

Introduction to Machine Learning

Extending Linear Regression

Varun Chandola

February 24, 2020

Outline

Contents

1	Shortcomings of Linear Models	1
2	Handling Non-linear Relationships	2
2.1	Handling Overfitting via Regularization	2
2.2	Elastic Net Regularization	4
3	Handling Outliers in Regression	4

1 Shortcomings of Linear Models

1. Susceptible to outliers
2. *Too simplistic* - Underfitting
3. No way to control overfitting
4. Unstable in presence of correlated input attributes
5. Gets “confused” by unnecessary attributes

Biggest Issue with Linear Models

- They are linear!!
- Real-world is usually non-linear
- How do learn non-linear fits or non-linear decision boundaries?
 - Basis function expansion
 - Kernel methods (*will discuss this later*)

2 Handling Non-linear Relationships

- Replace \mathbf{x} with non-linear functions $\phi(\mathbf{x})$

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}))$$

- Model is still linear in \mathbf{w}
- Also known as **basis function expansion**

Example 1.

$$\phi(x) = [1, x, x^2, \dots, x^p]$$

- Increasing p results in more complex fits

2.1 Handling Overfitting via Regularization

How to Control Overfitting?

- Use simpler models (linear instead of polynomial)
 - Might have poor results (underfitting)
- Use regularized complex models

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) + \lambda R(\boldsymbol{\Theta})$$

- $R()$ corresponds to the penalty paid for complexity of the model

l_2 Regularization

Ridge Regression

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

- Helps in reducing impact of correlated inputs

Exact Loss Function

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Ridge Estimate of \mathbf{w}

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{Ridge} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_D)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

The above derivation can be easily done by reusing the result from linear regression, where we calculated the gradient of the un-regularized loss function, which was the above term without the regularization parameter. Using the result that:

$$\frac{d}{d\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = 2\mathbf{w}$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}$$

Setting above to 0 and solving for \mathbf{w} gives us the above result.

Using Gradient Descent with Ridge Regression

- Very similar to OLE
- Minimize the squared loss using *Gradient Descent*

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{d}{d\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{d}{d\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &= \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Using the above result, one can perform repeated updates of the weights:

$$\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{w} - \eta \nabla J(\mathbf{w})$$

l_1 Regularization

Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator - LASSO

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda |\mathbf{w}|$$

- Helps in feature selection – favors sparse solutions
- Optimization is not as straightforward as in Ridge regression
 - Gradient not defined for $w_i = 0, \forall i$

2.2 Elastic Net Regularization

LASSO vs. Ridge

- Both control overfitting
- Ridge helps reduce impact of correlated inputs, LASSO helps in feature selection

Elastic Net Regularization

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda_1 |\mathbf{w}| + \lambda_2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

- The best of both worlds
- Again, optimizing for \mathbf{w} is not straightforward

3 Handling Outliers in Regression

- Linear regression training gets impacted by the presence of outliers
- The square term in the exponent of the Gaussian pdf is the culprit
 - Equivalent to the square term in the loss
- How to handle this (*Robust Regression*)?
 - *Least absolute deviations* instead of least squares

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}|$$

References