

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272425000>

The Strengths and Weaknesses of NMR Spectroscopy and Mass Spectrometry with Particular Focus on Metabolomics Research

Chapter in *Methods in molecular biology* (Clifton, N.J.) · April 2015

DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2377-9_13

CITATIONS

98

READS

11,473

1 author:



Abdul-Hamid Emwas

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

161 PUBLICATIONS 2,439 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Development of a new biodegradable organosilica drug delivery systems (DDSs) [View project](#)



Ternary and quaternary chalcogenides [View project](#)

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	The Strengths and Weaknesses of NMR Spectroscopy and Mass Spectrometry with Particular Focus on Metabolomics Research	
Copyright Year	2015	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media New York	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Emwas
	Particle	
	Given Name	Abdul-Hamid M.
	Suffix	
	Division	Imaging and Characterization Core Lab
	Organization/University	King Abdullah University of Science and Technology
	City	Thuwal
	Country	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
	Division	NMR Core Lab
	Organization/University	King Abdullah University of Science and Technology
	Street	Room 0149
	City	Thuwal
	Postcode	23955-6900
	Country	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
	Email	abdelhamid.emwas@kaust.edu.sa

Abstract

Mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have evolved as the most common techniques in metabolomics studies, and each brings its own advantages and limitations. Unlike MS spectrometry, NMR spectroscopy is quantitative and does not require extra steps for sample preparation, such as separation or derivatization. Although the sensitivity of NMR spectroscopy has increased enormously and improvements continue to emerge steadily, this remains a weak point for NMR compared with MS. MS-based metabolomics provides an excellent approach that can offer a combined sensitivity and selectivity platform for metabolomics research. Moreover, different MS approaches such as different ionization techniques and mass analyzer technology can be used in order to increase the number of metabolites that can be detected. In this chapter, the advantages, limitations, strengths, and weaknesses of NMR and MS as tools applicable to metabolomics research are highlighted.

Keywords (separated by “ - ”)

NMR - MS - LC-MS - GC-MS - Metabolomics - Spectroscopy - Metabonomics

The Strengths and Weaknesses of NMR Spectroscopy
and Mass Spectrometry with Particular Focus
on Metabolomics Research234

[AU1] Abdul-Hamid M. Emwas5

Abstract6

Mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have evolved as the most common techniques in metabolomics studies, and each brings its own advantages and limitations. Unlike MS spectrometry, NMR spectroscopy is quantitative and does not require extra steps for sample preparation, such as separation or derivatization. Although the sensitivity of NMR spectroscopy has increased enormously and improvements continue to emerge steadily, this remains a weak point for NMR compared with MS. MS-based metabolomics provides an excellent approach that can offer a combined sensitivity and selectivity platform for metabolomics research. Moreover, different MS approaches such as different ionization techniques and mass analyzer technology can be used in order to increase the number of metabolites that can be detected. In this chapter, the advantages, limitations, strengths, and weaknesses of NMR and MS as tools applicable to metabolomics research are highlighted.78910111213141516

Key words NMR, MS, LC-MS, GC-MS, Metabolomics, Spectroscopy, Metabonomics17

1 Introduction18

[AU2] Metabolomics is a technology-driven approach whereby recent developments in analytical tools, software, and statistical data analysis push the field forwards. Various analytical techniques have been used, but nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and mass spectrometry (MS) are the most common analytical tools in metabolomics research [1–14]. The high reproducibility of NMR-based techniques and the high sensitivity and selectivity of MS-based techniques mean that these tools are superior over other analytical techniques. Figure 1 shows the increase in the number of both NMR-based and MS-based metabolomics publications during the past 12 years.19202122232425262728

Metabolomics analyses can be separated into the categories of targeted or untargeted analysis. Untargeted analysis focuses on the metabolic profiling of the total complement of metabolites293031




Fig. 1 Number of NMR metabolomics and MS metabolomics publications; literature review was conducted using Web of Knowledge (<http://apps.webofknowledge.com>) with the keywords (a) (metabolomics and NMR) and (b) (metabolomics and MS)

(“fingerprint”) in a sample. NMR is commonly used in metabolomics fingerprinting studies. The targeted metabolomics approach focuses on the quantification and identification of selected metabolites, such as those involved in a particular metabolic pathway or others as the direct product of drug administering or of food intake. In targeted analysis, the metabolites under investigation are usually known, and the preparation of samples can be adjusted to reduce the effects of interference from associated metabolites. An MS-based metabolomics approach is usually the optimum method for targeted analysis.

Continuous development of MS methodology and machinery presents a highly specific analytical tool that can provide chemical information such as accurate mass, isotope distribution patterns for the determination of elemental formulae, structural elucidation through the characteristics of parent and fragment ions, identification of chemicals using spectral matching to authentic compound data, and comparative concentration levels of different chemicals in mixed samples. In fact, MS-based metabolomics techniques provide an exceptional combination of sensitivity and selectivity offering a powerful platform for a wide range of metabolomics research. Compared with NMR spectroscopy, MS is superior in allowing analysis of secondary metabolites where the detection level is of picomole to femtomole [15, 16]. Moreover, the different MS technologies provide an array of operational principles that can be applied, such as different ionization techniques, so increasing the number of metabolites that can potentially be detected.

The high reproducibility along with the nondestructive and non-invasive characteristics of NMR spectroscopy represents significant

advantages for employing NMR in metabolomics research. Further, NMR can be employed for in vivo studies, referred to as magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS); any in vitro metabolite pathway investigated by NMR spectroscopy can be pursued by in vivo studies using MRS. An NMR-based metabolomics approach involving isotopically labeled nuclei such as ^{13}C and ^{15}N can be used to obtain useful information about the balance of metabolites in a biological system and to monitor the flow of compounds through metabolic pathways. The high number of metabolites that can be detected simultaneously in a short time period of only a few minutes represents further advantage of using NMR spectroscopy in metabolomics research. For example, a single proton NMR spectrum can quantify around 100 metabolites in a sample of human urine, this providing a comprehensive picture of human metabolic status at a given point in time [17]. Moreover, high-resolution magic-angle spinning (HRMAS) NMR spectroscopy can be used in the study of intact tissue samples whereby metabolites present in a tissue can be detected without the need for pre-preparation steps such as extraction [18–24].

Finally, it is crucial to remember that there is no single analytical platform that can perform a complete quantification and identification of all molecules within a sample. Table 1 demonstrates the advantages and the limitations of NMR spectroscopy compared to MS spectrometry. Thus, employing different techniques such as different ionization methods coupled with liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in addition to one- and two-dimensional NMR experiments is necessary to maximize the identification of different metabolites within a complex sample. For example, Wishart et al. employed different analytical platforms such as NMR, GC-MS, direct flow injection mass spectrometry (DFI/LC-MS/MS), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to identify the human urine metabolome. The results show that a total of 209 different metabolites can be identified by NMR, in addition to 179 by GC-MS, 127 by DFI/LC-MS/MS, 40 by ICP-MS, and 10 by HPLC [25].

In this chapter, the advantages, limitations, strengths, and weaknesses of NMR and MS as tools applicable to metabolomics research are highlighted.

2 Materials

Compared with MS, NMR spectroscopy requires highly skilled and trained manpower operators, and it is more expensive to purchase and maintain; it also demands a large space for the instrumentation (Fig. 2). Consequently, MS instruments are much more commonly found in clinical centers and hospitals compared with NMR spectrometers.

Table 1
The advantages and limitations of NMR spectroscopy and MS spectrometry as an analytical tool for metabolomics research adapted from ref. [14]

[AU3]

	NMR	Mass spectrometry
Sensitivity	Low but can be improved with higher field strength, cryo- and microprobes, and dynamic nuclear polarization	High and detection limit reach nanomolar
Selectivity	Even though few selective experiments are available such as selective TOCSY, it is in general used for nonselective analysis	Can be used for both selective and nonselective (targeted and nontargeted) analyses
Sample measurement	All metabolites that have NMR concentration level can be detected in one measurement	Usually need different chromatography techniques for different classes of metabolites
Sample recovery	Nondestructive; sample can be recovered and stored for a long time; several analyses can be carried out on the same sample	Destructive technique but need a small amount of sample
Reproducibility	Very high	Moderate
Sample preparation	Need minimal sample preparation	More demanding; needs different columns and optimization of ionization conditions
Tissue samples	Yes, using HRMAS NMR tissue samples analyzed directly	No, requires tissue extraction
Number of detectable metabolites in urine sample	40–200 depending on spectral resolution	Could be more than 500 using different MS techniques
Target analysis	Not relevant for targeted analysis	Superior for targeted analysis
In vivo studies	Yes—widely used for ¹ H magnetic resonance spectroscopy (and to a lesser degree ³¹ P and ¹³ C	No—although suggestion that desorption electrospray ionization (DESI) may be a useful way to sample tissues minimally invasively during surgery

2.1 Samples

Different biological samples are commonly used in metabolomics analysis including:

- Bio-fluids.
- Cell extracts.
- Bacterial extracts.
- Animal/human intact tissues.
- Plant extracts.



Fig. 2 Typical NMR laboratory (KAUST NMR laboratory) where sufficient, separated space is required for location of NMR spectrometer (*top*); GC-MS where the GC-MS is usually small enough to be allocated on lab bench top (*bottom*)

2.2 Chemicals

1. The chemicals most commonly used in metabolomics analyses include HPLC grade solvents such as methanol, ethanol, chloroform, acetonitrile, and isopropanol. 113 114 115
2. Phosphate buffer is usually required for NMR analysis. 116
3. Solvents usually contain hydrogen atoms and the solvent ^1H NMR peak would overwhelm the solute ^1H peaks. Consequently, deuterated solvents should be used for NMR 117 118 119

[AU4]

measurements; those most frequently used in metabolomics studies are D₂O or (90:10 H₂O: D₂O) for polar metabolites and CDCl₃ for lipid samples. In the case of strong solvent residual peaks such as (90:10 H₂O: D₂O), the “solvent suppression” experimental technique would be necessary to suppress the residual solvent peak.

4. References for NMR spectra: 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS) or its sodium salt and 3-trimethylsilylpropionic acid (TSP) are normally used for samples dissolve in D₂O or 90:10 H₂O: D₂O, and tetramethylsilane (TMS) is the most commonly used in organic solvents such as chloroform.

2.3 NMR Equipment

1. NMR magnets: Even though an ultrahigh magnetic field NMR spectrometer, such as one set at 950 MHz, will offer better resolution and higher sensitivity, such equipment is prohibitively expensive and the maintenance is similarly costly. Consequently, most NMR-based metabolomics studies have been conducted using 600 and 500 MHz NMR spectrometers that are commonly available in research institutes and offer a good compromise between resolution, sensitivity, and cost.
2. NMR probes: Different NMR probes can be used for particular applications and for the detection of different nuclei. Examples of commercial NMR probes that have commonly been used in metabolomics research include:
 - (a) Double Resonance Broad Band Probes (BBO and BBOF) often called Broad Band Inverse Probes. These are widely used in experiments such as heteronuclear 2D experiments involving single-quantum coherence (HSQC), heteronuclear multiple-quantum correlation (HMQC), and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC).
 - (b) Triple Resonance Broad Band Probe (TBI). TBI can be used for all BBO applications, the extra channel bringing the advantage of being able to study another X-nucleus, so providing the capability for study of organometallic compounds and metalloproteins using ¹H, ¹³C, and another metal-nucleus.
 - (c) Double Resonance Broadband Probe (BBI). Tunable to a wide range of frequencies; used for detection of multinuclear experiments optimized for ¹H applications.
 - (d) Triple Resonance Broad Band Probe (TBO). TBO probe has features similar to those of BBI probe but with one extra channel.
 - (e) Triple Resonance Probe (TXI). Provides the opportunity to pulse for up to three or four nuclei in one experiment; used extensively for NMR structural determination of biological macromolecules.

- (f) Triple Resonance CryoProbe (TCI) (used mainly for protein applications but can also be used for metabolomics). The Probe is composed of three completely independent channels (in addition to a lock channel) that can be used for simultaneous decoupling on multiple nuclei, such as ^{15}N and ^{13}C . It is a powerful probe for multidimensional experiments, whereby pulsing up to four nuclei in one experiment is possible. Consequently, it is used mainly for NMR structural determination of biological macromolecules such as proteins.
- (g) MicroProbes (1 mm TXI, 1.7 mm TXI)—small volume probes (useful for samples of a small size).

2.4 MS Equipment

1. MS components: the MS instrument consists of three major components.
 - (a) *Ion source*: ionization part (produces gaseous ions).
 - (b) *Analyzer*: main function is to separate ions into distinctive mass components on the basis of mass-to-charge ratio (m/z).
 - (c) *Detector system*: detects ions based on their m/z and records relative abundance of each resolved ion.

The MS instrument also has other important components including a system to insert samples into the ion source and a computer to capture and analyze the data, as well as compare the spectra to reference MS database libraries. There are several ionization methods including EI (electron impact), CI (chemical ionization), MALDI (matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization), ESI (electrospray ionization), FAB (fast-atom bombardment), SIMS (resonance ionization), PD (plasma-desorption ionization), LIMS (laser ionization), and RIMS (resonance ionization). Application of each of these methods brings its own advantages and limitations. Sample analysis could be carried out using different types of analyzer such as time of flight (TOF), quadrupole, ion trap, magnetic sector, and Fourier transform mass spectrometry. It is beyond the scope of this chapter to give a detailed description of the application, advantages, and disadvantages of each method of ionization or mass analysis. Examples of LC-MS and GC-MS equipments that are available in our analytical core lab are presented in the following sections.
2. LC-MS equipment.
 - (a) TSQ Vantage, triple quadrupole MS.
 - (b) Loading pump, transcend system.
 - (c) Valve interface model.
 - (d) Accela PDA detector.
 - (e) CTC autosampler equipped with injector valve.

3. GC-MS equipment.
 - (a) 7890 GC.
 - (b) 7683 Autosampler.
 - (c) 5975 C quadrupole MSD.
 - (d) Trace GC ultra.
 - (e) Triplus autosampler.
 - (f) TSQ Quantum, triple quadrupole MS.
 - (g) Microtof II MS.
 - (h) GC Capillary Column: DB-5MS.

3 Methods

3.1 NMR Spectroscopy

NMR spectroscopy is a powerful analytical tool that has been used mainly in chemistry for the identification and quantification of the chemical composition of a given sample. The applications of NMR spectroscopy are not limited to liquid samples but can also be used on solid [26–31], gas phase [32–36], and tissue samples [28, 29, 37–49]. Moreover, as well as its main applications in molecular identification and structural elucidation, NMR can also be used to study the physical and chemical properties of molecules, such as electron density and molecular dynamics [50–57]. Consequently, NMR has become the main tool for structural biology studies as it enables researchers to study molecular structures as well as molecular dynamics under biological conditions. Moreover, NMR spectroscopy has been employed in a wide range of research areas including structural biology, organic chemistry, inorganic chemistry, biochemistry, physics, biology, polymers, and drug discovery [9, 41, 58–75]. NMR spectroscopy has been proposed as one of the most relevant methods in metabolomics applications, for example, as powerful diagnostic method for a wide range of human diseases [11, 14, 76–87]. Low sensitivity is the inherent disadvantage and the foremost challenge for the application of NMR in biomedical research. Continuous developments in the relevant machinery such as a higher magnetic field strength [88], cryogenically cooled probes [89], and microprobes [90] have significantly enhanced the sensitivity of NMR. The dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) approach is one of the most efficient developments [91] that has been used successfully to enhance NMR sensitivity in imaging and spectroscopy [92–95].

3.1.1 High-Resolution Magic-Angle Spinning (HRMAS) NMR Spectroscopy

The applications of NMR spectroscopy are not limited to liquid and solid samples, but extend to intact tissue samples with use of high-resolution magic-angle spinning (HRMAS) NMR spectroscopy. By spinning samples at an angle of 54.74°—the “magic

angle”—to the magnetic field and at high speed, spectra can be obtained with a resolution comparable to that of solution-state NMR spectra. Using this method, the chemical composition of tissue samples can be detected spontaneously without the need for pre-preparation steps such as extraction [18, 96]. In an NMR-based metabolomics approach, this technique is helpful in offering a correlation between the metabolic profiling of bio-fluids and the histology of specific tissues. Consequently, HRMAS NMR spectroscopy has been used to study the metabolomic balance of small intact tissue samples [97] including brain [98], kidney [99], liver [100], and testicular tissues [101]. HRMAS has recently been used in meningioma biopsies as a potential diagnostic tool for the differentiation of typical meningiomas and benign tissues [102]. Recently, Ying-Lan Zhao and coworkers have employed HRMAS spectroscopy in conjunction with principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), and orthogonal projection to latent structure with discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) to investigate the metabolic profile of human rectal cancer tissue collected from 127 patients and compare these with 47 samples collected from healthy control subjects [103]. The results revealed a clear separation between the samples from patients and those from the healthy control subjects. Several distinguishing metabolites were identified and correlated to different stages of rectal cancer tissues, so demonstrating the possibility of using metabolite biomarkers to follow the progression of rectal cancer. Moreover, a total of 38 differential metabolites were successfully identified, and 16 of them were found to be closely correlated with a particular stage of rectal cancer. The results demonstrate that, compared with healthy control samples, the concentration levels of several metabolites including lactate, threonine, acetate, glutathione, uracil, succinate, serine, formate, lysine, and tyrosine are found to be elevated in cancer tissue samples from patients, whereas the levels of other metabolites such as taurine, creatine, betaine, myo-inositol, phosphocreatine, and dimethylglycine are found to decrease [103].

[AU5]

3.1.2 One-Dimensional (1D) NMR Spectroscopy

Although NMR spectroscopy has been used in numerous multidimensional experiments with different detectable nuclei, one-dimensional (1D) proton (^1H) NMR remains the most usable and useful technique, especially for metabolomics studies. However, due to the narrow range of chemical shift (10 ppm), ^1H NMR spectra from overlapped signals usually persist, and this leads to uncertainty in the spectral assignments. Figure 3 shows the proton NMR spectrum of a sample composed of two simple molecules, *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol. As can be seen from the figure, the signals for the methyl groups for both *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol are observed at 0.92 ppm as an overlapped signal and cannot be





Fig. 3 700 MHz proton NMR spectrum of a sample composed of two simple molecules, *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol, dissolved in CDCl_3 recorded at 298 K

resolved by simple 1D NMR spectroscopy. Moreover, overlapping signals were also obtained at 1.55 ppm. These overlapping signals are related to the $-\text{CH}_2$ -groups from the two molecules, but it is not possible to ascribe a particular signal to a particular molecule based on the simple 1D proton NMR spectrum. Other nuclei such as carbon and nitrogen have a wider range of NMR chemical shift but bring other limitations. For example, one-dimensional phosphorous (^{31}P) NMR spectroscopy has a few advantages such as the 100 % natural abundance of the ^{31}P nuclei, a wide chemical shift range, and high sensitivity. Thus, ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy is commonly used to study phospholipids and metabolites involved in energy metabolism [104, 105]. However, the fact that most metabolites do not contain phosphorus represents the main limitation of ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy. Generally, the spectral width of one-dimensional carbon (^{13}C) NMR spectra is more than 200 ppm leading to wider spectral dispersion. ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy is particularly informative in molecular identification and for structural elucidation. However, the low natural abundance of the ^{13}C nuclei (1.1 %) as well as a low sensitivity has hindered the use of this isotope in NMR-based metabolomics applications. Different NMR approaches have been developed to enhance the ^{13}C NMR signals. For example, Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer



this figure will be printed in b/w

Fig. 4 DEPT-135 ^{13}C NMR spectrum of a mixed sample composed of *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol in CDCl_3 at 298 K. The CH_3 signals in the opposite direction of the CH_2 signals providing a powerful approach to resolve the CH_3 signals from CH_2 ones are illustrated

(DEPT) is a powerful means of increasing the sensitivity of NMR spectra whereby the ^{13}C signal intensity can be enhanced by a factor of four. DEPT NMR experiments are also useful for distinguishing between CH_2 and (CH , CH_3), as the ^{13}C NMR spectrum of DEPT-135, for instance, yields CH_2 peaks with a negative intensity and CH and CH_3 peaks with a positive intensity (Fig. 4).

^{15}N NMR spectroscopy is very useful in structural biology including the study of proteins, RNA, and DNA structure and dynamics and also investigation of protein–metal coordination, protein–protein, and protein–ligand interaction [106–112]. However, due mainly to the low natural abundance of ^{15}N at only 0.37 % and a low sensitivity, this approach is expected to be less useful in metabolomics studies.

3.1.3 Two-Dimensional (2D) NMR Spectroscopy


Two-dimensional (2D) NMR spectroscopy can be used to overcome the problem of overlapping resonances in proton 1D NMR spectra, leading to the detection and assignment of a greater number of metabolites than is possible with the 1D method. 2D NMR spectroscopy is based on through space spin coupling or through bond coupling, the former being used mainly for structural elucidation and the latter for molecular identification. Through bond correlation, NMR spectroscopy divides into two main categories: homonuclear, being mostly (^1H – ^1H) such as correlation spectroscopy (COSY) [113] and total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) [114], and heteronuclear, such as (^1H – ^{13}C). Both the homonuclear

and heteronuclear categories have been used in NMR-based metabolomics studies for signal isolation and to support the assignment of metabolites [115–119]. Other 2D methods, such as two-dimensional J-resolved NMR spectroscopy (J-Res) [120], and diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) [121] have been used in NMR-based metabolomics studies. A combination of COSY and DOSY was employed to study metabolic changes in dystrophic heart tissue for samples collected from a mouse model of muscular dystrophy [122]. Heteronuclear 2D experiments involving single-quantum coherence (HSQC), heteronuclear multiple-quantum correlation (HMQC), and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) have a high degree of resolution in the second dimension mostly using (^{13}C) and have been employed for metabolite discrimination and identification [123].

Although 2D NMR experiments improve the dispersing of the NMR signals, increased acquisition time, data size, and complexity in data analysis limit frequent use of this approach. However, the continuous development of NMR machinery and new faster NMR method of signal acquisition and data processing are leading to the increased use of 2D techniques in metabolomics studies [113, 124–126].

3.1.4 Correlation Spectroscopy (COSY)

COSY was the first technique of 2D homonuclear correlation spectroscopy and has been used over many years for molecular identification and for structural elucidation [127–130]. COSY has been employed in metabolomics research as it benefits from a relatively short experimental time with the possibility of running a 2D spectrum in only a few minutes and providing far more information than is gained from 1D NMR spectra. The simplest COSY pulse sequence consists of a single 90° RF pulse followed by evolution time (t_1) and then a second 90° pulse followed by a measurement period (t_2). The COSY spectrum comprises a homonuclear, mostly (^1H – ^1H), correlation spectrum in which the cross peaks in the 2D spectrum indicate through bond couplings between pairs of nuclei. The cross peaks represent through bond magnetization transfer between two nuclei. This provides a powerful tool for the identification of peaks that belong to the same molecule in samples composed of many molecules, as would be the case for metabolites in biological samples. As through bond correlation occurs only within the same molecule, COSY NMR spectroscopy has been used in a wide range of NMR-based metabolomics applications [131–135]. Figure 5 shows the 2D COSY NMR spectrum from which the correlation between coupled protons can be used to assign an NMR signal and to identify the corresponding molecule. However, for multiple overlapped signals, 2D COSY is not powerful enough to allow assignment of the individual signals. Other 2D NMR experiments, such as total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY), can be used to assist with signal assignment.



this figure will be printed in b/w

Fig. 5 700 MHz 2D COSY NMR spectrum of *n*-butanol and *n*-propanol in CDCl_3

Total Correlation
Spectroscopy (TOCSY)

TOCSY or HOHAHA (Homonuclear Hartmann Hahn) is a similar approach to COSY, whereby the chemical shift of a given nucleus such as H is correlated with the chemical shift of other Hs of the same compound which are within the spin system (unbroken chain of couplings) of the atom. Similar to COSY, whereby the correlation between pairs of atoms (protons) in nearby carbon atoms that are connected by scalar coupling would be observed, the TOCSY spectrum shows the cross peaks not only for protons which are directly coupled but also for protons which are connected by a chain of couplings. For example, if proton A is coupled with proton B and proton B coupled with proton C, the COSY spectrum would express only the coupling A with B, whereas the TOCSY spectrum would display the coupling of A with both B and C. Figure 6 shows the stack plot of TOCSY (blue) and the COSY spectrum (red): more blue peaks can be observed, these representing every proton signal coupled with proton signals related to the same molecule (*n*-propanol and *n*-butanol). This shows that TOCSY spectrum can be used for resolving overlapped peaks that belong to different molecules. For instance, Fig. 7 shows an extended region of Fig. 6. Clearly, propanol peaks can be resolved from butanol peaks by simply detecting the signals that share four cross-correlation peaks (butanol, green arrow) compared with signals

390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411





Fig. 6 (a) TOCSY NMR spectrum and (b) stack plot of TOCSY (blue) and COSY spectrum (red) for a mixed sample of *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol in CDCl₃. A greater number of blue peaks (TOCSY) can be observed than COSY peaks (red). As anticipated, the COSY spectrum shows only the coupling between Ap and Bp, while the TOCSY spectrum displays the coupling of Ap with both Bp and Cp

that share only three cross peaks (propanol, black arrow). Assignment of peaks is accomplished by drawing a projection from the cross peak to 1D spectrum in the plot axis (Fig. 8). The arrow projection that connects the green arrow (butanol) with the signal at 3.584 ppm confirms that this peak refers to butanol, not propanol, and the second projection that correlates the signal at 1.564 ppm with the black arrow confirms that this peak corresponds to the



this figure will be printed in b/w

Fig. 7 Extended region of Fig. 6a

propanol molecule (Fig. 7). Confirmation of one peak as propanol and another peak as butanol would be sufficient to complete the assignment of all signals for both molecules using the TOCSY spectrum (Fig. 8). The assignment of ^1H NMR signals can be used in combination with other 2D NMR techniques, such as heteronuclear correlation spectroscopy. This will assist in the assignment of other nuclei signals such as those of the carbon NMR spectrum.

3.1.5 Heteronuclear Single-Quantum Correlation Spectroscopy (HSQC)

Bond correlation can also be used for correlation between two different types of nuclei (commonly ^1H with ^{13}C or ^{15}N), which are separated by one bond. For example, the ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC spectrum coordinates the chemical shift of protons and the corresponding bonded carbon, whereby only one cross peak will be obtained per pair of coupled atoms. Thus, HSQC offers a particularly informative approach for the assignment of signals, especially for the assignment of overlapping proton signals. Figure 9 shows the ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC of a mixture of *n*-butanol and *n*-propanol spectra in CDCl_3 . The figure demonstrates the efficacy of HSQC in resolving overlapped proton signals. For example, the extended region (A) resolved the overlapped proton signal at 0.91 ppm. Moreover, HSQC spectra can be used to assign both proton and carbon NMR spectra.

HSQC is also a useful technique to reduce the experimental time for nuclei with low sensitivity and low natural abundances,





Fig. 8 Projection of the arrow that connects the *n*-butanol (green) and *n*-propanol (black) TOCSY cross peak with the corresponding 1D proton NMR spectrum

such as ^{13}C and ^{15}N , whereas the magnetization from the more sensitive nucleus I (usually ^1H) transferred to the less sensitive nucleus, such as ^{13}C and ^{15}N . ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC spectroscopy is one of the most important and common experimental techniques in the assignment of protein signals, as the assignment of NMR signals is the prerequisite step for the study of protein structure and dynamics [136–139]. Two-dimensional multiple-quantum correlation spectroscopy (HMQC) is a 2D heteronuclear correlation NMR approach similar to HSQC spectroscopy and provides identical information with a similar spectrum but uses different methods. Both HSQC and HMQC have been used in NMR-based metabolomics research, with HSQC being considered superior for larger molecules such as proteins [117, 133, 140].

HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation) is a 2D heteronuclear correlation technique that correlates the chemical shift of two different types of nuclei (i.e., ^{13}C and ^1H) that are separated from each other by two or more chemical bonds. The chemical shift of one nucleus, such as ^1H , is usually detected in the directly measured dimension (F2), and the chemical shift of the



this figure will be printed in b/w

Fig. 9 ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC spectrum of a mixture of *n*-butanol and *n*-propanol dissolved in CDCl_3 recorded using 700 MHz Bruker Avance (III) NMR spectrometer. The extended region (A) of the methyl group signals at 0.92 ppm indicates that this signal was resolved into two ^{13}C signals in the second dimension, one at 10 and the other at 13.85 ppm

other nucleus, such as ^{13}C (X-nucleus or heteronucleus), is recorded in the indirect dimension, as shown in Fig. 10. In this approach, a low-pass filtration is used to eliminate the single bond correlation known as “single-quantum coherence” corresponding with single bond interactions. For example, (^1H - ^{13}C) HMBC eliminates the single C-H bond correlation while correlating the chemical shift of H with C when separated by two or three bonds and, in some cases, with even more distant ones. Thus, (^1H - ^{13}C) HMBC is usually used for the assignment of signals of quaternary and carbonyl carbons. The combination of HMBC with HSQC or HMQC provides a powerful approach for assignment of signals. Figure 11 shows both HMQC (red) and HMBC (blue), the seven red cross peaks being associated with the seven carbons in both *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol and the sixteen blue cross peaks being associated with the long bond correlation interactions. This figure demonstrates the power of combining and integrating the information from 2D NMR experiments for spectral assignment. The HMQC spectrum can be used to distinguish an overlapped signal from a separated one. For example, the proton multiplet signals observed around 0.92 ppm coupled with the two carbon peaks at 10.07 and

461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480




Fig. 10 ^{13}C - ^1H HSQC HMBC spectrum of a mixed sample composed of *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol in CDCl_3 . The long correlation between the 1D proton spectrum (*top* projection) and the ^{13}C DEPT-135 spectrum (*see* Fig. 4, *left* projection) is illustrated

13.79 ppm confirm that the proton NMR signal is indeed two overlapping ones. Similarly, the proton multiplet around 1.55 ppm is coupled with two carbon signals, while each one of the remaining proton signals at 1.37, 3.54, and 3.58 ppm is coupled with only one carbon signal. Moreover, HMBC and HSQC spectra can be used to separate and assign *n*-butanol peaks from *n*-propanol ones. For instance, the carbon HSQC cross peak (red) connecting the carbon signal at 25.67 ppm with proton resonance at 1.564 is aligned with two HMBC cross peaks (black arrows), so assigning the three peaks to the *n*-propanol molecule while the HSQC (red) aligned with three more blue HMBC cross peaks assigns these peaks to the *n*-butanol molecule (green arrows; *see* Fig. 12). Figure 13 demonstrates example models of chemical bond connections of *n*-butanol that can be studied by using 2D experiments for both homonuclear correlation and heteronuclear correlation. Details of the way in which these 2D experiments can be used to assign the proton and carbon NMR spectra of *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol are presented and the complete proton and carbon assignments provided in Table 2.




Fig. 11 Stack plot of ^{13}C - ^1H HSQC spectrum (*red*) and HMBC spectrum (*blue*) of a mixed sample of *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol in CDCl_3

3.2 Mass Spectrometry (MS)

Mass spectrometry (MS) is a powerful technique used mainly for the identification of unknown compounds and for the quantification of known molecules within a sample [71, 141–146]. As for NMR [28, 57, 147–152] and X-rays [153–160], it can also be used for structural elucidation and for study of the chemical properties of materials under investigation [161–166]. Due to its high sensitivity and selectivity, MS provides an important analytical platform for profiling metabolites in mixed samples, such as biological samples. Moreover, MS can detect ions that do not contain protons or carbon, such as metal ions. However, no MS method is perfect for the detection of all classes of metabolites, and so more than one method must be employed for comprehensive metabolic profiling. Figure 14 shows the main components of the MS instrument with the different sources of ionization and types of mass analyzer that can be used for the detection of different classes of molecule. The advantages of using GC-MS, for example, include high separation efficiency and reproducible retention times that may be exchanged between different laboratories for data comparison using the retention index concept with retention time as a marker [167]. However, the inherent limitation of GC-MS is the fact that it detects only volatile compounds or compounds that can be derivatized to become volatile. Furthermore, MS cannot detect all metabolites, as some metabolites do not ionize with certain




Fig. 12 Extended region of Fig. 11

this figure will be printed in b/w






Fig. 13 The bond connection of *n*-butanol that can be studied by using different 2D NMR experiments for both a homonuclear correlation and a heteronuclear correlation

ionization methods. The dynamic range of the MS detector is still only three to four orders of magnitude, whereas the range of metabolite concentration is usually much larger, and no detector exists that can detect all metabolites. A general challenge in the metabolic proofing of biological samples is the fact that many metabolites have not yet been fully identified. For example, among the 869 different metabolites that have been detected in tomato, 494 are not found in the common metabolite databases [168].

The number and class of metabolites that can be detected by mass spectrometry depend on the choice of ionization mode. No single ionization method can cover all metabolite classes, such as

t2.1 **Table 2**
t2.2 **Complete assignment of ¹H and ¹³C NMR peaks for both *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol**
t2.3 **using data from a number of 1D and 2D NMR experiments**

t2.4		Chemical shift of ¹H NMR signal ppm	Chemical shift of ¹³C NMR signals ppm
t2.5	A _p	0.916	10.01
t2.6	A _b	0.926	13.79
t2.7	B _b	1.370	18.95
t2.8	C _b	1.533	34.56
t2.9	B _p	1.563	25.67
t2.10	C _p	3.539	64.05
t2.11	D _b	3.584	62.13



this figure will be printed in b/w

Fig. 14 Schematic plot of MS components including EI (electron impact), CI (chemical ionization), MALDI (matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization), ESI (electrospray ionization), FAB (fast-atom bombardment), SIMS (resonance ionization), PD (plasma-desorption ionization), LIMS (laser ionization), and RIMS (resonance ionization)

polar, nonpolar neutral, and ionic. Consequently, different ionization methods should be used independently to maximize the number of metabolites detected. For example, in LC-MS analysis, electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive mode is the most common mode that can effectively ionize a wide range of medium-sized polar molecules, whereas the negative ionization mode is more powerful for certain metabolite classes, such as carbohydrates and organic acids. For example, it was reported that use of both atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and ESI increased the coverage of the erythrocyte metabolome by 34 %. It has been reported that by using a set of different complementary methods of GC-MS and LC-MS up to 100–500 metabolites can be detected in a targeted analytical approach for blood samples, and about 600–1,000 can be detected in a fingerprinting mode [169–171]. It is important to note that the strategy for metabolite identification in LC-MS is different from that in GC-MS, in which usually only the molecular ion is detected and additional MS/MS experiments are required to gain information about the identity and structure of the metabolites.

3.2.1 Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)

LC-MS comprises two powerful analytical tools, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, known as high-pressure liquid chromatography) and mass spectrometry. When combined, LC-MS represents a very powerful analytical tool for the separation, identification, and quantification of molecules in a mixed sample. The HPLC technique separates molecules first based on different physical and chemical properties such as molecular size, charge, polarity, and affinity toward other molecules. As for other chromatography techniques, HPLC consists of a stationary phase and a mobile phase. The stationary phase involves the use of materials such as silica gel that slow down the movement of molecules to varying extents according to molecular size, so allowing separation of molecules based on size differences. The mobile phase comprises the solution containing the sample mixture, and this travels through the stationary phase (chromatography column) where separation of molecules occurs. Column chromatography can be used to purify individual chemical compounds from mixtures. Different samples require different columns, proteins, and peptide samples, for example, requiring different columns from those needed for samples of small molecules typical of metabolomics studies. Once the analytes are separated, they pass through the mass spectrometer analyzer where they are detected based on the mass-to-charge ratio, and the intensity of each resultant line corresponds to relative concentration of each molecule.

On the basis of its ability to separate and detect a wide range of molecules, LC-MS is probably the most widely used mass spectrometry technology, especially in the biosciences. LC-MS is a very adaptable

tool for carrying out the majority of metabolite profiling studies, allowing both quantitative and structural information to be obtained with high level of sensitivity. Different separation methods can be used to separate different classes of metabolite. For example, the reversed-phase (RP) gradient chromatography method has been the most commonly used separation method in LC-MS studies for global metabolite profiling [145, 172]. However, this is not the most appropriate method for polar and/or ionic species, which includes many important metabolites (organic acids or amino acids). These metabolites represent highly significant components in biochemical pathways, and their evaluation may be important in detecting critical metabolic states, such as inborn errors of metabolism and metabolic syndrome. Hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) is an alternative method that can be used to ionize polar metabolites, so increasing the breadth of metabolites detected [173]. In order to maximize the coverage of metabolites being profiled, the sample can be analyzed twice, either using RP and HILIC separately or using a column-switching approach of two-dimensional analysis in an “orthogonal” combination of HILIC and RP-LC [174–177]. Although the combined use of RP and HILIC is the preferable ionization method for many metabolites, this approach does not cover the whole range of metabolite polarities for biological samples such as urine [145]. Consequently, other ionization methods such as positive and negative electrospray ionization (ESI) modes and atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization (APCI) are recommended in order to maximize the breadth of detection of different metabolites in a biological sample [178]. Considering all these possibilities, analyses in eight different modes (eight separate runs) are required for comprehensive profiling of metabolites. These combinations widen the applications of LC-MS in metabolomics, and in fact, both targeted and nontargeted metabolomics analyses have increasingly been conducted using different methods of LC-MS [172, 179–188].

3.2.2 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry GC-MS

GC-MS is a novel tool for the analysis of volatile molecules, with a high-resolution and reproducible chromatographic separations due to the modern capillary GC, and these features render it well suited for the analysis of complex metabolic mixtures. As for LC-MS, GC-MS consists of two powerful analytical methods, gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. Together, these methods provide one of the most powerful methods of separation that can be used to provide qualitative and quantitative information about volatile compounds. The sample first goes through the gas chromatography unit where high-resolution separation of volatile organic compounds in a mixture is accomplished in the gas phase. The GC unit is composed mainly from columns, basically a tube which generally varies in length from less than 2 m up to 60 m or more, with a diameter ranging from 10 to 30 cm.

Different kinds of GC columns exist, such as packed and capillary tubes, which are designed to separate different kinds of samples. Packed tubes may be of stainless steel, glass, or fused silica and are usually formed as coils in order that they fit into an oven for high-temperature experiments, at around 250 °C. An inert gas, such as helium, is blown through the column; as the sample is inserted into the column, it becomes vaporized and the volatile molecules are pushed through the column by the helium. At the beginning, all the molecules move together but certain of them move slower than others, based on molecular weight and size. Smaller molecules travel faster than larger molecules, and as they progress through the column, the molecules continue to separate from each other and eventually emerge from the column as different components, so providing an effective approach to separation.

As the molecules exit the GC column, they are introduced into the MS unit where they are ionized using an ionization method such as an electron beam. The ions formed from a specific molecule will depend on the nature of that molecule, and both ionized molecules and ion fragments of the molecule are useable for distinguishing and identifying the components of a mixture at the molecular level based on the mass-to-charge ratio. Moreover, qualitative information about the components of a mixture can be obtained by measuring the absolute intensity of the peaks, where the highest peak is taken to represent 100 % abundance and used as reference for other peaks. Thus, GC-MS is the preferred analytical tool for the analysis of volatile metabolites and has been employed in different areas of metabolomics research including plant metabolomics and screening for inborn errors of metabolism [189–192]. In addition to well-established databases such as the Fiehn Metabolomics library, GC-MS provides good reproducibility and a highly reproducible fragmentation so offering a potent tool for the identification of metabolites. Other advantages include high sensitivity and resolution, low cost, and ease of use of instruments. The main limitation of GC-MS analysis is that it is limited to small volatile molecules, which means that this approach is of only limited application in global metabolic profiling studies. Moreover, the preparation of biological samples, such as bio-fluids, may be time-consuming and repetitive, this potentially leading to experimental error. Other problems such as product formation and degradation could occur during the ionization process. Moreover, during the derivatization reaction, nonvolatile metabolites could be converted into different forms of derivatives, leading to production of fragments, so that different forms of the same parent metabolite exist together. While analyzing real samples such as human urine which has a high variability in terms of metabolite content, derivatization may occur at different rates of conversion depending on the different properties of metabolites, so potentially

affecting reproducibility and overall results dramatically [193]. To overcome problems such as inaccurate quantification, a standard compound may be used for both derivatized standard compounds and for data correction processes, such as normalization.

To generate reproducible mass spectra and highly transferable EI-MS spectral libraries, use of standardized MS electron ionization energy of 70 eV is recommended to allow identification of compounds through mass spectral library matching, such as NIST and FiehnLib [194, 195].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank *King Abdullah University of Science and Technology* for financial support and Dr. Virginia Unkefer and Dr. Zeyad Al Talla from KAUST and Dr. Christina Morris for their assistance and helpful editorial remarks.

References

1. Al-Talla ZA, Akrawi SH, Tolley LT et al (2011) Bioequivalence assessment of two formulations of ibuprofen. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 5:427–433
2. Ibanez C, Simo C, Barupal DK et al (2013) A new metabolomic workflow for early detection of Alzheimer's disease. *J Chromatogr A* 1302:65–71
3. Wang X, Li K, Adams E et al (2013) Capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry in metabolomics: the potential for driving drug discovery and development. *Curr Drug Metab* 14:807–813
4. Zheng H, Clausen MR, Dalsgaard TK et al (2013) Time-saving design of experiment protocol for optimization of LC-MS data processing in metabolomic approaches. *Anal Chem* 85:7109–7116
5. Farag MA, Wessjohann LA (2012) Metabolome classification of commercial hypericum perforatum (St. John's Wort) preparations via UPLC-qTOF-MS and chemometrics. *Planta Med* 78:488–496
6. Wang B, Chen D, Chen Y et al (2012) Metabonomic profiles discriminate hepatocellular carcinoma from liver cirrhosis by ultraperformance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Proteome Res* 11: 1217–1227
7. Sun J, Von Tungeln LS, Hines W et al (2009) Identification of metabolite profiles of the catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibitor tolcapone in rat urine using LC/MS-based metabolomics analysis. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 877:2557–2565
8. Wolfender J-L, Glauser G, Boccard J et al (2009) MS-based plant metabolomic approaches for biomarker discovery. *Nat Prod Commun* 4:1417–1430
9. Al-Talla ZA, Akrawi SH, Emwas AHM (2011) Solid state NMR and bioequivalence comparison of the pharmacokinetic parameters of two formulations of clindamycin. *Int J Clin Pharm Ther* 49:469–476
10. Ali K, Iqbal M, Yuliana ND et al (2013) Identification of bioactive metabolites against adenosine A1 receptor using NMR-based metabolomics. *Metabolomics* 9:778–785
11. Deja S, Barg E, Mlynarz P et al (2013) H-1 NMR-based metabolomics studies of urine reveal differences between type 1 diabetic patients with high and low HbA1c values. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 83:43–48
12. Bu Q, Yan G, Deng P et al (2010) NMR-based metabonomic study of the sub-acute toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in rats after oral administration. *Nanotechnology* 21:1–12
13. Slupsky CM (2010) NMR-based analysis of metabolites in urine provides rapid diagnosis and etiology of pneumonia. *Biomark Med* 4:195–197

[AU6]

14. Emwas A-HMS, Salek RM, Griffin JL, Merzaban J (2013) NMR-based metabolomics in human disease diagnosis: applications, limitations, and recommendations. *Metabolomics* 9:1048–1072
15. Sumner LW, Mendes P, Dixon RA (2003) Plant metabolomics: large-scale phytochemistry in the functional genomics era. *Phytochemistry* 62:817–836
16. Bedair M, Sumner LW (2008) Current and emerging mass-spectrometry technologies for metabolomics. *Trends Anal Chem* 27: 238–250
17. Connor SC, Wu W, Sweatman BC et al (2004) Effects of feeding and body weight loss on the ¹H-NMR-based urine metabolic profiles of male Wistar Han rats: implications for biomarker discovery. *Biomarkers* 9:156–179
18. Morvan D, Demidem A, Papon J et al (2002) Melanoma tumors acquire a new phospholipid metabolism phenotype under cystemustine as revealed by high-resolution magic angle spinning proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of intact tumor sampled. *Cancer Res* 62:1890–1897
19. Jimenez B, Mirnezami R, Kinross J et al (2013) H-1 HR-MAS NMR spectroscopy of tumor-induced local metabolic “field-effects” enables colorectal cancer staging and prognostication. *J Proteome Res* 12:959–968
20. Yang Y, Wang L, Wang S et al (2013) Study of metabonomic profiles of human esophageal carcinoma by use of high-resolution magic-angle spinning H-1 NMR spectroscopy and multivariate data analysis. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 405:3381–3389
21. DeFeo EM, Cheng LL (2010) Characterizing human cancer metabolomics with ex vivo H-1 HRMAS MRS. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 9:381–391
22. Moestue S, Sitter B, Bathen TF et al (2011) HR MAS MR spectroscopy in metabolic characterization of cancer. *Curr Top Med Chem* 11:2–26
23. Somashekar BS, Amin AG, Rithner CD et al (2011) Metabolic profiling of lung granuloma in mycobacterium tuberculosis infected guinea pigs: ex vivo H-1 magic angle spinning NMR studies. *J Proteome Res* 10:4186–4195
24. Somashekar BS, Kamarajan P, Danciu T et al (2011) Magic angle spinning NMR-based metabolic profiling of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma tissues. *J Proteome Res* 10:5232–5241
25. Bouatra S, Aziat F, Mandal R et al (2013) The human urine metabolome. *PLoS One* 8:e73076
26. Eddy MT, Belenky M, Sivertsen AC et al (2013) Selectively dispersed isotope labeling for protein structure determination by magic angle spinning NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 57: 129–139
27. Koito Y, Yamada K, Ando S (2013) Solid-state NMR and wide-angle X-ray diffraction study of hydrofluoroether/beta-cyclodextrin inclusion complex. *J Inclusion Phenom Macrocylic Chem* 76:143–150
28. Bouhrara M, Ranga C, Fihri A et al (2013) Nitridated fibrous silica (KCC-1) as a sustainable solid base nanocatalyst. *ACS Sustainable Chem Eng* 1:1192–1199
29. Jackson MD, Moon J, Gotti E et al (2013) Material and elastic properties of Al-tobermorite in ancient roman seawater concrete. *J Am Ceram Soc* 96:2598–2606
30. Pettinari C, Caruso F, Zaffaroni N et al (2006) Synthesis, spectroscopy (IR, multinuclear NMR, ESI-MS), diffraction, density functional study and in vitro antiproliferative activity of pyrazole-beta-diketone dihalotin(IV) compounds on 5 melanoma cell lines. *J Inorg Biochem* 100:58–69
31. Khan MT, Busch M, Molina VG et al (2014) How different is the composition of the fouling layer of wastewater reuse and seawater desalination RO membranes? *Water Res* 59: 271–282
32. Hirano T, Nonoyama S, Miyajima T et al (1986) Gas-phase F-19 and H-1 high-resolution NMR-spectroscopy – application to the study of unperturbed conformational energies of 1,2-difluoroethane. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 606–607
33. Marchione AA, Fagan PJ, Till EJ et al (2008) Estimation of atmospheric lifetimes of hydrofluorocarbons, hydrofluoroethers, and olefins by chlorine photolysis using gas-phase NMR spectroscopy. *Anal Chem* 80:6317–6322
34. Krusic PJ, Shtarov AB, Roe DC et al (2010) Chemical kinetics studied by gas-phase NMR spectroscopy the encyclopedia of magnetic resonance. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, pp 233–250
35. Jackowski K (2006) Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy in the gas phase. *J Mol Struct* 786:215–219
36. Jackowski K (2001) Gas-phase O-17 and S-33 NMR spectroscopy. *J Mol Struct* 563: 159–162
37. Widdifield CM, Bryce DL (2009) Crystallographic structure refinement with quadrupolar nuclei: a combined solid-state NMR and GIPAW DFT example using MgBr₂. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 11: 7120–7122

38. Vyalikh A, Massiot D, Scheler U (2009) Structural characterisation of aluminium layered double hydroxides by Al-27 solid-state NMR. *Solid State Nucl Magn Reson* 36:19–23
39. Wiench JW, Avadhut YS, Maity N et al (2007) Characterization of covalent linkages in organically functionalized MCM-41 mesoporous materials by solid-state NMR and theoretical calculations. *J Phys Chem B* 111:3877–3885
40. Ashbrook SE, Le Polles L, Pickard CJ et al (2007) First-principles calculations of solid-state O-17 and Si-29 NMR spectra of Mg₂SiO₄ polymorphs. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 9:1587–1598
41. Shidong C, Maltsev S, Emwas AH et al (2010) Solid-state NMR paramagnetic relaxation enhancement immersion depth studies in phospholipid bilayers. *J Magn Reson* 207: 89–94
42. Patil U, Fihri A, Emwas A-H et al (2012) Silicon oxynitrides of KCC-1, SBA-15 and MCM-41 for CO₂ capture with excellent stability and regenerability. *Chem Sci* 3: 2224–2229
43. Wong A, Li X, Sakellariou D (2013) Refined magic-angle coil spinning resonator for nanoliter NMR spectroscopy: enhanced spectral resolution. *Anal Chem* 85:2021–2026
44. Tripathi P, Somashekar BS, Ponnusamy M et al (2013) HR-MAS NMR tissue metabolomic signatures cross-validated by mass spectrometry distinguish bladder cancer from benign disease. *J Proteome Res* 12: 3519–3528
45. Elbayed K, Berl V, Debeuckelaere C et al (2013) HR-MAS NMR spectroscopy of reconstructed human epidermis: potential for the in situ investigation of the chemical interactions between skin allergens and nucleophilic amino acids. *Chem Res Toxicol* 26: 136–145
46. Wilson M, Davies NP, Brundler M-A et al (2009) High resolution magic angle spinning 1H NMR of childhood brain and nervous system tumours. *Mol Cancer* 8:1–11
47. X-x G, W-y H, H-w Y et al (2008) Study of malignant and normal tissues of the rectum using NMR spectroscopy. *Guang Pu Xue Yu Guang Pu Fen Xi* 28:2201–2206
48. De Silva SS, Payne GS, Thomas V et al (2009) Investigation of metabolite changes in the transition from pre-invasive to invasive cervical cancer measured using (1)H and (31)P magic angle spinning MRS of intact tissue. *NMR Biomed* 22:191–198
49. Duarte IF, Stanley EG, Holmes E et al (2005) Metabolic assessment of human liver transplants from biopsy samples at the donor and recipient stages using high-resolution magic angle spinning (1)H NMR spectroscopy. *Anal Chem* 77:5570–5578
50. Atiqullah M, Anantawaraskul S, Emwas A-HM et al (2013) Effects of supported ((BuCp)-Bu-n)(2)ZrCl₂ catalyst active-center distribution on ethylene-1-hexene copolymer backbone heterogeneity and thermal behaviors. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 52:9359–9373
51. Jackson MD, Chae SR, Mulcahy SR et al (2013) Unlocking the secrets of Al-tobermorite in Roman seawater concrete. *Am Mineral* 98:1669–1687
52. Kamal MS, Bahuleyan BK, Sohail OB et al (2013) Crystallization analysis fractionation of poly(ethylene-co-styrene) produced by metallocene catalysts. *Polym Bull* 70:2645–2656
53. Abriata LA, Zaballa M-E, Berry RE et al (2013) Electron spin density on the axial his ligand of high-spin and low-spin nitrophorin 2 probed by heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy. *Inorg Chem* 52:1285–1295
54. Kazansky LP, McGarvey BR (1999) NMR and EPR spectroscopies and electron density distribution in polyoxoanions. *Coord Chem Rev* 188:157–210
55. Sereda GA, Borisenko AA, Lapteva VL et al (1992) Study of substituents effect on the distribution of electron density in monosubstituted and disubstituted triptycenes molecules by the H-1 and C-13 NMR-spectroscopy. *Zh Org Khim* 28:1105–1119
56. Tandura SN, Kolesnikov SP, Nosov KS et al (1997) Electron density distributions in substituted 2,3,4,5-tetraphenyl-1-germacyclopenta-2,4-dienes studied by NMR spectroscopy. *Russ Chem Bull* 46:1859–1861
57. Atiqullah M, Winston MS, Bercaw JE et al (2012) Effects of a vanadium post-metallocene catalyst-induced polymer backbone inhomogeneity on UV oxidative degradation of the resulting polyethylene film. *Polym Degrad Stab* 97:1164–1177
58. Bahuleyan BK, De SK, Sarath PU et al (2012) Effect of aluminium nitride on the properties of polyethylene obtained by In situ polymerization using Ni(II) diimine complex. *Macromol Res* 20:772–775
59. Kirchheim AP, Dal Molin DC, Fischer P et al (2011) Real-time high-resolution X-ray imaging and nuclear magnetic resonance study of the hydration of pure and Na-doped C(3)A in the presence of sulfates. *Inorg Chem* 50: 1203–1212

60. Zhang B, Powers R (2012) Analysis of bacterial biofilms using NMR-based metabolomics. *Future Med Chem* 4:1273–1306
61. Chaudhari SR, Mogurampelly S, Suryaprakash N (2013) Engagement of CF(3) group in N-H center dot center dot center dot F-C hydrogen bond in the solution state: NMR spectroscopy and MD simulation studies. *J Phys Chem B* 117:1123–1129
62. Wang Q-Q, Day VW, Bowman-James K (2013) Chemistry and structure of a host-guest relationship: the power of NMR and X-ray diffraction in tandem. *J Am Chem Soc* 135:392–399
63. Brown SP (2012) Applications of high-resolution H-1 solid-state NMR. *Solid State Nucl Magn Reson* 41:1–27
64. Kinnun JJ, Leftin A, Brown MF (2013) Solid-state NMR spectroscopy for the physical chemistry laboratory. *J Chem Educ* 90:123–128
65. Linenberger KJ, Emwas A-H, Peat I et al. (2009) Using NMR to determine the structure of a peptide: an inquiry approach for an upper level undergraduate laboratory. *Abstr Pap Am Chem Soc* 237
66. Mroue KH, Emwas A-HM, Power WP (2010) Solid-state Al-27 nuclear magnetic resonance investigation of three aluminum-centered dyes. *Can J Chem* 88:111–123
67. Oommen JM, Hussain MM, Emwas A-HM et al (2010) Nuclear magnetic resonance study of nanoscale ionic materials. *Electrochem Solid-State Lett* 13:K87–K88
68. Shahid SA, Bardiaux B, Franks WT et al (2012) Membrane-protein structure determination by solid-state NMR spectroscopy of microcrystals. *Nat Meth* 9:1212–1217
69. Zanzoni S, D'Onofrio M, Molinari H et al (2012) Recombinant proteins incorporating short non-native extensions may display increased aggregation propensity as detected by high resolution NMR spectroscopy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 427:677–681
70. Blindauer CA, Emwas AH, Holy A et al (1997) Complex formation of the antiviral 9-2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl adenine (PMEA) and of its N1, N3, and N7 deaza derivatives with copper(II) in aqueous solution. *Chem Eur J* 3:1526–1536
71. Mattar SM, Emwas AH, Calhoun LA (2004) Spectroscopic studies of the intermediates in the conversion of 1,4,11,12-tetrahydro-9,10-anthraquinone to 9,10-anthraquinone by reaction with oxygen under basic conditions. *J Phys Chem A* 108:11545–11553
72. Sahloul N, Emwas A, Power W et al (2005) Ethyl acrylate-hydroxyethyl acrylate and hydroxyethyl acrylate-methacrylic acid: reactivity ratio estimation from cross-linked polymer using high resolution magic angle spinning spectroscopy. *J Macromol Sci Pure Appl Chem* A42:1369–1385
73. Subbarao YV, Ellis R, Paulsen GM et al (1977) Kinetics of pyropolyphosphate and tripolyphosphate hydrolyses in presence of corn and soybean roots as determined by NMR-spectroscopy. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 41:316–318
74. Wilson MA, Jones AJ, Williamson B (1978) Nuclear magnetic-resonance spectroscopy of humic materials. *Nature* 276:487–489
75. Nageeb A, Al-Tawashi A, Mohammad Emwas A-H et al (2013) Comparison of artemisia annua bioactivities between traditional medicine and chemical extracts. *Curr Bioact Compd* 9:324–332
76. Farshidfar F, Weljie AM, Kopciuk K et al (2012) Serum metabolomic profile as a means to distinguish stage of colorectal cancer. *Genome Med* 4:42
77. Sachse D, Sletner L, Morkrid K et al (2012) Metabolic changes in urine during and after pregnancy in a large, multiethnic population-based cohort study of gestational diabetes. *PloS One* 7:e52399
78. Nahon P, Amathieu R, Triba MN et al (2012) Identification of serum proton NMR metabolomic fingerprints associated with hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. *Clin Cancer Res* 18:6714–6722
79. Mehrpour M, Kyani A, Tafazzoli M et al (2013) A metabolomics investigation of multiple sclerosis by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Magn Reson Chem* 51:102–109
80. Diaz SO, Barros AS, Goodfellow BJ et al (2013) Following healthy pregnancy by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) metabolic profiling of human urine. *J Proteome Res* 12:969–979
81. Atzori L, Antonucci R, Barberini L et al (2010) 1H NMR-based metabolic profiling of urine from children with nephrouropathies. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)* 2:725–732
82. Culeddu N, Chessa M, Porcu MC et al (2012) NMR-based metabolomic study of type 1 diabetes. *Metabolomics* 8:1162–1169
83. Ala-Korpela M (2007) Potential role of body fluid H-1 NMR metabolomics as a prognostic and diagnostic tool. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 7:761–773
84. O'Connell TM (2012) Recent advances in metabolomics in oncology. *Bioanalysis* 4:431–451

[AUS]

- 1092 85. Zhang J, Wei S, Liu L et al (2012) NMR-
1093 based metabolomics study of canine bladder
1094 cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
1095 1822:1807–1814
- 1096 86. Nevedomskaya E, Pacchiarotta T, Artemov A
1097 et al (2012) H-1 NMR-based metabolic pro-
1098 filing of urinary tract infection: combining
1099 multiple statistical models and clinical data.
1100 *Metabolomics* 8:1227–1235
- 1101 87. Dong B, Jia J, Hu W et al (2013) Application
1102 of H-1 NMR metabonomics in predicting
1103 renal function recoverability after the relief of
1104 obstructive uropathy in adult patients. *Clin*
1105 *Biochem* 46:346–353
- 1106 88. Gruetter R, Weisdorf SA, Rajanayagan V et al
1107 (1998) Resolution improvements in in vivo
1108 H-1 NMR spectra with increased magnetic
1109 field strength. *J Magn Reson* 135:260–264
- 1110 89. Keun HC, Beckonert O, Griffin JL et al
1111 (2002) Cryogenic probe ¹³C NMR spectroscopy
1112 of urine for metabonomic studies. *Anal*
1113 *Chem* 74:4588–4593
- 1114 90. Grimes JH, O'Connell TM (2011) The appli-
1115 cation of micro-coil NMR probe technology
1116 to metabolomics of urine and serum. *J Biomol*
1117 *NMR* 49:297–305
- 1118 91. Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Fridlund B, Gram A
1119 et al (2003) Increase in signal-to-noise ratio
1120 of >10,000 times in liquid-state NMR. *Proc*
1121 *Natl Acad Sci* 100:10158–10163
- 1122 92. Day SE, Kettunen MI, Gallagher FA et al
1123 (2007) Detecting tumor response to treat-
1124 ment using hyperpolarized ¹³C magnetic
1125 resonance imaging and spectroscopy. *Nat*
1126 *Med* 13:1382–1387
- 1127 93. Emwas AH, Saunders M, Ludwig C et al
1128 (2008) Determinants for optimal enhance-
1129 ment in ex situ DNP experiments. *Appl Magn*
1130 *Reson* 34:483–494
- 1131 94. Chekmenev EY, Norton VA, Weitekamp DP
1132 et al (2009) Hyperpolarized ¹H NMR
1133 employing low γ nucleus for spin polarization
1134 storage. *J Am Chem Soc* 131:3164–3165
- 1135 95. Ludwig C, Marin-Montesinos I, Saunders
1136 MG et al (2010) Application of ex situ
1137 dynamic nuclear polarization in studying
1138 small molecules. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*
1139 12:5868–5871
- 1140 96. Garrod S, Humpfer E, Spraul M et al (1999)
1141 High-resolution magic angle spinning ¹H
1142 NMR spectroscopic studies on intact rat renal
1143 cortex and medulla. *Magn Reson Med*
1144 41:1108–1118
- 1145 97. Holmes E, Tsang TM, Tabrizi SJ (2006) The
1146 application of NMR-based metabonomics in
1147 neurological disorders. *NeuroRx* 3:358–372
98. Ratai EM, Pilkenton S, Lentz MR et al (2005) 1148
Comparisons of brain metabolites observed 1149
by HRMAS ¹H NMR of intact tissue and 1150
solution ¹H NMR of tissue extracts in SIV- 1151
infected macaques. *NMR Biomed* 18: 1152
242–251 1153
99. Griffin J, Walker L, Garrod S et al (2000) 1154
NMR spectroscopy based metabonomic stud- 1155
ies on the comparative biochemistry of the 1156
kidney and urine of the bank vole 1157
(*Clethrionomys glareolus*), wood mouse 1158
(*Apodemus sylvaticus*), white toothed shrew 1159
(*Crocidura suaveolens*) and the laboratory 1160
rat. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol* 1161
Biol 127:357–367 1162
100. Yang J, Xu G, Zheng Y et al (2004) Diagnosis 1163
of liver cancer using HPLC-based metabo- 1164
nomics avoiding false-positive result from 1165
hepatitis and hepatocirrhosis diseases. *J* 1166
Chromatogr B 813:59–65 1167
101. Griffin JL, Troke J, Walker LA et al (2000) 1168
The biochemical profile of rat testicular tissue 1169
as measured by magic angle spinning H-1 1170
NMR spectroscopy. *FEBS Lett* 486:225–229 1171
102. Monleon D, Morales JM, Gonzalez-Darder J 1172
et al (2008) Benign and atypical meningioma 1173
metabolic signatures by high-resolution 1174
magic-angle spinning molecular profiling. *J* 1175
Proteome Res 7:2882–2888 1176
103. Wang H, Wang L, Zhang H et al (2013) H-1 1177
NMR-based metabolic profiling of human 1178
rectal cancer tissue. *Mol Cancer* 12:121 1179
104. Kaplan O, van Zijl P, Cohen JS (1990) 1180
Information from combined ¹H and ³¹P 1181
NMR studies of cell extracts: differences in 1182
metabolism between drug-sensitive and drug- 1183
resistant MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. 1184
Biochem Biophys Res Commun 169: 1185
383–390 1186
105. Ruiz-Cabello J, Cohen JS (1992) 1187
Phospholipid metabolites as indicators of can- 1188
cer cell function. *NMR Biomed* 5:226–233 1189
106. Emwas AHM, Al-Talla ZA, Guo XR et al 1190
(2013) Utilizing NMR and EPR spectroscopy 1191
to probe the role of copper in prion dis- 1192
eases. *Magn Reson Chem* 51:255–268 1193
107. Kamal MZ, Yedavalli P, Deshmukh MV et al 1194
(2013) Lipase in aqueous-polar organic sol- 1195
vents: activity, structure, and stability. *Protein* 1196
Sci 22:904–915 1197
108. Samal RP, Khedkar VM, Pissurlenkar RRS 1198
et al (2013) Design, synthesis, structural char- 1199
acterization by IR, ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N, 2D-NMR, 1200
X-ray diffraction and evaluation of a new class 1201
of phenylaminoacetic acid benzylidene hydra- 1202
zines as pfENR inhibitors. *Chem Biol Drug* 1203
Des 81:715–729 1204

- 1205 109. Cho BP, Kadlubar FF, Culp SJ et al (1990) 1262
 1206 N-15 nuclear-magnetic-resonance studies on 1263
 1207 the tautomerism of 8-hydroxy-2'- 1264
 1208 deoxyguanosine, 8-hydroxyguanosine, and 1265
 1209 other C8-substituted guanine nucleosides. 1266
 1210 Chem Res Toxicol 3:445–452
- 1211 110. Gronenborn AM, Wingfield PT, Clore GM 1267
 1212 (1989) Determination of the secondary struc- 1268
 1213 ture of the DNA-binding protein Ner from 1269
 1214 phage Mu using H-1 homonuclear and 1270
 1215 N-15-H-1 heteronuclear NMR-spectroscopy. 1271
 1216 Biochemistry 28:5081–5089
- 1217 111. Liu S, Howell M, Melby J et al (2012) H-1, 1272
 1218 C-13 and N-15 resonance assignment of the 1273
 1219 anticodon binding domain of human lysyl 1274
 1220 aminoacyl tRNA synthetase. Biomol NMR 1275
 1221 Assign 6:173–176 1276
- 1222 112. Martino L, Conte MR (2012) Biosynthetic 1277
 1223 preparation of ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled rNTPs for 1278
 1224 high-resolution NMR studies of RNAs. 1279
 1225 Methods Mol Biol 941:227–245 1280
- 1226 113. Xi Y, de Ropp JS, Viant MR et al (2006) 1281
 1227 Automated screening for metabolites in com- 1282
 1228 plex mixtures using 2D COSY NMR spec- 1283
 1229 troscopy. Metabolomics 2:221–233 1284
- 1230 114. Sandusky P, Rafferty D (2005) Use of selective 1285
 1231 TOCSY NMR experiments for quantifying 1286
 1232 minor components in complex mixtures: 1287
 1233 application to the metabolomics of amino 1288
 1234 acids in honey. Anal Chem 77:2455–2463 1289
- 1235 115. Beckonert O, Keun HC, Ebbels TMD et al 1290
 1236 (2007) Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and 1291
 1237 metabolomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy 1292
 1238 of urine, plasma, serum and tissue 1293
 1239 extracts. Nat Protoc 2:2692–2703 1294
- 1240 116. Nicholson JK, Foxall PJD, Spraul M et al 1295
 1241 (1995) 750 MHz ¹H and ¹H-¹³C NMR 1296
 1242 spectroscopy of human blood plasma. Anal 1297
 1243 Chem 67:793–811 1298
- 1244 117. Yuk J, McKelvie JR, Simpson MJ et al (2010) 1299
 1245 Comparison of 1-D and 2-D NMR tech- 1300
 1246 niques for screening earthworm responses to 1301
 1247 sub-lethal endosulfan exposure. Environ 1302
 1248 Chem 7:524–536 1303
- 1249 118. Ludwig C, Ward DG, Martin A et al (2009) 1304
 1250 Fast targeted multidimensional NMR metab- 1305
 1251 olomics of colorectal cancer. Magn Reson 1306
 1252 Chem 47:S68–S73 1307
- 1253 119. Xia J, Bjorndahl TC, Tang P et al (2008) 1308
 1254 MetaboMiner – semi-automated identifica- 1309
 1255 tion of metabolites from 2D NMR spectra of 1310
 1256 complex biofluids. BMC Bioinformatics 9 1311
- 1257 120. Fonville JM, Maher AD, Coen M et al (2010) 1312
 1258 Evaluation of full-resolution J-resolved ¹H 1313
 1259 NMR projections of biofluids for metabo- 1314
 1260 nomics information retrieval and biomarker 1315
 1261 identification. Anal Chem 82:1811–1821 1316
- 1262 121. Mannina L, Sobolev A, Capitani D et al 1317
 1263 (2008) NMR metabolic profiling of organic 1318
 1264 and aqueous sea bass extracts: implications in 1319
 1265 the discrimination of wild and cultured sea 1320
 1266 bass. Talanta 77:433–444 1321
- 1267 122. Griffin JL, Williams HJ, Sang E et al (2001) 1322
 1268 Abnormal lipid profile of dystrophic cardiac 1323
 1269 tissue as demonstrated by one- and two- 1324
 1270 dimensional magic-angle spinning H-1 NMR 1325
 1271 spectroscopy. Magn Reson Med 46:249–255 1326
- 1272 123. Hyberts SG, Heffron GJ, Tarragona NG et al 1327
 1273 (2007) Ultrahigh-resolution ¹H-¹³C HSQC 1328
 1274 spectra of metabolite mixtures using nonlin- 1329
 1275 ear sampling and forward maximum entropy 1330
 1276 reconstruction. J Am Chem Soc 1331
 1277 129:5108–5116 1332
- 1278 124. Tang H, Wang Y, Nicholson JK et al (2004) 1333
 1279 Use of relaxation-edited one-dimensional and 1334
 1280 two dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance 1335
 1281 spectroscopy to improve detection of small 1336
 1282 metabolites in blood plasma. Anal Biochem 1337
 1283 325:260–272 1338
- 1284 125. Dumas ME, Canlet C, Vercauteren J et al 1339
 1285 (2005) Homeostatic signature of anabolic 1340
 1286 steroids in cattle using ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR 1341
 1287 metabolomics. J Proteome Res 1342
 1288 4:1493–1502 1343
- 1289 126. Dumas ME, Canlet C, André F et al (2002) 1344
 1290 Metabonomic assessment of physiological dis- 1345
 1291 ruptions using ¹H-¹³C HMBC-NMR spec- 1346
 1292 troscopy combined with pattern recognition 1347
 1293 procedures performed on filtered variables. 1348
 1294 Anal Chem 74:2261–2273 1349
- 1295 127. Kono H (2013) (¹H and (¹³C chemical 1350
 1296 shift assignment of the monomers that com- 1351
 1297 prise carboxymethyl cellulose. Carbohydr 1352
 1298 Polym 97:384–390 1353
- 1299 128. Hunt CT, Boulanger Y, Fesik SW et al (1984) 1354
 1300 NMR analysis of the structure and metal 1355
 1301 sequestering properties of metallothioneins. 1356
 1302 Environ Health Perspect 54:135–145 1357
- 1303 129. Lown JW, Hanstock CC (1985) High-field 1358
 1304 ¹H-¹H-NMR analysis of the 1-1 intercalation 1359
 1305 complex of the antitumor agent mitoxantrone 1360
 1306 and the DNA duplex D(Cpgpcpg) 2. J Biomol 1361
 1307 Struct Dyn 2:1097–1106 1362
- 1308 130. Macura S, Kumar NG, Brown LR (1983) 1363
 1309 Combined use of cosy and double quantum 1364
 1310 two-dimensional NMR-spectroscopy for eluci- 1365
 1311 dation of spin systems in polymyxin-B. 1366
 1312 Biochem Biophys Res Commun 117:486–492 1367
- 1313 131. Kim HK, Choi YH, Verpoorte R (2010) 1368
 1314 NMR-based metabolomic analysis of plants. 1369
 1315 Nat Protoc 5:536–549 1370
- 1316 132. Le Guennec A, Tea I, Antheaume I et al 1371
 1317 (2012) Fast determination of absolute metab- 1372
 1318 olite concentrations by spatially encoded 2D 1373

- 1319 NMR: application to breast cancer cell
1320 extracts. *Anal Chem* 84:10831–10837
- 1321 133. Sekiyama Y, Chikayama E, Kikuchi J (2011)
1322 Evaluation of a semipolar solvent system as a
1323 step toward heteronuclear multidimensional
1324 NMR-based metabolomics for C-13-labelled
1325 bacteria, plants, and animals. *Anal Chem*
1326 83:719–726
- 1327 134. Flores-Sanchez IJ, Choi YH, Verpoorte R
1328 (2012) Metabolite analysis of *Cannabis sativa*
1329 L. by NMR spectroscopy. In: Kaufmann M,
1330 Klinger C (eds) *Functional genomics: meth-*
1331 *ods and protocols*, vol 815, 2nd edn, *Methods*
1332 *Mol Biol.*, pp 363–375
- 1333 135. Blasco H, Corcia P, Moreau C et al (2010)
1334 ¹H-NMR-based metabolomic profiling of
1335 CSF in early amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
1336 *PLoS One* 5:e13223
- 1337 136. Yi Q, Scalley-Kim ML, Alm EJ et al (2000)
1338 NMR characterization of residual structure in
1339 the denatured state of protein L. *J Mol Biol*
1340 299:1341–1351
- 1341 137. Lee S-H, Cha E-J, Lim J-E et al (2012)
1342 Structural characterization of an intrinsically
1343 unfolded mini-HBX protein from hepatitis B
1344 virus. *Mol Cells* 34:165–169
- 1345 138. Robertson IM, Boyko RF, Sykes BD (2011)
1346 Visualizing the principal component of H-1,
1347 N-15-HSQC NMR spectral changes that
1348 reflect protein structural or functional proper-
1349 ties: application to troponin C. *J Biomol*
1350 *NMR* 51:115–122
- 1351 139. Liu H-K, Parkinson JA, Bella J et al (2010)
1352 Penetrative DNA intercalation and G-base
1353 selectivity of an organometallic tetrahydroan-
1354 thracene Ru-II anticancer complex. *Chem Sci*
1355 1:258–270
- 1356 140. Xi Y, de Ropp JS, Viant MR et al (2008)
1357 Improved identification of metabolites in
1358 complex mixtures using HSQC NMR spec-
1359 troscopy. *Anal Chim Acta* 614:127–133
- 1360 141. Raji M, Amad M, Emwas AH (2013)
1361 Dehydrodimerization of pterostilbene during
1362 electrospray ionization mass spectrometry.
1363 *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 27:
1364 1260–1266
- 1365 142. Burton L, Ivosev G, Tate S et al (2008)
1366 Instrumental and experimental effects in
1367 LC-MS-based metabolomics. *J Chromatogr*
1368 *B Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci* 871:
1369 227–235
- 1370 143. Chen Y, Zhang R, Song Y et al (2009)
1371 RRLC-MS/MS-based metabolomics com-
1372 bined with in-depth analysis of metabolic
1373 correlation network: finding potential bio-
1374 markers for breast cancer. *Analyst* 134:
1375 2003–2011
- 1376 144. Michopoulos F, Lai L, Gika H et al (2009)
1377 UPLC-MS-based analysis of human plasma
1378 for metabolomics using solvent precipitation
1379 or solid phase extraction. *J Proteome Res*
1380 8:2114–2121
- 1381 145. Theodoridis G, Gika HG, Wilson ID (2008)
1382 LC-MS-based methodology for global metab-
1383 olite profiling in metabolomics/metabolomics.
1384 *Trends Anal Chem* 27:251–260
- 1385 146. Waybright TJ, Van QN, Muschik GM et al
1386 (2006) LC-MS in metabolomics: optimiza-
1387 tion of experimental conditions for the analy-
1388 sis of metabolites in human urine. *J Liq*
1389 *Chromatogr Relat Technol* 29:2475–2497
- 1390 147. Allix M, Alba MD, Florian P et al (2011)
1391 Structural elucidation of beta-(Y, Sc)(2)Si2O7:
1392 combined use of Y-89 MAS NMR and powder
1393 diffraction. *J Appl Crystallogr* 44:846–852
- 1394 148. Singhal A (2009) Structural aspects of zeo-
1395 lites and oxide glasses: insights from solid
1396 state nuclear magnetic resonance. *Mater Sci*
1397 *Found* 49–51:149–192
- 1398 149. Das SK, Xu S, Emwas A-H et al (2012) High
1399 energy lithium-oxygen batteries – transport
1400 barriers and thermodynamics. *Energy Environ*
1401 *Sci* 5:8927–8931
- 1402 150. Loquet A, Habenstein B, Lange A (2013)
1403 Structural investigations of molecular
1404 machines by solid-state NMR. *Acc Chem Res*
1405 46:2070–2079
- 1406 151. Rouge P, Cornu A, Biesse-Martin A-S et al
1407 (2013) Identification of quinoline, carboline
1408 and glycinamide compounds in cow milk
1409 using HRMS and NMR. *Food Chem*
1410 141:1888–1894
- 1411 152. Weingarth M, Baldus M (2013) Solid-state
1412 NMR-based approaches for supramolecular
1413 structure elucidation. *Acc Chem Res* 46:
1414 2037–2046
- 1415 153. Barbul I, Varga RA, Silvestru C (2013)
1416 Structural diversity of coordination cores in
1417 homoleptic tetraaryltin(IV) dioxolane, alde-
1418 hyde and imines: the first octacoordinated
1419 double helicate tetraorganotin(IV) com-
1420 pound. *Eur J Inorg Chem* 18:3146–3154
- 1421 154. Ghazzali M, El-Faham A, Abdel-Megeed A
1422 et al (2012) Microwave-assisted synthesis,
1423 structural elucidation and biological assess-
1424 ment of 2-(2-acetamidophenyl)-2-oxo-N
1425 phenyl acetamide and N-(2-(2-oxo-
1426 2(phenylamino)acetyl)phenyl)propionamide
1427 derivatives. *J Mol Struct* 1013:163–167
- 1428 155. Niemyjska M, Maciejewska D, Wolska I et al
1429 (2012) Synthesis, structural investigations,
1430 and anti-cancer activity of new methyl indole-
1431 3-carboxylate derivatives. *J Mol Struct* 1026:
1432 30–35

- 1433 156. Uma Devi T, Priya S, Selvanayagam S et al
1434 (2012) Synthesis, structural elucidation
1435 and spectroscopic analysis of 3a,8b-dihydroxy-
1436 4-oxo-1H,2H,3H,3aH,4H,8bH-indeno
1437 1,2-d imidazolidin-2-iminium chloride.
1438 Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc
1439 97:1063–1071
- 1440 157. Abuhijleh AL, Abu Ali H, Emwas A-H (2009)
1441 Synthesis, spectral and structural characteriza-
1442 tion of dinuclear rhodium (II) complexes of
1443 the anticonvulsant drug valproate with the-
1444 ophylline and caffeine. J Organomet Chem
1445 694:3590–3596
- 1446 158. Tegoni M, Ferretti L, Sansone F et al (2007)
1447 Synthesis, solution thermodynamics, and
1448 X-ray study of Cu-II 12 metallacrown-4 with
1449 GABA hydroxamic acid: an unprecedented
1450 crystal structure of a 12 MC-4 with a
1451 gamma-aminohydroxamate. Chemistry 13:
1452 1300–1308
- 1453 159. Al-Masri HT, Emwas A-HM, Al-Talla ZA
1454 et al (2012) Synthesis and characterization of
1455 new N-(diphenylphosphino)-naphthylamine
1456 chalcogenides: X-ray structures of
1457 (1-Nhc10h7)P(Se)Ph-2 And Ph2p(S)Op(S)
1458 Ph-2. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem
1459 187:1082–1090
- 1460 160. Decken A, Mattar SM, Emwas A (2005)
1461 1,4,11,12-Tetrahydro-9,10-anthraquinone.
1462 Acta Crystallogr Sect E Struct Rep Online
1463 61:O641–O642
- 1464 161. Reepmeyer JC, Woodruff JT, d'Avignon DA
1465 (2007) Structure elucidation of a novel ana-
1466 logue of sildenafil detected as an adulterant in
1467 an herbal dietary supplement. J Pharm
1468 Biomed Anal 43:1615–1621
- 1469 162. Riddell N, Arsenault G, Klein J et al (2009)
1470 Structural characterization and thermal sta-
1471 bilities of the isomers of the brominated flame
1472 retardant 1,2,5,6-tetrabromocyclooctane
1473 (TBCO). Chemosphere 74:1538–1543
- 1474 163. Wu C, Chen F, Wang X et al (2007)
1475 Identification of antioxidant phenolic. com-
1476 pounds in feverfew (Tanacetum parthenium)
1477 by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS and NMR. Phytochem
1478 Anal 18:401–410
- 1479 164. Zhou A, Kikandi S, Sadik OA (2007)
1480 Electrochemical degradation of quercetin:
1481 isolation and structural elucidation of the
1482 degradation products. Electrochem Commun
1483 9:2246–2255
- 1484 165. Bretz M, Gockler S, Humpf HU (2005)
1485 Isolation and structural elucidation of thermal
1486 degradation products of the Fusarium myco-
1487 toxin nivalenol. Mycotoxin Res 21:15–17
- 1488 166. Wolff JC, Hawtin PN, Monte S et al (2001)
1489 The use of particle beam mass spectrometry
for the measurement of impurities in a nabum-
etone drug substance, not easily amenable to
atmospheric pressure ionisation techniques.
Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 15:265–272
167. Scalbert A, Brennan L, Fiehn O et al (2009)
Mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: lim-
itations and recommendations for future
progress with particular focus on nutrition
research. Metabolomics 5:435–458
168. Iijima Y, Nakamura Y, Ogata Y et al (2008)
Metabolite annotations based on the integra-
tion of mass spectral information. Plant J
54:949–962
169. Lawton KA, Berger A, Mitchell M et al
(2008) Analysis of the adult human plasma
metabolome. Pharmacogenomics 9:383–397
170. A J, Trygg J, Gullberg J et al (2005) Extraction
and GC/MS analysis of the human blood
plasma metabolome. Anal Chem 77:
8086–8094
171. Shaham O, Wei R, Wang TJ et al (2008)
Metabolic profiling of the human response to
a glucose challenge reveals distinct axes of
insulin sensitivity. Mol Syst Biol 4:214
172. Theodoridis GA, Gika HG, Want EJ et al
(2012) Liquid chromatography-mass spec-
trometry based global metabolite profiling: a
review. Anal Chim Acta 711:7–16
173. Masson P, Alves AC, Ebbels TMD et al
(2010) Optimization and evaluation of
metabolite extraction protocols for untar-
geted metabolic profiling of liver samples by
UPLC-MS. Anal Chem 82:7779–7786
174. Gika HG, Theodoridis GA, Wilson ID (2008)
Hydrophilic interaction and reversed-phase
ultra-performance liquid chromatography
TOF-MS for metabonomic analysis of Zucker
rat urine. J Sep Sci 31:1598–1608
175. Spagou K, Tsoukali H, Raikos N et al (2010)
Hydrophilic interaction chromatography cou-
pled to MS for metabonomic/metabolomic
studies. J Sep Sci 33:716–727
176. Spagou K, Wilson ID, Masson P et al (2011)
HILIC-UPLC-MS for exploratory urinary
metabolic profiling in toxicological studies.
Anal Chem 83:382–390
177. Wang Y, Lehmann R, Lu X et al (2008)
Novel, fully automatic hydrophilic interac-
tion/reversed-phase column-switching high-
performance liquid chromatographic system
for the complementary analysis of polar and
apolar compounds in complex samples. J
Chromatogr A 1204:28–34
178. Sana TR, Waddell K, Fischer SM (2008) A
sample extraction and chromatographic strat-
egy for increasing LC/MS detection coverage

- 1546 of the erythrocyte metabolome. *J Chromatogr*
1547 *B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 871:
1548 314–321
- 1549 179. Lu W, Bennett BD, Rabinowitz JD (2008)
1550 Analytical strategies for LC-MS-based tar-
1551 geted metabolomics. *J Chromatogr B Analyt*
1552 *Technol Biomed Life Sci* 871:236–242
- 1553 180. Yao W, He M, Jiang Y et al (2013) Integrated
1554 LC/MS and GC/MS metabolomics data for
1555 the evaluation of protection function of fruc-
1556 tus ligustri lucidi on mouse liver.
1557 *Chromatographia* 76:1171–1179
- 1558 181. Lee D-K, Yoon MH, Kang YP et al (2013)
1559 Comparison of primary and secondary metab-
1560 olites for suitability to discriminate the origins
1561 of *Schisandra chinensis* by GC/MS and LC/
1562 MS. *Food Chem* 141:3931–3937
- 1563 182. Guo B, Chen B, Liu A et al (2012) Liquid
1564 chromatography-mass spectrometric multiple
1565 reaction monitoring-based strategies for
1566 expanding targeted profiling towards quanti-
1567 tative metabolomics. *Curr Drug Metab* 13:
1568 1226–1243
- 1569 183. Chen J, Zhou L, Zhang X et al (2012)
1570 Urinary hydrophilic and hydrophobic met-
1571 abolic profiling based on liquid
1572 chromatography-mass spectrometry meth-
1573 ods: differential metabolite discovery spe-
1574 cific to ovarian cancer. *Electrophoresis* 33:
1575 3361–3369
- 1576 184. Yuan W, Zhang J, Li S et al (2011) Amine
1577 metabolomics of hyperglycemic endothelial
1578 cells using capillary LC-MS with isobaric tag-
1579 ging. *J Proteome Res* 10:5242–5250
- 1580 185. Wei R, Li G, Seymour AB (2010) High-
1581 throughput and multiplexed LC/MS/MRM
1582 method for targeted metabolomics. *Anal*
1583 *Chem* 82:5527–5533
- 1584 186. Grison S, Martin JC, Dublineau I et al (2010)
1585 Metabolomics, a new approach to identify
1586 biomarkers of ¹³Cs health effects. *Toxicol*
1587 *Lett* 196:S54
- 1588 187. Ciborowski M, Javier Ruperez F, Paz
1589 Martinez-Alcazar M et al (2010) Metabolomic
1590 approach with LC-MS reveals significant effect
1591 of pressure on diver's plasma. *J Proteome Res*
1592 9:4131–4137
- 1593 188. An Z, Chen Y, Zhang R et al (2010)
1594 Integrated ionization approach for
1595 RRLC-MS/MS-based metabolomics: find-
1596 ing potential biomarkers for lung cancer.
1597 *J Proteome Res* 9:4071–4081
- 1598 189. Brown SD, Rhodes DJ, Pritchard BJ (2007)
1599 A validated SPME-GC-MS method for simul-
1600 taneous quantification of club drugs in human
1601 urine. *Forensic Sci Int* 171:142–150
- 1602 190. Hori D, Hasegawa Y, Kimura M et al (2005)
1603 Clinical onset and prognosis of Asian children
1604 with organic acidemias, as detected by analysis
1605 of urinary organic acids using GC/MS, instead
1606 of mass screening. *Brain Dev* 27:39–45
- 1607 191. Meyer MR, Peters FT, Maurer HH (2010)
1608 Automated mass spectral deconvolution and
1609 identification system for GC-MS screening for
1610 drugs, poisons, and metabolites in urine. *Clin*
1611 *Chem* 56:575–584
- 1612 192. Yoon H-R (2007) Two step derivatization for
1613 the analyses of organic, amino acids and gly-
1614 cines on filter paper plasma by GC-MS/
1615 SIM. *Arch Pharmacol Res* 30:387–395
- 1616 193. Kanani H, Chrysanthopoulos PK, Klapa MI
1617 (2008) Standardizing GC-MS metabolomics.
1618 *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life*
1619 *Sci* 871:191–201
- 1620 194. Babushok VI, Linstrom PJ, Reed JJ et al
1621 (2007) Development of a database of gas
1622 chromatographic retention properties of
1623 organic compounds. *J Chromatogr A* 1157:
1624 414–421
- 1625 195. Kind T, Wohlgemuth G, Lee DY et al (2009)
1626 FiehnLib: mass spectral and retention index
1627 libraries for metabolomics based on quadrupole
1628 and time-of-flight gas chromatography/mass
1629 spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 81:10038–10048

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 13 0002252423

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check whether the affiliations are appropriate as typeset.	OK
AU2	Please check whether edit made to hierarchy of heading levels is appropriate.	OK
AU3	Please check whether the edits made to Tables 1 and 2 are appropriate.	OK
AU4	Please check whether the presentation of list numbers are appropriate.	OK
AU5	Please check if “turrine” should be changed to “taurine”.	taurine
AU6	Please note that Refs. [96, 142] have been deleted in original reference list as they are duplicates of Refs. [18, 134], respectively. Therefore the subsequent references and the corresponding citations have been renumbered. Please check.	OK
AU7	Please provide volume number for Ref. [32].	Volume 8
AU8	Please provide page ranges for Ref. [65].	conference poster

Uncorrected Proof