

## Politecnico di Torino

# DIGITAL SYSTEMS ELECTRONICS A.A. 2018/2019

Prof. G. Masera

## Lab 03

 $\mathbf{2}\ \mathbf{Apr}\ \mathbf{2019}$ 

Berchialla Luca	236032
Laurasi Gjergji	238259
Mattei Andrea	233755
Lombardo Domenico Maria	233959

## 1 4-bit Sequential RCA

#### 1.1 Implementation




Figure 1: Top level entity

Here we had to implement a 4-bit Sequential Ripple Carry Adder. To build the circuit requested in figure1 several sub-circuits have been implemented.

As first point a Full Adder was implemented as shown in  $figure\ 2a$ . The 4-bit adder was built using four full adders in a ripple carry architecture. Its overflow signal is generated by a xor gate whose inputs are the  $carry_{out}$  signals of the last two full adders.




Figure 2: Full Adder

The Register and the Flip-Flop were implemented using the code provided in the

instructions.

A new 7-segments display decoder was needed in order to display properly 2's complement numbers. It uses two 7-segments displays to show respectively the sign and the magnitude of the number. The implementation was done by means of the when - else statement following the truth table in figure 3.

Display	Code	HEX(6)	HEX(5)	HEX(4)	HEX(3)	HEX(2)	HEX(1)	HEX(0)	Sign
0	0000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	0001	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	
2	0010	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
3	0011	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
4	0100	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
5	0101	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
6	0110	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
7	0111	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
-8	1000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-7	1001	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	- 1
-6	1010	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-
-5	1011	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-
-4	1100	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	-
-3	1101	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	-
-2	1110	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-
-1	1111	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	-

Figure 3: 2's complement 7-segments decoder

The top level entity that implements the circuit is in the file *lab3\_es1.vhd* where all the components needed are instantiated and connected together.

As required, the the inputs A and B have been assigned to  $SW_{3-0}$  and  $SW_{7-4}$  respectively,  $KEY_0$  to the negated asynchronous reset input and  $KEY_1$  to the clock. The magnitude and the sign of A are displayed in HEX4 and HEX5 respectively. The same is done for B and S in HEX2, HEX3 and HEX0, HEX1 respectively. The adder's overflow is shown by means of the red  $LEDR_9$ .

#### 1.2 Testbench

To validate the correct behavior of the circuit a testbench was created. The clock signal was created using a process and its period has been fixed to 10ps, the reset signal instead has a period of 115ps, not a multiple of the clock, to verify that is asynchronous. It is active for 10ps and is generated just 10 times in order to have cleaner waveforms.

To test every possible combination of inputs it has been used a 8-bit counter which increments its value every 100ps, the least significant 4 bits have been assigned to A and the others to B.

Since the values of A, B and S coded for the 7-segments display are the output of the circuit in the testbench are present several if clauses that translate the output values to the 2's complement binary value of A, B and S.

#### 1.3 Timing analysis

The maximum operating frequency of the circuit  $f_{max}$  has been determined with the help of Quartus Prime and TimeQuest and is  $f_{max} = 650MHz$ .

The longest path in the circuit in terms of delay is the one that starts from the MSB of a register where A or B are stored and arrives to the Flip Flop that stores the overflow signal. This path is longer than the other possible path that starts from one of the previous registers and arrives to the register where S is stored, because the overflow signal is calculated by a xor gate whose inputs are the  $carry_{out}$  signals of the last two full adders. Therefore, with respect to the MSB of S, which goes directly to the register, the signal has to be processed by the xor gate before arriving to the flip flop.

## 2 4-bit Sequential Adder/Subtractor

#### 2.1 Implementation

The circuit implemented in this section is a little modification of the circuit implemented in section 1. In particular the 4-bit full adder has been modified to make it perform also the subtraction. The  $carry_{in}$  input is replaced by the  $add_subtract$  input that enables the sum when its value is 0 and the subtraction when is 1. The B input is 2's complemented when  $add_subtract = 1$  by assigning the  $B_i$  input of each full adder to the xor of  $B_i$  and  $add_subtract$  and by assigning the  $carry_{in}$  of the first full adder to  $add_subtract$ .

#### 2.2 Testbench

The  $add_subtract$  input was then assigned to  $SW_8$  in the top level entity. In the test-bench the counter has been modified now being 9-bit wide and its MSB is assigned to  $add_subtract$ .

## 2.3 Timing analysis

The maximum operating frequency of the circuit  $f_{max}$  has been determined as in section 1 and its value is  $f_{max} = 600MHz$ .  $f_{max}$  is lower for this circuit because the longest path now includes another level of logic that is between the output of the register where B is stored and the input of the full adder.

Therefore the longest path is the same as the previous section but it starts only from the previously mentioned register because only between it and the full adder there are the additional xor gates.

# 3 16-bit RCA, Carry-Bypass Adder and Carry-Select Adder

In this section will be presented three different implementations of 16 bit adders. Each of them will be simulated by means of a testbench, timing analysis will be performed as well. The different adders are tested over the same circuit architecture shown in the next figure. The 16-bit adder receives the 2 16-bit addends from 2 registers and sends the summing result to a third register. Finally the result and the addends are decoded into hexadecimal values.




Figure 4: Architecture of the circuit including the general 16-bit adder

 $Lab\ 03$ 

### 3.1 16-bit RCA

The first implemented architecture is the classical Ripple Carry Adder (RCA). As shown in the figure below the carry output of each FA becomes the carry input of the next FA.




Figure 5: RCA adder architecture

The resulting thestbench is shown in the next image.

	т	т				т .	т	т .	,				т .	т .	
/p3_rca16_testbench/resclock	01	10	00	10	00	10	00	10	00	10	00	10	00	10	00
/p3_rca16_testbench/A	000000000	0000000		00000000	00100000	00000000	00001000	00010000	00100000	10000000	00001000	00010000	00100000	11111111	11111111
/p3_rca16_testbench/B	000000000	0000000		00000001	00000000	00000000	00000010	00100001	00000000	10000000	00000010	00100001	00000000	111111111	11111111
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/ADDER16/s	00000000	00000000		00000001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000	111111111	11111110
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/overflow															
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/REG2/R	00000000	00000000		00000001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000	111111111	11111110
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/REG2/Clock _	İ	<u> </u>													
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/REG2/Resetn	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			-										
/p3_rca16_testbench/dut/REG2/Q	00000000	00000000				00000001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000	00000000	00001010	00110001	00100000
/p3_rca16_testbench/LEDOF	0											1		0	
	1														

Figure 6: RCA testbench

The quartus timing analysis tool gives the results:



meaning that the worst-case delay path is 4.417ns long, corresponding to a maximum usable frequency of 226.4MHz.

#### 3.2 16-bit Carry Bypass adder

The circuit shown below implements a 16-bit carry bypass adder. This architecture creates an alternative path for the carry of each block of full adders. If the output carry equals the input carry then it might be propagated resulting in a faster operation.




Figure 8: 16-bit Carry Bypass Adder

The control blackbox shown below checks the condition of carry propagation enabling a MUX that select the right carry path.




Figure 9: control blackbox

As before a testbench has been generated validating the design:





Figure 10: CBA testebench

This time quartus timing analysis tool returns the results:



meaning that the worst-case delay path is 5.814ns long, corresponding to a maximum usable frequency of 172MHz, much lower than the first architecture.

#### 3.3 16-bit Carry Select Adder

The circuit shown below implements a 16-bit carry select adder. This time the architecture doubles the number of FAs calculating the possibile results for each possible carry input condition. At the end the true result is generated using a set of MUX that select the 'already calculated' result corresponding to the given carry input.




Figure 12: 16-bit Carry Select adder

As before a testbench has been generated validating the design:

/csa16_testbench/resclock	00	01 (10	(00	(10	00	10	(00)	10	(00	10	( 00	(10	00	10	00	10	00	(10	( 00	10	00
/csa16_testbench/dut/REG2/Clock			ħЦ		<u> </u>		4		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			П					4				L
/csa16_testbench/dut/REG2/Resetn							$\top$										$\top$				
/csa16_testbench/A	000000	000000000	00	0000000	000100000	00000000	00001000	00010	000000100000	1000000	000001000	0001	1000000100000	11111111	1111111	00011111	11111111	000000	0000011111	0000000	000000000
/csa16_testbench/B	000000	000000000	00	0000000	1000000000	00000000	00000010	00100	000100000000	1000000	000000010	0010	0000100000000	11111111	1111111	00001111	11111111	000000	0000011111	0000000	000000000
/csa16_testbench/dut/REG2/R	(	00000000	00000000	0000000	100100000	00000000	00001010	00110	000100100000	0000000	000001010	0011	1000100100000	11111111	1111110	00101111	11111110	000000	0000111110	0000000	000000000
/csa16_testbench/dut/FF0/D												Ц					$\pm$				
/csa16_testbench/LEDOF	U	0					+					1		0			1	(1		0	

Figure 13: 16-bit CSA testebench

 $Lab\ 03$ 

This time quartus timing analysis tool returns the results:

			Property	Value
			From Node	regn:REG0 Q[1]
			To Node	flipflop:FF0 Q
			Launch Clock	KEY[1]
Fmax	Restricted Fmax	Clock Name	Latch Clock	KEY[1]
218.91 MHz		KEY[1]	Data Arrival Time	8.868
<u> </u>			Data Required Time	5.300
			Slack	-3.568 (VIOLATED)

meaning that the worst-case delay path is 4.568ns long, corresponding to a maximum usable frequency of 218.91MHz, this result is similar to the CBA adder but still the implemented 16-bit CBA returns the fastest results.

Notice that these results are not what we would expect from a custom designed silicon chip. FPGAs introduce unwanted lags due to parasitic capacitance of its internal architecture.

## 4 Multiplier

The task of this part of the experience is design a 4-bit multiplier using VHDL, the circuit implemented is based on the one in *Figure* 4. The input of the circuit are the




Figure 15: the circuit implemented for the multiplier

DE10 switch from 0 to 7, the first four represent the first number while the remaining compose the second number. The output of the circuit are the first 4 seven-segments displays of the board that are used for displaying hexadecimal numbers. The first 2 displays show the 2 operands while the other 2 display the result. The overall architecture (Figure 5) of the circuit is composed by the multiplier (multiplier.vhd) and 4 bcd to seven-segments converter for driving the displays. The converters used were




Figure 16: the RTL schematics of the overall circuit

the same of the previous parts, since their function is the same. For implementing the multiplier 2 type of components were used: 4-bit adders (adder.vhd) and and arrays (and\_array.vhd). The 4-bit adders are composed of 4 full adders and they do the intermediate addition, like the 7-segments converters the full adder components were reused from previous parts. The and arrays perfoms the multiplication of one factor by one bit of the other.




Figure 17: the RTL schematics of the multiplier component

### 4.1 Testing the circuit

The testing of single components was done manually using Modelsim since all of the components were either reused from previous points or trivial.

A testbench was used for testing the overall circuit, this testbench tests all the possible 256 pairs of inputs. Despite the big number of possible pairs reading the test result is practical as the operation of the circuit is just a simple multiplication.

Inputs were generated procedurally through 2 nested for loops and they were provided with a 8-bit vector that simulated the switches vector.

The tests of circuit done on the DE10 board confirmed the Modelsim simulations.