
Introduction to Machine Learning

Evaluation: Measures for Binary Classification: ROC Visualization





Learning goals

- Understand the ROC curve
 - Be able to compute a ROC curve manually

LABELS: ROC SPACE

- We characterize a classifier by its TPR and FPR values and plot them in a coordinate system.
- We could also use two different ROC metrics which define a trade-off, for instance, TPR and PPV.






$$TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$FPR = \frac{FP}{TP + FN}$$

LABELS: ROC SPACE

- The best classifier lies on the top-left corner, where FPR equals 0 and TPR is maximal.
- The diagonal is worst as it corresponds to a classifier producing random labels (with different proportions).


- If each positive x will be randomly classified with 25% as "pos", TPR = 0.25.
- If we assign each negative x randomly to "pos",
 FPR = 0.25.



LABELS: ROC SPACE

- In practice, we should never obtain a classifier below the diagonal.
- Inverting the predicted labels (0 \mapsto 1 and 1 \mapsto 0) will result in a reflection at the diagonal.

 \Rightarrow TPR_{new} = 1 - TPR and FPR_{new} = 1 - FPR.



LABEL DISTRIBUTION IN TPR AND FPR

TPR and FPR (ROC curves) are insensitive to the class distribution in the sense that they are not affected by changes in the ratio n_+/n_- (at prediction).

Example 1:

Proportion $n_+/n_-=1$

Example 2:

Proportion $n_{+}/n_{-}=2$

	Actual Positive	Actual Negative
Pred. Positive	40	25
Pred. Negative	10	25

	Actual Positive	Actual Negative
Pred. Positive	80	25
Pred. Negative	20	25

MCE = 35/100 = 0.35

TPR = 0.8

FPR = 0.5

MCE = 45/150 = 0.3

TPR = 0.8

FPR = 0.5

Note: If class proportions differ during training, the above is not true. Estimated posterior probabilities can change!


FROM PROBABILITIES TO LABELS: ROC CURVE

Remember: Both probabilistic and scoring classifiers can output classes by thresholding:


$$h(\mathbf{x}) = [\pi(\mathbf{x})) \ge c]$$
 or $h(\mathbf{x}) = [f(\mathbf{x}) \ge c]$.

To draw a ROC curve:

- Rank test observations on decreasing score.
- Start with c = 1, so we start in (0,0); we predict everything as negative.
- 3 Iterate through all possible thresholds *c* and proceed for each observation *x* as follows:
 - If x is positive, move TPR $1/n_+$ up, as we have one TP more.
 - If x is negative, move FPR 1/n_ right, as we have one FP more.




#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06





$$c = 0.9$$


 $\rightarrow \text{TPR} = 0.167$
 $\rightarrow \text{FPR} = 0$




#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06








#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06




$$c = 0.66$$


 $\rightarrow \text{TPR} = 0.5$
 $\rightarrow \text{FPR} = 0$




#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06










#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06







#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06




$$c = 0.3$$

 \rightarrow TPR = 0.833
 \rightarrow FPR = 0.5



#	Truth	Score
1	Pos	0.95
2	Pos	0.86
3	Pos	0.69
4	Neg	0.65
5	Pos	0.59
6	Neg	0.52
7	Pos	0.51
8	Neg	0.39
9	Neg	0.28
10	Neg	0.18
11	Pos	0.15
12	Neg	0.06








ROC CURVE PROPERTIES


- The closer the curve to the top-left corner, the better.
- If ROC curves cross, a different model might be better in different parts of the ROC space.



- Small thresholds will very liberally predict the positive class, and result in a potentially higher FPR, but also higher TPR.
- High thresholds will very conservatively predict the positive class, and result in a lower FPR and TPR.
- As we have not defined the trade-off between false postives and false negative costs, we cannot easily select the "best" threshold.
 → Visual inspection of all possible results seems useful.

AUC: AREA UNDER ROC CURVE

- AUC ∈ [0, 1] is a single metric to evaluate scoring classifiers independent of the chosen threshold.
 - AUC = 1: perfect classifier
 - AUC = 0.5: random, non-discriminant classifier
 - AUC = 0: perfect, with inverted labels



AUC AS A RANK-BASED METRIC

- We can also interpret the AUC as the probability of our classifier ranking a random positive observation higher than a random negative one.
- A perfect classifier will rank all positive above all negative observations, achieving AUC = 1.

