12ML:: BASICS

Data

 $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$: p-dimensional **feature / input space** Usually we assume $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathbb{R}^p$, but sometimes, dimensions may be bounded (e.g., for categorical or non-negative features.)

 $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$: target space

e.g.: $\mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{Y}=\{0,1\}$, $\mathcal{Y}=\{-1,+1\}$, $\mathcal{Y}=\{1,\dots,g\}$ with g classes

 $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^T \in \mathcal{X}$: feature vector

 $y \in \mathcal{Y}$: target / label / output

 $\mathbb{D}_n = (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^n \subset \mathbb{D}$: set of all finite data sets of size n

 $\mathbb{D} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^n$: set of all finite data sets

 $\mathcal{D} = ((\mathsf{x}^{(1)}, \mathsf{y}^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathsf{x}^{(n)}, \mathsf{y}^{(n)})) \in \mathbb{D}_n$: data set with n observations

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{train}}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{test}} \subset \mathcal{D}$: data for training and testing (often: $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{train}} \ \dot{\cup} \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{test}}$)

 $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} : i$ -th observation or instance

 \mathbb{P}_{xy} : joint probability distribution on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$

 $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(y = k)$: **prior probability** for class k In case of binary labels we might abbreviate: $\pi = \mathbb{P}(y = 1)$.

Model and Learner

Model / hypothesis: $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^g$, $x \mapsto f(x)$ is a function that maps feature vectors to predictions, often parametrized by $\theta \in \Theta$ (then we write f_{θ} , or, equivalently, $f(x \mid \theta)$).

 $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$: parameter space

 $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_d) \in \Theta$: model **parameters** Some models may traditionally use different symbols.

 $\mathcal{H}=\{f:\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{R}^g\mid f \text{ belongs to a certain functional family}\}:$ hypothesis space – set of functions defining a specific model class to which we restrict our learning task

Learner $\mathcal{I}: \mathbb{D} \times \Lambda \to \mathcal{H}$ takes a training set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{train}} \in \mathbb{D}$ and produces a model $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^g$, its hyperparameters set to $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

For a parametrized model this can be adapted to $\mathcal{I}: \mathbb{D} imes \mathbf{\Lambda} o \Theta$

 $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2 \times ... \times \Lambda_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$, where $\Lambda_j = (a_j, b_j), \quad a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2, ..., \ell$: hyperparameter space

 $oldsymbol{\lambda}=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_\ell)\in oldsymbol{\Lambda}:$ model hyperparameters

 $\pi_k(x) = \mathbb{P}(y = k \mid x) \in [0, 1]$: **posterior probability** for class k, given x (in a binary case we might abbreviate: $\pi(x) = \mathbb{P}(y = 1 \mid x)$).

 $h(x): \mathbb{R}^g \to \mathcal{Y}:$ **prediction function** for classification that maps class scores / posterior probabilities to discrete classes

 $\epsilon = y - f(x)$ or $\epsilon^{(i)} = y^{(i)} - f(x^{(i)})$: (i-th) **residual** in regression

yf(x) or $y^{(i)}f(x^{(i)})$: margin for (i-th) observation in binary classification

 \hat{y} , \hat{f} , \hat{h} , $\hat{\pi}_k(\mathbf{x})$, $\hat{\pi}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{m{ heta}}$

The hat symbol denotes **learned** functions and parameters.

Loss and Risk

 $L:\mathcal{Y} imes\mathbb{R}^g o\mathbb{R}:$ loss function

It quantifies the "quality" of the prediction f(x) for a single observation x.

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}:$ empirical risk

The ability of a model f to reproduce the association between x and y that is present in the data \mathcal{D} can be measured by the summed loss:

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\right)\right)$$

Learning then amounts to **empirical risk minimization** – figuring out which model \hat{f} has the smallest summed loss.

Since f is usually defined by **parameters** θ , this becomes:

$$\hat{f} = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\,min}}_{oldsymbol{ heta} \in \Theta} \mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}}(oldsymbol{ heta})) = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\,min}}_{oldsymbol{ heta} \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid oldsymbol{ heta}
ight)
ight),$$
 where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}} : \Theta o \mathbb{R}$.

Components of Learning

Learning = Hypothesis space + Risk + Optimization = $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) + arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta)$

Regression Losses

L2 loss / squared error:

 $L(y, f(x)) = (y - f(x))^2 \text{ or } L(y, f(x)) = 0.5(y - f(x))^2$

Convex and differentiable

Tries to reduce large residuals (loss scaling quadratically)

 $\hat{f}(x) = \text{mean of } y | x$

L1 loss / absolute error:

L(y, f(x)) = |y - f(x)|

Convex and more robust

Non-differentiable for y = f(x), optimization becomes harder

 $\hat{f}(x) = \text{median of } y | x$

Classification Losses

Log-loss / Bernoulli loss / binomial loss

$$LL = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-y^{(i)} \log(\hat{\pi}(\mathsf{x}^{(i)})) - (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{\pi}(\mathsf{x}^{(i)})) \right)$$

Multiclass loss

$$LL = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{s} o_k^{(i)} \log(\hat{\pi}_k(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

Classification

Classification usually means to construct g discriminant functions: $f_1(x), \ldots, f_g(x)$, so that we choose our class as $h(x) = \arg\max_{k \in \{1,\ldots,g\}} f_k(x)$

Linear Classifier: functions $f_k(x)$ can be specified as linear functions

Binary classification: If only 2 classes $(\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\} \text{ or } \mathcal{Y} = \{-1,+1\})$ exist, we can use a single discriminant function $f(x) = f_1(x) - f_2(x)$.

Generative approach models $p(\mathbf{x}|y=k)$, usually by making some assumptions about the structure of these distributions and employs the Bayes theorem: $\pi_k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(y=k\mid \mathbf{x}) \propto p(\mathbf{x}|y=k)\pi_k$.

Examples: Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA), Naive Bayes

Discriminant approach tries to optimize the discriminant functions directly, usually via empirical risk minimization:

 $\hat{f} = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_{emp}(f) = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\right)\right)$. **Examples**: Logistic/softmax regression, kNN

introduction-to-machine-learning.netlify.app/