

### Exercise 1:

One popular classification model is **logistic regression**. The medical research group from last week is eager to find out if it can be used to predict whether a patient admitted to the hospital will require intensive care. This is a binary classification task with target space  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$ , with  $y = 1$  if the patient requires intensive care and  $y = 0$  if not. The feature space is the same as before:  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^3$ , with  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = (x_{age}, x_{blood\ pressure}, x_{weight})^{(i)} \in \mathcal{X}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  observations.

Before the group trains a logistic regression model, researcher Holger remarks they could just as well fit a linear model (LM), as in the case of a binary classification task, both models would make identical predictions. Therefore, he comes up with the following hypothesis space:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \pi(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}\} \quad (1)$$

- 1) Are predictions and hypothesis space of a logistic regression model and an LM identical for a binary classification task? If not, explain why they could differ and write down the correct hypothesis space.

Researcher Lisa knows that logistic regression follows a discriminant approach, meaning the discriminant functions are optimized directly via empirical risk minimization (ERM). She remembers the general form of ERM:

$$\hat{f} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}(f) = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y^{(i)}, f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) \quad (2)$$

Additionally, she recalls the Bernoulli loss function of the logistic regression model in statistics:

$$L(y, \pi(\mathbf{x})) = -y \ln(\pi(\mathbf{x})) - (1 - y) \ln(1 - \pi(\mathbf{x})) \quad (3)$$

Lastly, she recollects how logistic regression models the posterior probabilities  $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$  of the labels – the estimated linear scores are “squashed” through the logistic function  $s$ :

$$\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x})} = s(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}) \quad (4)$$

Given (2) – (4), she figures one could formulate the explicit ERM problem, but leaves the task to you.

- 2) Write down the explicit form of the ERM problem for estimating the parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

Later, the research group trains the logistic regression model and receives a corresponding parameter estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_{age}, \hat{\theta}_{blood\ pressure}, \hat{\theta}_{weight})$ . Researcher Laetitia, who has worked all night on the research problem, finds a function scribbled on her personal notes. She remembers it was useful in the context of a logistic regression model, but does not recall how:

$$h(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \alpha) = \mathbb{I}_{[\alpha, 1]} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad (5)$$

- 3) What purpose does the function serve in the case of a trained logistic regression model with estimated parameters  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ ? Explain the role of the parameter  $\alpha$ .

Researcher Laetitia is curious about why the loss function of the logistic regression model in (3) is called *Bernoulli loss*. She seems certain that she can connect it to the Bernoulli distribution, which has the following probability mass function:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y) = \pi^y (1 - \pi)^{1-y}, \quad y \in \{0, 1\} \quad (6)$$

- 4) Derive the log-likelihood function  $\ell$  of a single Bernoulli distributed random variable  $Y$ . How is it related to the loss function used for ERM in (3)?