

Capstone Project-4

Zomato Restaurant Clustering and Sentiment Analysis

By Usha Rani Bolimera

Data Science Trainee, Almabetter

Contents

Al

- 1. Problem statement
- 2. Data summary
- 3. EDA
- 4. Feature engineering
- 5. NLP operations
- 6. Sentiment Analysis
- 7. Machine learning models
- 8. Clustering
- 9. Model validation
- 10. Conclusion



Problem statement

The Project focuses on analyzing the Zomato restaurant data. You have to analyze the sentiments of the reviews given by the customer in the data and made some useful conclusion in the form of Visualizations. Also, cluster the Zomato restaurants into different segments.

The Analysis also solves some of the business cases that can directly help the customers finding the Best restaurant in their locality and for the company to grow up and work on the fields they are currently lagging in.

This could help in clustering the restaurants into segments. Also the data has valuable information around cuisine and costing which can be used in cost vs. benefit analysis Data could be used for sentiment analysis. Also the metadata of reviewers can be used for identifying the critics in the industry.



Data summary

Zomato Restaurant names and Metadata

1. Name: Name of Restaurants

2. **Links**: URL Links of Restaurants

3. Cost: Per person estimated Cost of dining

4. **Collection**: Tagging of Restaurants w.r.t.

Zomato categories

5. **Cuisines**: Cuisines served by Restaurants

6. **Timings**: Restaurant Timings

Zomato Restaurant Reviews

1. **Restaurant**: Name of the Restaurant

2. Reviewer: Name of the Reviewer

3. **Review**: Review Text

4. Rating: Rating Provided by Reviewer

5. MetaData: Reviewer Metadata - No. of

Reviews and followers


6. **Time**: Date and Time of Review

7. **Pictures**: No. of pictures posted with

review




Exploratory Data Analysis



 Finding out the most expensive and most affordable restaurants can help a lot according to different pocket sizes






Word cloud for expensive restaurants


Word cloud for affordable restaurants



Most cuisines served





Most cuisines served word cloud



North-Indian being the most served cuisines followed by the Indian Chinese.









- · Ratings with 5 have more in count
- Ankita has reviewed more compared to the others



TOP average rating by the reviewers



Reviews per hour




- Kiran is the most satisfied customer it seems as he has nearly 5 star rating average
- Reviews are high at the time of 22.00 hrs



Sentiment Analysis

 After completing the necessary text processing part, which contained removing punctuation, Removing stop words & Lemmatization, we move towards Sentiment Analysis




The subjectivity column that showcases the sentiment is visualized above, where
lite purple being *Positive*, red being *Negative* and green being *Neutral*.



Models performed

- Multinomial Naive Bayes
- Random Forest Classifier
- XGB Classifier
- Support Vector Classifier





Models performance

Multinomial Naive Bayes

Random Forest Classifier

The classif	ication	report	on the	train data	is:
	prec	ision	recall	f1-score	support
	0	1.00	0.82	0.90	2461
	1	0.06	1.00	0.11	28
accurac	У			0.82	2489
macro av	g	0.53	0.91	0.51	2489
weighted av	σ	9.99	9.82	9.89	2489

is:	train data	on the	ation report	The classification
support	f1-score	recall	precision	
2487	0.90	0.81	1.00	0
2	0.01	1.00	0.00	1
2489	0.81			accuracy
2489	0.45	0.91	0.50	macro avg
2489	9.99	9.81	1.00	weighted avg

Train accuracy is: 0.8365706630944407 Test accuracy is: 0.823222177581358 Train accuracy is: 0.8171466845277964 Test accuracy is: 0.8127762153475291



Models performance

XGB Classifier

Support Vector Classifier

The classifi	cation report	on the ti	rain data	is:
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.95	0.96	2071
1	0.76	0.86	0.81	418
accuracy			0.93	2489
macro avg	0.87	0.90	0.88	2489
weighted avg	0.94	0.93	0.93	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.9954454119223041 Test accuracy is: 0.9316994777018883


The	classificat	ion report	on the t	rain data	is:
	р	recision	recall	f1-score	support
	0	0.99	0.93	0.96	2145
	1	0.69	0.93	0.79	344
	accuracy			0.93	2489
r	macro avg	0.84	0.93	0.87	2489
weig	ghted avg	0.95	0.93	0.94	2489


Train accuracy is: 0.9966510381781648 Test accuracy is: 0.9316994777018883



Clustering

K-Means Clustering







- According to the elbow curve we should have
 5 clusters for the best results
- 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost



Clustering(contd)

PCA - Principal Component Analysis





- According to the elbow curve we should have
 5 clusters for the best results using PCA.
- 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost using PCA



Top 3 Cuisines in 5 clusters K-Means

```
Top cuisines in cluster 0
 northindian
                16
chinese
fastfood
dtype: int64
Top cuisines in cluster 1
 northindian
                11
continental
asian
dtype: int64
Top cuisines in cluster 2
 northindian
                18
chinese
               18
biryani
               11
dtype: int64
```

```
Top cuisines in cluster 3
asian
italian
continental
dtype: int64
Top cuisines in cluster 4
northindian
               14
chinese
italian
dtype: int64
```



Model Validation

- As it is clear form the validation table that both XGB and SVM (Classifier) are working exceptionally well than other models.
- So we can choose between any one of them for the production

	Model_Name	Training_accuracy	Test_accuracy
0	MultinomialNB	0.8397	0.8264
1	Random Forest	0.8176	0.8123
2	XGB	0.9880	0.9280
3	Support Vector Machine	0.9900	0.9212



Conclusion

- The most popular cuisines are the cuisines which most of the restaurants are willing to provide. The most popular cuisines in Hyderabad are North Indian, Chinese, Continental, and Hyderabadi.
- The cheapest is the food joint called Mohammedia Shawarma and the costliest restaurant is Collage Hyatt Hyderabad Gachibowli.
- Sentiment Analysis was done on the reviews and a model was trained in order to identify negative and positive sentiments.
- SVM and XGB both performed well and we can choose any one them.
- SVM and XGB are having 0.921 and 0.981 of testing accuracy respectively.
- We got best cluster as 5 in K-Means and Principal Component Analysis (PCA).



References

- 1. Machine Learning Mastery
- 2. GeeksforGeeks
- 3. Analytics Vidhya Blogs
- 4. Towards Data Science Blogs
- 5. Built in Data Science Blogs
- 6. Scikit- Learn Org



Thank you