

- Machine learning-based category prediction: The category for exercises is predicted using a RandomForestClassifier, trained on historical user performance and demographic data.
- This approach uses a predictive model to generalize better across users, especially in larger datasets with diverse user bases.

4. Cold Start Problem

Approach 2:

- **No cold start handling** is directly included. It focuses only on users who already have historical data and frequent feedback, so it doesn't address how to recommend exercises for new users.

Approach 1:

- Includes a clear strategy for the cold start problem. It uses KMeans clustering to group new users based on demographic information (country, proficiency, age group). New users are clustered with similar users and recommended exercises that worked for others in their cluster.
- This clustering approach allows recommendations even when no historical data is available.

5. Exercise Generation

Approach 2:

- Generates **highly personalized exercises** based on user errors and preferences (e.g., anime references for Japanese users).
- The exercise generation is tailored specifically to the user's interests and the types of errors they frequently make.

Approach 1:

- While personalization is part of the approach, the focus is more on using machine learning to predict which type of exercises (grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, fluency) the user needs most.
- Once the category is selected, exercises are generated, but the content is less specifically tailored to user interests compared to your approach.

6. Complexity and Scalability

Approach 2:

- Simpler in design, relying on a rule-based system to extract data and generate exercises.
- Scalable for small to medium-sized datasets where performance and personalization are driven by user feedback and rules.

Approach 1:

- More complex due to the use of machine learning models, data preprocessing, and clustering for cold start scenarios.
- More **scalable** for larger datasets and systems where automated prediction and handling of new users are crucial.

7. Adaptability and Learning Over Time

Approach 2:

- **Static rule-based system**: The logic for selecting categories and generating exercises is predefined and doesn't evolve with user data over time.
- The system doesn't learn from user interactions but relies on manually calculated features such as error counts and proficiency scores.

Approach 1:

- **Dynamic, learning-based system**: The model can continuously improve as more user data is collected.
- The machine learning model can be retrained on new data to adapt to changing user behavior, making it more flexible and adaptive in the long run.