

0.1. Vector Hoeffding inequality

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$, $\|X_i\| < c_i/2$, then for independent random variables

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\| > t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t-v)^2}{2v^2}\right), \quad t \geq v,$$

$$v^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2.$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\| > v(1 + \sqrt{2x})\right) \leq e^{-x}.$$

Proof. Bounded difference inequality state with $|Z(\dots, X_i, \dots) - Z(\dots, X'_i, \dots)| \leq c_i$ that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z > t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i c_i^2}\right).$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\| - \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\| > t - \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\|\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t - \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\|)^2}{2v^2}\right),$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right\|^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\|X_i\|^2} \leq v.$$

□

0.2. Matrix Bernstein inequality

Theorem 2 (Master bound). Assume that $\mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_n$ are independent Hermitian matrices of the same size and $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq \inf_{\theta > 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}e^{\theta \mathbf{S}_i}\right), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq z\} \leq \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}e^{\theta \mathbf{S}_i}\right). \quad (2)$$

Proof. By the Markov inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq z\} \leq \inf_{\theta} e^{-\theta z} \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z})).$$

Recall the spectral mapping theorem: for any function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Hermitian matrix A eigenvalues of $f(A)$ are equal to eigenvalues of A . Thus

$$\exp(\theta \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z})) = \exp(\lambda_{\max}(\theta \mathbf{Z})) = \lambda_{\max}(\exp(\theta \mathbf{Z})) \leq \text{tr} e^{\theta \mathbf{Z}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq z\} \leq \inf_{\theta} e^{-\theta z} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp(\theta \mathbf{Z}), \quad (3)$$

and (??) follows.

To prove (??) fix θ . Using the spectral mapping theorem one can get that

$$\mathbb{E}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) = \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{E}\lambda_{\max}(\theta \mathbf{Z}) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda_{\max}(\theta \mathbf{Z})) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log \mathbb{E}\lambda_{\max}(\exp(\theta \mathbf{Z})).$$

Thus we get

$$\mathbb{E} \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \log \text{tr} \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \mathbf{Z}). \quad (4)$$

The final step in proving the master inequalities is to bound from above $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i)$. To do this we use Jensen's inequality for the convex function $\text{tr} \exp(H + \log(X))$ (in matrix X), where H is deterministic Hermitian matrix. For a random Hermitian matrix X one can write

$$\mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp(H + X) = \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp(H + \log e^X) \leq \text{tr} \exp(H + \log \mathbb{E} e^X). \quad (5)$$

Convexity of function $(\text{tr} \exp(H + \log(X)))$ is followed from

$$\text{tr} \exp(H + \log(X)) = \max_{Y \succ 0} [\text{tr}(YH) - (D(Y; X) - \text{tr} X)],$$

where $D(Y; X)$ is relative entropy

$$D(Y; X) = \phi(X) - [\phi(Y) + \langle \nabla \phi(Y), X - Y \rangle], \quad \phi(X) = \text{tr}(X \log X)$$

due to the partial maximum and $D(Y; X)$ are concave functions.

Denote by \mathbb{E}_i the conditional expectation with respect to random matrix X_i . To bound $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i)$ we use (??) for the sum of independent Hermitian matrices by taking the conditional expectations with respect to i -th matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i\right) &= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_n \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{S}_i + \mathbf{S}_n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{S}_i + \log(\mathbb{E}_n \exp(\mathbf{S}_n))\right) \\ &\leq \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}_i}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To complete the prove of the Master's theorem combine (??) and (??) with (??). \square

The same result applied to $-\mathbf{Z}$ yields the bound for the operator norm $\|\mathbf{Z}\|$:

$$\mathbb{P}\{\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq z\} \leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}_i}\right). \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3 (Bernstein inequality for a sum of random Hermitian matrices). Let $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i$, where \mathbf{S}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are independent, random, Hermitian matrices of the dimension $d \times d$ and

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_i) \leq R.$$

Denote $v^2 = v^2(\mathbf{Z}) = \|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}^2)\|_{\text{op}}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq \sqrt{2v^2 \log(d)} + \frac{1}{3} R \log(d), \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq z\} \leq d \exp\left(\frac{-z^2/2}{v^2 + Rz/3}\right). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Note that

$$v^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}_i^2 \right\|_{\text{op}}.$$

For the sake of simplicity let $v^2 = 1$. Denote

$$g(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2/2}{1 - R\theta/3}.$$

Apart the Master inequalities, we use the following lemma:

Lemma. Let \mathbf{Z} be a random Hermitian matrix $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z} = 0$, $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq R$, then for $0 < \theta < 3/R$ the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}e^{\theta\mathbf{Z}} &\leq \exp\left(\frac{\theta^2/2}{1 - R\theta/3}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}^2)\right), \\ \log \mathbb{E}e^{\theta\mathbf{Z}} &\leq \frac{\theta^2/2}{1 - R\theta/3}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Decompose the exponent in the following way

$$e^{\theta\mathbf{Z}} = I + \theta\mathbf{Z} + (e^{\theta\mathbf{Z}} - \theta\mathbf{Z} - I) = I + \theta\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z} \cdot f(\mathbf{Z}) \cdot \mathbf{Z},$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{\theta x} - \theta x - 1}{x^2}, \quad \text{for } x \neq 0, \quad f(0) = \frac{\theta^2}{2}.$$

One can check that the function $f(x)$ is non-decreasing, thus for $x \leq R$, one has $f(x) \leq f(R)$. By the matrix transfer rule $f(\mathbf{Z}) \leq f(R)I$ and

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\theta\mathbf{Z}} = I + f(R)\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Z}^2.$$

In order to estimate $f(R)$ use $q! \geq 2 \cdot 3^{q-2}$ to get

$$f(R) = \frac{e^{\theta R} - \theta R - 1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta R)^q}{q!} \leq \theta^2 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{(R\theta)^{q-2}}{3^{q-2}} = \frac{\theta^2/2}{1 - R\theta/3}.$$

To get the result of the Lemma note that $1 + a \leq e^a$. □

To prove (??) and (??) we apply the Master inequalities and Lemma 0.2.:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) &\leq \inf_{\theta>0} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \text{tr} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \mathbf{S}_i) \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{0<\theta<3/R} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \text{tr} \exp \left(g(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}_i^2 \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{0<\theta<3/R} \frac{1}{\theta} \log \text{tr} \exp (g(\theta) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{Z}^2) \\ &\leq \inf_{0<\theta<3/R} \frac{1}{\theta} \log d \exp (g(\theta) \|\mathbb{E} \mathbf{Z}^2\|_{\text{op}}) \\ &\leq \inf_{0<\theta<3/R} \left\{ \frac{\log(d)}{\theta} + \frac{\theta/2}{1 - R\theta/3} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing the right hand side in θ one can get (??).

The second inequality can be obtained in the same manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq z\} &\leq \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \operatorname{tr} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \mathbf{S}_i) \right) \\
&\leq \inf_{0 < \theta < 3/R} e^{-\theta z} \operatorname{tr} \exp (g(\theta) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{Z}^2) \\
&\leq \inf_{0 < \theta < 3/R} e^{-\theta z} d \exp (g(\theta) \|\mathbb{E} \mathbf{Z}^2\|_{\text{op}}) \\
&\leq \inf_{0 < \theta < 3/R} e^{-\theta z} d \exp (g(\theta)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here instead of minimizing the right hand side in θ we have used $\theta = z/(1 + Rz/3)$. \square

Theorem 4 (Bernstein inequality for a sum of random Hermitian matrices). Let $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{S}_i$, where \mathbf{S}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are independently distributed random matrices of the size $d_1 \times d_2$ and

$$\|\mathbf{S}_i\|_{\text{op}} \leq R.$$

Denote $v^2 = v^2(\mathbf{Z}) = \max \{\|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}^* \mathbf{Z})\|_{\text{op}}, \|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^*)\|_{\text{op}}\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} &\leq \sqrt{2v^2 \log(d_1 + d_2)} + \frac{1}{3} R \log(d), \\
\mathbb{P}\{\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq z\} &\leq (d_1 + d_2) \exp \left(\frac{-z^2/2}{v^2 + Rz/3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use the following hint: define the matrix

$$H(\mathbf{Z}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be easily seen that $v^2 = \|H(\mathbf{Z})^2\|_{\text{op}}$, and $\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} = \lambda_{\max}(H(\mathbf{Z}))$, thus applying Theorem 3 to $H(\mathbf{Z})$ the statements (??) and (??) are straightforward. \square

Theorem 5 (Bernstein inequality for moment restricted matrices). Suppose that

$$\forall i : \mathbb{E} \psi^2 \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{X}_i\|}{M} \right) \leq 1,$$

$$R = M \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{2nM^2}{\delta v^2} \right), \quad \delta \in (0, 2/\psi(1)).$$

Then for $zR \leq (e - 1)(1 + \delta)v^2$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq z\} \leq 2p \exp \left\{ -\frac{z^2}{2(1 + \delta)v^2 + 2Rz/3} \right\}$$

A standart case for ψ is $\psi(u) = e^{u^\alpha} - 1$, which leads to $R = M \log^{1/\alpha}(\frac{2nM^2}{\delta v^2} + 1)$.

Proof. According to Master bound one have to estimate $\mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}}$ for \mathbf{S} in $\mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_n$. Denote a function

$$f(u) = \frac{e^u - 1 - u}{u^2}$$

Taylor expansion yields

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}} \leq I_p + \theta^2 \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}^2 f(\theta \|\mathbf{S}\|)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}} &\leq \theta^2 \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}^2 f(\theta \|\mathbf{S}\|) \leq \theta^2 f(\theta \tau) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}^2 + I_p \theta^2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{S}\|^2 f(\theta \|\mathbf{S}\|) I(\|\mathbf{S}\| \geq \tau) \\
\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{S}\|^2 f(\theta \|\mathbf{S}\|) I(\|\mathbf{S}\| \geq \tau) &\leq M^2 \mathbb{E} \psi^2 \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{S}\|}{M} \right) \left(\psi \left(\frac{\tau}{M} \right) \right)^{-1} \leq M^2 \left(\psi \left(\frac{\tau}{M} \right) \right)^{-1} \\
M^2 \left(\psi \left(\frac{R}{M} \right) \right)^{-1} &= \frac{\delta v^2}{2n} \\
\log \mathbb{E} e^{\theta \mathbf{S}} &\leq \theta^2 f(\theta R) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{S}^2 + I_p \theta^2 \frac{\delta v^2}{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{tr} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \mathbf{S}_i) \right) \leq \text{tr} \exp \left(\theta^2 f(\theta R) \mathbb{E} \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i^2 \right) \exp \left(\theta^2 \frac{\delta v^2}{2} \right)$$

□

0.3. Matrix deviation bounds

The next result provides a deviation bound for a matrix valued quadratic forms.

Theorem 6 (Deviation bound for matrix quadratic forms). Consider a $p \times n$ matrix \mathcal{U} such that

$$\mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}^\top = I_p.$$

Let the columns $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of the matrix \mathcal{U} satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{u}_i\| \leq \delta \quad (10)$$

for a fixed constant δ . For a random vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^\top$ with independent standard Gaussian components, define

$$\mathbf{Z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U} \text{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - 1\} \mathcal{U}^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq 2\delta \sqrt{\mathbf{x} + \log(2p)} + 2\delta^2(\mathbf{x} + \log(2p)) \right) \leq e^{-\mathbf{x}}.$$

Proof. From the Master inequality (??)

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq z) \leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta(\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Now we use the following general fact:

Lemma. If ξ is a random variable and Π is a projector in \mathbb{R}^p , then

$$\log \mathbb{E} \exp(\xi \Pi) = \log(\mathbb{E} e^\xi) \Pi. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The result (??) can be easily obtained by applying twice the spectral mapping theorem. □

This result yields, in particular, for any unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

$$\log \mathbb{E} \exp(\xi \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top) = \log(\mathbb{E} e^\xi) \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top.$$

Moreover, for any vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the normalized product $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top/\|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ is a rank-one projector, and hence,

$$\log \mathbb{E} \exp(\xi \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top) = \log(\mathbb{E} e^{\xi \|\mathbf{u}\|^2}) \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2}.$$

With $\mathbf{U}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top / \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2$ and $\xi_i = \theta(\varepsilon_i^2 - 1)$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\{\theta(\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top\} &= \log \mathbb{E} \exp\{\theta(\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \mathbf{U}_i\} \\ &= \log \left(\frac{\exp(-\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \theta)}{\sqrt{1 - 2\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \theta}} \right) \mathbf{U}_i \\ &= \left\{ -\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - 2\theta \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2) \right\} \mathbf{U}_i \end{aligned}$$

and by (??)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq z) &\leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top}{\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2} \left\{ -\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - 2\theta \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2) \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Denote $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)^\top$, where

$$\eta_i = -\theta - \frac{\log(1 - 2\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 \theta)}{2\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2}.$$

The use of (??) and (??) yields for $\theta < (2\delta^2)^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i &= \frac{1}{2\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2} \{2\theta \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 - \log(1 - 2\theta \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2)\} \\ &\leq \frac{(2\theta \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2)^2}{4\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2(1 - 2\theta \delta^2)} \leq \frac{\theta^2 \delta^2}{(1 - 2\theta \delta^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (??) and $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}^\top = I_p$ using $\mu = 2\theta \delta^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq t) &\leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\{\mathcal{U} \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \mathcal{U}^\top\} \leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\{\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_\infty I_p\} \\ &\leq 2p \inf_{\theta > 0} \exp\left\{-\theta z + \frac{\theta^2 \delta^2}{1 - 2\theta \delta^2}\right\} = 2p \inf_{\mu > 0} \exp\left\{-\mu \frac{t}{2\delta^2} + \frac{\mu^2 \delta^{-2}}{1 - \mu}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma ?? helps to bound for $\mathbf{x}_p = \mathbf{x} + \log(2p)$ and $t = 2\delta \mathbf{x}_p^{1/2} + 2\delta^2 \mathbf{x}_p$ that

$$\inf_{\mu > 0} \exp\left\{-\mu \frac{t}{2\delta^2} + \frac{\mu^2 \delta^{-2}}{1 - \mu}\right\} = \inf_{\mu > 0} \left\{-\mu(\delta^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p^{1/2} + \mathbf{x}_p) + \frac{\mu^2 \delta^{-2}}{4(1 - \mu)}\right\} \leq -\mathbf{x}_p.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq 2\delta \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_p} + 2\delta^2 \mathbf{x}_p\right) \leq 2p e^{-\mathbf{x}_p} = e^{-\mathbf{x}}$$

as required. \square

Consequence. For non i.i.d $\varepsilon = R^{1/2}\xi$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{op}} \geq \lambda_{\max}(R)(2\delta\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_p} + 2\delta^2\mathbf{x}_p)\right) \leq e^{-\mathbf{x}}$$

Consequence.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathcal{U} \text{diag}(\eta)\mathcal{U}^T\|_{\text{op}} > z\right) \leq 2p \inf_{\mu} \exp\left\{-\mu z + \max_i \frac{1}{\|u_i\|^2} \log \mathbb{E} e^{\mu \|u_i\|^2 \eta_i}\right\}$$

Theorem 7 (Deviation bound for matrix Gaussian sums). Let vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ in \mathbb{R}^p satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{u}_i\| \leq \delta$$

for a fixed constant δ . Let ε_i be independent standard Gaussian, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then for each vector $\mathbf{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the matrix \mathbf{Z}_1 with

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i b_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top$$

fulfills

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{Z}_1\|_{\text{op}} \geq \delta^2 \|\mathbf{B}\| \sqrt{2\mathbf{x}}\right) \leq 2e^{-\mathbf{x}}.$$

Proof. As ε_i are i.i.d. standard normal and $\mathbb{E} e^{a\varepsilon_i} = e^{a^2/2}$ for $|a| < 1/2$, it follows from the Master inequality and Lemma 0.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}_1\|_{\text{op}} \geq z) &\leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \varepsilon_i b_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top)\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} e^{-\theta z} \text{tr} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^2 b_i^2 \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^4}{2} \frac{\mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top}{\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as $\|\mathbf{u}_i\| \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{U}_i = \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top / \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2$ is a rank-one projector with $\text{tr} \mathbf{U}_i = 1$, it holds

$$\text{tr} \exp\left\{\frac{\theta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^4 \mathbf{U}_i\right\} \leq \exp \text{tr}\left(\frac{\theta^2 \delta^4}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \mathbf{U}_i\right) = \exp \frac{\theta^2 \delta^4 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2}{2}.$$

This implies for $z = \delta^2 \|\mathbf{B}\| \sqrt{2\mathbf{x}}$

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{Z}_1\|_{\text{op}} \geq z) \leq 2 \inf_{\theta > 0} \exp\left(-\theta z + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \delta^4 \|\mathbf{B}\|^2\right) = 2e^{-\mathbf{x}}$$

and the assertion follows. □

Consequence. For non i.i.d $\varepsilon = R^{1/2}\xi$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{Z}_1\|_{\text{op}} \geq \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(R)} \delta^2 \|\mathbf{B}\| \sqrt{2\mathbf{x}}\right) \leq 2e^{-\mathbf{x}}.$$