

# AeroFit Treadmill Business Case Study

**Business Problem** - The market research team at AeroFit wants to identify the characteristics of the target audience for each type of treadmill offered by the company, to provide a better recommendation of the treadmills to the new customers. The team decides to investigate whether there are differences across the product with respect to customer characteristics.

- To perform descriptive analytics to create a customer profile for each AeroFit treadmill product by developing appropriate tables and charts.
- To construct two-way contingency tables for each AeroFit treadmill product and to compute all conditional and marginal probabilities along with their insights/impact on the business.

**About AeroFit** - AeroFit is a leading brand in the field of fitness equipment. AeroFit provides a product range including machines such as treadmills, exercise bikes, gym equipment, and fitness accessories to cater to the needs of all categories of people.

**Dataset** - The company collected the data on individuals who purchased a treadmill from the AeroFit stores during the prior three months. The dataset has the following features:

- Product Purchased: KP281, KP481, or KP781
- Age : In years
- Gender : Male/Female
- Education : In years
- MaritalStatus : Single or partnered
- Usage : The average number of times the customer plans to use the treadmill each week.
- Income : Annual income (in USD)
- Fitness : Self-rated fitness on a 1-to-5 scale, where 1 is the poor shape and 5 is the excellent shape.
- Miles : The average number of miles the customer expects to walk/run each week

## Product Portfolio -

- The KP281 is an entry-level treadmill that sells for USD 1,500.
- The KP481 is for mid-level runners that sell for USD 1,750.
- The KP781 treadmill is having advanced features that sell for USD 2,500.

## Importing required packages

In [115]:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from pandas_profiling import ProfileReport
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
```

## Loading data into Dataframe

In [116]:

```
df = pd.read_csv('aerofit_treadmill.txt')
df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 180 entries, 0 to 179
Data columns (total 9 columns):
 #   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
 0   Product         180 non-null   object
 1   Age             180 non-null   int64
 2   Gender          180 non-null   object
 3   Education       180 non-null   int64
 4   MaritalStatus  180 non-null   object
 5   Usage          180 non-null   int64
 6   Fitness        180 non-null   int64
 7   Income         180 non-null   int64
 8   Miles          180 non-null   int64
dtypes: int64(6), object(3)
memory usage: 12.8+ KB
```

In [117]:

```
df.head()
```

Out[117]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47

In [118]:

```
# Creating a deep copy and a shallow copy inorder to work on outliers and other messy data
df_dcopy = df.copy(deep=True)
df_scopy = df.copy(deep=False)
```

In [119]:

```
df.shape
```

Out[119]:

```
(180, 9)
```

In [120]:

```
df.describe()
```

Out[120]:

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
count	180.000000	180.000000	180.000000	180.000000	180.000000	180.000000
mean	28.788889	15.572222	3.455556	3.311111	53719.577778	103.194444
std	6.943498	1.617055	1.084797	0.958869	16506.684226	51.863605
min	18.000000	12.000000	2.000000	1.000000	29562.000000	21.000000
25%	24.000000	14.000000	3.000000	3.000000	44058.750000	66.000000
50%	26.000000	16.000000	3.000000	3.000000	50596.500000	94.000000
75%	33.000000	16.000000	4.000000	4.000000	58668.000000	114.750000
max	50.000000	21.000000	7.000000	5.000000	104581.000000	360.000000

In [121]:

```
df.isna().sum()/len(df) *100
```

Out[121]:

```
Product      0.0
Age           0.0
Gender        0.0
Education     0.0
MaritalStatus 0.0
Usage         0.0
Fitness       0.0
Income        0.0
Miles         0.0
dtype: float64
```

In [122]:

```
df.duplicated().sum()
```

Out[122]:

0

In [123]:

```
characteristics = df.columns.values
for i in characteristics :
    print(i,': ',df[i].unique())
    print()
```

Product : ['KP281' 'KP481' 'KP781']

Age : [18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40  
41  
43 44 46 47 50 45 48 42]

Gender : ['Male' 'Female']

Education : [14 15 12 13 16 18 20 21]

MaritalStatus : ['Single' 'Partnered']

Usage : [3 2 4 5 6 7]

Fitness : [4 3 2 1 5]

Income : [ 29562 31836 30699 32973 35247 37521 36384 38658 40932 3  
4110  
 39795 42069 44343 45480 46617 48891 53439 43206 52302 51165  
 50028 54576 68220 55713 60261 67083 56850 59124 61398 57987  
 64809 47754 65220 62535 48658 54781 48556 58516 53536 61006  
 57271 52291 49801 62251 64741 70966 75946 74701 69721 83416  
 88396 90886 92131 77191 52290 85906 103336 99601 89641 95866  
104581 95508]

Miles : [112 75 66 85 47 141 103 94 113 38 188 56 132 169 64 53 10  
6 95  
 212 42 127 74 170 21 120 200 140 100 80 160 180 240 150 300 280 260  
360]

In [124]:

```
# Changing datatype of Gender, MaritalStatus and Product from Object to Category.
characteristics_catg = ['Gender', 'MaritalStatus', 'Product']
for i in characteristics_catg:
    df[i] = df[i].astype("category")
df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 180 entries, 0 to 179
Data columns (total 9 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   Product         180 non-null   category
1   Age             180 non-null   int64
2   Gender          180 non-null   category
3   Education       180 non-null   int64
4   MaritalStatus   180 non-null   category
5   Usage           180 non-null   int64
6   Fitness         180 non-null   int64
7   Income          180 non-null   int64
8   Miles           180 non-null   int64
dtypes: category(3), int64(6)
memory usage: 9.4 KB
```

#### Observations :

- We can conclude from above that, No null & duplicate value found in features.
- There are 3 different products in this dataset ('KP281', 'KP481', 'KP781').
- Age of customers range from 18 to 50.
- Education ranges from 12 to 21 (years).
- There are both Singles and Partenered as buyer.
- Usage ranges from 2 to 7 (days/week).
- Fitness level of customers ranges from 1-5.
- By changing the dtype from object to category, we are reducing the memory usage.

## Outliers detection and removal

In [125]:

```
#Boxplot for Products and the Income of customers purchasing those products
sns.boxplot(data=df, x = 'Product', y = 'Income')
plt.show()
```

#### Observations :

- KP781 Treadmill with advanced features is preffered by the customers with higher income.
- KP281 Treadmill with the lowest cost and basic features is preffered by the customers with lower income and the KP481 product with moderate features are liked by the customers with upper bracket of low - moderate income group.

#### Inference :

- There aren't any significant outliers for Products and the Income of customers purchasing those products. So no need for outlier removal here.

- The target audience for KP781 Treadmill should be the higher income group. So the sales team must focus on this range.

### 1.Outlier Handling for Income:

In [126]:

```
df['Income'].mean()
```

Out[126]:

53719.57777777778

In [127]:

```
q1=df['Income'].quantile(.25)  
q1
```

Out[127]:

44058.75

In [128]:

```
q2=df['Income'].median()  
q2
```

Out[128]:

50596.5

In [129]:

```
q3=df['Income'].quantile(.75)  
q3
```

Out[129]:

58668.0

In [130]:

```
iqr=q3-q1  
iqr
```

Out[130]:

14609.25

In [131]:

```
#I have used shallow copy of our dataframe for storing it's modified version after removing
```

```
df_scopy=df[(df['Income']>q1-1.5*iqr)&(df['Income']<q3+1.5*iqr)]
df_scopy
```

Out[131]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
156	KP781	25	Male	20	Partnered	4	5	74701	170
157	KP781	26	Female	21	Single	4	3	69721	100
158	KP781	26	Male	16	Partnered	5	4	64741	180
163	KP781	28	Male	18	Partnered	7	5	77191	180
165	KP781	29	Male	18	Single	5	5	52290	180

161 rows × 9 columns

In [132]:

```
df_scopy.shape
```

Out[132]:

(161, 9)

In [133]:

```
df.shape
```

Out[133]:

(180, 9)

In [134]:

```
#Boxplot for Income of customers purchasing products before outlier removal
sns.boxplot(data=df, x = 'Income')
plt.show()
```

In [135]:

```
#Boxplot for Income of customers purchasing products after outlier removal
sns.boxplot(data=df_scopy, x = 'Income')
plt.show()
```

In [136]:

```
#Boxplot for Gender and the Income of customers purchasing products before outlier removal
sns.boxplot(data=df, x = 'Gender', y = 'Income')
plt.show()
```

In [137]:

```
#Boxplot for Gender and the Income of customers purchasing products after outlier removal
sns.boxplot(data=df_scopy, x = 'Gender', y = 'Income')
plt.show()
```

In [138]:

```
df.groupby('Gender')['Income'].mean() # Mean before outlier removal
```

Out[138]:

```
Gender
Female    49828.907895
Male      56562.759615
Name: Income, dtype: float64
```

In [139]:

```
df_scopy.groupby('Gender')['Income'].mean() # Mean after outlier removal
```

Out[139]:

```
Gender
Female    48056.356164
Male      50000.840909
Name: Income, dtype: float64
```

### Observations:

- After outlier removal for income, 19 rows are deleted and in order to draw some insights from the original data in future, stored the modified data in its shallow copy - **df\_scopy**
- In the boxplot, we can clearly see that most of the outliers are removed and the data is now ready for further analysis and inferences.

### 2.Outlier Handling for Miles:

In [140]:

```
df_scopy1 = df
sns.boxplot(data = df_scopy1, x = 'Miles')
plt.show()
```



In [141]:

```
#I have used shallow copy of our dataframe for storing it's modified version after removing  
q1=df_scopy1['Miles'].quantile(.25)  
q1
```

Out[141]:

66.0

In [142]:

```
q2=df_scopy1['Miles'].median()  
q2
```

Out[142]:

94.0

In [143]:

```
q3=df_scopy1['Miles'].quantile(.75)  
q3
```

Out[143]:

114.75

In [144]:

```
iqr=q3-q1  
iqr
```

Out[144]:

48.75

In [145]:

```
df_scopy1=df_scopy1[(df_scopy1['Miles']>q1-1.5*iqr)&(df_scopy1['Miles']<q3+1.5*iqr)]
df_scopy1
```

Out[145]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
172	KP781	34	Male	16	Single	5	5	92131	150
174	KP781	38	Male	18	Partnered	5	5	104581	150
177	KP781	45	Male	16	Single	5	5	90886	160
178	KP781	47	Male	18	Partnered	4	5	104581	120
179	KP781	48	Male	18	Partnered	4	5	95508	180

167 rows × 9 columns

In [146]:

```
df_scopy1.shape
```

Out[146]:

(167, 9)

In [147]:

```
sns.boxplot(data = df_scopy, x = 'Miles')
plt.show()
```

### Observations:

- After outlier removal for **Miles**, 13 rows are deleted and in order to draw some insights from the original data in future, stored the modied data in it's shallow copy - **df\_scopy1**
- In the boxplot, we can clearly see that most of the outliers are removed and the data is now ready for further analysis and inferences.
- As of now we will be restricting drawing any insights from df\_scopy1 and will be foxusing on df\_scopy i.e DF obtained after handing outliers on Income column

## EDA - Univariate Analysis

### 1.Numerical features

In [148]:

```
df_scopy.head()
```

Out[148]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47

In [149]:

```
new_mean= round(df_scopy.mean(),2)  
new_mean
```

Out[149]:

```
Age          28.16  
Education    15.35  
Usage        3.27  
Fitness      3.14  
Income       49119.18  
Miles        93.26  
dtype: float64
```

In [150]:

```
new_median = df_scopy.median()  
new_median
```

Out[150]:

```
Age          26.0  
Education    16.0  
Usage        3.0  
Fitness      3.0  
Income       48891.0  
Miles        85.0  
dtype: float64
```

In [151]:

```
# Difference in the mean and median of Income before removing outliers  
diff_org = round(df['Income'].mean()-df['Income'].median(),2)  
diff_org
```

Out[151]:

```
3123.08
```

In [152]:

```
# Difference in the mean and median of Income after removing outliers
diff_new = round(df_scopy['Income'].mean()-df_scopy['Income'].median(),2)
diff_new
```

Out[152]:

228.18

In [153]:

```
diff_in_income = round((diff_new/diff_org) *100,2)
diff_in_income
```

Out[153]:

7.31

**Inference** : From above, we can infer that, there's a 7.31% correction in the Income data after removing outliers as we can see that the difference in the mean and median has decreased from 3123 to 228. Hence the new dataframe i.e **df\_scopy** is more suitable for carrying further analysis w.r.t income and gender related cases.

In [154]:

```
#EDA on Univariate Numerical variables
def num_feat(col_data):
    fig,ax = plt.subplots(nrows=1,ncols=2,figsize=(10,5))
    sns.histplot(col_data, kde=True, ax=ax[0])
    ax[0].axvline(col_data.mean(), color='y', linestyle='--',linewidth=2)
    ax[0].axvline(col_data.median(), color='r', linestyle='dashed', linewidth=2)
    ax[0].axvline(col_data.mode()[0],color='g',linestyle='solid',linewidth=2)
    ax[0].legend({'Mean':col_data.mean(),'Median':col_data.median(),'Mode':col_data.mode()})

    sns.boxplot(x=col_data, showmeans=True, ax=ax[1])
    plt.tight_layout()
```

In [155]:

```
num_cols = df.select_dtypes('int64').columns.values
num_cols
```

Out[155]:

```
array(['Age', 'Education', 'Usage', 'Fitness', 'Income', 'Miles'],
      dtype=object)
```

In [156]:

```
#sns.histplot
#for i in num_cols:
#    num_feat(df[i])
```

**Observations:**

### 1.Age

- Age is skewed towards right.

- Customers buying treadmill after age of 40 and before 20 are very less.
- There are few outliers (higher end).

## 2.Education

- Most customers have 16 years of Education.
- There are few outliers (higher end).

## 3.Usage

- Majority of users prefers to use Treadmills 3-4 times/week.
- There are few outliers (higher end).

## 4.Fitness

- Most customers have 3-3.5 fitness rating (moderate fit).
- Very few customers that uses treadmill have low score i.e 1.

## 5.Income

- Income is skewed toward right.
- Most customers have income less than 70k.
- **Significant no. of Outliers (higher end) are present** as there are very few persons who earn >80k. This makes us mandatory to handle outliers which has been taken care in the first case. Shallow copy of our dataframe(**ds\_scopsy**) consists of modified data after dealing with outliers.

## 6.Miles

- Miles is skewed towards right.
- Customers run on an average 80 miles per week.
- **Significant no. of Outliers (higher end) are present**, where customers are expecting to run more than 200 miles per week.This makes us mandatory to handle outliers which has been taken care in the first case. Shallow copy of our dataframe(**ds\_scopsy1**) consists of modified data after dealing with outliers.

## 2.Catagorical features:

In [157]:

```
df.head()
```

Out[157]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47

In [158]:

```
Product_Price = {'KP281' : '1500',  
                 'KP481' : '1750',  
                 'KP781' : '2500'}
```

In [159]:

```
df['Unit Product Price'] = df['Product'].replace(to_replace = Product_Price )  
df.head()
```

Out[159]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles	Unit Product Price
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112	1500
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75	1500
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66	1500
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85	1500
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47	1500

In [160]:

```
df['Unit Product Price'].value_counts()
```

Out[160]:

```
1500    80  
1750    60  
2500    40  
Name: Unit Product Price, dtype: int64
```

In [161]:

```
price = df['Unit Product Price'].unique()  
price
```

Out[161]:

```
array(['1500', '1750', '2500'], dtype=object)
```

In [162]:

```
quantity = df['Unit Product Price'].value_counts()  
quantity
```

Out[162]:

```
1500    80  
1750    60  
2500    40  
Name: Unit Product Price, dtype: int64
```

In [163]:

```
for i in range(len(price)):
    tot_sale_USD = quantity[i] * int(price[i])
    print("Total sales for Aerofit treadmills of unit price ${} is ${}".format(int(price[i]
```

Total sales for Aerofit treadmills of unit price \$1500 is \$120000

Total sales for Aerofit treadmills of unit price \$1750 is \$105000

Total sales for Aerofit treadmills of unit price \$2500 is \$100000

In [164]:

```
df["Income"].min(),df["Income"].max()
```

Out[164]:

(29562, 104581)

In [165]:

```
bins=[0,14,24,40,64,100]
bins_income = [29000, 40000, 60000, 80000,105000]
label1=['0-14','15-24','25-40','41-64','65-100']
label2=['Children',"Youth", "Young Adults","Old Adults","Seniors"]
label3 = ['Low Income','Moderate Income','High Income','Very High Income']
df['Age Groups']=pd.cut(df['Age'],bins,labels = label1)
df['Age Category']=pd.cut(df['Age'],bins,labels = label2)
df['Income Groups'] = pd.cut(df['Income'],bins_income,labels = label3)
df.head()
```

Out[165]:

	Product	Age	Gender	Education	MaritalStatus	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles	Unit Product Price
0	KP281	18	Male	14	Single	3	4	29562	112	1500
1	KP281	19	Male	15	Single	2	3	31836	75	1500
2	KP281	19	Female	14	Partnered	4	3	30699	66	1500
3	KP281	19	Male	12	Single	3	3	32973	85	1500
4	KP281	20	Male	13	Partnered	4	2	35247	47	1500



In [166]:

```
df['Age Category'].value_counts()
```

Out[166]:

```
Young Adults    114
Youth           54
Old Adults      12
Seniors         0
Children        0
Name: Age Category, dtype: int64
```

In [167]:

```
# Change on shallow copy(df_scopsy) as well for future analysis.
df_scopsy['Unit Product Price'] = df_scopsy['Product'].replace(to_replace = Product_Price )
df_scopsy['Unit Product Price'].value_counts()
bins=[14,24,40,64]
label1=['14-24','25-40','41-64']
label2=["Youth", "Young Adults","Old Adults"]
df_scopsy['Age Groups']=pd.cut(df_scopsy['Age'],bins,labels = label1)
df_scopsy['Age Category']=pd.cut(df_scopsy['Age'],bins,labels = label2)
df_scopsy.shape
```

Out[167]:

```
(161, 12)
```

In [168]:

```
# Changing datatype of Unit Product Price from Object to int64.
df['Unit Product Price'] = df['Unit Product Price'].astype("int64")
df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 180 entries, 0 to 179
Data columns (total 13 columns):
#   Column                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   Product                180 non-null   category
1   Age                    180 non-null   int64
2   Gender                 180 non-null   category
3   Education              180 non-null   int64
4   MaritalStatus          180 non-null   category
5   Usage                  180 non-null   int64
6   Fitness                180 non-null   int64
7   Income                 180 non-null   int64
8   Miles                  180 non-null   int64
9   Unit Product Price     180 non-null   int64
10  Age Groups              180 non-null   category
11  Age Category            180 non-null   category
12  Income Groups           180 non-null   category
dtypes: category(6), int64(7)
memory usage: 11.9 KB
```



In [169]:

```
#EDA on Univariate Categorical variables
```

```
def cat_feat(col_data):  
    fig,ax = plt.subplots(nrows=1,ncols=2,figsize=(8,5))  
    fig.suptitle(col_data.name+' Wise Sale',fontsize=15)  
    sns.countplot(col_data,ax=ax[0])  
    col_data.value_counts().plot.pie(autopct='%1.1f%%',ax=ax[1])  
    plt.tight_layout()
```

## 1.Product

In [170]:

```
print(df.Product.value_counts())
```

```
KP281      80  
KP481      60  
KP781      40  
Name: Product, dtype: int64
```

In [171]:

```
cat_feat(df['Product'])
```

## 2.Gender

In [172]:

```
print(df.Gender.value_counts())
```

```
Male       104  
Female      76  
Name: Gender, dtype: int64
```

In [173]:

```
cat_feat(df['Gender'])
```

## 3.MaritalStatus

In [174]:

```
print(df.MaritalStatus.value_counts())
```

```
Partnered   107  
Single       73  
Name: MaritalStatus, dtype: int64
```

In [175]:

```
cat_feat(df['MaritalStatus'])
```

**Observations:**

- 1. **Derived Category columns** are Unit Product Price, Age Groups, Age Category
- 2. Product KP281 is the most selling model
- 3. There are more male buyers then female buyers.
- 4. Couples are buying more treadmills then singles.

## EDA - Bivariate Analysis

In [176]:

```
# Original dataframe before outliers removal
sns.lineplot(x='Age',y='Income', data=df, hue='Product')
plt.show()
```

In [177]:

```
# Modified dataframe after outliers removal
sns.lineplot(x='Age',y='Income', data=df_scopy, hue='Product')
plt.show()
```

### Observations:

- Here we can clearly see that, most of the buyers who have income greater than 80K, prefers to buy product KP781 with advanced features.
- Also, as the second graph without income outliers, we aren't getting any significant disturbances expect the higher income group, hence it's benefetial to keep outliers i.e first (df) for further inferences.

In [178]:

```
sns.barplot(x='Age Groups', y='Income',hue='Product', data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [179]:

```
sns.countplot(x='MaritalStatus',
             hue='Unit Product Price',
             data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [180]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Gender',
             hue='Unit Product Price',
             data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [181]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Usage',
             hue='Product',
             data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [182]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Usage',
              hue='Gender',
              data=df)
plt.show()
```

### Observations and Inferences:

- From above countplot for Usage , we can clearly see that, as the no. of usage per week of a customer increases (goes beyond 3), then only there's a demand of treadmill with advanced features and highest cost(KP781-> USD 2500) which implies that if a customer is serious and is regular in running, then only he/she prefer purchasing advanced treadmill
- As the seriousness / regularity in terms of usage per week of the customer increases, they prefer treadmill with advanced features rather than low and middle range product. Which implies, Aerofit, should focus selling more advance range products to the serious customers i.e target audience should be (gym freaks, health coaches, yoga coaches, fitness enthusiast, etc)

In [183]:

```
sns.boxplot(x='Usage',
            y = 'Income',
            hue='Product',
            data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [184]:

```
sns.boxplot(x='Usage',
            y = 'Miles',
            hue='Product',
            data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [185]:

```
sns.boxplot(x='Fitness',
            y = 'Age',
            hue='Product',
            data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [186]:

```
pd.crosstab(df['Education'], df['Product']).plot(kind='bar')
plt.show()
```

### Inferences:

- **The sales team should focus the high range product's marketing to males who are married and have higher income than 50k and who uses the product more than or equal to 4 times in a week and who have education more than or equal to 16 years**(This should be the target audience for KP781)

# Creating customer Profile using conditional and marginal probabilities

In [187]:

```
df.groupby(by='Product')['Age'].mean() ##Average age of buying product models
```

Out[187]:

```
Product
KP281    28.55
KP481    28.90
KP781    29.10
Name: Age, dtype: float64
```

In [188]:

```
df.groupby('Product')['Income'].mean() ##Average income of buying each model
```

Out[188]:

```
Product
KP281    46418.025
KP481    48973.650
KP781    75441.575
Name: Income, dtype: float64
```

In [189]:

```
print(df.groupby('Product')['Gender'].value_counts().sort_index()) ## models bought by diff
```

```
Product  Gender
KP281    Female    40
         Male      40
KP481    Female    29
         Male      31
KP781    Female     7
         Male      33
Name: Gender, dtype: int64
```

## MARGINAL PROBABILITIES

1. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are either female or male buying any of the three products:

In [190]:

```
pd.crosstab(index=df['Gender'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True)
```

Out[190]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Gender				
Female	40	29	7	76
Male	40	31	33	104
All	80	60	40	180

In [191]:

```
marg_prob1 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['Gender'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True,normal  
marg_prob1
```

Out[191]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Gender				
Female	22.22	16.11	3.89	42.22
Male	22.22	17.22	18.33	57.78
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00

In [192]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Product',hue='Gender',data=df)  
plt.show()
```

2. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who usages (from twice a week to 7 times a week) buying any of the three products:

In [193]:

```
marg_prob2 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['Usage'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True,normali
marg_prob2
```

Out[193]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Usage				
2	10.56	7.78	0.00	18.33
3	20.56	17.22	0.56	38.33
4	12.22	6.67	10.00	28.89
5	1.11	1.67	6.67	9.44
6	0.00	0.00	3.89	3.89
7	0.00	0.00	1.11	1.11
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00

In [194]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Product',hue='Usage',data=df)
plt.show()
```

#### Observations:

- High cost/advanced featured KP781 product usage is more among people who are buying it. So, it's a win - win situation for the company to focus on the target audience - (to **MALES** who are **MARRIED** and have **higher income than 50k** and who uses the product more than or equal to **4 times in a week(usage)** and who have **education more than or equal to 16 years**)

3. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are in the age groups(15-64) buying any of the three products:

In [195]:

```
marg_prob3 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['Age Groups'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True,no
marg_prob3
```

Out[195]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Age Groups				
15-24	15.00	9.44	5.56	30.00
25-40	26.11	22.78	14.44	63.33
41-64	3.33	1.11	2.22	6.67
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00

In [196]:

```
df['Age Groups'].value_counts().plot(kind = 'pie',autopct='%.2f')
plt.show()
```

4. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are either married or single and buying any of the three products:

In [197]:

```
marg_prob4 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['MaritalStatus'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True
marg_prob4
```

Out[197]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
MaritalStatus				
Partnered	26.67	20.00	12.78	59.44
Single	17.78	13.33	9.44	40.56
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00

In [198]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Product',hue='MaritalStatus',data=df)
plt.show()
```

5. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who having education in years (from 12yrs to 21yrs ) and buying any of the three products:

In [199]:

```
marg_prob5 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['Education'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True,nor  
marg_prob5
```

Out[199]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Education				
12	1.11	0.56	0.00	1.67
13	1.67	1.11	0.00	2.78
14	16.67	12.78	1.11	30.56
15	2.22	0.56	0.00	2.78
16	21.67	17.22	8.33	47.22
18	1.11	1.11	10.56	12.78
20	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.56
21	0.00	0.00	1.67	1.67
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00

In [200]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Product',hue='Education',data=df)  
plt.show()
```

6. MARGINAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who having income in range (29000 - 40000 as Low Income, 40000 - 60000 as Moderate Income,60000- 80000 as High Income,80000 -105000 as Very High Income) and buying any of the three products:

In [201]:

```
marg_prob6 = round(pd.crosstab(index=df['Income Groups'],columns=df['Product'],margins=True  
marg_prob6
```

Out[201]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Income Groups				
Low Income	12.78	5.00	0.00	17.78
Moderate Income	28.33	24.44	6.11	58.89
High Income	3.33	3.89	5.56	12.78
Very High Income	0.00	0.00	10.56	10.56
All	44.44	33.33	22.22	100.00



In [202]:

```
sns.countplot(x='Product',hue='Income Groups',data=df)
plt.show()
```

## CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES

1. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are either female or male buying any of the three products:

In [203]:

```
cond_prob1 = pd.crosstab(df['Gender'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x : round(x, 1))
cond_prob1
```

Out[203]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Gender				
Female	22.0	16.0	4.0	42.0
Male	22.0	17.0	18.0	58.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

- 2.CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers whose usages (from twice a week to 7 times a week) buying any of the three products:

In [204]:

```
cond_prob2 = pd.crosstab(df['Usage'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x : round(x, 1))
cond_prob2
```

Out[204]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Usage				
2	11.0	8.0	0.0	18.0
3	21.0	17.0	1.0	38.0
4	12.0	7.0	10.0	29.0
5	1.0	2.0	7.0	9.0
6	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0
7	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

3. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are in the age groups(15-64) buying any of the three products:

In [205]:

```
cond_prob3 = pd.crosstab(df['Age Groups'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x :  
cond_prob3
```

Out[205]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Age Groups				
15-24	15.0	9.0	6.0	30.0
25-40	26.0	23.0	14.0	63.0
41-64	3.0	1.0	2.0	7.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

4.CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who are either married or single and buying any of the three products:

In [206]:

```
cond_prob4 = pd.crosstab(df['Age Groups'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x :  
cond_prob4
```

Out[206]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Age Groups				
15-24	15.0	9.0	6.0	30.0
25-40	26.0	23.0	14.0	63.0
41-64	3.0	1.0	2.0	7.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

5. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who having education in years (from 12yrs to 21yrs ) and buying any of the three products:

In [207]:

```
cond_prob5 = pd.crosstab(df['Education'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x : r
cond_prob5
```

Out[207]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Education				
12	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0
13	2.0	1.0	0.0	3.0
14	17.0	13.0	1.0	31.0
15	2.0	1.0	0.0	3.0
16	22.0	17.0	8.0	47.0
18	1.0	1.0	11.0	13.0
20	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
21	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

6. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES of the customers who having income in range (29000 - 40000 as Low Income, 40000 - 60000 as Moderate Income, 60000- 80000 as High Income, 80000 -105000 as Very High Income) and buying any of the three products:

In [208]:

```
cond_prob6 = pd.crosstab(df['Income Groups'], df['Product'], margins = True).apply(lambda x : r
cond_prob6
```

Out[208]:

Product	KP281	KP481	KP781	All
Income Groups				
Low Income	13.0	5.0	0.0	18.0
Moderate Income	28.0	24.0	6.0	59.0
High Income	3.0	4.0	6.0	13.0
Very High Income	0.0	0.0	11.0	11.0
All	44.0	33.0	22.0	100.0

### Observations and Inferences:

- From the customer profiling using marginal probability and conditional probability, we can easily get all the stats in percentage - like we can say that there are no one in the very high income group who is willing to purchase KP781.

# Checking correlation among different features

In [209]:

```
df.corr()
```

Out[209]:

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles	Unit Product Price
Age	1.000000	0.280496	0.015064	0.061105	0.513414	0.036618	0.029263
Education	0.280496	1.000000	0.395155	0.410581	0.625827	0.307284	0.563463
Usage	0.015064	0.395155	1.000000	0.668606	0.519537	0.759130	0.623124
Fitness	0.061105	0.410581	0.668606	1.000000	0.535005	0.785702	0.696616
Income	0.513414	0.625827	0.519537	0.535005	1.000000	0.543473	0.695847
Miles	0.036618	0.307284	0.759130	0.785702	0.543473	1.000000	0.643923
Unit Product Price	0.029263	0.563463	0.623124	0.696616	0.695847	0.643923	1.000000

In [210]:

```
plt.figure(figsize=(10,10))
sns.heatmap(df.corr(),annot=True,linewidths=0.3, linecolor='white')
plt.show()
```

## Inferences:

- Age, Education, Usage, Fitness & Miles has significant correlation with Income and vice versa.
- Usage and Fitness are highly correlated with Miles and vice versa.

In [211]:

```
#Quick overview of the data
```

```
sns.set_style('white')
sns.pairplot(df, hue='Product')
plt.show()
```

## Observations:

- KP281 model is the most purchased model (44.4%) then KP481 (33.3%).
- KP781 is the least sold model (22.2%).
- There are more Male customers (57.8%) than Female customers (42.2%).
- Average Usage of Males is more than Average usage of Females.
- Customers buying treadmill are younger and average age of customer is 28.
- Most of the customers earns less than 70K and prefer KP281 & KP481 models.
- 59.4% of the customers who purchased treadmill are partnered.
- Customers average education is 16.

# Multivariate Analysis

In [212]:

```
sns.catplot(x='Usage', y='Income', col='Gender', hue='Product', kind="bar", data=df)
plt.show()
```

- Customers having lower income range (<60K) prefer to buy models KP281 & KP481 and expect to use treadmill 2-5 times/week.
- Mostly Higher earning customers bought KP781 and expect to use treadmill 4-6 times/week.

In [213]:

```
sns.catplot(x='Gender', y='Income', hue='Product', col='MaritalStatus', data=df, kind='bar')
plt.show()
```

In [214]:

```
pd.crosstab(index=df['Product'], columns=[df['MaritalStatus'], df['Gender']])
```

Out[214]:

MaritalStatus	Partnered		Single	
Gender	Female	Male	Female	Male
Product				
KP281	27	21	13	19
KP481	15	21	14	10
KP781	4	19	3	14

- Partnered Female bought KP281 Model compared to Partnered male.
- Partnered Male customers bought KP481 & KP781 models more than Single Male customers.
- Single Female customers bought KP481 model more than Single male customers.
- Single Male customers bought KP281 & KP781 models compared to Single females.
- The majority of treadmill buyers are men.

In [215]:

```
##sns.histplot
#Some information on how many miles are run per week or per session:

'''
df['Miles per session'] = df['Miles']/df['Usage']
sns.histplot(x='Miles per session', data=df, hue = 'Product')
plt.axvline(np.mean(df['Miles per session']), color='r', linestyle='--')
plt.xlabel('Miles per session')
plt.show()
'''
```

Out[215]:

```
"\ndf['Miles per session'] = df['Miles']/df['Usage']\nnsns.histplot(x='Miles per session', data=df, hue = 'Product')\nplt.axvline(np.mean(df['Miles per session']), color='r', linestyle='--')\nplt.xlabel('Miles per session')\nplt.show()\n"
```

- KP481 is used for longer sessions
- KP281 is used for shorter or moderate sessions

In [216]:

```
##sns.histplot
'''
sns.histplot(x='Miles',data=df,hue='Product',multiple='dodge')
plt.axvline(np.mean(df['Miles']),color='r',linestyle='--')
plt.xlabel('Miles per week')
plt.show()
'''
```

Out[216]:

```
"\nsns.histplot(x='Miles',data=df,hue='Product',multiple='dodge')\nplt.axvli
ne(np.mean(df['Miles']),color='r',linestyle='--')\nplt.xlabel('Miles per wee
k')\nplt.show()\n"
```

In [217]:

```
##sns.histplot
'''
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=[10,5])
sns.histplot(x='Product',data=df,hue='Fitness',alpha=0.5, element='bars',stat='density',mul
plt.ylabel('Normalized count')
plt.show()
'''
```

Out[217]:

```
"\nfig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=[10,5])\nsns.histplot(x='Product',data=df,
hue='Fitness',alpha=0.5, element='bars',stat='density',multiple='dodge')\npl
t.ylabel('Normalized count')\nplt.show()\n"
```

- Education level is directly correlated with income as highlighted in the pairplot and correlation heatmap above, so highly educated individuals are more likely to purchase the more expensive model

In [218]:

```
sns.stripplot(x='Product',y='Education',data=df)
plt.show()
```

In [219]:

```
sns.stripplot(x='Product',y='Income',data=df)
plt.show()
```

## Final Observations and Inferences

- Total sales for Aerofit treadmills of unit price USD 1500(KP281) is USD 120000, USD 1750(KP481) is USD 105000, USD 2500(KP781) is USD 100000
- KP781 Treadmill with advanced features is preferred by the customers with higher income.

- KP281 Treadmill with the lowest cost and basic features is preferred by the customers with lower income and the KP481 product with moderate features are liked by the customers with upper bracket of low - moderate income group.
- KP281 model is the most purchased model (44.4%) then KP481 (33.3%).
- KP781 is the least sold model (22.2%).
- There are more Male customers (57.8%) than Female customers (42.2%).
- Average Usage of Males is more than Average usage of Females.
- Customers buying treadmill are younger and average age of customer is 28.
- Most of the customers earns less than 70K and prefer KP281 & KP481 models.
- 59.4% of the customers who purchased treadmill are partnered.
- Customers average education is 16.
- Most customers have income less than 70k.
- Customers run on an average 80 miles per week.
- There aren't any significant outliers for Bivariate Analysis of Products and the Income of customers purchasing those products. So no need for outlier removal here.
- After outlier removal for income, 19 rows are deleted and in order to draw some insights from the original data in future, stored the modified data in its shallow copy - **df\_scopy**
- In the boxplot, we can clearly see that most of the outliers are removed and the data is now ready for further analysis and inferences.
- After dealing with outliers, we can infer that, there's a 7.31% correction in the Income data after removing outliers as we can see that the difference in the mean and median has decreased from 3123 to 228. Hence the new dataframe i.e **df\_scopy** is more suitable for carrying further analysis w.r.t income and gender related cases.
- Most of the buyers who have income greater than 80K, prefers to buy product KP781 with advanced features.
- **Significant no. of Outliers (higher end) are present** as there are very few persons who earn >80k. This makes us mandatory to handle outliers which has been taken care in the first case. Shallow copy of our dataframe(**ds\_scopy**) consists of modified data after dealing with outliers.
- Also, After further analysis, we got to know that, as the **with dataframe without income outliers, we aren't getting any significant disturbances expect the higher income group, hence it's beneficial to keep outliers i.e first (df) for further inferences as if we use df\_scopy, then it might lead us to falsification of data due to data deletion**
- Customers having lower income range (<60K) prefer to buy models KP281 & KP481 and expect to use treadmill 2-5 times/week.
- Mostly Higher earning customers bought KP781 and expect to use treadmill 4-6 times/week.

#### Inferences with Customer Profiles :

- The target audience for KP781 Treadmill should be the higher income group. So the sales team must focus on this range.
- **The sales team should focus the high range product's marketing to males who are married and have higher income than 50k and who uses the product more than or equal to 4 times in a week and who have education more than or equal to 16 years**(This should be the target audience for KP781)
- High cost/advanced featured KP781 product usage is more among people who are buying it. So, it's a win-win situation for the company to focus on the target audience - (to **MALES** who are **MARRIED** and have **higher income than 50k** and who uses the product more than or equal to **4 times in a week(usage)** and who have **education more than or equal to 16 years**)
- Education level is directly correlated with income as highlighted in the pairplot and correlation heatmap above, so highly educated individuals are more likely to purchase the more expensive model. The sales team should focus on this aspect.

Business Recommendation for KP481:

- Among the low to moderate income groups, KP481 is more preferred over KP281 in terms of usage per week i.e 2-4 times/week. If the salesman, gets such insights from the customers willing to purchase treadmills, he/she should definitely pitch in the moderate range (KP481) product. If the insights are w.r.t fitness and the person is moderately fit (2-4), then also, the salesman should pitch in for KP481 as it's most appealing as it has more features than basic one and less expensive than the advance one. The overall sales should focus on how to increase the market cap of this moderate ranged product so that the company will earn more rather than focusing on the basic one. This is evident from the calculations of total units sold and revenue earned by company for KP481 is USD 105000, which is nearly equal to (KP781) whose revenue is USD 100000, given the units sold for KP481 are 20 more than KP781. So to conclude, the target for the company should be to increase the overall percentage for KP481 and make it highest selling product and with the given statistics, it's bound to boost the income for Aerofit in long run.

## 1. KP281

- Customers who bought this treadmill have income less than 60k with an average of 55K.
- This model has same level of popularity in Male customers as well as Female customers as it has same numbers of Male and Female customers.
- Average age of customer who purchases KP281 is 28.5.
- This model is popular among Bachelors as average years of education of customers for this product is 15.
- Self rate fitness level of customer is average.
- Customers expect to use this treadmill 3-4 times a week.
- It is the most popular model (in all genders) because of its appealing price and affordability with 33.3% of sales.
- Customers who bought this treadmill want fitness level atleast average and maybe they were looking for a basic treadmill with appealing price that also does the job.

## 2. KP481

- This model is second most sold model with 33.3% of sales.
- Customers with lower income purchase KP281 and KP481 model may be because of lower cost of the Treadmill.
- Average age of customer who purchases KP481 is 29.
- This model is popular among Bachelors as average years of education of customers for this product is 16.
- Customers expecting KP481 model to use less frequently but to run more miles per week on this.
- This model is popular more in Single Female customers compare to Single male customers may be because of difference in provided features or color scheme.

## 3. KP781

- This is the least sold product(22.2% sales) in company lineup of Treadmill may be because of its hefty price range making it Company's Premium product.
- This model is popular with customers having high income range as average Income is 75K .
- Average age of customer who purchases KP781 is 29.
- This model is popular among Customers with higher education as average education is 17 years.
- Treadmill may have some advanced features as people with high income are ready to spend money to buy this model
- Customers expected usage on this model is 4-5 day a week with moderate Miles to run having average 166 miles per week.
- Male customers who are more serious about fitness or Professionals buy this mode (self fitness rating 3-5).
- From the customer profiling using marginal probability and conditional probability, we can easily get all the stats in percentage - like we can say that there are no one in the very high income group who is willing to purchase KP781.



To conclude, we can get complete profile report by using Pandas inbuilt function called **ProfileReport**

In [220]:

```
#ProfileReport(df)
```