Concurrency and threads


Definition

- Concurrency is the composition of independently executing tasks
 - Tasks can start, run, complete in overlapping time periods
- Opposite to sequential execution

T1	T2

Process concurrency

- Decompose complex problems into simple(r) ones
- Make each simple one a process
- Resulting processes run *concurrently*



Example

- By default, gcc runs compilation tools sequentially, using intermediary files
- With option -pipe, gcc runs cpp | cc1 | as | ld
 - Tools run concurrently as independent but cooperating processes


Types of concurrency

- Example of sequential execution
 - CPU and I/O bursts

CPU burst I/O burst T2

CPU virtualization

Processes interleaved on same CPU




I/O concurrency

- I/O bursts overlapped with CPU bursts
- Each task runs almost as fast as if it had its own computer
- Total completion time reduced



CPU parallelism

- Requires multiple CPUs
- Processes running *simultaneously*
- Speedup



Parallelism (short digression)


Real-life example

Parallelism is common in real life

- A single sales employee sells \$1M
- Expectation that hiring another sales employee will generate \$2M
 - Ideal speedup of 2

Seepdup

- Speedup can be *linear*
- More often sublinear
 - Bottleneck in sharing resources, coordination overhead, etc.
- Quite rarely superlinear
 - o Caching effect, different algorithms




Process concurrency limitations

- Quite heavy for the OS to fork a process
 - Duplication of resources (address space, environment, execution flow)
- Slow context switch
 - E.g., some processor caches not shared between processes
- Difficulty to communicate between processes
 - Only IPCs, which all necessitate kernel intervention (syscalls)

Idea


- Eliminate duplication of the address space and most of the environment
- Place concurrent computations within the same address space



Definition

- One or more threads per process (i.e., per memory address space)
- Single execution sequence that represents a separately schedulable task
 - Familiar programming model (sequential instruction of instructions)
 - o Thread can be run or suspended at any time, independently from another
- Also known as *lightweight process or task*

Example



```
int main(void) {
    statements;
    ...
    thread_create(threadA);
    thread_create(threadB);
    ...
}

void threadA(void) {
    statements;
    ...
}

void threadB(void) {
    statements;
    ...
}
```

Rationale

Problem structure

- We think linearly
- But the world is concurrent

Responsiveness

- One thread to maintain quick response with user
- Other thread(s) to execute longer tasks in the background, or block on I/O

Faster execution


- Threads scheduled across different processors in a multi-processor system
- Achieve true parallelism

Sharing and communication

- No need for heavy IPCs
- Use of shared memory


Example 1: Web server Monothreaded process

```
while (1) {
    uri = get_uri_from_req();
    data = read_from_disk(uri);
    resp = create_response(data);
    send_response(resp);
}
```



Multithreaded process

```
void webserver(void) {
    while (1) {
        uri = get_uri_from_req();
        data = read_from_disk(uri);
        resp = create_response(data);
        send_response(resp);
    }
}
int main(void) {
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
        thread_create(webserver);
}</pre>
```



Example 2: Array computation

• Assuming a dual-processor system...

Monothreaded process

```
int a[n], b[n], c[n];
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    a[i] = b[i] * c[i];</pre>
```

```
CPU #1

| a[0] = b[0]*c[0];
| a[1] = b[1]*c[1];
| ...
| a[n-1] = b[n-1]*c[n-1];
```

Multi-threaded process

```
void do_mult(int p, int m) {
    for (i = p; i < m; i++)
        a[i] = b[i] * c[i];
}
int main(void) {
    thread_create(do_mult, 0, n/2);
    thread_create(do_mult, n/2, n);
    ...
}</pre>
```

```
CPU #2

| a[n/2] = b[n/2]*c[n/2];
| ...
| ...
| a[n-1] = b[n-1]*c[n-1];
```

- Parallel computation
- Not achievable by process forking

Execution context

- Threads have the exclusive use of the processor registers while executing
- Threads each have their own stacks
 - But no memory protection
- When a thread is preempted, the registers are saved as part of its state
 - The next thread gets to use the processor registers

Process environment

- All threads of a process share the same environment
 - Current working directory
 - o User ID, Group ID
 - File descriptors
 - o Etc.

```
void thread(void) {
    printf("hello\n");
    chdir("/");
}
int main(void) {
    char dir[PATH_MAX];
    ...
    dup2(STDOUT_FILENO, fd[1]);
    thread_create(thread);
    ...
    printf("%s", getcwd(dir, PATH_MAX));
    ...
}
```

Address space

- All process data can be accessed by any thread
 - Particularly global variables
 - Heap is also be shared (via pointers)


```
int global, *a;

void thread(int arg) {
    int var = global + arg;
    *a = var;
}

int main(void) {
    global = 42;
    a = malloc(sizeof(int));
    thread_create(thread, 23);
    thread_create(thread, 42);
    ...
}
```

Metadata structures

- Process Control Block (PCB)
 - Process-specific information
 - PID, Owner, priority, current working directory, active thread, pointers to thread control blocks, etc.
- Thread Control Block (TCB)
 - Thread-specific information
 - Stack pointer, PC, thread state, register values, pointer to PCB, etc.



Differences between threads and processes

Thread

- Has **no** code or data segment or heap of its own. Only has its own stack and set of registers.
- Cannot live on its own: must live within a process. There can be more than one thread in a process the original thread calls main() and retains the process's initial stack.
- If it dies, its stack is reclaimed.
- Depending on implementation, each thread can run on a different physical processor.
- Communication between threads via implicit shared address space.
- Inexpensive creation and context switch.

Process

- Has code/data/heap and other segments of its own. Also has its own registers.
- There must be at least one thread in a process. The thread that executes main() and uses the process's stack.
- If it dies, its resources are reclaimed and all its internal threads die as well.
- Each process can run on a different physical processor.
- Communication between processes through kernel-managed IPCs
- More expensive creation and context switch.

ECS 150 - Concurrency and threads

Prof. Joël Porquet-Lupine


UC Davis - 2020/2021




Recap

Concurrency

Composition of independently executing tasks



• Opposite to *sequential* execution




Parallelism

- Specific type of concurrency
- Requires multiple CPUs



Processes vs threads

- Process concurrency has limitations
 - o Slow context switch, difficult to communicate
- Concurrent threads within same process
 - Easier communication, parallel computation



API

Exact API varies depending on OS/library (e.g., POSIX pthreads)

```
/* Thread function prototype */
typedef void (*func_t)(void *arg);

/* Create new thread and return its TID */
thread_t thread_create(func_t func, void *arg);

/* Wait for thread @tid and retrieve exit value */
int thread_join(thread_t tid, int *ret);

/* Yield to next available thread */
void thread_yield(void);


/* Exit and return exit value */
void thread_exit(int);
```

Implementation models


- Kernel-level threads (one-to-one)
- User-level threads (many-to-one)

Kernel-level threads (one-to-one)


- Kernel-level threads are threads which the OS knows about
 - Every process is composed of a least one kernel-level thread (main())
- Kernel manages and schedules threads (along with processes)
 - System calls to create, destroy, synchronize threads
 - E.g., clone() syscall on Linux
- Switching between threads of same process requires a light context switch
 - Values of CPU registers, PC and SP must be switched
 - o Memory protection remains since threads share the same address space



Single-threaded processes




Multi-threaded processes




Context switch procedure

- Thread A stops running
 - o That is, blocks (I/O), is interrupted (timer), or voluntarily yields (syscall)
 - Mode switch to kernel mode
- OS chooses new thread B to run
- OS switches from A to B
 - Saves thread A's state (save processor registers to A's TCB)
 - Restore new thread *B*'s state (restore processor registers from *B*'s TCB)
- OS returns to thread B
 - Mode switch to user mode
- New thread *B* is running




User-level threads (many-to-one)

- User-level threads are threads which the OS does not know about
 - o OS only knows and schedules processes, not threads within processes
- Programmer uses a dedicated *thread library* to manage threads
 - Functions to create, destroy, synchronize threads
 - User-level code can define scheduling policy
- Switching between threads doesn't involve a (kernel-managed) context switch




Multi-threaded processes at user-level



Context switch procedure (sort of)

- Thread *A* is running
- Thread *A* is interrupted (by a signal), or voluntarily yields (function call)
- Library picks new thread *B* to run
- Library saves thread A's state (to A's custom TCB)
- Library restores thread *B*'s state (from *B*'s custom TCB)
- New thread *B* is running



Pitfall

• Whole process is blocked if one thread blocks on I/O

Differences between kernel- vs user-level threads

Kernel-level thread

Pros

- Blocking system calls suspend the calling thread only (I/O)
- Threads can run simultaneously on a multiprocessor system
- Signals can usually delivered to specific threads
- Used by existing systems, e.g. Linux

Cons

• Can be heavy, not as flexible

User-level thread

Pros


- Really fast to create and switch between threads (no system calls or full context switches necessary)
 - May be an order of magnitude faster
- Customizable scheduler

Cons

- All threads of process are blocked on system calls
 - Can use non-blocking versions, if they exist
- Customizable scheduler

Why you can have millions of Goroutines but only thousands of Java Threads


One abstraction, many flavors



1. Single-threaded processes


- Traditional application model
- Mapping 1:1 with kernel-level threads
- 2. Multi-threaded processes with user-level threads
- 3. Multi-threaded processes with kernel-level threads
- 4. In-kernel threads (aka *kernel threads*)

One abstraction, many flavors




- 1. Single-threaded processes
- 2. Multi-threaded processes with user-level threads
 - Threads are managed in userspace
 - Mapping M:1 with kernel-level threads
 - Thread management through function calls
 - Scheduled by user-space library scheduler
 - TCBs in user-space library data structures
- 3. Multi-threaded processes with kernel-level threads
- 4. In-kernel threads (aka *kernel threads*)

One abstraction, many flavors




- 1. Single-threaded processes
- 2. Multi-threaded processes with user-level threads
- 3. Multi-threaded processes with kernel-level threads
 - Threads are managed by OS
 - Thread management through system calls
 - TCBs and PCBs in kernel
 - Scheduled by kernel scheduler
- 4. In-kernel threads (aka *kernel threads*)

One abstraction, many flavors



User-Level Processes



- 1. Single-threaded processes
- 2. Multi-threaded processes with user-level threads
- 3. Multi-threaded processes with kernel-level threads
- 4. In-kernel threads (aka *kernel threads*)
 - The kernel itself can be multithreaded
 - E.g., idle thread, thread migration, OOM reaper, disk writeback, etc.

One more flavor: cooperative vs preemptive


Cooperative

- Threads run until they yield control to another thread (aka *fibers*)
 - The action of yielding is in the code itself
- Better control of scheduling
- Simpler reasoning about shared resources
- Can lead to potential starvation on mono-core machines
- Need to reason further for multi-core machines

Preemptive

- Threads are frequently interrupted and forced to yield
- Certain guarantee of fairness
- Scheduling is not deterministic
- Resource sharing needs to be resistant to preemption

Indeterministic scheduling



POSIX threads

- POSIX 1003.1c (aka pthreads) is an API for multithreaded programming standardized by IEEE as part of the POSIX standards
- Multithreaded programs using pthreads are likely to run unchanged on a wide variety of UNIX-based systems

Interface

- Only defines the interface
 - user-space implementation
 - or kernel space implementation

Forking

```
$ ./a.out
Thread 1
Thread 1
Done!
```

- fork() only clones the calling thread
- Mixing multi-threading and forking is not recommended as it can lead to undesirable situations

Sharing

• Shared data structures and files

```
int a;
void *thread_fcn(void *ptr)
    a++;
int main(void)
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL,
                   thread_fcn, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL,
                   thread_fcn, NULL);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("a=%d\n", a);
    return 0;
```

• May lead to surprising results...

```
$ ./a.out
a = 2
$ ./a.out
a = 1
```

- Will require use of synchronization mechanisms
- Will see that in next topic!

Signals

```
void sigsegv_hdl(int sig,
                 siginfo_t *siginfo,
                 void *context) {
    ucontext_t *c = (ucontext_t*)context;
void *thread_fcn(void *ptr) {
    *(NULL) = 42;
int main(void) {
    struct sigaction act;
    pthread_t t1;
    /* Install handler for segfaults */
    act.sa_sigaction = &sigsegv_hdl;
    act.sa flags = SA SIGINFO;
    sigemptyset(&sa.sa_mask);
    sigaction(SIGSEGV, &act, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL,
                   thread_fcn, NULL);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    return 0;
```

- Signals can target specific threads (e.g., SIGSEGV)
- Or target the entire process
 - Sent to first thread that doesn't block them (e.g., SIGINT)

Libraries

Global variables and non-reentrant library functions

```
void *thread_fcn(void *ptr)
    char *msg = (char*)ptr;
    char *p = strtok(msg, " ");
    while (p) {
        if (write(1, p, strlen(p)) == -1)
            perror("write");
        p = strtok(NULL, " ");
int main(void)
     pthread_t t1, t2;
     char msq1[] = "Thread 1";
     char msq2[] = "Thread 2";
     pthread_create(&t1, NULL, thread_fcn,
                    (void*)msq1);
     pthread_create(&t2, NULL, thread_fcn,
                    (void*)msq2);
```

- Functions should be reentrant
 - o e.g., strtok_r()
 - No shared context between threads
- Global variables: *Thread Local Storage*
 - C11 extension
 - o __thread int errno;
 - Provides one global variable copy per thread