

SE 3XA3: Test Report
Title of Project

Team # 3, Team 3
Erin Varey - vareye
Nik Novak - Novakn
Joel Straatmen - Straatjc

December 6, 2016

Contents

- 1 Functional Requirements Evaluation** **1**
- 2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation** **1**
 - 2.1 Usability 1
 - 2.2 Performance 1
 - 2.3 etc. 1
- 3 Comparison to Existing Implementation** **1**
- 4 Unit Testing** **2**
- 5 Changes Due to Testing** **2**
- 6 Automated Testing** **2**
- 7 Trace to Requirements** **2**
- 8 Trace to Modules** **2**
- 9 Code Coverage Metrics** **2**

List of Tables

- 1 Revision History** i

List of Figures

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
December 5th	1.0	Starting Document
Date 2	1.1	Notes

This document ...

1 Functional Requirements Evaluation

2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

2.1 Usability

This section of the testing was performed using a survey from our focus group. The survey consisted of the following questions:

Please Rank the follow on a scale of 1-10

Application Appeal:

Ease of Use:

Aethetic Appeal:

Does it crash anybrowser pages? (10 for yes 1 for no)

Would you reccomended this application? (10 for yes 1 for no)

10 people were surveyed in total. The results were over all positive with the average value of the results described below.

2.2 Performance

2.3 etc.

3 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

- 4 Unit Testing
- 5 Changes Due to Testing
- 6 Automated Testing
- 7 Trace to Requirements
- 8 Trace to Modules
- 9 Code Coverage Metrics