Comparison of German DeepSpeech models					Comparison of different end-to-end solutions				
Researcher	Training-Dataset	aset Duration Noise WER (↓)			ĺ			Dataset	
	0			(17)	-	Researcher	Architecture	Clean (†)	Other (\downarrow)
	TD+VF	162h	+	15,1	ļ	Google	LAS [Par+19]	2,80	6,80
[AZ19]	TD+VG+Mz	302h	+	21,5		P. 1. 1	Transformer [Syn+20]	2,90	7,00
	TD	127h	-	26,8			Transformer (groß (‡)) [Wan+20]	3,50	7,80
	TD+Mz	267h	- 1	57.3	Facebook	Transformer (mittel (‡)) [Wan+20]	3,70	8,10	
			+	/ -]		Transformer (klein (‡)) [Wan+20]	4,40	9,20
	VF	35h	+	72,1			RNN (groß (‡‡)) [Wan+20]	3,90	11,50
	Mz	140h	+	79.7	1		Menschliche Transkription [Amo+15]	5,83	12,69
1 10,1						Mozilla	DeepSpeech 2 (RNN) [Amo+15]	5,33	13,25
Comparison by Agarwal and Zesch (2019)							DeepSpeech 1 (RNN) [Amo+15]	7,89	21,74
[sil19] multi 494h + 9,0 †: Libri-Speech divides the test dataset into Clean and Other, where Clean									
1. Instruction of the very distance into clean and other, where clean									

contains the speech files with the lowest WER and Other contains the remaining

With 1024 (large), 512 (medium), 256 (small) units per layer

tt: 5 encoder/3 decoder layers with 512 units each.

1: 12 encoder/6 decoder layers each.

12,3

66,0

TD+VF+Mz

TO+VF+N

247h

230h

Comparison by Xu et al. (2020)

+

[Xu+20]

[sil19]